

## THE COMPLEMENT OF A D-TREE IS PURE SHELLABLE

M. MAHMOUDI\*, A. MOUSIVAND AND A. TEHRANIAN

Communicated by Siamak Yassemi

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a simple undirected graph and let  $\Delta_G$  be a simplicial complex whose faces correspond to the independent sets of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is called shellable if  $\Delta_G$  is a shellable simplicial complex. We prove that the complement of a  $d$ -tree is a pure shellable graph. This generalizes a recent result of Ferrarelo who used a theorem due to R. Fröberg to prove that the complement of a  $d$ -tree is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite simple graph on  $n$  vertices  $V(G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . One can then associate to  $G$  a quadratic square-free monomial ideal  $I(G)$  in  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by setting  $I(G) = (x_i x_j \mid \{x_i, x_j\} \in E(G))$ , where  $E(G)$  is the edge set of  $G$ . Besides to this algebraic object, a simplicial complex associates to a graph  $G$  which reflects many nice properties of the graph. The simplicial complex  $\Delta_G$  of a graph  $G$  is defined by

$$\Delta_G = \{A \subseteq V \mid A \text{ is an independent set in } G\},$$

where  $A$  is an independent set in  $G$  if none of its elements are adjacent.

A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called shellable if the facets (maximal faces) of  $\Delta$  can be ordered as  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  such that for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ , there

---

MSC(2000): Primary: 13H10, 13F55; Secondary: 05E25.

Keywords: Cohen-Macaulay ring, Stanley-Reisner ideal, pure shellable complex,  $d$ -tree.

Received: 28 February 2009, Accepted: 28 May 2009.

\*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

exist some  $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$  and some  $l \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$  with  $F_j \setminus F_l = \{v\}$ ; cf. [2]. We call  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  a shelling of  $\Delta$  when the facets have been ordered with respect to the definition of shellable. An important property of a pure shellable complex is that it is Cohen-Macaulay over every field which is due to Hochster [6] (see also [1, Theorem 5.1.13]).

A graph  $G$  is called shellable, if the simplicial complex  $\Delta_G$  is a shellable simplicial complex.

A recent theme in commutative algebra is to understand how the properties of  $G$  appear within the properties of  $R/I(G)$ , and vice versa. Cohen-Macaulay rings are of great interest to commutative algebraists. As a consequence, one particular stream of research has focused on the question of what graphs  $G$  have the property that  $R/I(G)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Although a solution to the general problem is probably intractable, Herzog and Hibi [5] gave a combinatorial description of those graphs  $G$  that are bipartite and Cohen-Macaulay (recall that a graph  $G$  on the vertex set  $[n]$  is bipartite if there exists a partition  $[n] = X \cup Y$ , with  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , such that each edge of  $G$  is of the form  $\{i, j\}$  with  $i \in X$  and  $j \in Y$ ).

We denote the complete graph on  $t$  vertices by  $K_t$ . The following inductive definition of  $d$ -trees is customary:

- (i)  $K_{d+1}$  is a  $d$ -tree.
- (ii) If  $G$  is a  $d$ -tree and  $v$  a new vertex, and  $v$  is adjoined to  $G$  via a sub- $K_d$  of  $G$ , then  $\{v\} \cup G$  is a  $d$ -tree.

Note that a 0-tree is a graph that has a set of vertices but without any edge, and 1-tree is a usual tree.

Recently, Ferrareello [3] showed that the complement of a  $d$ -tree is Cohen-Macaulay. To do this, she uses a theorem due to R. Fröberg [4] that establishes a condition for a Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex to be Cohen-Macaulay.

Here, we prove a generalization of Ferrareello's result by showing that the complement of a  $d$ -tree is a pure shellable graph and so it is Cohen-Macaulay.

## 2. Main result

First, we recall the definitions we use throughout the paper.

**Definition 2.1.** the complement of a graph  $G$  is a graph  $H$  on the same vertices such that two vertices of  $H$  are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in  $G$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  over a set of vertices  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $V$ , with the property that  $\{v_i\} \in \Delta$  for all  $i$ , and if  $F \in \Delta$ , then all subsets of  $F$  are also in  $\Delta$  (including the empty set). An element of  $\Delta$  is called a face of  $\Delta$ , and the dimension of a face  $F$  of  $\Delta$  is defined as  $|F| - 1$ , where  $|F|$  is the number of vertices of  $F$ . The faces of dimensions 0 and 1 are called vertices and edges, respectively, and  $\dim \emptyset = -1$ .

The maximal faces of  $\Delta$  under inclusion are called facets of  $\Delta$ . We denote the simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with facets  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  by

$$\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle,$$

and we call  $\{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$  the facet set of  $\Delta$ . A simplicial complex is called pure if all its facets have the same cardinality. A pure simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called shellable if the facets of  $\Delta$  can be ordered as  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  such that for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ , there exist some  $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$  and some  $l \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$  with  $F_j \setminus F_l = \{v\}$ . We call  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  a shelling of  $\Delta$  when the facets are been ordered with respect to the definition of shellable.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a simple graph and  $F \subseteq V(G)$ . Then,  $F$  is a facet of  $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$  if and only if the induced subgraph of  $G$  on the vertex set  $F$  is a maximal complete subgraph of  $G$ .

**Proof.** First, let  $F$  be a facet of  $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$ ; i.e.,  $F$  is a maximal independent subset of  $V(\overline{G})$ . For any  $v, v' \in F$ , we have  $\{v, v'\} \notin E(\overline{G})$ , and thus  $\{v, v'\} \in E(G)$ . So, the induced subgraph of  $G$  on the vertex set  $F$  is complete. The maximality of this induced subgraph follows from the maximality of  $F$  in  $\overline{G}$ .

Conversely, if  $F$  is a maximal complete subgraph of  $G$ , then no two vertices of  $F$  are adjacent in  $\overline{G}$ ; i.e.,  $F$  is an independent subset of  $V(\overline{G})$  and hence is a face of  $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$ . The maximality again follows from the maximality of  $F$  as a maximal complete subgraph of  $G$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.4.** The complement of a  $d$ -tree is pure shellable.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -tree with the vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . By the definition of  $d$ -tree, all the maximal complete subgraphs of  $G$  have  $d+1$  vertices. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$  is pure with  $\dim \Delta_{\overline{G}} = d$ .

By the inductive structure of  $d$ -tree, we may assume

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^s G_i,$$

where  $G_i$  is a complete subgraph of  $G$  isomorphic to  $K_{d+1}$  (the complete graph over  $d+1$  vertices), for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , with the following properties:

- (1)  $V(G_1) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{d+1}\}$ ,
- (2)  $V(G_i) = V(G'_i) \cup \{v_{d+i}\}$ , where  $G'_i$  is a complete subgraph of  $\bigcup_{t=1}^{i-1} G_t$  isomorphic to  $K_d$ .

It is easy to see that  $s = n - d$ . Let  $F_i = V(G_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\Delta_{\overline{G}} = \langle F_1, \dots, F_s \rangle.$$

For all  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ , we have  $v_{d+j} \in F_j \setminus F_i$ . Note that  $V(G_j) = V(G'_j) \cup \{v_{d+j}\}$  and  $G'_j$  is a complete subgraph of  $\bigcup_{t=1}^{j-1} G_t$  isomorphic to  $K_d$ . So, there exists a maximal complete subgraph of  $\bigcup_{t=1}^{j-1} G_t$ , say  $H$ , such that  $G'_j$  is a subgraph of  $H$ . But the only maximal complete subgraphs of  $\bigcup_{t=1}^{j-1} G_t$  are  $G_t$  for  $t = 1, \dots, j-1$ . Therefore,  $G'_j$  is a subgraph of  $G_l$  for some  $l < j$ , and hence  $V(G'_j) \subseteq V(G_l) = F_l$ . It is clear that

$$F_j \setminus F_l = V(G_j) \setminus V(G_l) = (V(G'_j) \cup \{v_{d+j}\}) \setminus V(G_l) = \{v_{d+j}\}.$$

□

It is known that any pure shellable simplicial complex is Cohen-Macaulay; i.e.,  $I_{\Delta}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal; cf. [1, Theorem 5.1.13]. This fact together with Theorem 2.4 implies the next result.

**Corollary 2.5.** ([3, Theorem 3.3]) *The complement of a  $d$ -tree is Cohen-Macaulay.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -tree. Notice that  $I(\overline{G}) = I_{\Delta_{\overline{G}}}$  and  $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$  is pure shellable, and so  $I_{\Delta_{\overline{G}}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. □

It is natural to ask about the converse of Corollary 2.5. In the following we give an answer to this question in the case of chordal graphs. Recall that a graph  $G$  is called chordal if every cycle of length greater than 3 of  $G$  has a chord.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a chordal graph. The followings are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is a  $d$ -tree.
- (ii)  $\overline{G}$  is a pure shellable graph.
- (iii)  $\overline{G}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): this follows from Theorem 2.4.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): this follows from [1, Theorem 5.1.13].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): this follows from [4, Corollary Page 63].  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** The star graph  $S_d$  of order  $d$  is a tree on  $d$  vertices with one vertex having vertex degree  $d-1$  and the other  $d-1$  vertices having vertex degree 1. The complement of  $S_d$  has two connected components; a complete graph  $K_{d-1}$  and an isolated vertex. It is easy to see that a graph is pure shellable if and only if its connected components are pure shellable. Thus, a complete graph is pure shellable. (Note that we can use 0-tree to show that a complete graph is pure shellable. Let  $G$  be a 0-tree with  $d$  vertices. Then, the complement of  $G$  is the complete graph  $K_d$ .)

**Example 2.8.** Let  $G$  be the complete graph  $K_{d+1}$ . Take  $H$  as a graph obtained by connecting a new vertex of degree 1 to each vertex of  $G$ . Since the complement of  $H$  is a  $d$ -tree, we have that  $H$  is a pure shellable graph.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, revised edition, 1998.
- [2] A. Björner and M. Wachs, *Shellable nonpure complexes and posets I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996) 1299-1327.
- [3] D. Ferrareello, *The complement of a  $d$ -tree is Cohen-Macaulay*, Math. Scand. **99**(2) (2006) 161-167.
- [4] R. Fröberg, *On Stanley-Reisner rings*, Topics in algebra, (2) (Warsaw, 1988) 57-70, Banach Center Publ. **26**(2), PWN, Warsaw, 1990.

- [5] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs and Alexander duality*, J. Algebraic Combin. **22** (2005) 289-302.
- [6] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972) 318-337.

**Mohammad Mahmoudi**

Ph.D. Student, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran

Email: mahmoudi54@gmail.com

**Amir Mousivand**

Ph.D. Student, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran

Email: amirmousivand@gmail.com

**Abolfazl Tehranian**

Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran

Email: tehranian1340@yahoo.com