Title:

Classes of admissible functions associated with certain integral operators applied to meromorphic functions

Author(s):

T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf

CLASSES OF ADMISSIBLE FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN INTEGRAL OPERATORS APPLIED TO MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

T. M. SEOUDY* AND M. K. AOUF

(Communicated by Javad Mashreghi)

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, by making use of the differential subordination and superordination results of Miller and Mocanu, certain classes of admissible functions are determined so that subordination as well as superordination implications of functions associated with an integral operator hold. Additionally, differential sandwich-type result is obtained.
Keywords: Analytic function, subordination, superordination, starlike, sandwich-type result, integral operator.
MSC(2010): Primary 30C45. Secondary 30D30, 33D20.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ be the class of functions analytic in $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}[a,n]$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots,$$

with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}[1,1]$. Let f and g be members of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$. The function f is said to be subordinate to g, or g is said to be superordinate to f, if there exists a function ω analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. In such a case we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If g is univalent, then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ (see [9] and [10]).

Let $\sum_p$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \qquad f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}),$$

which are analytic and $p-$valent in $\mathbb{U}^* = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$.

For a function f in the class $\sum_p$ given by (1.1), Aqlan et al. [3] introduced the following one parameter families of integral operator

$$\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{z^{p+1}\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left(\log\frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\alpha-1} f(t)\, dt \quad (\alpha > 0; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

Using an elementary integral calculus, it is easy to verify that

$$(1.2) \qquad \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{k+p+1}\right)^\alpha a_k z^k \quad (\alpha \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Also, it is easily verified from (1.2) that

$$(1.3) \qquad z\left(\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)' = \mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z) - (1+p)\,\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z).$$

Denote by Q the set of all functions q that are analytic and injective on $\bar{\mathbb{U}}\backslash E(q)$ where

$$E(q) = \left\{\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z\to\zeta} q(z) = \infty\right\},$$

and are such that $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}\backslash E(q)$. Further let the subclass of Q for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $Q(a)$ and $Q(1) \equiv Q_1$.

In order to prove our results, we shall make use of the following classes of admissible functions.

Definition 1.1. *[9, Definition 2.3a, p. 27] Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $q \in Q$ and n be a positive integer. The class of admissible functions $\Psi_n[\Omega, q]$, consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:*

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$r = q(\zeta), s = k\zeta q'(\zeta), \Re\left\{\frac{t}{s} + 1\right\} \geq k\Re\left\{1 + \frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}\backslash E(q)$ and $k \geq n$. We write $\Psi_1[\Omega, q]$ as $\Psi[\Omega, q]$.

In particular, if

$$q(z) = M\frac{Mz + a}{M + \bar{a}z} \quad (M > 0, \ |a| < M),$$

then $q(\mathbb{U}) = \mathbb{U}_M = \{w : |w| < M\}$, $q(0) = a$, $E(q) = \varnothing$ and $q \in Q(a)$. In this case, we set $\Psi_n[\Omega, M, a] = \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$, and in the special case when the set $\Omega = \mathbb{U}_M$, the class is simply denoted by $\Psi_n[M, a]$.

Definition 1.2. *[10, Definition 3, p. 817] Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $q \in H[a, n]$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \bar{\mathbb{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:*

$$\psi(r, s, t; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$r = q(z), \ s = \frac{zq^{'}(z)}{m}, \ \Re\left\{\frac{t}{s} + 1\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left\{1 + \frac{zq^{''}(z)}{q^{'}(z)}\right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ *and* $m \geq n \geq 1$. *In particular, we write* $\Psi_{1}^{'}[\Omega, q]$ *as* $\Psi^{'}[\Omega, q]$.

In our investigation we need the following lemmas which are proved by Miller and Mocanu [9] and [10].

Lemma 1.3. *[9, Theorem 2.3b, p. 28] Let* $\psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ *with* $q(0) = a$. *If the analytic function* $g(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots$ *satisfies*

$$\psi(g(z), zg^{'}(z), z^2 g^{''}(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then $g \prec q$.

Lemma 1.4. *[10, Theorem 1, p. 818] Let* $\psi \in \Psi_{n}^{'}[\Omega, q]$ *with* $q(0) = a$. *If* $g \in Q(a)$ *and*

$$\psi(g(z), zg^{'}(z), z^2 g^{''}(z); z)$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, *then*

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\psi(g(z), zg^{'}(z), z^2 g^{''}(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U}\right\},$$

implies $q \prec g$.

Some interesting results of differential subordination and superordination were obtained recently (for example) Ali et al. [1, 2], Bulboaca [6, 7], Shan-mugam et al. [11] and others (see [4], [5] and [8]).

In this paper, by making use of the differential subordination and superordination results of Miller and Mocanu [9, Theorem 2.3b, p. 28] and [10, Theorem 1, p. 818], certain classes of admissible functions are determined so that subordination as well as superordination implications of functions associated with the linear operator $\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha}$ hold. Additionally, differential sandwich-type result is obtained.

2. **Subordination results involving** $\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha}$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\alpha > 2, p \in \mathbb{N}, \mu > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}$ and all powers are principal ones.

The following class of admissible functions is required in our first result.

Definition 2.1. *Let* Ω *be a set in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $q \in Q_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$. *The class of admissible functions* $\Phi_1[\Omega, q]$ *consists of those functions* $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ *that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u, v, w; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(\zeta), v = \frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta)}{\mu} + q(\zeta),$$

$$\Re\left\{\frac{w - (\mu + 1)v + u}{v - u}\right\} \geq k\Re\left\{1 + \frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}\backslash E(q)$ and $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, q]$. If $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies*

$$\left\{\phi\left((z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu, (z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, (z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right.\right.$$

$$(2.1) \qquad \left.\left. + (\mu - 1)(z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2; z\right) : z \in \mathbb{U}\right\} \subset \Omega,$$

then

$$(z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \prec q(z).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function g in $\mathbb{U}$ by

$$(2.2) \qquad\qquad g(z) = (z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu.$$

Differentiating (2.2) with respect to z and using (1.3), we have

$$(2.3) \qquad (z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} = g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{\mu}.$$

Further computations show that

$$(z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} + (\mu - 1)(z^p\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z))^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2$$

$$(2.4) \qquad\qquad = \mu g(z) + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu}\right)zg'(z) + \frac{1}{\mu}z^2 g''(z).$$

Define the transformations from $\mathbb{C}^3$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$(2.5) \qquad u(r, s, t) = r, v(r, s, t) = r + \frac{s}{\mu}, w(r, s, t) = \mu r + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu}\right)s + \frac{1}{\mu}t.$$

Let

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) = \phi(u, v, w; z)$$

$$(2.6) \qquad\qquad = \phi\left(r, r + \frac{s}{\mu}, \mu r + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu}\right)s + \frac{1}{\mu}t; z\right).$$

Using equations (2.2) - (2.4), and from (2.6), we obtain

$$\psi(g(z), zg^{'}(z), z^2 g^{''}(z); z)$$

$$= \phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right.$$

$$(2.7) \quad + (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right)^2 ; z \left. \right).$$

Hence (2.1) becomes

$$\psi(g(z), zg^{'}(z), z^2 g^{''}(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.1. Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{w - (\mu + 1) v + u}{v - u},$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$g \prec q \quad \text{or} \quad \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \prec q(z).$$

$\square$

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping h of $\mathbb{U}$ onto Ω. In this case the class $\Phi_1[h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_1[h, q]$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_1[h, q]$ *with* $q(0) = 1$. *If* $f \in \sum_p$ *satisfies*

$$\phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right.$$

$$(2.8) \quad + (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right)^2 ; z \left. \right) \prec h(z),$$

then

$$\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \prec q(z).$$

Our next result is an extension of Theorem 2.2 to the case where the behavior of $q(z)$ on $\partial \mathbb{U}$ is not known.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ *and let* q *be univalent in* $\mathbb{U}$, $q(0) = 1$. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, q_\rho]$ *for some* $\rho \in (0, 1)$, *where* $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. *If* $f \in \sum_p$ *and*

$$\left\{ \phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right. \right.$$

$$+ (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\,(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f\,(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\,(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \subset \Omega,$$

then

$$\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\,(z)\right)^\mu \prec q\,(z)\,.$$

Proof. Theorem 2.2 yields $\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\,(z)\right)^\mu \prec q_\rho\,(z)$. The result is now deduced from $q_\rho(z) \prec q(z)$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let h and q be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, with $q(0) = 1$ and set $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$ and $h_\rho(z) = h(\rho z)$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:*
(1) $\phi \in \Phi_1[h, q_\rho]$, for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, or
(2) there exists $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\phi \in \Phi_1[h_\rho, q_\rho]$, for all $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$.
If $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies (2.8), then

$$\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\,(z)\right)^\mu \prec q\,(z)\,.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [9, Theorem 2.3d, p.30] and is therefore omitted. □

The next theorem yields the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.8).

Theorem 2.6. *Let h be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that the differential equation*
(2.9)
$$\phi\left(g(z), g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{\mu}, \mu g\,(z) + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu}\right)zg'(z) + \frac{1}{\mu}z^2 g''(z); z\right) = h\,(z)$$

has a solution q with $q(0) = 1$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $q \in Q_1$ and $\phi \in \Phi_1[h, q]$,
(2) q is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\phi \in \Phi_1[h, q_\rho]$, for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, or
(3) q is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and there exists $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\phi \in \Phi_1[h_\rho, q_\rho]$, for all $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$.
If $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies (2.8), then

$$\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \prec q\,(z)\,,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Following the same arguments in [9, Theorem 2.3e, p. 31], we deduce q from Theorems 2.3 and 2.5. Since q satisfies (2.9), it is also a solution of (2.8) and therefore q will be dominated by all dominants. Hence $q(z)$ is the best dominant. □

In the particular case $q(z) = 1 + Mz$, $M > 0$, and in view of the Definition 2.1, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_1[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_1[\Omega, M]$, is described below.

Definition 2.7. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_1[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*
(2.10)
$$\phi\left(1 + Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \left(1 + \tfrac{k}{\mu}\right) Me^{i\theta}, \mu + \tfrac{L}{\mu} + \left[\mu + \left(1 + \tfrac{2}{\mu}\right)k\right]Me^{i\theta}; z\right) \notin \Omega$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Re\left(Le^{-i\theta}\right) \geq (k-1)kM$ for all real θ and $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, M]$. If $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies*

$$\phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right.$$
$$\left. + (\mu - 1)\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2; z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\left|\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu - 1\right| < M.$$

In the special case $\Omega = q(\mathbb{U}) = \{\omega : |\omega - 1| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_1[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_1[M]$. Corollary 2.8 can now be written in the following form:

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_1[M]$. If $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies*

$$\left|\phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f\left(z\right)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f\left(z\right)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. + (\mu - 1)\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f\left(z\right)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)}\right)^2; z\right) - 1\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left|\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu - 1\right| < M.$$

Corollary 2.10. *If $M > 0$ and $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies*

$$\left|\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f\left(z\right)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)} - 1\right| < M \quad (\alpha > 1),$$

then

$$\left|\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f\left(z\right)\right)^\mu - 1\right| < M.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.9 by taking $\phi\left(u, v, w; z\right) = v$.   □

Corollary 2.11. *If $M > 0$ and $f \in \sum_p$ satisfies*

$$\left| \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} - \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \right| < M \quad (\alpha > 1),$$

then

$$\left| \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu - 1 \right| < M .$$

Proof. Let $\phi(u, v, w; z) = \mu(v - u)$ and $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ where $h(z) = Mz$, $M > 0$. To use Corollary 2.8, we need to show that $\phi \in \Phi_1[\Omega, M]$, that is, the admissibility condition (2.10) is satisfied. This follows since

$$\left| \phi \left(1 + Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \left(1 + \tfrac{k}{\mu} \right) Me^{i\theta}, \mu + \tfrac{L}{\mu} + \left[\mu + \left(1 + \tfrac{2}{\mu} \right) k \right] Me^{i\theta}; z \right) \right| = Mk \geq M$$

whenever $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 1$. The required result now follows from Corollary 2.8. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Theorem 2.6 shows that the result is sharp. The differential equation

$$zq'(z) = Mz$$

has a univalent solution $q(z) = 1 + Mz$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that $q(z) = 1 + Mz$ is the best dominant.

3. Superordination results involving $\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha$

In this section we obtain differential superordination for functions associated with the linear operator $\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha$. For this purpose the class of admissible functions is given in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}$ with $zq'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_1'[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \bar{\mathbb{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:*

$$\phi(u, v, w; \zeta) \in \Omega$$

whenever $u = q(z)$, $v = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{m}$,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{w - (\mu + 1) v + u}{v - u} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{m} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U}$, and $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_1'[\Omega, q]$. If $f \in \sum_p$, $\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \in Q_1$ and*

$$\phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right.$$

$$+ (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right)^2 ; z \right) : (\alpha > 2)$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, *then*

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right. \right.$$

$$(3.1) \qquad + (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right)^2 ; z \right) : z \in \mathbb{U} \left. \right\} (\alpha > 2),$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu.$$

Proof. Let $g(z)$ be defined by (2.2) and ψ by (2.6). Since $\phi \in \Phi_1' [\Omega, q]$, (2.7) and (3.1) yield

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \psi(g(z), zg'(z), z^2 g''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

From (2.6), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_1' [\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.2. Hence $\psi \in \Psi' [\Omega, q]$, and by Lemma 1.4,

$$q \prec g \quad or \quad q(z) \prec z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z).$$

$\square$

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathbb{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathbb{U}$ onto Ω, then the class $\Phi_1' [h(\mathbb{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_1' [h, q]$.

Proceeding similarly as in the previous section, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}$, $h(z)$ *be analytic in* $\mathbb{U}$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi_1' [h, q]$. *If* $f \in \sum_p$, $\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \in Q_1$ *and*

$$\phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right.$$

$$+ (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right)^2 ; z \right) (\alpha > 2)$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, *then*

$$h(z) \prec \phi \left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z) \right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)} \right.$$

$$(3.2) \qquad + (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) (\alpha > 2)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu .$$

Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 can only be used to obtain subordinants of differential superordination of the form (3.1) or (3.2).

The following theorem proves the existence of the best subordinant of (3.2) for an appropriate ϕ.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $h(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \bar{\mathbb{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that the differential equation*

$$\phi\left(g(z), g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{\mu}, \mu g(z) + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu}\right) zg'(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z^2 g''(z); z\right) = h(z)$$

has a solution $q \in Q_1$. If $\phi \in \Phi_1'[h, q]$, $f \in \sum_p$, $\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \in Q_1$ and

$$\phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)$$

$$+ (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) (\alpha > 2)$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)$$

$$+ (\mu - 1) \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) : (\alpha > 2)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6 and is therefore omitted.
□

Combining Theorems 2.3 and 3.3, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 3.5. *Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$, h_2 be univalent function in $\mathbb{U}$, $q_2 \in Q_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\phi \in \Phi_1[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi_1'[h_1, q_1]$. If $f \in \sum_p$, $\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H} \cap Q_1$ and*

$$\phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right.$$

$$\left. + (\mu - 1)\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) (\alpha > 2)$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi\left(\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}, \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right.$$

$$\left. + (\mu - 1)\left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)}\right)^2 ; z\right) \prec h_2(z) \ \ (\alpha > 2)$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(z^p \mathcal{P}_p^\alpha f(z)\right)^\mu \prec q_2(z).$$

Remark 3.6. *Putting in $\mu = 1$ in the above results, we obtain the results of Aouf and Seoudy [4].*

References

[1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Subordination and superordination of Liu-Srivastava linear operator on meromorphic functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **31** (2008), no. 2, 193–207.

[2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and K. G. Subramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **15** (2004), no. 1, 87–94.

[3] E. Alqan, J. M. Jahangiri and S. R. Kulkarni, Certain integral operators applied to $p-$valent functions, *J. Net. Geom.* **24** (2005), no. 1-2, 111–120.

[4] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Subordination and superordination of a certain integral operator on meromorphic functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 12, 3669–3678

[5] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Subordination and superordination of certain linear operator on meromorphic functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sect. A* **64** (2010), no. 1, 1–16.

[6] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.

[7] T. Bulboacă, Classes of first order differential superordinations, *Demonstratio Math.* **35** (2002), no. 2, 287–292.

[8] N. Magesh and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Differential Subordinations and superordinations of integral operator on meromorphic functions, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* **13** (2009), no. 3, 68–79.

[9] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2000.

[10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential superordinations, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **48** (2003), no. 10, 815–826.

[11] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, Differantial sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions, *J. Austr. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3** (2006), no. 1, 1–11.

(T. M. Seoudy) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, P.O. Box 63514, Fayoum, Egypt
E-mail address: tms00@fayoum.edu.eg

(M. K. Aouf) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, P.O. Box 35516, Mansoura, Egypt
E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com