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Abstract. Let $X$ and $Y$ be vector spaces. We show that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation,
$f\left(x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} x_{j}\right)=2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)$
if and only if the mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Cauchy additive, and prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of the above functional equation in Banach modules over a unital $C^{*}$-algebra, and in Poisson Banach modules over a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra. Let $A$ and $B$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras, Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras or Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras. As an application, we show that every almost homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ of $A$ into $B$ is a homomorphism when $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$ or $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$, for all unitaries $u \in A$, all $y \in A$, and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$.

Moreover, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in $C^{*}$-algebras, Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras or Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras.

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

Ulam [26] raised the following question: Under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximate additive mapping? Hyers [4] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. Th.M. Rassias [18] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference. His result has provided a lot of influence in the development of what is known as Cauchy-Rassias stability of functional equations. Găvruta [2] generalized the Rassias' result to a more general unbounded control function. Beginning around the year 1980, the topic of approximate homomorphisms, or the stability of the equation of homomorphism, was taken up by a number of mathematicians (cf. [1], [5]-[8], [10], [14], [17]-[25]).

Throughout this paper, assume that $d$ is a positive integer.
We solve the following functional equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} x_{j}\right) & -f\left(x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} x_{j}\right) \\
& =2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} f\left(x_{j}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

which is called a generalized Jensen type functional equation, and whose solution is called a generalized Jensen type mapping. Moreover, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.1) in Banach modules over a unital $C^{*}$-algebra. Our main purpose is to investigate homomorphisms between $C^{*}$-algebras, between Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras and between Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras, and to prove their Cauchy-Rassias stability.

## 2. A generalized Jensen type mapping

Throughout this section, assume that $X$ and $Y$ are linear spaces.
Lemma 2.1. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$, $x_{2 d} \in X$ and $f(0)=0$ if and only if $f$ is Cauchy additive.

Proof. Assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in$ $X$. Putting $x_{3}=\cdots=x_{2 d}=0$ in (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)=2 f\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. Putting $x_{2}=x_{1}$ in (2.1), we get

$$
f\left(2 x_{1}\right)=2 f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1} \in X$. Putting $x_{1}-x_{2}=x$ and $2 x_{2}=y$ in (2.1), we get

$$
f(x+y)=f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+f\left(2 x_{2}\right)=f(x)+f(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Thus, $f$ is Cauchy additive.
The converse is obviously true.

## 3. Cauchy-Rassias stability of the generalized Jensen type mapping in Banach modules over a $C^{*}$-algebra

Throughout this section, assume that $A$ is a unital $C^{*}$-algebra with norm $|\cdot|$ and unitary group $U(A)$, and that $X$ and $Y$ are left Banach modules over $A$ with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively.

Given a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{u} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right) & :=f\left(u x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} u x_{j}\right) \\
& -f\left(u x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} u x_{j}\right)-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} u f\left(x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ for which there is a function $\varphi: X^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \varphi\left(2^{j} x_{1}, \cdots, 2^{j} x_{2 d}\right)<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{u} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$. Then, there exists a unique A-linear generalized Jensen type mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $u=1 \in U(A)$. Putting $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{2 d}=x$ in (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 x)-2 f(x)\| \leq \varphi(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So,

$$
\left\|f(x)-\frac{1}{2} f(2 x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }})
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \varphi(\underbrace{2^{n} x, \cdots, 2^{n} x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers $n$. By (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{m}} f\left(2^{m} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi(\underbrace{2^{k} x, \cdots, 2^{k} x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m<n$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is Cauchy, for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, then the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So, we can define a mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
L(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{1} L\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)\right\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\|D_{1} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, \cdots, 2^{n} x_{2 d}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(2^{n} x_{1}, \cdots, 2^{n} x_{2 d}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$. By Lemma $2.1, L$ is Cauchy additive. Putting $m=0$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we get (3.3).

Now, let $L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$ be another generalized Jensen type mapping satisfying (3.3). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L(x)-L^{\prime}(x)\right\| & =\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\|L\left(2^{n} x\right)-L^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\left\|L\left(2^{n} x\right)-f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|+\left\|L^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)-f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{2^{n+1}} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{2^{n} x, \cdots, 2^{n} x}_{2 d \text { times }})
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X$. So, we can conclude that $L(x)=L^{\prime}(x)$, for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of $L$.

By the assumption, for each $u \in U(A)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|D_{u} L(x, x, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }})\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}}\|D_{u} f(2^{n} x, 2^{n} x, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }})\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n} x, 2^{n} x, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So,

$$
L(2 u x)=2 u L(x)
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x \in X$. Since $L$ is additive, then

$$
L(u x)=u L(x)
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x \in X$.
Now, by the same reasoning as in the proofs of [15] and [16],

$$
L(a x+b y)=L(a x)+L(b y)=a L(x)+b L(y)
$$

for all $a, b \in A \quad(a, b \neq 0)$ and all $x, y \in X$. And $L(0 x)=0=0 L(x)$ for all $x \in X$. So, the unique generalized Jensen type mapping $L: A \rightarrow B$ is an $A$-linear mapping, as desired.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\theta$ and $p<1$ be positive real numbers. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ such that

$$
\left\|D_{u} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)\right\| \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$. Then, there exists a unique A-linear generalized Jensen type mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{2 d}{2-2^{p}} \theta\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Define $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)=\theta \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}$, and apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain the desired result.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ for which there is a function $\varphi: X^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right) & :=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{j}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{2 d}}{2^{j}}\right)<\infty \\
\left\|D_{u} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)\right\| & \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$. Then, there exists a unique A-linear generalized Jensen type mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }})
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (3.4), we have

$$
\left\|f(x)-2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi(\underbrace{\frac{x}{2}, \cdots, \frac{x}{2}}_{2 d \text { times }}),
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Isomorphisms between unital $C^{*}$-algebras

Throughout this section, assume that $A$ is a unital $C^{*}$-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$, unit $e$ and unitary group $U(A)$, and that $B$ is a unital $C^{*}$ algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

We investigate $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphisms between unital $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 4.1. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$, for all $u \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and $n=$ $0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there is a function $\varphi: A^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}\right) & -h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right) \| & \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
\left\|h\left(2^{n} u^{*}\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right)^{*}\right\| & \leq \varphi(\underbrace{2^{n} u, \cdots, 2^{n} u}_{2 d \text { times }}), \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$, all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in A$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}| | \lambda \mid=1\}$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}} \quad \text { is invertible. } \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the bijective mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphism.

Proof. We consider a $C^{*}$-algebra as a Banach module over a unital $C^{*}$ algebra $\mathbb{C}$. So, by Theorem 3.1 , there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear generalized Jensen type mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in A$. The mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in A$.
By (3.1) and (4.2), we get

$$
H\left(u^{*}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u^{*}\right)}{2^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u\right)^{*}}{2^{n}}=\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u\right)}{2^{n}}\right)^{*}=H(u)^{*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and each $x \in A$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements (see [9]), i.e., $x=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in\right.$
$\left.\mathbb{C}, u_{j} \in U(A)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(x^{*}\right) & =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{\lambda_{j}} u_{j}^{*}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{\lambda_{j}} H\left(u_{j}^{*}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{\lambda_{j}} H\left(u_{j}\right)^{*} \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{*}=H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\right)^{*}=H(x)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in A$.
Since $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$ for all $u \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n=0,1,2, \cdots$, then
(4.6) $H(u y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)=H(u) h(y)$,
for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$. By the additivity of $H$ and (4.6),

$$
2^{n} H(u y)=H\left(2^{n} u y\right)=H\left(u\left(2^{n} y\right)\right)=H(u) h\left(2^{n} y\right)
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u y)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} H(u) h\left(2^{n} y\right)=H(u) \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} y\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$. Taking the limit in (4.7) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u y)=H(u) H(y) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and each $x \in A$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements, i.e., $x=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{C}, u_{j} \in U(A)\right)$, then it follows from (4.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x y) & =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j} y\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j} y\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j}\right) H(y) \\
& =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\right) H(y)=H(x) H(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.
By (4.6) and (4.8),

$$
H(e) H(y)=H(e y)=H(e) h(y)
$$

for all $y \in A$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=H(e)$ is invertible, then

$$
H(y)=h(y)
$$

for all $y \in A$.
Therefore, the bijective mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphism.

Corollary 4.2. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying $h(0)=$ 0 and $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$, for all $u \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n=0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}\right) & -h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right) \| & \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}, \\
\left\|h\left(2^{n} u^{*}\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right)^{*}\right\| & \leq 2 d 2^{n p} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$, all $u \in U(A), n=0,1,2, \cdots$, and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in A$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}$ is invertible. Then, the bijective mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Define $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)=\theta \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}$, and apply Theorem 4.1 to obtain the desired result.

Theorem 4.3. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$, for all $u \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and $n=$ $0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there is a function $\varphi: A^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1), (4.2), and (4.3) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}\right) & -h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right) \| & \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right), \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in A$ and $\mu=1, i$. If $h(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then the bijective mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Put $\mu=1$ in (4.9). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique generalized Jensen type mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (4.4). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [18], the mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

Put $\mu=i$ in (4.9). By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, one can obtain:

$$
H(i x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} i x\right)}{2^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i h\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}}=i H(x),
$$

for all $x \in A$.
For each element $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda=s+i t$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\lambda x) & =H(s x+i t x)=s H(x)+t H(i x)=s H(x)+i t H(x) \\
& =(s+i t) H(x)=\lambda H(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in A$. So,

$$
H(\zeta x+\eta y)=H(\zeta x)+H(\eta y)=\zeta H(x)+\eta H(y)
$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $x, y \in A$. Hence, the additive mapping $H: A \rightarrow$ $B$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.
Now, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphisms in unital $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 4.4. Let $h: \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1), (4.1) and (4.2) such that
(4.10) \|h(2nu $\left.2^{n} v\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right) h\left(2^{n} v\right) \| \leq \varphi(2^{n} u, 2^{n} v, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }})$,
for all $u, v \in U(A)$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then, there exists a unique $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (4.4).

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear involutive generalized Jensen type mapping $H$ : $A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (4.4).

By (4.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\left\|h\left(2^{n} u \cdot 2^{n} v\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right) h\left(2^{n} v\right)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \varphi(2^{n} u, 2^{n} v, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n} u, 2^{n} v, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d-2 \text { times }})
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero by (3.1) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(u v) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u \cdot 2^{n} v\right)}{2^{2 n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u\right) h\left(2^{n} v\right)}{2^{2 n}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} u\right)}{2^{n}} \frac{h\left(2^{n} v\right)}{2^{n}}=H(u) H(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and each $x \in A$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements, i.e., $x=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, u_{j} \in\right.$ $U(A)$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x v) & =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j} v\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j} v\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j}\right) H(v) \\
& =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\right) H(v)=H(x) H(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in A$ and all $v \in U(A)$. By the same method as given above, one can obtain:

$$
H(x y)=H(x) H(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. So, the mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism.

Theorem 4.5. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1), (4.2), (4.9) and (4.10). If $h(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for each fixed $x \in$ $A$, then there exists a unique $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (4.4).

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of theorems 4.3 and 4.4.

## 5. Homomorphisms between Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras

A Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra with a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ : $A \times A \rightarrow A$, called a Poisson bracket, such that $(A,\{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a complex Lie algebra and

$$
\{a b, c\}=a\{b, c\}+\{a, c\} b
$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. Poisson algebras have played important roles in many mathematical areas and have been studied to find sympletic leaves of the corresponding Poisson varieties. It is also important to find or construct a Poisson bracket in the theory of Poisson algebra (see [3], [11], [12], [13]).

Throughout this section, let $A$ be a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$, unit $e$ and unitary group $U(A)$, and $B$ a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Definition 5.1. A $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ is called a Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism if $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$
H(\{z, w\})=\{H(z), H(w)\}
$$

for all $z, w \in A$.

We investigate Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphisms between Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 5.2. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) h(y)$, for all $y \in A$, all $u \in U(A)$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d+2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w\right):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \varphi\left(2^{j} x_{1}, \cdots, 2^{j} x_{2 d}, 2^{j} z, 2^{j} w\right)<\infty,  \tag{5.1}\\
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}+\{z, w\}\right)-h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right)-\{h(z), h(w)\} \| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
\left\|h\left(2^{n} u^{*}\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right)^{*}\right\| \leq \varphi(\underbrace{2^{n} u, \cdots, 2^{n} u}_{2 d \text { times }}, 0,0) \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $u \in U(A)$, all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w \in A$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}$ is invertible. Then, the mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [15].
Now, we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphisms in unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 5.3. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d+2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) such that

$$
\left\|h\left(2^{n} u \cdot 2^{n} v\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right) h\left(2^{n} v\right)\right\| \leq \varphi(2^{n} u, 2^{n} v, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d \text { times }})
$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then, there exists a unique Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying

$$
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}, 0,0)
$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of theorems 4.4 and 5.2.

## 6. Cauchy-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in Poisson Banach modules over a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra

A Poisson Banach module $X$ over a Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is a left Banach $A$-module endowed with a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: A \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\{a, b\}, x\} & =\{a,\{b, x\}\}-\{b,\{a, x\}\} \\
\{a, b\} \cdot x & =a \cdot\{b, x\}-\{b, a \cdot x\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $x \in X$ (see [3], [11], [12]). Here, "." denotes the associative module action.

Throughout this section, assume that $A$ is a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra with unitary group $U(A)$, and that $X$ and $Y$ are left Poisson Banach $A$-modules with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively.

Definition 6.1. A $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a Poisson module homomorphism if $H: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\{\{a, b\}, x\}) & =\{\{a, b\}, H(x)\}, \\
H(\{a, b\} \cdot x) & =\{a, b\} \cdot H(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $x \in X$.
We prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in Poisson Banach modules over a unital Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra.

Theorem 6.2. Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: X^{2 d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}\right)-h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
& \quad-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right) \| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(6.2) $\|h(\{\{u, v\}, x\})-\{\{u, v\}, h(x)\}\| \leq \varphi(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(\{u, v\} \cdot x)-\{u, v\} \cdot h(x)\| \leq \varphi(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$, all $x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d} \in X$ and all $u, v \in U(A)$. Then, there exists a unique Poisson module homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (6.4). The $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: X \rightarrow Y$ is given by

$$
H(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (6.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \frac{1}{2^{n}}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& h\left(2^{n}\{\{u, v\}, x\}\right)-\left\{\{u, v\}, \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\} \| \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\|h\left(\left\{\{u, v\}, 2^{n} x\right\}\right)-\left\{\{u, v\}, h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}\right\| \\
& \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(\underbrace{2^{n} x, \cdots, 2^{n} x}_{2 d \text { times }})
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero for all $x \in X$ by (3.1). So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\{\{u, v\}, x\}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n}\{\{u, v\}, x\}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\{u, v\}, \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\} \\
& =\{\{u, v\}, H(x)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $u, v \in U(A)$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear and since each $a \in A$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements, i.e., $a=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right.$, $\left.u_{j} \in U(A)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(\{\{a, v\}, x\})=H\left(\left\{\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}, v\right\}, x\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(\left\{\left\{u_{j}, v\right\}, x\right\}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}\left\{\left\{u_{j}, v\right\}, H(x)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}, v\right\}, H(x)\right\}=\{\{a, v\}, H(x)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $v \in U(A)$. Similarly, one can show that

$$
H(\{\{a, b\}, x\})=\{\{a, b\}, H(x)\}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $a, b \in A$.
By (6.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n}\{u, v\} \cdot x\right)-\{u, v\} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\|h\left(\{u, v\} \cdot 2^{n} x\right)-\{u, v\} \cdot h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(\underbrace{2^{n} x, \cdots, 2^{n} x}_{2 d \text { times }})
\end{aligned}
$$

which by (3.1) tends to zero for all $x \in X$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\{u, v\} \cdot x) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n}\{u, v\} \cdot x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\{u, v\} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right) \\
& =\{u, v\} \cdot H(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $u, v \in U(A)$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear and since each $a \in A$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements, i.e., $a=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right.$, $\left.u_{j} \in U(A)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(\{a, v\} \cdot x)=H\left(\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}, v\right\} \cdot x\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(\left\{u_{j}, v\right\} \cdot x\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}\left\{u_{j}, v\right\} \cdot H(x)=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}, v\right\} \cdot H(x)=\{a, v\} \cdot H(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $v \in U(A)$. Similarly, one can show that

$$
H(\{a, b\} \cdot x)=\{a, b\} \cdot H(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $a, b \in A$. Thus, $H: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Poisson module homomorphism.

Therefore, there exists a unique Poisson module homomorphism $H$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (6.4).

## 7. Homomorphisms between Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras

The original motivation to introduce the class of nonassociative algebras known as Jordan algebras came from quantum mechanics (see [27]). Let $L(H)$ be the real vector space of all bounded self-adjoint linear operators on $H$, interpreted as the observables of the system. In 1932, Jordan observed that $L(H)$ is a (nonassociative) algebra via the anticommutator product $x \circ y:=\frac{x y+y x}{2}$. A commutative algebra $X$ with product $x \circ y$ is called a Jordan algebra. A unital Jordan $C^{*}$-subalgebra of a $C^{*}$-algebra, endowed with the anticommutator product, is called a $J C^{*}$-algebra. A Poisson $C^{*}$-algebra, endowed with the anticommutator product, is called a Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra.

Throughout this section, assume that $A$ is a unital Poisson $J C^{*}-$ algebra with unit $e$, norm $\|\cdot\|$ and unitary group $U(A)$, and that $B$ is a unital Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra with unit $e^{\prime}$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Definition 7.1. $A \mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is called a Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism if $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x \circ y) & =H(x) \circ H(y) \\
H(\{x, y\}) & =\{H(x), H(y)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

We investigate Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphisms between Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 7.2. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$, for all $y \in A$, all $u \in U(A)$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d+2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (5.1) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}+\{z, w\}\right)-h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right)-\{h(z), h(w)\} \| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w\right), \tag{7.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w \in A$, and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$. Assume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=e^{\prime} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of theorems 4.1 and 5.2.
Corollary 7.3. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$, for all $u \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n=$ $0,1,2, \cdots$, for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}+\{z, w\}\right)-h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right)-\{h(z), h(w)\} \| \leq \theta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}+\|w\|^{p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}, n=0,1, \cdots$, and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w \in A$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=e^{\prime}$. Then, the mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Define $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w\right)=\theta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}+\|w\|^{p}\right)$, and apply Theorem 7.2 to obtain the desired result.

Theorem 7.4. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(2 x)=2 h(x)$, for all $x \in A$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d+2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (5.1), (7.1) and (7.2) such that

$$
\left\|h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)-h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)\right\| \leq \varphi(u, y, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{2 d \text { times }})
$$

for all $y \in A$, all $u \in U(A)$ and $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then, the mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of theorems 4.1 and 5.2.
Now we prove the Cauchy-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 7.5. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: A^{2 d+4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w, a, b\right):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \varphi\left(2^{j} x_{1}, \cdots, 2^{j} x_{2 d}, 2^{j} z, 2^{j} w, 2^{j} a, 2^{j} b\right) \\
&<\infty \\
& \| h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu x_{j}+\{z, w\}+a \circ b\right)-h\left(\mu x_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j-1} \mu x_{j}\right) \\
&-2 \sum_{j=2}^{2 d}(-1)^{j} \mu h\left(x_{j}\right)-\{h(z), h(w)\}-h(a) \circ h(b) \|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w, a, b\right)
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 d}, z, w, a, b \in A$. Then, there exists $a$ unique Poisson $J C^{*}$-algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{2 d \text { times }}, 0,0,0,0) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of theorem 4.1, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (7.3).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 5.2.
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