

ERRATUM: TOPOLOGICAL CENTRES OF CERTAIN BANACH MODULE ACTIONS

S. BAROOTKOOB, S. MOHAMMADZADEH AND H.R.E. VISHKI*

For a normed space $\mathcal{X}$, let $J_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{**}$ denote the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{X}^{**}$, with the second adjoint $(J_{\mathcal{X}})^{**} : \mathcal{X}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{****}$. We defined $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (in Section 3 of the paper [1]) by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{x^{**} \in \mathcal{X}^{**} : J_{\mathcal{X}^{**}}(x^{**}) = (J_{\mathcal{X}})^{**}(x^{**})\}.$$

It is routine to verify that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{X}^{**}$ containing $\mathcal{X}$. We have claimed that

“it would be desirable to characterize those $\mathcal{X}$ for which $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}$ ”.

We have earlier surprisingly noticed that this is always true! Indeed: Let $x^{**} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and let $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ be a bounded net in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\{J_{\mathcal{X}}(x_{\alpha})\}$ is w^* -convergent to x^{**} . Then, for each $x^{***} \in \mathcal{X}^{***}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha} \langle x^{***}, J_{\mathcal{X}}(x_{\alpha}) \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle (J_{\mathcal{X}})^*(x^{***}), x_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle J_{\mathcal{X}}(x_{\alpha}), (J_{\mathcal{X}})^*(x^{***}) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{**}, (J_{\mathcal{X}})^*(x^{***}) \rangle = \langle (J_{\mathcal{X}})^{**}(x^{**}), x^{***} \rangle \\ &= \langle J_{\mathcal{X}^{**}}(x^{**}), x^{***} \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{***}, x^{**} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{J_{\mathcal{X}}(x_{\alpha})\}$ converges weakly to x^{**} . As $\mathcal{X}$ is a weakly closed subspace of $\mathcal{X}^{**}$, we get $x^{**} \in \mathcal{X}$, so that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}$, as claimed.

MSC(2000): 46H20, 46H25.

Keywords: Arens product, bounded bilinear map, second dual.

*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

By this erratum we acknowledge that Example 3.2 (consequently Corollary 3.3) of the paper, in which we have claimed that $\mathfrak{M}_{c_0} \neq c_0$, is not correct and its proof is flawed. The actual error occurs when we use the decomposition $\ell^{\infty*} = c^* \oplus c^\perp$. Indeed, the right decomposition is $\ell^{\infty*} = c_0^* \oplus c_0^\perp = \ell^1 \oplus c_0^\perp$, which is known and holds even in more general situations. It is now more desirable that the reader replace $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}}$ with $\mathcal{X}$ throughout the paper.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Barootkoob, S. Mohammadzadeh and H. R. E. Vishki, Topological centres of certain Banach module actions, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **35** (2) (2009), 15–26.

S. Barootkoob

Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, IRAN.

Email: `se-ba406@mail.um.ac.ir`

S. Mohammadzadeh

Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, IRAN.

Email: `somohammadzad@yahoo.com`

H.R.E. Vishki

Department of Pure Mathematics and Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, IRAN.

Email: `vishki@um.ac.ir`