ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)

ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the

Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 40 (2014), No. 6, pp. 1515–1526

Title:

A note on the remainders of rectifiable spaces

Author(s):

J. Zhang, W. He and L. Xie

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society
http://bims.ims.ir

Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.
Vol. 40 (2014), No. 6, pp. 1515–1526
Online ISSN: 1735-8515

A NOTE ON THE REMAINDERS OF RECTIFIABLE SPACES

J. ZHANG*, W. HE AND L. XIE

(Communicated by Fariborz Azarpanah)

Abstract. In this paper, we mainly investigate how the generalized metrizability properties of the remainders affect the metrizability of rectifiable spaces, and how the character of the remainders affects the character and the size of a rectifiable space. Some results in [A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and J. Van Mill, On topological groups with a first-countable remainder, *Topology Proc.* 42 (2013) 157–163.] and [F. C. Lin, C. Liu, S. Lin, A note on rectifiable spaces, *Topology Appl.* 159 (2012), no. 8, 2090–2101.] are improved, respectively.

Keywords: Rectifiable space, symmetrizable space, character.
MSC(2010): Primary: 54A25; Secondary: 54B05, 54E35.

1. Introduction

By a space we mean a Tychonoff topological space. A remainder of a space X is the subspace $bX \setminus X$ of bX, where bX is a Hausdorff compactification of X. The closure of a subset A in the space X is denoted by $\overline{A}^X$, and $\overline{A}$ stands for the closure of A in bX. In this paper, τ is an infinite cardinal.

Remainders of a space X have many interesting properties and have been studied extensively in literature. A famous classical result in this study is the following theorem of Henriksen and Isbell [12]:

Article electronically published on December 11, 2014.
Received: 9 April 2013, Accepted: 12 November 2013.
*Corresponding author.

Theorem 1.1. [12, Theorem 3.6] *A space X is of countable type if and only if the remiander in any (in some) compactification of X is Lindelöf.*

Recall that a space X is of *countable type* [10] if every compact subspace P of X is contained in a compact subspace $F \subseteq X$ that has a countable base of open neighborhoods in X.

A *rectification* on a space X is a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \times X \to X \times X$ with the following two properties:

(R1) $\varphi(\{x\} \times X) = \{x\} \times X$ for each $x \in X$;

(R2) there exists an element $e \in X$ such that $\varphi(x, x) = (x, e)$ for every $x \in X$.

The point $e \in X$ is called the *neutral element* of the space X. A space with a rectification is called a *rectifiable space*. Every rectifiable space is homogeneous (see [8, 9]).

The following result is due to Čhoban.

Theorem 1.2. [9] *A topological space G is a rectifiable space if and only if there exist $e \in G$ and two continuous maps $p : G \times G \to G$, $q : G \times G \to G$ such that for any $x \in G,\ y \in G$ the next identities hold:*

$$p(x, q(x, y)) = q(x, p(x, y)) = y \text{ and } q(x, x) = e.$$

In recent years, there have been many interesting and new results on rectifiable spaces and their remainders. In 2010, Arhangel'skiĭ and Čhoban [3] showed that for any Hausdorff compactification bG of an arbitrary rectifiable space G, the remainder $bG \setminus G$ is either pseudocompact or Lindelöf. They also proved that the remainder Y of a paracompact rectifiable space G has a G_δ-diagonal if and only if Y, G, and bG are separable metrizable spaces [3]. In 2012, Fucai Lin, Chuan Liu and Shou Lin [14] investigated how the generalized metrizability properties of the remainder affect the metrizability of a rectifiable space. Some other results on a rectifiable space and its remainder can be found in [13, 15].

A space X is said to have the property (L) if X satisfies one of the following conditions:

(L_1) if the cardinality of X is Ulam non-measurable, then X is weakly HN-complete[1];

(L_2) every Lindelöf p-subspace[2] of X is metrizable;

[1] A space X is *weakly HN-complete* if the remainder Z of X in the Čech-Stone compactification βX of X is a space of point-countable type.

[2] A space X is a Lindelöf p-space if and only if it is the inverse image of a separable metric space by a perfect map.

(L_3) every countably compact subset of X is metrizable;
(L_4) every compact subset of X is a G_δ-set in X.

Since every countably compact metrizable space is compact, the conditions (L_3) and (L_4) in (L) can be replaced by the following condition (L_5): every countably compact subset of X is a metrizable G_δ-set.

Remark 1.3. *A paracompact space has the property* (L_1)*, since a paracompact space with Ulam non-measurable cardinality is HN-complete* [10]*, and hence it is weakly HN-complete.*

It was proved by Arhangel'skiĭ and Van Mill [6] that for every non-locally compact topological group G with a first-countable remainder, the character of G does not exceed ω_1 and the cardinality of G does not exceed 2^{ω_1}. Moreover, A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ and Van Mill [6] showed that there exists a non-metrizable non-locally compact topological group G with a first-countable remainder. This fact shows that first-countability of some remainder of a topological group does not imply the metrizability of the group itself.

In section 2, we investigate how the generalized metrizability properties of the remainders affect the metrizability of rectifiable spaces. The following results are obtained:

(1) Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space with property (L_1). If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a property (L_5), then G is separable metrizable and Y is a first-countable, Lindelöf p-space. This result generalizes some known results on rectifiable spaces and their remainders.

(2) Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space. Then bG is separable metrizable if the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ of G has a locally point-countable p-meta-base with $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \omega$.

(3) Let G be a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space and $Y = bG \setminus G$ be locally symmetrizable. Then bG is separable and metrizable if each singleton of Y is a G_δ-set in Y.

In section 3, we study how the character of the remainders affect the character and the size of a rectifiable space. We generalize a result of A.V. Arhangel'skii and J. Van Mill's in [6]. We mainly show that: (1) If G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space with a remainder Y such that $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$, then $\chi(G) \leq \tau^+$; (2) If G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space with a reminder Y satisfying $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$, then $|G| \leq 2^{\tau^+}$.

2. **On some generalized metrizability properties**

In this section, we investigate how the generalized metrizability properties of the remainders affect the metrizability of rectifiable spaces. The following theorem was proved in [1].

Lemma 2.1. [1, Theorem 2.1] *If X is a Lindelöf p-space, then every remainder of X is a Lindelöf p-space.*

Remark 2.2. *If G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space, then G is nowhere locally compact since G is homogeneous. Hence $Y = bG \setminus G$ is dense in bG, i.e., bG is also a compactification of Y. By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, the following statements hold:*

(1) *Y is of countable type $\Leftrightarrow$ G is Lindelöf;*

(2) *Y is a Lindelöf p-space $\Leftrightarrow$ G is a Lindelöf p-space.*

Recall that a space X has *locally a property* Φ if for each point $x \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood $U(x)$ of x such that $U(x)$ has property Φ. Firstly, we give a lemma, which plays an important role in the proofs of our main results and is interesting itself as well.

Lemma 2.3. [3, Lemma 2.3] *Suppose that $B = X \cup Y$, where B is a compact space, and X, Y are dense nowhere locally compact subspaces of B. Suppose that Y is of subcountable type* [3]*. Then each locally finite (in X) family of non-empty open subsets of X is countable.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let Y be a remainder of a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space G. Then Y is of countable type if and only if Y is of subcountable type.*

Proof. Necessity. It is trivial.

Sufficiency. Suppose that Y is a remainder of a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space G and that Y is of subcountable type. We know that Y is either pseudocompact or Lindelöf.

Case 1. Y is pseudocompact.

Take an arbitrary compact subset F of Y. Since Y is of subcountable type, F is contained in a compact G_δ-set L of Y. By the pseudocompactness of Y it follows that the compact G_δ-set L has a countable base of open neighborhoods in Y, and hence Y is of countable type.

Case 2. Y is Lindelöf.

---

[3]A space X is of subcountable type [3] if every compact subset of X is contained in a compact G_δ-set of X.

Since Y is of subcountable type, by Lemma 2.3 it follows that each locally finite (in G) family of non-empty open subsets of G is countable. Thus G is Lindelöf by the paracompactness of G. Therefore, Y is of countable type by Remark 2.2. □

Recall that a π-network (π-base) of a space X at a point $x \in X$ is a family ξ of non-empty subsets (open subsets, respectively) of X such that every open neighborhood of x contains a member of ξ. The π-*character* of x in X is defined by $\pi\chi(x,X) = \omega + \min\{|\xi| : \xi \text{ is a local } \pi- \text{base at } x \textit{ in } X\}$. The π-*character* of X is defined by $\pi\chi(X) = \sup \{\pi\chi(x,X) : x \in X\}$.

Before giving one of our main results we recall another result which was proved in [14].

Lemma 2.5. [14, Lemma 7.1] *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space. Then G is metrizable and locally separable, if the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a property (L_3) and π-character of Y is countable.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space. If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ with $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \omega$ has locally a property (L_5), then G is separable metrizable and Y is a first-countable, Lindelöf p-space.*

Proof. Claim. Every compact subset F of Y is a metrizable G_δ-set in Y.

Suppose that $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a property (L_5). Consider the open cover $\mathscr{U} = \{U(y) : y \in F\}$, where $U(y)$ is an open neighborhood of y in Y such that every countably compact subset of $U(y)$ is a metrizable G_δ-set of $U(y)$ for each point $y \in F$. There exists a finite subfamily $\mathscr{U}'$ of $\mathscr{U}$ such that $\mathscr{U}'$ covers F because F is compact. For each $U \in \mathscr{U}'$ and each $z_U \in U \cap F$, take an open neighborhood $V(z_U)$ of z_U in Y such that $\overline{V(z_U)}^Y \subset U$. Clearly, $\overline{V(z_U)}^Y \cap F$ is countably compact in U, so $\overline{V(z_U)}^Y \cap F$ is a metrizable G_δ-set of U. Since U is open in Y, $\overline{V(z_U)}^Y \cap F$ is a G_δ-set of Y. Put $\mathscr{V} = \bigcup\{\mathscr{V}_U : U \in \mathscr{U}'\}$, where $\mathscr{V}_U = \{V(z_U) : z_U \in U \cap F\}$. Then $\mathscr{V}$ is an open cover of F. There is a finite subfamily $\mathscr{V}'$ of $\mathscr{V}$ such that $\mathscr{V}'$ covers F. Clearly $F = \bigcup\{F \cap \overline{V}^Y : V \in \mathscr{V}'\}$. Since each $F \cap \overline{V}^Y$ is a metrizable G_δ-set of Y, it is easy to show that F is a metrizable G_δ-set of Y.

By Lemma 2.5 it follows that G is metrizable. Thus, according to Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 one can easily obtain that G is separable metrizable and Y is a Lindelöf p-space, since the claim above implies that Y is of subcountable type. Then Y is first-countable since Y is a p-space with a countable pseudocharacter. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space with property (L_1). If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a property (L_5), then G is separable metrizable and Y is a first-countable, Lindelöf p-space.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2.6 it is enough to show that Y has countable π-character. We have seen above that Y is either pseudocompact or Lindelöf. Thus it is enough to consider the following two cases.

Case 1. The space Y is pseudocompact.

Since Y has locally a property (L_5), each singleton of Y is a G_δ-set. Thus Y is first-countable by the pseudocompactness of Y.

Case 2. The space Y is Lindelöf.

Since Y is a space of countable pseudocharacter, it follows that the cardinality of Y is Ulam non-measurable [5]. Since G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space, the cardinality of G is also Ulam non-measurable [5]. Then G is weakly HN-complete by Remark 1.3. By [2, Theorem 4], each G_δ-point of Y is a point of bisequentiality of Y, it follows that $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \omega$. □

According to Remark 1.3 and Theorem 2.7 one can easily obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space. If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a property (L_5), then G is separable metrizable and Y is a first-countable, Lindelöf p-space.*

Corollary 2.9. [14, Proposition 7.2] *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space with property (L_1). If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ has locally a properties (L_2) and (L_5) , then bG is separable metrizable.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.7 it follows that G is separable metrizable, and Y is a first-countable, Lindelöf p-space. Thus Y is locally metrizable, since Y has locally a property (L_2) and the property Lindelöf p-space is hereditary with respect to closed subspaces. Then Y is separable metrizable by [10, 5.4.A], since Y is Lindelöf. Therefore, both Y and G have countable networks, which implies that bG has a countable network as well. By the compactness of bG, one can easily obtain that bG is separable metrizable. □

Corollary 2.10. [14, Proposition 7.5] *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space. If the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ with $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \omega$ has locally a property (L_2) and (L_5), then bG is separable metrizable.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 it follows that G is metrizable. Thus G has property (L_1) by Remark 1.3. It follows that bG is separable metrizable from Corollary 2.9. □

We refer the reader to [16] for the definition of p-meta-base. The following result improves Corollary 7.6 in [14].

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space. Then bG is separable metrizable if the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ of G has locally a point-countable p-meta-base with $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \omega$.*

Proof. The property point-countable p-meta-base is hereditary with respect to subspaces. Thus, by [16, Theorem 3.1.8] and [7, Proposition 2.1], a space with a point-countable p-meta-base satisfies the properties (L_2) and (L_5). It follows that bG is separable metrizable from Corollary 2.10. □

Let X be a set and all non-negative real numbers be denoted by $\mathbb{R}^+$. A function $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is *symmetric* on the set X if, for each $x, y \in X$, (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$; (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$. A space X is said to be *symmetrizable* if there is a symmetric d on X satisfying the following condition: $U \subseteq X$ is open if and only if for each $x \in U$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \subset U$.

Lemma 2.12. [11, Lemma 9.12] *Every ω_1-compact* [4] *symmetric space is hereditary Lindelöf.*

Lemma 2.13. [4, Corollary 2.8] *Let G be a rectifiable space. Then G is of countable type if and only if there exists a non-empty compact subset F of G such that F has a countable base of open neighborhoods in G.*

The following result was proved in [17]. For completeness we give its proof.

Lemma 2.14. *Every countably compact subset of a symmetric space is compact and metrizable.*

[4] A space X is ω_1-*compact* if every closed discrete subset of X has cardinality less than ω_1.

Proof. Let A be a countably compact subset of a symmetric space X. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in A which converges to a point x in X, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an accumulation point a in A by the countable compactness of A. Thus a is also an accumulation point of $\{x_n\}$ in X, hence $x = a \in A$, i.e., A is a sequentially closed subset in X. Since X is symmetrizable, X is a sequential space [11, p.481], i.e., every sequentially closed subset is closed in X. Therefore, A is closed in X, which implies that A is symmetrizable, thus A is compact and metrizable by [11, Theorem 9.13]. $\square$

Theorem 2.15. *Let G be a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space, and $Y = bG \setminus G$ be locally symmetrizable. Then bG is separable and metrizable if each singleton of Y is a G_δ-set in Y.*

Proof. Case 1. G is of countable type.

By Theorem 1.1, Y is Lindelöf, thus Y is ω_1-compact. Then Y is locally a hereditarily Lindelöf space by Lemma 2.12. Thus, from Lemma 2.14 it follows that Y has locally a property (L_5), since Y is locally symmetrizable. Therefore, G is separable metrizable and Y is a Lindelöf p-space by Theorem 2.7. Since every symmetrizable, Lindelöf p-space is metrizable [11, Theorem 9.13], Y is locally metrizable. Then Y is separable metrizable by [10, 5.4.A], since Y is Lindelöf. Therefore, both Y and G have a countable network, which implies that bG has a countable network as well. By the compactness of bG, one can easily obtain that bG is separable metrizable.

Case 2. Each singleton of Y is a G_δ-set in bG.

Y is first-countable by the conditions G_δ-subset and the compactness of bG. Then G is metrizable and locally separable by Lemma 2.5, which implies that G is of countable type. Then bG is separable and metrizable by Case 1.

Case 3. There exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\{y\}$ is not a G_δ-set in bG.

There exists a G_δ-set P in bG such that $\{y\} = P \cap Y$ and $P \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Take a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of open subsets in bG with $P = \bigcap_{n\in\omega} U_n$. Fix a point $g \in P \setminus \{y\}$. There is an open subset V_n in bG such that $y \notin \overline{V_n}$, and $g \in V_{n+1} \subset \overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq V_n \cap U_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Put $F = \bigcap_{n\in\omega} V_n$. Clearly, F is a non-empty closed G_δ-set in bG with $F \subseteq G$. One can easily obtain that F has a countable base of open neighborhoods in bG by the compactness of bG. Therefore F has a countable base of open neighborhoods in G as well. It is obvious that F is a compact subset of

G. Then G is of countable type by Lemma 2.13. Thus bG is separable and metrizable by Case 1. □

Corollary 2.16. *Let G be a paracompact and non-locally compact rectifiable space, and $Y = bG \setminus G$ be locally symmetrizable. Then bG is separable and metrizable if Y satisfies one of the following conditions.*

(1) *Y is locally perfect;*
(2) *Y is locally Lindelöf;*
(3) *Y is locally ω_1-compact.*

Corollary 2.17. *Let G be a non-locally compact rectifiable space, and $Y = bG \setminus G$ be locally symmetrizable. Then bG is separable and metrizable if π-character of Y is countable.*

Proof. G is metrizable and locally separable by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.14, then Y is Lindelöf by Theorem 1.1. Thus the statement follows from Corollary 2.16. □

3. On the character of rectifiable spaces

In this section, we study how the character of the remainders affect the character and the size of a rectifiable space. The following proposition is similar to the result [6, Proposition 2.2].

Recall that the tightness of a space X is the minimal cardinal $\tau \geq \omega$ with the property that for every point $x \in X$ and every set $P \subset X$ with $x \in \overline{P}$, there is a subset Q of P such that $|Q| \leq \tau$ and $x \in \overline{Q}$. The tightness of X is denoted by $t(X)$.

The definition of *complete accumulation point* can be found in [10].

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that Y is a space of τ-tightness satisfying the following condition: (c) for any subset A of Y with $|A| \leq \tau^+$, $\overline{A}^Y$ is compact. Then Y is compact.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. We can regard Y as a non-closed subspace of some Hausdorff compactification X of the space Y. Pick $x \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$.

Claim 1: For any G_τ-subset $P = \{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\tau}$ of X with $x \in P$, we can conclude that $P \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Since X is a Tychonoff space, there exists an open set V_α containing x such that $x \in V_\alpha \subset \overline{V_\alpha} \subset P_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \tau$. Next we shall prove that $(\bigcap_{\alpha\in\tau} \overline{V_\alpha}) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Put $\mathscr{F} = \{\overline{V_\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\tau}$ and $\mathscr{F}' = \{\bigcap \mathscr{F}'' : \mathscr{F}'' \subset \mathscr{F}$ and $|\mathscr{F}''| < \omega\} = \{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\tau}$. Since $x \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$, there is $x_\alpha \in K_\alpha \cap Y$

for each $\alpha \in \tau$. Put $A = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\tau} \subset Y$. Then $|A| \le \tau$. Thus $\overline{A}^Y$ is compact and $\{\overline{V_\alpha} \cap \overline{A}^Y\}_{\alpha\in\tau}$ is a family of non-empty closed subsets of $\overline{A}^Y$ which has finite intersection property. Therefore, $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{\alpha\in\tau}(\overline{V_\alpha} \cap \overline{A}^Y) \subset (\bigcap_{\alpha\in\tau} \overline{V_\alpha}) \cap Y \subset P \cap Y$, which completes the proof of the Claim 1.

By Claim 1, we define a point $y_\alpha \in Y$ and a closed G_τ- subset P_α of X containing x for each $\alpha < \tau^+$. Let y_0 be any element of Y and $P_0 = X$. Assume that $\alpha \in \tau^+$, and that the points $y_\beta \in Y$ and the closed G_τ-subsets P_β have been defined for each $\beta < \alpha$. Let $F_\alpha = \overline{\{y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}}$. Thus, $F_\alpha \subset Y$ and $x \notin F_\alpha$. Since F_α is closed in X, there exists a closed G_δ-subset V_α of x in X such that $x \in V_\alpha$ and $V_\alpha \cap F_\alpha = \emptyset$. Let $P_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} P_\beta$. It is obvious that P_α is a closed G_τ-subset of X and $x \in P_\alpha$. We can conclude that $P_\alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ by Claim 1. Pick a point $y_\alpha \in P_\alpha \cap Y$. Then the sequences $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$ and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$ are constructed. It is clear that the following statements hold for any $\alpha \in \tau^+$.

Claim 2: $F_\alpha \cap P_\alpha = \emptyset$.

Claim 3: $\overline{\{y_\beta : \alpha \le \beta < \tau^+\}} \subset P_\alpha$.

Claim 4: $F_\alpha \cap \overline{\{y_\beta : \alpha \le \beta < \tau^+\}} = \emptyset$.

Let $\eta = \{y_\alpha : \alpha \in \tau^+\}$. It is obvious that $\eta \subset Y$ and $|\eta| \le \tau^+$. Some point z of Y is a complete accumulation point for η. Since $t(Y) \le \tau$, it follows from Claim 4 that no point of Y is a complete accumulation point for η. □

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that X is a nowhere locally compact space with a remainder Y such that $t(Y) \le \tau$ and $\pi\chi(Y) \le \tau^+$. Then the π-character of the space X does not exceed τ^+ at some point of X.*

Proof. Assume that bX is a compactification of the space X such that $Y = bX \setminus X$. Since X is nowhere locally compact, Y is not closed in bX, that is, Y is not compact. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that Y does not satisfy the condition (c). Therefore, there exists a subset A of Y such that $|A| \le \tau^+$ and $\overline{A}^Y$ is not compact. Then there is $x \in \overline{A} \setminus Y$. Clearly, $\overline{Y} = bX$ by the fact that X is nowhere locally compact. Since $\pi\chi(Y) \le \tau$, $\pi\chi(y, bX) \le \tau$ for each $y \in Y$. Thus, we can fix a local π-base ξ_y of bX at y for every $y \in Y$ such that $|\xi_y| \le \tau$. Let $\gamma = \bigcup_{y\in A} \xi_y$ and $\mathscr{P} = \{W \cap X : W \in \gamma\}$. Since X is dense in bX and $x \in \overline{A}$, the family $\mathscr{P}$ is a π-base of X at x. Clearly, $|\mathscr{P}| \le \tau^+$. □

The following theorems generalize A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ and J. Van Mill's results [6, Theorem 2.1 and Theoem 2.4].

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space with a remainder Y such that $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \tau^+$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that there exists a π-base $\mathscr{P}$ of G at the neutral element e of G such that $|\mathscr{P}| \leq \tau^+$. Therefore, the family $\mathscr{B} = \{q(P, P) : P \in \mathscr{P}\}$ is a base of G at e such that $|\mathscr{B}| \leq \tau^+$. □

Theorem 3.4. *If G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space with a remainder Y satisfying $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$, then $|G| \leq 2^{\tau^+}$.*

Proof. Let bG be a compactification of the space G such that the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ satisfies $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \tau^+$ by Theorem 3.3. Since $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$ and $\overline{Y} = \overline{G} = bG$, $\chi(bG) \leq \tau^+$, it follows from compactness of bG that $|bG| \leq 2^{\tau^+}$. Therefore, $|G| \leq 2^{\tau^+}$. □

Since it is consistent with ZFC that $2^\tau = 2^{\tau^+}$, it follows that the next statement holds.

Corollary 3.5. *It is consistent with ZFC that if G is any non-locally compact rectifiable space with a remainder Y satisfying $\chi(Y) \leq \tau$, then $|G| \leq 2^{\tau^+}$.*

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that G is a non-locally compact rectifiable space with a remainder Y such that the tightness of Y is τ and $\pi\chi(Y) \leq \tau^+$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \tau^+$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{Y} = bG$, $\pi\chi(y, Y) = \pi\chi(y, bG)$ for each $y \in Y$. Therefore, $\chi(G) \leq \tau^+$ by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3. □

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the reviewer for the detailed list of corrections, suggestions, and all her/his efforts in order to improve the paper. The first and the second authors are supported by the NSFC (No. 11171156) and the Project of Graduate Education Innovation of Jiangsu Province (No. CXZZ 12-0379), the third author is supported by the NSFC (No. 10971185, 11171162).

References

[1] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ, Remainders in compactifications and generalized metrizability properties, *Topology Appl.* **150** (2005), no. 1-3, 79–90.

[2] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, G_δ-points in remainders of topological groups and some addition theorems in compacta, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), no. 12, 2013–2018.
[3] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, A study of remainders of topological groups, *Fund. Math.* **203** (2009), no. 2, 165–178.
[4] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and M. M. Čhoban, Remainders of rectifiable spaces, *Topology Appl.* **157** (2010), no. 4, 789–799.
[5] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and V. Ponomarev, Fundamentals of General Topology in Problems and Exercises, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1984.
[6] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and J. Van Mill, On topological groups with a first-countable remainder, *Topology Proc.* **42** (2013) 157–163.
[7] H. Bennett, R. Byerly and D. Lutzer, Compact G_δ-sets, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), no. 12, 2169–2181.
[8] M. M. Čhoban, On topological homogeneous algebras, Interim Reports, 25–26, Prague Topol. Symp., Prague, 1987.
[9] M. M. Čhoban, The structure of locally compact algebras, *Serdica* **18** (1992), no. 3-4, 129–137.
[10] R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[11] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, 423–501, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
[12] M. Henriksen and J. R . Isbell, Some properties of compactifications, *Duke Math. J.* **25** (1957), 83–106.
[13] F. C. Lin, Topologically subordered rectifiable spaces and compactifications, *Topology Appl.* **159**(2012), no. 1, 360–370.
[14] F. C. Lin, C. Liu and S. Lin, A note on rectifiable spaces, *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), no. 8, 2090–2101.
[15] F. C. Lin and R. Shen, On rectifiable spaces and paratopological groups. , *Topology Appl.* **158** (2011), no. 4, 597–610.
[16] S. Lin, Generalized Metrizable Spaces and Mappings (in Chinese), 2nd ed., China Science Press, Beijing, 2007.
[17] L. H. Xie and S. Lin, Remainders of topological and paratoplogical groups, *Topology Appl.* **160** (2013), no. 4, 648–655.

(Jing Zhang) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnan Normal University,363000, Zhangzhou, P.R. China
E-mail address: `zhangjing86@126.com`

(Wei He) Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, 210046, Nanjing, China
E-mail address: `weihe@njnu.edu.cn`

(Lihong Xie) School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, P.R. China"
E-mail address: `yunli198282@126.com`