### COMPACTIFICATION OF $\kappa$ -FRAMES

### M. MEHDI EBRAHIMI AND M. VOJDANI TABATABAEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that the category  $\mathbf{KR}\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ , of all compact regular  $\kappa$ -frames and  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphisms, is a coreflective subcategory of the category  $\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ , of all  $\kappa$ -frames and  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphisms. Then, a compactification for any completely regular  $\kappa$ -frame and any proximal  $\kappa$ -frame is given. The theory of  $\kappa$ -frames was introduced by Madden [3].

# 1. Background

Here we recall some notions and notations from [2], [4].

1.1 Let  $\kappa$  be any regular cardinal. A  $\kappa$ -set is a set of cardinality strictly less than  $\kappa$ . A  $\kappa$ -frame is a bounded lattice L which has joins of  $\kappa$ -subsets and satisfies the distributive law:

$$x \land \bigvee S = \bigvee \{x \land s : s \in S\}$$

for  $x \in L$  and S a  $\kappa$ -subset of L. A  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  is a lattice homomorphism preserving joins of  $\kappa$ -subsets. The resulting category is denoted by  $\kappa$ -frames was introduced by Madden [3].

MSC(2000): 06B10, 06D22, 18A40, 54D35

Keywords: Compact regular  $\kappa\text{-frame},$  Completely regular ideal, Strongly regular ideal,

Compactification, Proximal  $\kappa$ -frame

Received: 16 October 2002, Accepted: 4 August 2003

© 2003 Iranian Mathematical Society.

If  $\kappa = w_1$ , the smallest uncountable cardinal, a  $\kappa$ -frame is called a  $\sigma$ -frame. An example of a  $\sigma$ -frame is the lattice of cozero-sets of a topological space X.

**1.2** An element a of a bounded lattice L is said to be rather below b, written  $a \prec b$ , if there exists  $s \in L$ , called the separating element, such that  $a \wedge s = 0$  and  $b \vee s = e$ .

An element a of a bounded lattice L is said to be *completely below* b, written  $a \prec \prec b$ , if there exists an interpolating sequence  $(c_{nk})$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , between a and b, where  $c_{00} = a$ ,  $c_{01} = b$ ,  $c_{nk} = c_{n+1,2k}$ ,  $c_{nk} \prec c_{n,k+1}$ .

A frame L is called regular (completely regular) if each  $a \in L$  is a join of elements rather below (completely below) it.

- **1.3** A  $\kappa$ -frame L is called *compact* if whenever  $e = \bigvee S$ , for  $S \subseteq L$ , then  $e = \bigvee F$  for some finite subset F of S. The category  $\mathbf{KR}\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ , of all compact regular  $\kappa$ -frames, is a full subcategory of  $\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ . A  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism  $h: M \to L$  is called a *compactification* of L if M is compact regular and h is surjective and dense, that is h(x) = 0 implies x = 0.
- **1.4** Let L be a  $\kappa$ -frame. We call an ideal  $J \subseteq L$  regular (completely regular) if for each  $a \in J$  there exists  $b \in J$  such that  $a \prec b$   $(a \prec \prec b)$ .

### 2. Compact regular $\kappa$ -frames

Our main aim in this section is to show that the category  $\mathbf{KR}\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$  is a coreflective subcategory of the category  $\kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ . To prove this, we need to find a right adjoint to the inclusion functor  $\mathcal{I}: \mathbf{KR}\kappa\mathbf{Frm} \to \kappa\mathbf{Frm}$ .

Let L be a  $\kappa$ -frame and  $\mathcal{K}L$  be the set of all completely regular ideals generated by  $\kappa$ -subsets of L. In the following we show that  $\mathcal{K}L$  is a compact regular  $\kappa$ -frame.

# **Lemma 2.1.** The set KL is a $\kappa$ -frame.

**Proof.** Since  $0 \prec \prec 0$  and  $e \prec \prec e$ ,  $\{0\}$  and L belong to  $\mathcal{K}L$ . Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{K}L$  be generated by  $\kappa$ -subsets X, Y of L, respectively. Then  $I \wedge J = I \cap J$  is regular, since  $a \prec \prec b$ ,  $c \prec \prec d$  imply  $a \wedge c \prec \prec b \wedge d$ , and it is generated by  $X \wedge Y = \{x \wedge y : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . Thus  $I \wedge J \in \mathcal{K}L$ . Let  $\{I_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\kappa$ -subset of  $\mathcal{K}L$  and for each  $\lambda$ ,  $I_{\lambda}$  be generated by the  $\kappa$ -subset  $X_{\lambda}$ . Take J to be the ideal generated by the set  $\bigcup X_{\lambda}$ . We show that  $J \in \mathcal{K}L$  and  $\bigvee I_{\lambda} = J$ . For each  $a \in J$  there exists a finite set  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \subseteq \bigcup X_{\lambda}$  such that  $a \leq x_1 \vee ... \vee x_n$ . Let  $x_i \in X_{\lambda_i}$  for each i. By complete regularity of  $I_{\lambda_i}$  there exists  $y_i \in I_{\lambda_i}$  such that  $x_i \prec \prec y_i$ . Hence  $a \leq x_1 \vee ... \vee x_n \prec \prec y_1 \vee ... \vee y_n \in J$ . Therefore  $J \in \mathcal{K}L$ , since it is a completely regular ideal and it is generated by a  $\kappa$ -set. Clearly  $I_{\lambda} \subseteq J$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $I_{\lambda} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{K}L$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Take  $a \in J$ . Then  $a \leq x_1 \vee ... \vee x_n$ , where  $x_i \in X_{\lambda_i}$  for each i. Since  $X_{\lambda} \subseteq I_{\lambda} \subseteq I$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have  $a \in I$ . Thus  $J \subseteq I$ . Hence  $\bigvee I_{\lambda} = J$ . Also we have  $I \wedge \bigvee I_{\lambda} = \bigvee \{I \wedge I_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  for each  $I \in \mathcal{K}L$ and  $\kappa$ -set  $\{I_{\lambda}\}$  of  $\mathcal{K}L$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{K}L$  is a  $\kappa$ -frame.  $\square$ 

**Note 2.2.** Let  $x \prec y \prec z$  be in a  $\kappa$ -frame L and s,t be the separating elements of  $x \prec y$  and  $y \prec z$ , respectively. Then  $y \wedge t = 0$  and  $y \vee s = e$  imply that  $t \prec s$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $x_1 \prec \prec x_2 \prec \prec x_3$  be in a  $\kappa$ -frame L. Then, there exist t, u in L such that  $u \lor x_3 = e, t \land x_1 = 0$  and  $u \prec \prec t$ .

**Proof.** By the definition of  $\prec \prec$  there exists  $x_4$  such that  $x_1 \prec \prec x_4 \prec \prec x_2 \prec \prec x_3$ . Let t, a, u be the separating elements, respectively. By the above note  $u \prec a \prec t$ , also  $u \lor x_3 = e$  and  $t \land x_1 = 0$ . It is enough to show that  $u \prec \prec t$ . Take  $x_5, x_6$  such that  $x_4 \prec x_5 \prec x_6 \prec x_2$ . Let b, c, d be the separating elements, respectively. By the above note  $d \prec c \prec b$ . Since

 $x_1 \prec x_4 \prec x_5$  and  $x_6 \prec x_2 \prec x_3$  and t,b and d,u are separating elements, respectively, we have  $u \prec d$  and  $b \prec t$ . Thus  $u \prec c \prec t$ . Take  $x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}$  such that  $x_4 \prec x_7 \prec x_8 \prec x_5$  and  $x_6 \prec x_9 \prec x_{10} \prec x_2$ . Similar to the above discussion we can easily show that if f, g, h, i, j, k are the separating elements, respectively, then  $u \prec k \prec j \prec i \prec c \prec h \prec g \prec f \prec t$ . Thus  $u \prec j \prec c \prec g \prec t$ . Continuing this process, it shows that we can find an element between each two elements of this series. Hence  $u \prec \prec t$ .

## **Proposition 2.4.** The $\kappa$ -frame KL is compact regular.

**Proof.** Trivially  $\mathcal{K}L$  is compact, since the frame of all ideals of L is compact. To prove the regularity let  $I \in \mathcal{K}L$  be generated by a  $\kappa$ -subset X of L. For each  $x \in X$  there exists  $y_x \in I$  such that  $x \prec \prec y_x$ . Since  $\prec \prec$  interpolates, there exists a sequence  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq L$  such that  $x = x_0 \prec \prec x_1 \prec \prec \ldots \prec \prec y_x$ . Let  $J_x$  be the ideal generated by  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $J_x \in \mathcal{K}L$  for each  $x \in X$ . We show that  $I = \bigvee \{J_x : x \in X\}$ , and  $J_x \prec I$  for each  $x \in X$ . Given  $a \in I$  there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  of X such that  $a \leq a_1 \lor \ldots \lor a_n$ . Trivially  $a \in J_{a_1} \lor \ldots \lor J_{a_n}$ . Hence  $I \subseteq \bigvee \{J_x : x \in X\}$ . Also, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $J_x \subseteq I$ . Thus  $I = \bigvee \{J_x : x \in X\}$ .

It is enough to show that  $J_x \prec I$  for each  $x \in X$ . Take  $z, w \in I$  such that  $y_x \prec \prec z \prec \prec w$ . By the above lemma, there exist t, u such that  $w \lor u = e, y_x \land t = 0$ , and  $u \prec \prec t$ . Let  $u = u_0 \prec \prec u_1 \prec \prec \prec \prec t$  and  $K_x$  be the ideal generated by  $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $K_x \in \mathcal{K}L$  and  $e = u \lor w \in K_x \lor I$ . Thus  $K_x \lor I = L$ . Also, if  $a \in K_x \cap J_x$  then  $a \le u_m$  and  $a \le x_n$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $a \le t \land y_x = 0$ . Hence  $K_x \cap J_x = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $K_x$  is a separating element of  $J_x \prec I$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}L$  is a regular  $\kappa$ -frame.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.5.** The assignment  $K : \kappa Frm \to KR \kappa Frm$  given by

$$\mathcal{K}(f:L\to M)=\mathcal{K}(f):\mathcal{K}L\to\mathcal{K}M$$

where  $K(f)(I) = \langle f[X] \rangle$  and I is generated by the  $\kappa$ -subset X of L, is a functor.

**Proof.** By the above proposition  $\mathcal{K}$  is well-defined on objects. Since  $x \prec \prec y$  implies  $f(x) \prec \prec f(y)$  it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}$  is well-defined on morphisms. Also,  $\mathcal{K}(f \circ g) = \mathcal{K}(f) \circ \mathcal{K}(g)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(id_L) = id_{\mathcal{K}L}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}$  is a functor.  $\square$ 

Now we show that  $\mathcal{I} \dashv \mathcal{K}$ . First we introduce the counit of this adjunction.

**Lemma 2.6.** The map  $\kappa_L : \mathcal{K}L \to L$  given by  $\kappa_L(I) = \bigvee X$ , where I is generated by the  $\kappa$ -set X, is a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism. Moreover,  $\varepsilon = (\kappa_L)_{L \in \kappa Frm}$  is a natural transformation.

**Proof.** The map  $\kappa_L$  is well-defined, since  $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$  implies that  $\bigvee X = \bigvee Y$ . Trivially  $\kappa_L(0) = 0$ ,  $\kappa_L(L) = \bigvee \{e\} = e$ , and if  $I = \langle X \rangle, J = \langle Y \rangle \in \mathcal{K}L$ , then

$$\kappa_L(I \wedge J) = \bigvee (X \cap Y) = \bigvee X \wedge \bigvee Y = \kappa_L(I) \wedge \kappa_L(J).$$

Furthermore  $\kappa_L$  preserves joins of  $\kappa$ -sets, since

$$\kappa_L(\bigvee I_\lambda) = \bigvee(\bigcup_\lambda X_\lambda) = \bigvee_\lambda(\bigvee X_\lambda) = \bigvee_\lambda(\kappa_L(I_\lambda)).$$

Thus  $\kappa_L$  is a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism.

Also for any  $\kappa$ -frame map  $h: L \to M$ ,  $h \circ \kappa_L = \kappa_M \circ \mathcal{K}(h)$ . Since, if I is generated by the  $\kappa$ -set X of L then

$$h \circ \kappa_L(I) = h(\bigvee X) = \bigvee (h[X]) = \kappa_M(\langle h[X] \rangle) = \kappa_M \circ \mathcal{K}(h)(I).$$

Thus  $\varepsilon$  is a natural transformation.  $\square$ 

To show that  $\kappa_L$  is couniversal we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $h: M \to L$  be a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism with compact regular domain M. Then, there exists a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism  $\overline{h}: M \to \mathcal{K}L$  such that  $\kappa_L \circ \overline{h} = h$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a \in M$ . By regularity of M there exists a  $\kappa$ -set  $\{a_{\lambda} : a_{\lambda} \prec a, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that  $a = \bigvee a_{\lambda}$ . It is easy to show that, similar to compact regular frames, the rather below relation interpolates in any compact regular  $\kappa$ -frame, and so  $\prec \prec = \prec$  in M. Hence for each  $\lambda$  there exists a set  $\{a_{\lambda i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda_0} \prec \prec a_{\lambda_1} \prec \prec \ldots \prec \prec a$ .

Let  $J_a$  be the ideal generated by  $\{h(a_{\lambda i}) : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $J_a \in \mathcal{K}L$ . We define  $\overline{h} : M \to \mathcal{K}L$  by  $\overline{h}(a) = J_a$  for each  $a \in M$ . We show that  $\overline{h}$  is well-defined. Let

$$a = \bigvee \{x_{\lambda i} : x_{\lambda_0} \prec \prec \dots \prec \prec a, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$
$$= \bigvee \{y_{\beta j} : y_{\beta_0} \prec \prec \dots \prec \prec a, \beta \in \Lambda\}.$$

Take  $J_1 = \langle \{h(x_{\lambda i})\} \rangle$  and  $J_2 = \langle \{h(y_{\beta j})\} \rangle$ . Let  $s_{\lambda i}$  be the separating element of  $x_{\lambda i} \prec x_{\lambda i+1}$ . Then  $s_{\lambda i} \wedge x_{\lambda i} = 0$  and  $s_{\lambda i} \vee x_{\lambda i+1} = e$ . Thus  $e = s_{\lambda i} \vee a = \bigvee \{s_{\lambda i} \vee y_{\beta j} : \beta \in \Lambda, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Compactness of M implies that  $s_{\lambda i} \vee y_{\beta_1 j_1} \vee ... \vee y_{\beta_n j_n} = e$ . This shows that  $x_{\lambda i} \prec y_{\beta_1 j_1} \vee ... \vee y_{\beta_n j_n}$  and so  $h(x_{\lambda i}) \prec h(y_{\beta_1 j_1}) \vee ... \vee h(y_{\beta_n j_n})$ . Hence  $J_1 \subseteq J_2$ . Similarly  $J_2 \subseteq J_1$ . This gives that h is well-defined. Clearly

$$\kappa_L \circ \overline{h}(a) = \bigvee \{ h(a_{\lambda i}) : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N} \}$$
  
=  $h(\bigvee \{ a_{\lambda i} : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N} \}) = h(a).$ 

It is enough to show that  $\overline{h}$  is a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism. Trivially  $\overline{h}(0) = \{0\}$ , and  $\overline{h}(e) = \langle \{h(e)\} \rangle = \langle \{e\} \rangle = L$ . Let  $a = \bigvee \{x_{\lambda i} : x_{\lambda_0} \prec \prec \ldots \prec \prec a, \ \lambda \in \Lambda \}$  and  $b = \bigvee \{y_{\beta j} : y_{\beta_0} \prec \prec \ldots \prec \prec b, \ \beta \in \Lambda \}$ . Then  $a \wedge b = \bigvee \{x_{\lambda i} \wedge y_{\beta j} : \lambda \in \Lambda, \beta \in \Lambda, i, j \in \mathbb{N} \}$ . Hence  $J_{a \wedge b} = \langle \{h(x_{\lambda i} \wedge y_{\beta j})\} \rangle = J_a \wedge J_b$ , since h preserves meets.

Now let  $\{a_t : t \in K\}$  be a  $\kappa$ -subset of M and

$$a_t = \bigvee \{a^t_{\lambda i} : a^t_{\lambda 0} \prec \prec \dots \prec \prec a_t, \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

Then  $J_{a_t} = \langle \{h(a^t_{\lambda i})\} \rangle$ . Thus  $\forall (J_{a_t}) = \langle \{h(a^t_{\lambda i}), t \in K\} \rangle = J_{\bigvee a_t}$ , since  $\forall a_t = \bigvee \{a^t_{\lambda i} : \lambda \in \Lambda, t \in K\}$ . Therefore  $\overline{h}$  is a  $\kappa$ -frame

homomorphism.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.8.** The map  $\kappa_L$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -couniversal arrow for the  $\kappa$ -frame L.

**Proof.** We have that  $\mathcal{K}L$  is a compact regular  $\kappa$ -frame and  $\kappa_L$  is a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism. Let  $h: M \to L$  be a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism with compact regular domain M. Then  $\overline{h}: M \to \mathcal{K}L$ , given by  $\overline{h}(a) = J_a$ , is a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism such that  $\kappa_L \circ \overline{h} = h$ . It is enough to show that  $\overline{h}$  is unique. Let  $g: M \to \mathcal{K}L$  be a  $\kappa$ -frame homomorphism such that  $\kappa_L \circ g = h$ . Let

$$a = \bigvee \{a_{\lambda i} : a_{\lambda 0} \prec \prec \dots \prec \prec a, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \in M$$

since in compact regular  $\kappa$ -frames  $\prec = \prec \prec$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\kappa_L \circ g(a_{\lambda i}) = \bigvee g(a_{\lambda i}) = h(a_{\lambda i}) \in J_a = \overline{h}(a).$$

Thus  $g(a_{\lambda i}) \subseteq \overline{h}(a)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so  $g(a) \subseteq \overline{h}(a)$ . Now let  $s_{\lambda i}$  be the separating element of  $a_{\lambda i} \prec a_{\lambda i+1}$ . Then  $g(a_{\lambda i}) \wedge g(s_{\lambda i}) = 0$  and  $g(a_{\lambda i+1}) \vee g(s_{\lambda i}) = L$ . Take  $z \in g(a_{\lambda i+1}), \ t \in g(s_{\lambda i})$  such that  $z \vee t = e$ . Then for each  $y \in g(a_{\lambda i}), \ y = (y \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge t) = y \wedge z \leq z$ , and so  $g(a_{\lambda i}) \subseteq \downarrow z$ . Thus  $h(a_{\lambda i}) = \bigvee g(a_{\lambda i}) \leq z$ . Also we have that  $z \in g(a_{\lambda i+1}) \subseteq g(a)$ . Therefore  $h(a_{\lambda i}) \in g(a)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so  $\overline{h}(a) \subseteq g(a)$ . This shows that  $\overline{h}$  is unique.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2.9. (a) The category  $KR\kappa Frm$  is a coreflective subcategory of the category  $\kappa Frm$ .

(b) The category  $\mathbf{KR} \kappa \mathbf{Frm}$  has all products and all equalizers and hence it is a complete category.

# 3. Compactification of $\kappa$ -frames

In this section a compactification for regular and proximal  $\kappa$ -frames are given.

**Proposition 3.1.** For any completely regular  $\kappa$ -frame L, the map  $\kappa_L : \mathcal{K}L \to L$  is a compactification of L.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.4, KL is a compact regular  $\kappa$ -frame. Trivially  $\kappa_L$  is a dense map. It is enough to show that it is surjective. Let  $a \in L$ . By complete regularity of L there exists a  $\kappa$ -subset  $\{a_{\lambda}: a_{\lambda} \prec \prec a, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that  $a = \bigvee \{a_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . For each  $\lambda$  there exists a sequence  $\{a_{\lambda i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda_0} \prec \prec a_{\lambda_1} \prec \prec \ldots \prec a$ . Take  $I_a$  to be the ideal generated by  $\{a_{\lambda i}: \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $I_a \in \mathcal{K}L$  and  $\kappa_L(I_a) = a$ . Hence  $\kappa_L$  is surjective.  $\square$ 

Here we recall the definition of proximal  $\kappa$ -frames from [6] and show that any proximal  $\kappa$ -frame has a compactification.

**Definition 3.2.** A strong inclusion on a  $\kappa$ -frame L is a binary relation  $\triangleleft$  on L such that

- i) If  $x \le a \lhd b \le y$  then  $x \lhd y$ .
- ii)  $\lhd \subseteq L \times L$  is a sublattice. That is,  $0 \lhd 0, e \lhd e, x, y \lhd a, b$  imply  $x \lhd a \land b, x \lor y \lhd a$ .
- iii) If  $x \triangleleft a$  then  $x \prec y$ .
- iv) If  $x \triangleleft y$  then there exists z with  $x \triangleleft z \triangleleft y$ .
- v) If  $x \triangleleft y$  then there exist  $a, b \in L$  with  $b \triangleleft a, b \lor y = e$  and  $a \land x = 0$ .
- vi) Each  $a \in L$  is a join of a  $\kappa$ -set of elements strongly included in a.

The pair  $(L, \triangleleft)$  is called a proximal  $\kappa$ -frame.

**Definition 3.3.** For a strong inclusion  $\triangleleft$  on a  $\kappa$ -frame L, an ideal I of L is said to be *strongly regular* if for each element x in I there exists an element y in I with  $x \triangleleft y$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Any proximal  $\kappa$ -frame has a compactification.

**Proof.** For a proximal  $\kappa$ -frame L consider  $C_{\kappa}L$ , the set of all  $\kappa$ -set generated strongly regular ideals of L. Taking strongly below relation instead of completely rather below relation one can show that  $C_{\kappa}L$  is a compact regular  $\kappa$ -frame. Also the join map  $\rho_L: C_{\kappa}L \to L$  given by  $\rho_L(I) = \bigvee X$  whenever I is generated by the  $\kappa$ -set X of L, is a compactification of L.  $\square$ 

**Remark 3.5.** In the case of zero-dimensoinal  $\kappa$ -frames, that is,  $\kappa$ -frames L which are generated by their Boolean part  $\mathcal{B}L$  of the complemented elements of L, the relation  $x \triangleleft y$  iff  $x \leq b \leq y$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{B}L$  is a strong inclusion. Thus  $\kappa$ -subset generated strongly regular ideals of L are exactly ideals of L which are generated by a  $\kappa$ -subset of  $\mathcal{B}L$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}L$  is the set of ideals of L generated by a  $\kappa$ -subset of  $\mathcal{B}L$ .

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for their suggestions and correcting the statement of Proposition 3.1 for completely regular  $\kappa$ -frames rather than regular ones. Also we would like to thank one of the referees for suggesting us to consider  $\kappa$ -frames as partial frames and then using the more general approach of [5]. But we preferred to leave it as it is which is more common to us for  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$ -frames. We are also grateful for the financial support of Shahid Beheshti University.

#### References

- [1] B. Banaschewski and C. Gilmour, Stone-Cech compactification and dimension theory for regular  $\sigma$ -frames, J. London Math. Soc., **39** (2) (1989), 1-8.
- [2] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [3] J. J. Madden,  $\kappa$ -frames, J. Pure and Appl. Alg., **70** (1991), 107-127.
- [4] N. Marcus,  $\kappa$ -compact frames, Kyungpook Math. J., Vol. **42** (2002), 147-164
- [5] J. Paseka, Covers in generalized frames, Proceedings of the International Conference, Summer School on General Algebra and Orderd Sets 1994. Olomouc: Palacky University Olomouc, 1994, 84-182.
- [6] J. Walters, Compactifications and uniformities on sigma frames, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae., 32 (1) (1991), 189-198.

### M. Mehdi Ebrahimi

Department of Mathematics Shahid Beheshti University Tehran 19839 Iran

ıan

e-mail:m-ebrahimi@cc.sbu.ac.ir

#### M. Vojdani Tabatabaee

Department of Mathematics Shahid Beheshti University Tehran 19839

 ${\rm Iran}$ 

e-mail:mvojdani2000@yahoo.com