ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the

Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 43 (2017), No. 5, pp. 1209-1225

Title:

On some generalized recurrent manifolds

Author(s):

A.A. Shaikh, I. Roy and H. Kundu

Published by the Iranian Mathematical Society http://bims.ims.ir

Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. Vol. 43 (2017), No. 5, pp. 1209–1225 Online ISSN: 1735-8515

ON SOME GENERALIZED RECURRENT MANIFOLDS

A.A. SHAIKH*, I. ROY AND H. KUNDU

(Communicated by Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Witold Roter

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to introduce and study a type of non-flat semi-Riemannian manifolds, called, super generalized recurrent manifolds which generalizes both the notion of hyper generalized recurrent manifolds [A.A. Shaikh and A. Patra, On a generalized class of recurrent manifolds, Arch. Math. (Brno) 46 (2010) 71-78.] and weakly generalized recurrent manifolds [A.A. Shaikh and I. Roy, On weakly generalized recurrent manifolds, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math. 54 (2011) 35-45.]. The nature of associated 1-forms of a super generalized recurrent manifold is determined and it is proved that on a Roter type manifold [R. Deszcz, On Roter type manifolds, in: 5^{th} Conference on Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, Krynica, Poland, 2003.] such a notion is equivalent to the notion of generalized Riccirecurrent manifold [U.C. De, N. Guha and D. Kamilya, On generalized Ricci-recurrent manifolds, *Tensor* (N.S.) 56 (1995), no. 3, 312–317.]. We also obtain a sufficient condition for a super generalized recurrent manifold to be a semisymmetric one and the existence of such notion is ensured by a proper example.

Keywords: Recurrent manifold, hyper generalized recurrent manifold, weakly generalized recurrent manifold, super generalized recurrent manifold, semisymmetric manifold, Roter type manifold.

MSC(2010): Primary: 53B20; Secondary: 53B30, 53C25.

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected semi-Riemannian smooth manifold equipped with a semi-Riemannian metric g. Let ∇ , R, S and κ be respectively the Levi-Civita connection, Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M. The curvature of a manifold plays the crucial role to determine

O2017 Iranian Mathematical Society

Article electronically published on 31 October, 2017.

Received: 26 March 2015, Accepted: 16 May 2016.

^{*}Corresponding author.

¹²⁰⁹

the shape of the manifold. From a given metric one can determine the curvature but the converse is very cumbersome. For the sake of construction of a curvature restricted geometric structure one needs to impose a restriction on the curvature tensor by means of covariant derivatives or otherwise. It is well known that covariant derivative is a generalization of partial derivative and higher order of covariant derivatives imposed on a curvature tensor give rise to different types of curvature restricted geometric structures. For example, Cartan in [1] and [2] introduced the notion of local symmetry and semisymmetry by means of first and second order covariant derivatives respectively. Again, as a generalization of recurrent as well as pseudosymmetric manifold by Chaki [3], Tamássy and Binh [38] introduced the notion of weakly symmetric manifolds. We note that as a generalization of locally symmetric manifold, the notion of recurrent manifold was introduced by Ruse ([25-27],see also [39]) as a kappa space, denoted by K_n , and latter named as recurrent space. Again, in 1979 Dubey [14] introduced the concept of generalized recurrent manifold (briefly, $(GK)_n$). It is noteworthy to mention that $(GK)_n$ does not exist (see, [15, 20–22]). As a generalization of recurrent manifold, recently, Shaikh and his coauthors introduced the notions of quasi generalized recurrent manifold [34] (briefly, $(QGK)_n$), hyper-generalized recurrent manifold [33] (briefly, $(HGK)_n$) and weakly generalized recurrent manifold [35] (briefly, $(WGK)_n$) along with their proper existence by suitable examples (see also [28, 36]). We mention that every recurrent manifold is a 2-recurrent manifold [19]. In [23, Lemma 2] it was stated that every 2-recurrent manifold is semisymmetric [37]. Thus every recurrent manifold is semisymmetric. We also mention that semisymmetric manifolds form a subclass of pseudosymmetric manifolds (see, e.g., [8] and [29]).

The object of the present paper is to introduce and study a generalized class of recurrent manifolds, called, super generalized recurrent manifolds [30] (briefly, $(SGK)_n$). The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the rudimentary facts of various curvature restricted geometric structures and tensors as preliminaries. Section 3 is concerned with main results. The nature of associated 1-forms of a $(SGK)_n$ is determined and it is shown that if the 1-forms are closed and pairwise codirectional, then such a manifold is semisymmetric. We also obtain a sufficient condition for a $(SGK)_n$ to be K_n , and it is proved that on a Roter type manifold (see [5,6,8,13,29]) a $(SGK)_n$ is equivalent to the notion of generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold [4]. The last section deals with the existence of a $(SGK)_4$ by a proper example with a suitable metric. Finally the conclusion of the whole work is given.

2. Preliminaries

We now consider a connected semi-Riemannian smooth manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 3$ (this condition is to be assumed throughout the paper unless otherwise

stated). Let $C^{\infty}(M)$, $\chi(M)$, $\chi^*(M)$ and $\mathcal{T}_k^r(M)$ be respectively the algebra of all smooth functions, the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields, the Lie algebra of all smooth 1-forms and the space of all smooth tensor fields of type (r, k) on M.

For $\Pi, \Phi \in \chi^*(M)$, the exterior product $\Pi \wedge \Phi$ is defined as

$$\Pi \wedge \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi \otimes \Phi - \Phi \otimes \Pi \right)$$

where \otimes denotes the tensor product. We note that if $\Pi \wedge \Phi = 0$, then Π and Φ are said to be codirectional. Since ∇ is torsion free, the exterior derivative $d\Pi$ of Π can be expressed as

$$d\Pi(X,Y) = (\nabla_X \Pi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \Pi)(X)$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. We also note that Π is closed if $d\Pi = 0$.

Now for $A, E \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$, the Kulkarni-Nomizu product $A \wedge E$ is defined as (see, e.g., [8, 30, 31])

(2.1)
$$(A \wedge E)(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = A(X_1, Y_2)E(X_2, Y_1) + A(X_2, Y_1)E(X_1, Y_2) - A(X_1, Y_1)E(X_2, Y_2) - A(X_2, Y_2)E(X_1, Y_1),$$

where $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \in \chi(M)$. Throughout the paper we will consider X, Y, $X_i, Y_i \in \chi(M), i = 1, 2, \ldots$, and the same symbol \wedge is used for both Kulkarni-Nomizu product and exterior product.

Again for a symmetric (0, 2)-tensor A and $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ we can define the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear endomorphisms \mathscr{A} and $X \wedge_A Y$ on $\chi(M)$ respectively as

 $g(\mathscr{A}X,Y) = A(X,Y)$ and $(X \wedge_A Y)X_1 = A(Y,X_1)X - A(X,X_1)Y.$

The second level (0,2)-tensor A^2 with corresponding endomorphism \mathscr{A}^2 for a symmetric (0,2)-tensor A is defined as

$$A^{2}(X,Y) = A(\mathscr{A}X,Y) = g(\mathscr{A}^{2}X,Y).$$

In particular, the second level Ricci tensor S^2 is given by

$$S^2(X,Y) = S(\mathscr{S}X,Y),$$

where \mathscr{S} is the Ricci operator such that $S(X,Y) = g(\mathscr{S}X,Y)$.

In terms of the Kulkarni-Nomizu product and \wedge_A , the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C (for $n \geq 4$), the projective curvature tensor P, the concircular curvature tensor W and the conharmonic curvature tensor K are respectively given by

$$\begin{split} C &= R - \frac{1}{n-2}g \wedge S + \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)}g \wedge g, \\ P &= R - \frac{1}{n-1}(\wedge_S), \\ W &= R - \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)}g \wedge g \text{ and} \end{split}$$

$$K = R - \frac{1}{n-2}g \wedge S.$$

Now for $D \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$ and given $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear endomorphism $\mathscr{D}(X, Y)$ is defined as

$$\mathscr{D}(X,Y)X_3 = \mathcal{D}(X,Y)X_3,$$

where $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{T}_3^1(M)$ such that $g(\mathcal{D}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4) = D(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$. We note that one can easily operate a $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear endomorphism \mathscr{L} on $T \in \mathcal{T}_3^{\infty}(M)$ $\mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$ as

$$(\mathscr{L}T)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k) = -T(\mathscr{L}X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k) - \cdots - T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, \mathscr{L}X_k).$$

In particular, for the endomorphisms $\mathscr{D}(X,Y)$ and $X \wedge_A Y$, the (0, k+2)-tensors $D \cdot T$ and Q(A,T) are respectively given as

$$D \cdot T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k, X, Y) = (\mathscr{D}(X, Y)T)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k)$$

= $-T(\mathcal{D}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k) - \cdots - T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, \mathcal{D}(X, Y)X_k)$

and

$$Q(A,T)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k, X, Y) = ((X \wedge_A Y)T)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k)$$

= $A(X, X_1)T(Y, X_2, \cdots, X_k) + \cdots + A(X, X_k)T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, Y)$
- $A(Y, X_1)T(X, X_2, \cdots, X_k) - \cdots - A(Y, X_k)T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X).$

Again for $A \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$, $A \wedge T$ and \wedge_T (see [7,31], and also references therein) are respectively given by

$$(A \wedge T)(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) = A(X_1, Y_2)T(X_2, Y_1, \cdots, Y_k) + A(X_2, Y_1)T(X_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) - A(X_1, Y_1)T(X_2, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) - A(X_2, Y_2)T(X_1, Y_1, \cdots, Y_k), (X \wedge_T Y)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k) = T(Y, X_1, X_3, \cdots, X_k)g(X, X_2)$$

 $-T(X, X_1, X_3, \cdots X_k)g(Y, X_2).$

From the above expressions we can state the following:

Proposition 2.1. For $A \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$ and $D \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$, the following conditions hold:

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \nabla(X \wedge_A Y) = X \wedge_{\nabla A} Y \ and \ \nabla(g \wedge A) = g \wedge (\nabla A), \\ \text{(ii)} & D \cdot (X \wedge_A Y) = X \wedge_{D \cdot A} Y \ and \ D \cdot (g \wedge A) = g \wedge (D \cdot A) \ if \ D \cdot g = 0. \end{array}$

Definition 2.2. A semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be Einstein (resp. Ein(2) (see [31], and also references therein) if

$$S = \frac{\kappa}{n}g$$
 (resp. $a_1S^2 + a_2S + a_3g = 0$),

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Moreover if $a_1 \neq 0$, then an Ein(2) manifold is called proper.

Definition 2.3. A semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be quasi-Einstein if

$$(2.2) S = \alpha g + \beta \eta \otimes \eta$$

holds for some $\alpha, \beta \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\eta \in \chi^*(M)$. Especially, if α is identically zero, then the manifold is called Ricci simple (see [8] and also references therein).

Quasi-Einstein manifolds arose during the study of exact solutions of the Einstein field equations and the investigation on quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces of conformally flat spaces, see, [8], and references therein. It is clear that every non-Einstein quasi-Einstein manifold is a proper Ein(2) manifold [17, p. 600].

Definition 2.4. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be *T*-recurrent ([25–27]) if

(2.3)
$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T$$

holds on $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ for an 1-form Π , called the associated 1-form. If T = R (resp. S) then the manifold is called recurrent (resp. Ricci recurrent).

Proposition 2.5. For $A \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$ and $\Pi \in \chi^*(M)$, we have

- (i) $\nabla(X \wedge_A Y) = \Pi \otimes (X \wedge_A Y)$ if and only if $\nabla A = \Pi \otimes A$ and
- (ii) $\nabla(g \wedge A) = \Pi \otimes (g \wedge A)$ if and only if $\nabla A = \Pi \otimes A$.

Proposition 2.6. In a semi-Riemannian manifold M, $\nabla(S - \alpha \kappa g) = \Pi \otimes (S - \alpha \kappa g)$ if and only if $\nabla S = \Pi \otimes S$, where $\Pi \in \chi^*(M)$ and $\alpha \ (\neq \frac{1}{n})$ is a constant.

Definition 2.7. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be T-quasi generalized recurrent with (Π, Ψ, η) [34] (resp. T-hyper generalized recurrent with (Π, Ψ) [33], T-weakly generalized recurrent with (Π, Ψ) [35]) if

(2.4)
$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T + \Psi \otimes [g \wedge (g + \eta \otimes \eta)],$$

(resp.

(2.5)
$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T + \Psi \otimes g \wedge S,$$

(2.6)
$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T + \Psi \otimes S \wedge S$$

holds on $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ and } g \land (g + \eta \otimes \eta) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ (resp. $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ and } g \land S \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ and } S \land S \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$) for some $\Pi, \Psi, \eta \in \chi^*(M)$, called the associated 1-forms. If T = R then the manifold is called $(QGK)_n$ (resp. $(HGK)_n, (WGK)_n$).

We note that for $\alpha = \beta$, a quasi-Einstein manifold is $(WGK)_n$ if and only if it is $(QGK)_n$, and for $2\alpha = \beta$, a quasi-Einstein manifold is $(HGK)_n$ if and only if it is $(QGK)_n$. We also note that the condition (2.6), in some particular form (T = R), was already presented in [24, p. 626]. Precisely, in that paper the following equation was obtained

$$\kappa R_{hijk,l} = -\kappa \Phi_l R_{hijk} + 4\Phi_l (S_{hk} S_{ij} - S_{hj} S_{ik}).$$

Definition 2.8. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be T-super generalized recurrent manifold [30] if

(2.7)
$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T + \Phi \otimes S \wedge S + \Psi \otimes g \wedge S + \Theta \otimes g \wedge g$$

holds on $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ and any one of } S \land S, g \land S \text{ is non-zero at } x\}$ for some 1-forms Π, Φ, Ψ and Θ , called the associated 1-forms. Such a manifold is denoted by $TSGK_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$. Especially, if T = R, then the manifold is said to be $(SGK)_n$.

Definition 2.9. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be T-quasi generalized recurrent with (Π, Φ, Ψ, η) if

$$\nabla T = \Pi \otimes T + \Phi \otimes g \wedge g + \Psi \otimes g \wedge (\eta \otimes \eta)$$

holds on $\{x \in M : T \neq 0 \text{ and } g \land (\eta \otimes \eta) \text{ is non-zero at } x\}$ for some 1-forms Π, Φ, Ψ and η , called the associated 1-forms. Such a manifold is denoted by $TQGK_n$ with (Π, Φ, Ψ) .

Definition 2.10. For $Z \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be generalized Z-recurrent manifold [4] (briefly, GZK_n) if

(2.8)
$$\nabla Z = \Pi \otimes Z + \Phi \otimes g$$

holds on $\{x \in M : \nabla Z \neq \xi \otimes Z \text{ at } x \forall \xi \in \chi^*(M)\} \subset M$ for some Π and $\Phi \in \chi^*(M)$, called the associated 1-forms. In particular, if Z = S, the manifold is called generalized Ricci-recurrent [4].

Definition 2.11. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$, a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be T-semisymmetric (briefly, TSS_n) ([2,37]) if

$$R \cdot T = 0.$$

If we take T = R (resp. S), then the manifold is called semisymmetric (resp. Ricci semisymmetric).

Definition 2.12. A semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be Roter type (briefly, RT_n) ([5,6,8]) if its curvature tensor R can be expressed as

(2.9)
$$R = N_1 \ g \wedge g + N_2 \ g \wedge S + N_3 \ S \wedge S,$$

for some N_1, N_2 and $N_3 \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Moreover it is said to be proper RT_n if $N_3 \neq 0$.

We mention that the notion of generalized Roter type manifold and its warped product is studied in [29, 31, 32]. We note that the condition (2.9), in some particular form, i.e.,

$$R_{hijk} = \frac{2}{\kappa} (S_{hk} S_{ij} - S_{hj} S_{ik})$$

was already presented in [24, p. 625]. Curvature properties of semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying the condition $R_{hijk} = \Phi(S_{hk}S_{ij} - S_{hj}S_{ik})$ were obtained in [18].

3. Main results

Let M be a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$. Then we have

(3.1)
$$\nabla R = \Pi \otimes R + \Phi \otimes S \wedge S + \Psi \otimes g \wedge S + \Theta \otimes g \wedge g.$$

Contraction of (3.1) yields

(3.2)
$$\nabla S = \Pi_1 \otimes S^2 + \Phi_1 \otimes S + \Psi_1 \otimes g,$$

where $\Pi_1 = -2\Phi$, $\Phi_1 = \Pi + 2\kappa\Phi + (n-2)\Psi$ and $\Psi_1 = \kappa\Psi + 2(n-1)\Theta$.

Theorem 3.1. The associated 1-forms of a $(SGK)_n$ are not unique.

Proof. The second Bianchi identity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1} R)(X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) + (\nabla_{X_2} R)(X_3, X_1, X_4, X_5) \\ &+ (\nabla_{X_3} R)(X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.1), the above identity entails

(3.3)

$$\sum_{X_1, X_2, X_3} \left[\Pi(X_1) R(X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) + \Phi(X_1) (S \land S) (X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) + \Psi(X_1) (g \land S) (X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) + \Theta(X_1) (g \land g) (X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) \right] = 0,$$

where $\sum_{\substack{X_1,X_2,X_3\\0 \text{ ver } X_1 \text{ and } X_5}}$ denotes the cyclic sum in X_1, X_2 and X_3 . Now contracting (3.3)

$$\begin{split} &-R(V, X_4, X_2, X_3) + \{\kappa \Psi(X_3) - \Psi(\mathcal{S}(X_3)) + 2(n-2)\Theta(X_3)\}g(X_2, X_4) \\ &+ \{-\kappa \Psi(X_2) + \Psi(\mathcal{S}(X_2)) - 2(n-2)\Theta(X_2)\}g(X_3, X_4) \\ &+ \{\Pi(X_3) + 2\kappa \Phi(X_3) - 2\Phi(\mathcal{S}(X_3)) + (n-3)\Psi(X_3)\}S(X_2, X_4) \\ &+ \{-\Pi(X_2) - 2\kappa \Phi(X_2) + 2\Phi(\mathcal{S}(X_2)) - (n-3)\Psi(X_2)\}S(X_3, X_4) \\ &- 2\Phi(X_3)S^2(X_2, X_4) + 2\Phi(X_2)S^2(X_3, X_4) = 0, \end{split}$$

where V is the vector field corresponding to Π , i.e., $g(V, X) = \Pi(X)$ for all $X \in \chi(M)$. Again, contracting over X_3 and X_4 , we obtain

$$-\kappa \Pi(X_2) + 2 \Big[\Pi(\mathcal{S}(X_2)) + (\kappa^{(2)} - \kappa^2) \Phi(X_2) + 2\kappa \Phi(\mathcal{S}(X_2)) \\ - 2\Phi(\mathcal{S}^2(X_2)) - (n-2) \{ \kappa \Psi(X_2) - \Psi(\mathcal{S}(X_2)) + (n-1)\Theta(X_2) \} \Big] = 0,$$

where $\kappa^{(2)}$ is the trace of S^2 . The result follows from the last relation.

Remark 3.2. We note that the associated 1-forms of a conformally $(n \ge 4)$ (resp. projectively, concircularly, conharmonicly) $(SGK)_n$ are not unique.

Theorem 3.3. If M is a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$, then its associated 1-forms are linearly dependent with $d\kappa$ such that

$$d\kappa = \kappa \Pi + 2(\kappa^2 - \kappa^{(2)})\Phi + 2(n-1)[\kappa \Psi + n\Theta].$$

Proof. From (3.1) we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(X_1, X_2) = (\kappa \Psi + 2(n-1)\Theta)(X)g(X_1, X_2) - 2\Phi(X)S^2(X_1, X_2) + (\Pi + 2\kappa \Phi + (n-2)\Psi)(X)S(X_1, X_2).$$

Again contracting the above equation over X_1 and X_2 , we obtain the result. \Box

Theorem 3.4. An Einstein $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ is a K_n and the relation $\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2}\Phi + \frac{\kappa}{n}\Psi + \Theta = 0$ holds.

Proof. Since the manifold is Einstein, we have $S = \frac{\kappa}{n}g$ and hence (3.1) reduces to

$$\nabla R = \Pi \otimes R + \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2} \Phi + \frac{\kappa}{n} \Psi + \Theta\right] \otimes g \wedge g.$$

Again in [22] Olszak and Olszak showed that for any semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying such curvature condition, the coefficient of $g \wedge g$ is zero. Hence the manifold turns into a K_n and $\frac{\kappa^2}{n^2} \Phi + \frac{\kappa}{n} \Psi + \Theta = 0$ holds.

Theorem 3.5. Let M be a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$. If M is quasi-Einstein, then it is a $(QGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \alpha^2 \Phi + \alpha \Psi + \Theta, 2\alpha\beta \Phi + \beta \Psi, \eta)$. Moreover M is $(QGK)_n$ if

$$\alpha^2 \Phi + \alpha \Psi + \Theta = \gamma (2\alpha\beta\Phi + \beta\Psi),$$

where γ is a positive smooth function on M.

We mention that the existence of a quasi-Einstein manifold which is $(QGK)_4$ is given in Example 4.3 of this paper.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6. If M is a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ and its associated 1-forms are closed and pairwise codirectional, then it is a semisymmetric manifold.

Proof. From (3.1) we have

$$\nabla_X R = \Pi(X) \otimes R + \Phi(X) \otimes S \wedge S + \Psi(X) \otimes g \wedge S + \Theta(X) \otimes g \wedge g.$$

Differentiating the above equation covariantly with respect to Y, we get

$$\begin{split} \nabla_Y (\nabla_X R) &= (\nabla_Y \Pi)(X) R + (\nabla_Y \Phi)(X) S \wedge S \\ &+ (\nabla_Y \Psi)(X) g \wedge S + (\nabla_Y \Theta)(X) g \wedge g \\ &+ \left[\Pi(X) \Psi(Y) + \Psi(X) \Phi_1(Y) + 2\Phi(X) \Psi_1(Y)\right] g \wedge S \\ &+ \Pi(X) \Pi(Y) R + \Psi(X) \Pi_1(Y) g \wedge S^2 + \left[\Pi(X) \Theta(Y) + \Psi(X) \Psi_1(Y)\right] g \wedge g \\ &+ 2\Phi(X) \Pi_1(Y) S \wedge S^2 + \left[\Pi(X) \Phi(Y) + 2\Phi(X) \Phi_1(Y)\right] S \wedge S. \end{split}$$

In view of the last relation we get

$$\begin{split} R(X,Y) \cdot R &= \nabla_X (\nabla_Y R) - \nabla_Y (\nabla_X R) \\ &= d\Pi(X,Y)R + 2 \left[\Phi(Y)\Psi(X) - \Phi(X)\Psi(Y) \right] g \wedge S^2 \\ &+ \left[d\Phi(X,Y) + \Phi(Y)(\Pi(X) + 2(n-2)\Psi(Y)) \right] S \wedge S \\ &- \Phi(X)(\Pi(Y) + 2(n-2)\Psi(Y)) \right] S \wedge S \\ &+ \left[d\Psi(X,Y) - 4(n-1) \left(\Theta(Y)\Phi(X) - \Theta(X)\Phi(Y) \right) \right] g \wedge S \\ &+ \left[d\Theta(X,Y) - \Theta(Y)(\Pi(X) + 2(n-1)\Psi(X)) \right] \\ &+ \Theta(X)(\Pi(Y) + 2(n-1)\Psi(Y)) \right] g \wedge g. \end{split}$$

Thus we have

(3.4)
$$R \cdot R = d\Pi R - 4(\Phi \wedge \Psi)g \wedge S^2 + [d\Phi - 2\Phi \wedge (\Pi - 2(n-2)\Psi)]S \wedge S$$

 $+ [d\Psi - 8(n-1)\Phi \wedge \Theta]g \wedge S + [d\Theta - 2\Theta \wedge (\Pi + 2(n-1)\Psi)]g \wedge g$
and hence the theorem is proved.

and hence the theorem is proved.

Proposition 3.7. A $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ is a generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold if and only if $\Phi = 0$ or it is a proper Ein(2) manifold.

Proof. The result follows from (3.2).

Theorem 3.8. Let M be a proper RT_n . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M is a $(SGK)_n$.
- (ii) M is a generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold.

Proof. Since M is a proper RT_n , it is a proper Ein(2) manifold [31]. Now if M is a $(SGK)_n$, then by Proposition 3.7, it is a generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold and hence $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Now differentiating (2.9) covariantly and then using the defining condition

of generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold, it is easy to check that M is a $(SGK)_n$. Hence (ii) \Rightarrow (i). \square

By using Proposition 2.5, we can easily state the following:

Theorem 3.9. Let M be a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$. If M is generalized Ricci-recurrent with associated 1-forms $\overline{\Pi}$ and $\overline{\Phi}$, then it is

- (i) projectively $(SGK)_n$ with $\left(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{2(n-2)}\right)$ for $\Pi = \overline{\Pi}$,
- (ii) concircularly $(SGK)_n$ with $\left(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta \frac{\kappa \overline{\Pi} + n \overline{\Phi} \kappa \Pi}{2n(n-1)}\right)$,
- (iii) conharmonicly $(SGK)_n$ with $\left(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi \frac{\overline{\Pi} \Pi}{n-2}, \Theta \frac{\overleftarrow{\Phi}}{n-2}\right)$,
- (iv) conformally $(SGK)_n$ with $\left(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi \overline{\Pi \Pi}_{n-2}, \Theta \overline{\Phi}_{n-2} + \overset{\check{\kappa}\overline{\Pi} + n\overline{\Phi} \kappa\Pi}{2(n-1)(n-2)}\right)$ for $n \geq 4.$

From definition, we can state the following:

Proposition 3.10. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$. If $\nabla(T-R) = \Pi \otimes (T-R)$, then $(TSGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ and $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ are equivalent. Conversely, if M is a $(TSGK)_n$ and $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$, then $\nabla(T-R) = \Pi \otimes (T-R)$.

Corollary 3.11. If M is a $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$, then it is

- (i) conformally $(SGK)_n$ $(n \ge 4)$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ if and only if it is Ricci recurrent with Π as its 1-form of recurrence.
- (ii) projectively $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ if and only if it is Ricci recurrent with Π as its 1-form of recurrence.
- (iii) concircularly $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ if and only if $d\kappa = \kappa \Pi$.
- (iv) conharmonicly $(SGK)_n$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$ if and only if it is Ricci recurrent with Π as its 1-form of recurrence.

Proof. From Proposition 3.10 and Proposition 2.5, it follows that M is conformally $(SGK)_n$ $(n \ge 4)$ if and only if $(S - \frac{2\kappa}{n-1}g)$ is recurrent with associated 1-form II. Again from Proposition 2.6, $(S - \frac{2\kappa}{n-1}g)$ is recurrent with associated 1-form Π if and only if M is Ricci recurrent with associated 1-form Π . Hence (i) is proved.

Again from Proposition 3.10, M is projectively $(SGK)_n$ if and only if $\frac{1}{n-2}X \wedge_S Y$ is recurrent, or S is recurrent (by Proposition 2.5) and hence (ii) is proved.

Similarly (iii) and (iv) can be proved.

4. Examples

Example 4.1. Let $M = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4 > 0\}$ be an open connected subset of \mathbb{R}^4 such that

$$f_1^2 - f_2^2 > 0,$$

where $f_1 = (x^3 + x^4) (x^1)^2 (x^2)^2$ and $f_2 = (x^1 + x^2) (x^3)^2 (x^4)^2$. We note that if $x^1 > x^3$ and $x^2 > x^4$, then

$$f_1 - f_2 = \left(\frac{x^3 + x^4}{(x^3 x^4)^2} - \frac{x^1 + x^2}{(x^1 x^2)^2}\right) \left(x^1 x^2 x^3 x^4\right)^2$$

= $\left(\frac{1}{x^3 x^4} \left(\frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4}\right) - \frac{1}{x^1 x^2} \left(\frac{1}{x^1} + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)\right) (det(g))^2 > 0.$

Let M be endowed with the Riemannian metric g given by

(4.1)
$$ds^{2} = g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} = x^{2}(dx^{1})^{2} + x^{1}(dx^{2})^{2} + x^{4}(dx^{3})^{2} + x^{3}(dx^{4})^{2}.$$

The non-zero components (up to symmetry) of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R, the Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature κ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^1} \right), \ R_{3434} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{1}{x^3} \right) \text{ and} \\ S_{11} &= \mu_1 g_{11}, \ S_{22} = \mu_1 g_{22}, \ \mu_1 = -\frac{(x^1 + x^2)(x^3 x^4)^2}{4 (x^1 x^2 x^3 x^4)^2} = -\frac{f_2}{4 (det(g))^2}, \\ S_{33} &= \mu_2 g_{33}, \ S_{44} = \mu_2 g_{44}, \ \mu_2 = -\frac{(x^3 + x^4)(x^1 x^2)^2}{4 (x^1 x^2 x^3 x^4)^2} = -\frac{f_1}{4 (det(g))^2}, \\ \kappa &= g^{hk} S_{hk} = 2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) = -\frac{f_1 + f_2}{2 (x^1 x^2 x^3 x^4)^2} = -\frac{f_1 + f_2}{2 (det(g))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the main results of [37] it follows that M is semisymmetric manifold. Evidently, M is a 2-quasi-Einstein manifold. We refer to [9, 10] and [11] for recent results on 2-quasi-Einstein manifolds. Again the non-zero components (up to symmetry) of ∇R and ∇S are given as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212,1} &= -\frac{\frac{x^1}{x^2} + 2}{4(x^1)^2}, \ R_{1212,2} &= -\frac{\frac{x^2}{x^1} + 2}{4(x^2)^2}, \\ R_{3434,3} &= -\frac{\frac{x^3}{x^4} + 2}{4(x^3)^2}, \ R_{3434,4} &= -\frac{\frac{x^4}{x^3} + 2}{4(x^4)^2} \text{ and} \\ S_{11,1} &= \frac{x^1 + 2x^2}{4(x^1)^3x^2}, \ S_{11,2} &= \frac{2x^1 + x^2}{4(x^1)^2(x^2)^2}, \ S_{22,1} &= \frac{x^1 + 2x^2}{4(x^1)^2(x^2)^2}, \\ S_{22,2} &= \frac{2x^1 + x^2}{4x^1(x^2)^3}, \ S_{33,3} &= \frac{x^3 + 2x^4}{4(x^3)^3x^4}, \\ S_{33,4} &= \frac{2x^3 + x^4}{4(x^3)^2(x^4)^2}, \ S_{44,3} &= \frac{x^3 + 2x^4}{4(x^3)^2(x^4)^2}, \ S_{44,4} &= \frac{2x^3 + x^4}{4x^3(x^4)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Also the non-zero components (up to symmetry) of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$ and $S \wedge S$ are given by

$$(g \wedge g)_{1212} = -2x^1 x^2, \ (g \wedge g)_{1313} = -2x^2 x^4, \ (g \wedge g)_{1414} = -2x^2 x^3,$$

On some generalized recurrent manifolds

$$\begin{split} (g \wedge g)_{2323} &= -2x^1 x^4, \; (g \wedge g)_{2424} = -2x^1 x^3, \; (g \wedge g)_{3434} = -2x^3 x^4; \\ (g \wedge S)_{1212} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^1} \right), \; (g \wedge S)_{1313} = \frac{f_1 + f_2}{4(x^1)^2 x^2 (x^3)^2 x^4}, \\ (g \wedge S)_{1414} &= \frac{f_1 + f_2}{4x^1 (x^2)^2 (x^3)^2 x^4}, \; (g \wedge S)_{2323} = \frac{f_1 + f_2}{4(x^1)^2 x^2 x^3 (x^4)^2}, \\ (g \wedge S)_{2424} &= \frac{f_1 + f_2}{4x^1 (x^2)^2 x^3 (x^4)^2}, \; (g \wedge S)_{3434} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{1}{x^3} \right) \text{ and} \\ (S \wedge S)_{1212} &= -\frac{(x^1 + x^2)^2}{8(x^1)^3 (x^2)^3}, \; (S \wedge S)_{1313} = -\frac{(x^1 + x^2) (x^3 + x^4)}{8(x^1)^2 x^2 (x^3)^2 x^4}, \\ (S \wedge S)_{1414} &= -\frac{(x^1 + x^2) (x^3 + x^4)}{8(x^1)^2 x^2 x^3 (x^4)^2}, \; (S \wedge S)_{2323} = -\frac{(x^1 + x^2) (x^3 + x^4)}{8x^1 (x^2)^2 (x^3)^2 x^4}, \\ (S \wedge S)_{2424} &= -\frac{(x^1 + x^2) (x^3 + x^4)}{8x^1 (x^2)^2 x^3 (x^4)^2}, \; (S \wedge S)_{3434} = -\frac{(x^3 + x^4)^2}{8(x^3)^3 (x^4)^3}. \end{split}$$

Then it is easy to check that the manifold M is a $(SGK)_4$ with $(\Pi, \Phi, \Psi, \Theta)$, where Π, Φ, Ψ and Θ are given by

$$(4.2) \qquad \Pi_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{8\Theta_{1}(f_{1}-f_{2})^{2}-x^{1}(x^{2})^{2}(x^{1}+2x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})^{2}}{(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})(f_{1}+f_{2})} & \text{for } i = 1\\ \frac{8\Theta_{2}(f_{1}-f_{2})^{2}-(x^{1})^{2}x^{2}(2x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})^{2}}{(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})(f_{1}+f_{2})} & \text{for } i = 2\\ \frac{8\Theta_{3}(f_{1}-f_{2})^{2}-(x^{1}+x^{2})^{2}x^{3}(x^{4})^{2}(x^{3}+2x^{4})}{(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})(f_{1}+f_{2})} & \text{for } i = 3\\ \frac{8\Theta_{4}(f_{1}-f_{2})^{2}-(x^{1}+x^{2})^{2}(x^{3})^{2}x^{4}(2x^{3}+x^{4})}{(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})(f_{1}+f_{2})} & \text{for } i = 4, \end{cases} \\ (4.3) \qquad \Phi_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{2x^{1}(x^{2})^{2}(x^{3})^{2}(x^{4})^{2}\left(\frac{8\Theta_{1}x^{1}}{x^{3}+x^{4}}-\frac{x^{1}+2x^{2}}{(f_{1}-f_{2})}\right)}{x^{1}+x^{2}} & \text{for } i = 1\\ \frac{2(x^{1})^{2}x^{2}(x^{3})^{2}(x^{4})^{2}\left(\frac{8\Theta_{2}x^{2}}{x^{3}+x^{4}}-\frac{2x^{1}+x^{2}}{(f_{1}-f_{2})}\right)}{x^{1}+x^{2}} & \text{for } i = 2\\ \frac{2(x^{1})^{2}(x^{2})^{2}x^{3}(x^{4})^{2}\left(\frac{8\Theta_{3}x^{3}}{x^{4}+x^{2}}+\frac{x^{3}+2x^{4}}{(f_{1}-f_{2})}\right)}{x^{1}+x^{2}} & \text{for } i = 2\\ \frac{2(x^{1})^{2}(x^{2})^{2}x^{3}(x^{4})^{2}\left(\frac{8\Theta_{3}x^{3}}{x^{4}+x^{2}}+\frac{x^{3}+2x^{4}}{(f_{1}-f_{2})}\right)}{x^{1}+x^{2}} & \text{for } i = 2\end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\frac{x^{3}+x^{4}}{2(x^{1})^{2}(x^{2})^{2}(x^{3})^{2}x^{4}\left(\frac{8\Theta_{4}x^{4}}{x^{1}+x^{2}}+\frac{2x^{3}+x^{4}}{(f_{1}-f_{2})}\right)}{x^{3}+x^{4}} \quad \text{for } i=4,$$

$$(4.4) \qquad \Psi_{i} = \begin{cases} & \frac{x^{1}(x^{2}x^{3}x^{4})^{2}\left[16\Theta_{1}x^{1}(f_{1}-f_{2})-(x^{1}+2x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})\right]}{f_{1}^{2}-f_{2}^{2}} & \text{for } i = 1\\ & \frac{x^{2}(x^{1}x^{3}x^{4})^{2}\left[16\Theta_{2}x^{2}(f_{1}-f_{2})-(2x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})\right]}{f_{1}^{2}-f_{2}^{2}} & \text{for } i = 2\\ & \frac{x^{3}(x^{1}x^{2}x^{4})^{2}\left[16\Theta_{3}x^{3}(f_{1}-f_{2})+(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+2x^{4})\right]}{f_{1}^{2}-f_{2}^{2}} & \text{for } i = 3\\ & \frac{x^{4}(x^{1}x^{2}x^{3})^{2}\left[16\Theta_{4}x^{4}(f_{1}-f_{2})+(x^{1}+x^{2})(2x^{3}+x^{4})\right]}{f_{1}^{2}-f_{2}^{2}} & \text{for } i = 4. \end{cases}$$

We note that the associated 1-forms of such $(SGK)_4$ are not unique (hence Theorem 3.1 is verified). We also note that the manifold is neither hyper

Shaikh, Roy and Kundu

generalized recurrent nor weakly generalized recurrent. Since the metric g is the Cartesian product of two 2-dimensional metrics, the main results of [37] states that M is a semisymmetric manifold. Moreover, in view of Proposition 3.4 of [16], M is a Roter type manifold. The last conclusion, is also an immediate consequence of the considerations presented in [12] (p. 12 and Theorem 4.1).

Using the local components of R, $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$ and $S \wedge S$, we can easily check that the manifold fulfills (2.9) and hence is a Roter type manifold, where

$$\begin{split} N_1 &= -\frac{\left(x^1 + x^2\right)\left(x^3 + x^4\right)\left(f_1 + f_2\right)}{8(f_1 - f_2)^2} = -\frac{f_1f_2(f_1 + f_2)}{8(f_1 - f_2)^2(\det(g))^2},\\ N_2 &= -\frac{2(x^1)^2(x^2)^2\left(x^1 + x^2\right)\left(x^3\right)^2\left(x^4\right)^2\left(x^3 + x^4\right)}{(f_1 - f_2)^2} = -\frac{2f_1f_2}{8(f_1 - f_2)^2},\\ N_3 &= -\frac{2(x^1)^2(x^2)^2(x^3)^2\left(x^4\right)^2(f_1 + f_2)}{(f_1 - f_2)^2} = -\frac{2(f_1 + f_2)(\det(g))^2}{(f_1 - f_2)^2}. \end{split}$$

Consequently in view of Theorem 3.8, the manifold is generalized Ricci-recurrent satisfying

$$\nabla S = \overline{\Pi} \otimes S + \overline{\Phi} \otimes g,$$

where $\overline{\Pi}$ and $\overline{\Phi}$ are given by

$$(4.5) \qquad \overline{\Pi}_{i} = \begin{cases} & \frac{\left(x^{1}+2x^{2}\right)(x^{3})^{2}(x^{4})^{2}}{x^{1}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=1\\ & \frac{\left(2x^{1}+x^{2}\right)(x^{3})^{2}(x^{4})^{2}}{x^{2}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=2\\ & \frac{\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}(x^{2})^{2}\left(x^{3}+2x^{4}\right)}{-x^{3}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=3\\ & \frac{\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}(x^{2})^{2}\left(2x^{3}+x^{4}\right)}{-x^{4}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=4, \end{cases}$$

(4.6)
$$\overline{\Phi}_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^{1}+2x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})}{4x^{1}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=1\\ \frac{(2x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+x^{4})}{4x^{2}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=2\\ \frac{(x^{1}+x^{2})(x^{3}+2x^{4})}{-4x^{3}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=3\\ \frac{(x^{1}+x^{2})(2x^{3}+x^{4})}{-4x^{4}(f_{1}-f_{2})} & \text{for } i=4. \end{cases}$$

Also it is easy to check that the manifold under consideration is semisymmetric. Again, M is not Ricci recurrent but generalized Ricci-recurrent and $(SGK)_4$. Hence by Theorem 3.9, it is conformally, concircularly and conharmonicly $(SGK)_4$ with distinct associated 1-forms.

Remark 4.2. If we consider the following metrics

$$ds^{2} = x^{2}(dx^{1})^{2} + x^{1}(dx^{2})^{2} + x^{4}(dx^{3})^{2} - x^{3}(dx^{4})^{2},$$

$$ds^{2} = x^{2}(dx^{1})^{2} + x^{1}(dx^{2})^{2} - x^{4}(dx^{3})^{2} - x^{3}(dx^{4})^{2} \text{ and}$$

On some generalized recurrent manifolds

$$ds^{2} = x^{2}(dx^{1})^{2} - x^{1}(dx^{2})^{2} + x^{4}(dx^{3})^{2} - x^{3}(dx^{4})^{2}$$

on a suitable open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^4,$ then it can be easily seen that all the above results are true.

Example 4.3 ([36]). Let M be an open connected subset of \mathbb{R}^4 such that $x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4 > 0$, endowed with the Riemannian metric

(4.7)
$$ds^{2} = g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} = e^{x^{1}+x^{3}}(dx^{1})^{2} + 2dx^{1}dx^{2} + (dx^{3})^{2} + e^{x^{1}}(dx^{4})^{2}.$$

Then the non-zero components (up to symmetry) of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R and the Ricci tensor S are given by

$$R_{1313} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{x^{1}+x^{3}}, \quad R_{1414} = -\frac{e^{x^{1}}}{4}$$
$$S_{11} = \frac{1(1+2e^{x^{1}+x^{3}})}{4e^{x^{1}+x^{3}}}g_{11}.$$

,

The scalar curvature of this metric $\kappa = 0$. Again the non-zero components (up to symmetry) of ∇R and ∇S are given by:

$$R_{1313,1} = -\frac{e^{x^1 + x^3}}{2} = R_{1313,3}, \quad S_{11,1} = S_{13,3} = -\frac{e^{x^1 + x^3}}{2}.$$

Using the local components of R, ∇R , g and S, we can easily check that the manifold is Ricci simple satisfying

$$S = \eta \otimes \eta$$

for

$$\eta_i(x) = \begin{cases} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2e^{x^1+x^3}} & \text{for } i=1\\ & 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Evidently, any Ricci simple manifold is a quasi-Einstein manifold. Again it is easy to check that the manifold is a $(QGK)_4$ with (Π, Φ, Ψ, η) for $\Phi \equiv 0$,

(4.8)
$$\Pi_i(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2e^{x^1+x^3}}{1-2e^{x^1+x^3}} & \text{for } i = 1, 3\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

(4.9)
$$\Psi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{x^1 + x^3}}{1 - 4e^{2x^1 + 2x^3}} & \text{for } i = 1, 3\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Shaikh, Roy and Kundu

Conclusion

In the present paper we introduce a generalized class of recurrent manifolds, named, super generalized recurrent manifold and also study the curvature properties of such a manifold. It is shown that its associated 1-forms are not unique, and they are linearly dependent with $d\kappa$. It is also shown that if the associated 1-forms are closed and pairwise codirectional, then a $(SGK)_n$ is semisymmetric. It is proved that an Einstein $(SGK)_n$ is a K_n . Also we obtain a sufficient condition (namely, Roter type condition) for the equivalency of a $(SGK)_n$ and a generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold. Finally the existence of a $(SGK)_4$ is given by a non-trivial example. Also an example of a quasi-Einstein $(QGK)_4$ is given.

Acknowledgements

The third named author gratefully acknowledges CSIR, New Delhi (File No. 09/025 (0194)/2010-EMR-I) for the financial assistance. All the algebraic computations of Section 4 are performed by a program in Wolfram Mathematica. The authors wish to express their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for his valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- É. Cartan, Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann, Bull. Soc. Math. France 54 (1926) 214–264.
- [2] É. Cartan, Leçons sur la géométrie des espaces de Riemann, Gauthier-Villars, 2nd ed. Paris, 1946.
- [3] M.C. Chaki, On pseudosymmetric manifolds, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. 33 (1987), no. 1, 43–58.
- [4] U.C. De, N. Guha and D. Kamilya, On generalized Ricci-recurrent manifolds, *Tensor* (N.S.) 56 (1995), no. 3, 312–317.
- [5] R. Deszcz, On Roter type manifolds, in: 5th Conference on Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, Krynica, Poland, 2003.
- [6] R. Deszcz, On some Akivis-Goldberg type metrics, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 74 (2003), no. 88, 71–84.
- [7] R. Deszcz and M. Głogowska, Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 72 (2002), no. 86, 81–93.
- [8] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotloś and K. Sawicz, A survey on generalized Einstein metric conditions, in: Proceedings of the Lorentzian Geometry Conference in Berlin, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Adv. Lorentzian Geom. 49 (2011) 27–46.
- [9] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotloś and G. Zafindratafa, Hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature satisfying some curvature conditions, J. Geom. Phys. 99 (2016) 218– 231.
- [10] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, J. Jełowicki and G. Zafindratafa, Curvature properties of some class of warped product manifolds, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. 13 (2016), Article ID 1550135, 36 pages.
- [11] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Petrović-Torgašev, M. Prvanović and L. Verstraelen, Curvature properties of some class of minimal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces, *Filomat* 29 (2015) 479–492.

- [12] R. Deszcz and D. Kowalczyk, On some class of pseudosymmetric warped products, *Collog. Math.* 97 (2003), no. 1, 7–22.
- [13] R. Deszcz, M. Plaue and M. Scherfner, On Roter type warped products with 1dimensional fibres, J. Geom. Phys. 69 (2013) 1–11.
- [14] R.S.D. Dubey, Generalized recurrent spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1979), no. 12, 1508–1513.
- [15] E. Głodek, A note on Riemannian spaces with recurrent projective curvature, Prace nauk. Inst. Mat. Fiz. teor. Politechniki Wroclaw. Ser. Studia Materialy 1 (1970) 9–12.
- [16] M. Głogowska, Curvature conditions on hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures, in: PDEs, Submanifolds and Affine Differential Geometry, pp. 133–143, Banach Center Publ. 69, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2005.
- [17] M. Głogowska, On quasi-Einstein Cartan type hypersurfaces, J. Geom. Physics 58 (2008), no. 5, 599–614.
- [18] D. Kowalczyk, On some subclass of semisymmetric manifolds, Soochow J. Math. 27 (2001), no. 4, 445–462.
- [19] A. Lichnerowicz, Courbure, nombres de Betti, et espaces symmetriques, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, Mass. 1950, Vol 2, pp. 216–223, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1952.
- [20] J. Mikeš, Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces (English), J. Math. Sci. 78 (1996), no. 3, 311–333.
- [21] J. Mikeš, A. Vanžurová and I. Hinterleitner, Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations, Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, Olomouc, 2009.
- [22] K. Olszak and Z. Olszak, On pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with recurrent concircular curvature tensor, Acta Math. Hungarica 137 (2012), no. 1-2, 64–71.
- [23] W. Roter, Some remarks on second order recurrent spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 12 (1964) 207–211.
- [24] W. Roter, A note on second order recurrent space, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 12 (1964) 621–626.
- [25] H.S. Ruse, On simply harmonic spaces, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 21 (1946), no. 4, 243–247.
- [26] H.S. Ruse, On simply harmonic "kappa-spaces" of four dimensions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1948), no. 1, 317–329.
- [27] H.S. Ruse, Three-dimensional spaces of recurrent curvature, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1948), no. 1, 438–446.
- [28] A.A. Shaikh, F.R. Al-Solamy and I. Roy, On the existence of a new class of semi-Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Sci.* 7 (2013), no. 1, 13 pages.
- [29] A.A. Shaikh, R. Deszcz, M. Hotloś, J. Jełowicki and H. Kundu, On pseudosymmetric manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 86 (2015), no. 3-4, 433–456.
- [30] A.A. Shaikh and H. Kundu, On equivalency of various geometric structures, J. Geom. 105 (2014) 139–165
- [31] A.A. Shaikh and H. Kundu, On generlized Roter type manifolds, Arxiv:1411.0841v1 [math.DG].
- [32] A.A. Shaikh and H. Kundu, On warped product generalized Roter type manifolds, Arxiv:1411.0845 [math.DG].
- [33] A.A. Shaikh and A. Patra, On a generalized class of recurrent manifolds, Arch. Math. (Brno) 46 (2010) 71–78.
- [34] A.A. Shaikh and I. Roy, On quasi generalized recurrent manifolds, Math. Pannon. 21 (2010), no. 2, 251–263.
- [35] A.A. Shaikh and I. Roy, On weakly generalized recurrent manifolds, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math. 54 (2011) 35–45.
- [36] A.A. Shaikh, I. Roy and H. Kundu, On the existence of a generalized class of recurrent manifolds, Arxiv:1504.02534v1 [math.DG].

- [37] Z.I. Szabó, Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$. I. The local version, J. Diff. Geom. 17 (1982), no. 4, 531–582.
- [38] L. Tamássy and T.Q. Binh, On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Differential Geometry and its Applications (Eger, 1989), pp. 663–670, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 56, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [39] A.G. Walker, On Ruses spaces of recurrent curvature, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 52 (1950), no. 1, 36–64.

(Absos Ali Shaikh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN, GO-LAPBAG, BURDWAN-713104, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: aask2003@yahoo.co.in, aashaikh@math.buruniv.ac.in

(Indranil Roy) Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India.

E-mail address: royindranil1@gmail.com

(Haradhan Kundu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN, GOLAPBAG, BURDWAN-713104, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: kundu.haradhan@gmail.com