

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

**Bulletin of the**  
**Iranian Mathematical Society**

Vol. 43 (2017), No. 6, pp. 1709–1721

**Title:**

**On nuclei of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras**

**Author(s):**

**X. Zhang and Y. Zhou**

Published by the Iranian Mathematical Society  
<http://bims.ims.ir>

## ON NUCLEI OF SUP- $\Sigma$ -ALGEBRAS

X. ZHANG\* AND Y. ZHOU

(Communicated by Amir Daneshgar)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, algebraic investigations on sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras are presented. A representation theorem for sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras in terms of nuclei and quotients is obtained. Consequently, the relationship between the congruence lattice of a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra and the lattice of its nuclei is fully developed.

**Keywords:** Sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra, nucleus, congruence, quotient.

**MSC(2010):** Primary: 06F99; Secondary: 08C05.

### 1. Preliminaries

Difference quantale-like structures, such as quantales, locales, quantale modules, quantale algebras,  $S$ -quantales, etc., have been studied in the recent decades (see [5–8, 10]), and they have been widely applied in algebra, logic, and computer science [4, 9]. The algebraic approach of kinds of quantale-like structures has also been investigated [3–5]. The destination of this work is to consider such approach in sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras. We will generalize the results concerning quotients and also a well-known representation theorem of quantales into sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras.

Throughout the paper,  $\Sigma = \langle S, O \rangle$  will be a fixed but arbitrary signature, where  $S$  is a set of sorts,  $O$  is a family of operation symbols. A  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $S$ -indexed family of sets  $A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , equipped with operations  $o_A : A_{s_1} \times \dots \times A_{s_n} \rightarrow A_s$  for each operation symbol  $o$  of rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  of  $\Sigma$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , such that

$$h_s(o_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = o_B(h_{s_1}(x_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(x_n)),$$

for any  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_i \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

---

Article electronically published on 30 November, 2017.

Received: 20 May 2016, Accepted: 6 October 2016.

\*Corresponding author.

A  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be ordered if for each sort  $s \in S$ ,  $A_s$  is a poset, and  $o_A : A_{s_1} \times \cdots \times A_{s_n} \rightarrow A_s$  preserves ordering for each  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $A, B$  be ordered  $\Sigma$ -algebras,  $h : A \rightarrow B$  an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . We say that  $h$  is a subhomomorphism if each  $h_s$  is monotone and

$$o_B(h_{s_1}(x_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(x_n)) \leq h_s(o_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $x_i \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Homomorphisms between ordered  $\Sigma$ -algebras are defined in the usual way.

By a sup-lattice-ordered  $\Sigma$ -algebra, simply, a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra, we mean an ordered  $\Sigma$ -algebra whose carriers are also sup-lattices and whose operations are sup-lattice homomorphisms in each variable separately. A homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras is a homomorphism of ordered  $\Sigma$ -algebras whose components are sup-lattice homomorphisms.

## 2. Mappings and homomorphisms

In a natural way, every poset can be considered as a category, and monotone mappings between posets can be considered as functors. In such a category coproducts are joins.

Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_{i-1}}, a_{s_{i+1}}, \dots, a_{s_n}$  some elements of  $A_{s_j}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ , the elementary translation  $o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_{i-1}}, \_, a_{s_{i+1}}, \dots, a_{s_n}) : A_{s_i} \rightarrow A_s$  is a mapping, which we write as  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ , for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ . Since an elementary translation  $o_i$  preserves joins, it has a right adjoint denoted by  $o_i^* : A_s \rightarrow A_{s_i}$ , satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad o_i(x_{s_i}) \leq a_s \iff x_{s_i} \leq o_i^*(a_s),$$

for all  $x_{s_i} \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $a_s \in A_s$ , and also

$$(2.2) \quad x_{s_i} \leq o_i^*(o_i(x_{s_i})), \quad o_i(o_i^*(a_s)) \leq a_s.$$

The following proposition can be easily obtained from (2.1) and (2.2).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra. Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $\forall b \in A_s, o_i(o_i^*(b)) = b \iff (\exists c \in A_{s_i})$  such that  $o_i(c) = b$ ,
- (2)  $\forall c \in A_{s_i}, o_i^*(o_i(c)) = c \iff (\exists b \in A_s)$  such that  $o_i^*(b) = c$ .

*Proof.* We only show the sufficiency of (1). Assume that  $o_i(c) = b$ , for some  $c \in A_{s_i}$ . Then  $c \leq o_i^*(b)$  by (2.1), which implies that

$$b = o_i(c) \leq o_i(o_i^*(b)) \leq b,$$

by (2.2). □

Note that for any sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  with an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , since  $h_s$  preserves arbitrary joins, it has a right adjoint, denoted by  $h_s^* : B_s \rightarrow A_s$ . Similar to (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad h_s(a_s) \leq b_s \iff a_s \leq h_s^*(b_s),$$

$$(2.4) \quad a_s \leq h_s^*(h_s(a_s)), \quad h_s(h_s^*(b_s)) \leq b_s,$$

for every  $s \in S$ ,  $a_s \in A_s$ ,  $b_s \in B_s$ .

For a subhomomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  between sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras, which is an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the elementary translation  $o_i(h)$  on  $B$  induced by  $h$  has the form

$$o_i(h) := o_B(h_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, h_{s_{i-1}}(a_{s_{i-1}}), \_, h_{s_{i+1}}(a_{s_{i+1}}), \dots, h_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) : B_{s_i} \rightarrow B_s.$$

Furthermore, if  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras, then  $o_i(h)$  has right adjoint  $o_i(h)^* : B_s \rightarrow B_{s_i}$ . Therefore,

$$(2.5) \quad o_i(h)(x_{s_i}) \leq b_s \iff x_{s_i} \leq o_i(h)^*(b_s),$$

for every  $x_{s_i} \in B_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $b \in B_s$ , and

$$(2.6) \quad x_{s_i} \leq o_i(h)^*(o_i(h)(x_{s_i})), \quad o_i(h)(o_i(h)^*(b_s)) \leq b_s.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras and let  $h : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras with  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then for all  $b \in B_s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ , we have*

$$h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b)) = o_i^*(h_s^*(b)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $h$  is a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras, by (2.1), (2.3), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b)) \leq o_i^*(h_s^*(b)) &\iff o_i(h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b))) \leq h_s^*(b) \\ &\iff h_s(o_i(h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b)))) \leq b \\ &\iff o_i(h)(h_{s_i}((h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b)))))) \leq b \\ &\iff h_{s_i}(h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b))) \leq o_i(h)^*(b), \end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality natural holds by (2.4). Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} o_i^*(h_s^*(b)) \leq h_{s_i}^*(o_i(h)^*(b)) &\iff h_{s_i}(o_i^*(h_s^*(b))) \leq o_i(h)^*(b) \\ &\iff o_i(h)h_{s_i}((o_i^*(h_s^*(b)))) \leq b \\ &\iff h_s(o_i(o_i^*(h_s^*(b)))) \leq b \\ &\iff o_i(o_i^*(h_s^*(b))) \leq h_s^*(b), \end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality holds by (2.2).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras and let  $h : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras with  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then  $h^* : B \rightarrow A$  is a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra subhomomorphism.*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $h_s^*$  is an order preserving mapping for each sort  $s \in S$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with  $\text{rank } s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $b_{s_i} \in B_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} o_A(h_{s_1}^*(b_{s_1}), \dots, h_{s_n}^*(b_{s_n})) &\leq h_s^*(o_B(b_{s_1}, \dots, b_{s_n})) \\ \iff h_s(o_A(h_{s_1}^*(b_{s_1}), \dots, h_{s_n}^*(b_{s_n}))) &\leq o_B(b_{s_1}, \dots, b_{s_n}) \\ \iff o_B(h_{s_1}(h_{s_1}^*(b_{s_1})), \dots, h_{s_n}(h_{s_n}^*(b_{s_n}))) &\leq o_B(b_{s_1}, \dots, b_{s_n}), \end{aligned}$$

and the operation  $o_B$  preserves ordering, it follows that  $h^*$  is a subhomomorphism.  $\square$

### 3. Nuclei

Nuclei play an important role in the study of quotients of various quantale-like structures. In this section, we study properties of nuclei and prenuclei on sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras.

Recall that an order preserving mapping  $j$  on a poset  $P$  is called a *closure operator* if it is increasing and idempotent. Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra, a *nucleus*  $j$  on  $A$  is an  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators which is subhomomorphic [4].

Similar to [2] Definition 2.3.3, we define a prenucleus on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra.

**Definition 3.1.** We call a subhomomorphism  $j$  on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  a *prenucleus* if it is an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $j_s$  on  $A_s$ , where  $j_s$  is monotone, and increasing for each  $s \in S$ .

Clearly, a nucleus is an idempotent prenucleus. For a prenucleus  $j$  on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$ , we write  $A_j$  as an  $S$ -indexed family of  $A_{s_{j_s}}$ , where  $A_{s_{j_s}} = \{a \in A_s \mid j_s(a) = a\}$  for any  $s \in S$ . Denote by  $v(j) : A \rightarrow A$  an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $v(j)_s : A_s \rightarrow A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , defined by

$$v(j)_s(a) := \bigwedge \{b \in A_{s_{j_s}} \mid a \leq b\},$$

for any  $a \in A_s$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $j$  a prenucleus on  $A$  with the  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then the mapping  $v(j) : A \rightarrow A$  is a nucleus on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* It is routine to check that for each sort  $s \in S$ ,  $v(j)_s$  is a closure operator, and  $v(j)_s \circ j_s = v(j)_s$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define a mapping  $f_{s_i} : A_{s_i} \rightarrow A_{s_{j_s}}$  by

$$f_{s_i}(x) := v(j)_{s_i}(o_i(x)),$$

for every  $x \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ . Since  $j_{s_i}$  is increasing for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{s_i}(j_{s_i}(x)) &= v(j)_s(o_i(j_{s_i}(x))) \\ &\leq v(j)_s(o_i(j)(j_{s_i}(x))) \\ &\leq v(j)_s \circ j_s(o_i(x)) \\ &= v(j)_s(o_i(x)) \\ &= f_{s_i}(x) \\ &\leq f_{s_i}(j_{s_i}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that in above proof, due to  $j$  being a subhomomorphism, we get the first inequality. The next two inequalities follow from the fact that  $v(j)_s$  being idempotent, and  $f_{s_i}$  being monotone, correspondingly. So  $f_{s_i} \circ j_{s_i} = f_{s_i}$ , and thus  $f_{s_i} \circ v(j)_{s_i} = f_{s_i}$  by [2, Lemma 2.3.2]. Therefore, for every  $b_{s_i} \in A_{s_i}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} o_i(v(j)_{s_i}(b_{s_i})) &\leq v(j)_s(o_i(v(j)_{s_i}(b_{s_i}))) \\ &= f_{s_i}(v(j)_{s_i}(b_{s_i})) \\ &= f_{s_i}(b_{s_i}) \\ &= v(j)_s(o_i(b_{s_i})). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this fact  $n$  times and by the fact that  $v(j)_s$  is idempotent, it follows that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_{s_i} \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$o_A(v(j)_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, v(j)_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \leq v(j)_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})),$$

which indicates that  $v(j)$  is a nucleus on  $A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $j$  is a nucleus on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  with an  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , then for any  $a_i \in A_s$ ,  $i \in I$ ,*

$$j_s\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} j_s(a_i)\right) = j_s\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i\right).$$

*Proof.* The inequality  $j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i) \leq j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} j_s(a_i))$  follows by  $j_s$  being increasing and monotone. Conversely, since  $j_s(a_i) \leq j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ , we have  $\bigvee_{i \in I} j_s(a_i) \leq j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)$ . Hence  $j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} j_s(a_i)) \leq j_s(j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)) = j_s(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)$  by the fact that  $j_s$  is idempotent.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** ([4, Lemma 2.2.6]). *Let  $j$  be a nucleus on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  with an  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . If for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $x_i, x'_i \in A_{s_i}$ , we have  $x_i \leq x'_i \leq j_{s_i}(x_i)$ , for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then*

$$j_s(o_A(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)) = j_s(o_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

**Lemma 3.5** ([4, Proposition 2.2.9]). *Let  $h : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras with an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $h_s, s \in S$ , and let  $h^*$  denote the  $S$ -indexed family of right adjoints  $h_s^*$ . Then  $j = h^* \circ h$  is a nucleus on  $A$ .*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $j$  a prenucleus on  $A$  with an  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s, s \in S$ . Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s, i = 1, \dots, n, o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ , we have*

$$j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b)) \leq o_i^*(j_s(b))$$

for any  $b \in A_s$ .

*Proof.* To prove  $j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b)) \leq o_i^*(j_s(b))$ , it is sufficient to show that  $o_i(j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b))) \leq j_s(b)$  by (2.1). The latter inequality holds because

$$\begin{aligned} o_i(j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b))) &= o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b)), \dots, a_{s_n}) \\ &\leq o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b)), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \\ &\leq j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, o_i^*(b), \dots, a_{s_n})) \\ &= j_s(o_i(o_i^*(b))) \\ &\leq j_s(b), \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, a_{s_j} \in A_{s_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ . □

#### 4. A representation theorem

In this section, we will generalize a well-known representation theorem of quantales into sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras. Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $j$  a nucleus on  $A$  with an  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s, s \in S$ . Then  $A_j$ , which is an  $S$ -indexed family of  $A_{s_{j_s}}$ , is a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra under the operation induced from  $A$ :

$$o_{A_j}(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}) = j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})),$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}, o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s, a_{s_i} \in A_{s_{i_{j_{s_i}}}}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and by the fact that  $A_{s_{j_s}}$  is a complete lattice under joins

$$\bigvee M = j_s(\bigvee M),$$

for every  $M \subseteq A_{s_{j_s}}, s \in S$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra, and let  $B$  be an  $S$ -indexed family of subsets  $B_s$  of  $A_s, s \in S$ . Then  $B = A_j$  for some nucleus  $j$  on  $A$  if and only if  $B_s$  is closed under meets and  $o_i^*(b_s) \in B_{s_i}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s, b_s \in B_s, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity. Suppose that  $j$  is a nucleus on  $A$  with the  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s, s \in S$ , and  $B = A_j$ . Then  $B_s = A_{s_{j_s}}$ , for each  $s \in S$ . Thus  $B_s$  is closed under meets. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, o \in O$  with rank

$s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $o_i$  with dependence  $a_{s_j}$  from  $A_{s_j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $b_s \in B_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , since  $o_i^*(b_s) \leq j_{s_i}(o_i^*(b_s)) \leq o_i^*(j_s(b_s)) = o_i^*(b_s)$  by Lemma 3.6, it follows that  $o_i^*(b_s) \in B_{s_i}$ .

Sufficiency. Assume that for every  $s \in S$ ,  $B_s$  is closed under meets and  $o_i^*(b_s) \in B_{s_i}$ , for every  $b_s \in B_s$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define a mapping  $j_s$  on  $A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , by

$$j_s(a) := \bigwedge \{b \in B_s \mid a \leq b\},$$

for any  $a \in A_s$ . It is routine to check that  $j_s$  is a closure operator and  $B_s = A_{s_{j_s}}$ . Let  $j$  be the  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . We next show that  $j$  is a subhomomorphism on  $A$ .

To prove  $o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \leq j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}))$ , for any  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is sufficient to show that for each  $x \in B_s$ , with  $o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}) \leq x$ ,  $o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \leq x$ .

Since

$$o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}) \leq x \iff a_{s_1} \leq o_1^*(x) \in B_{s_1} = A_{s_1 j_{s_1}},$$

it follows that  $j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}) \leq o_1^*(x)$ , and then

$$j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}) \leq o_1^*(x) \iff o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), a_{s_2}, \dots, a_{s_n}) \leq x \iff a_{s_2} \leq o_2^*(x).$$

Similarly, the inequality  $j_{s_2}(a_{s_2}) \leq o_2^*(x)$  turns out that

$$o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), j_{s_2}(a_{s_2}), a_{s_3}, \dots, a_{s_n}) \leq x.$$

Finally, we achieve that

$$o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), j_{s_2}(a_{s_2}), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \leq x,$$

as required. □

For a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{P}(A_s)$  be the powerset of  $A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  the  $S$ -indexed family of powersets  $\mathcal{P}(A_s)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(A_s)$  is a complete lattice under the inclusion as a partial order. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  with the pointwise operations induced from  $A$ :

$$o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n}) = \{o_A(x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_n}) \mid x_{s_1} \in X_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_n} \in X_{s_n}\},$$

for any  $o \in O$ , with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , becomes a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra.

The following result gives a representation for sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras in terms of nuclei and quotients.

**Theorem 4.2** (Representation Theorem). *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra. Then there is a nucleus  $j : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ , such that  $A \cong \mathcal{P}(A)_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $j : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  be an  $S$ -indexed family of mapping  $j_s$  on  $\mathcal{P}(A_s)$ ,  $s \in S$ , where  $j_s$  is defined by

$$j_s(X_s) := (\bigvee X_s) \downarrow, \quad \forall X_s \in \mathcal{P}(A_s).$$



Clearly,  $j_s$  is a closure operator. Next we show that  $j$  is subhomomorphic, that is

$$o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(j_{s_1}(X_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(X_{s_n})) \subseteq j_s(o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n})),$$

for each  $X_{s_i} \in \mathcal{P}(A_{s_i})$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $o \in O$  with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ .

Take  $o_A(d_{s_1}, \dots, d_{s_n}) \in o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(j_{s_1}(X_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(X_{s_n}))$ , where  $d_{s_i} \in j_{s_i}(X_{s_i}) = (\bigvee X_{s_i}) \downarrow$ ,  $X_{s_i} \subseteq A_{s_i}$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} o_A(d_{s_1}, \dots, d_{s_n}) &\leq o_A(\bigvee X_{s_1}, \dots, \bigvee X_{s_n}) \\ &= \bigvee \{o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}) \mid a_{s_i} \in X_{s_i}, i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j_s(o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n})) &= (\bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n})) \downarrow \\ &= \{x \in A_s \mid x \leq \bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n})\}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that  $o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(j_{s_1}(X_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(X_{s_n})) \subseteq j_s(o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_n}))$ , as needed. Note that, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $D \subseteq A_s$ ,  $j_s(D) = D$  if only if  $D = d_s \downarrow$  for some  $d_s \in A_s$ . So

$$\mathcal{P}(A_s)_{j_s} = \{D \in \mathcal{P}(A_s) \mid j_s(D) = D\} = \{D \subseteq A_s \mid D = d_s \downarrow, \text{ for some } d_s \in A_s\},$$

for each  $s \in S$ . Let  $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)_j$  be an  $S$ -indexed family of  $\psi_s : A_s \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A_s)_{j_s}$ ,  $s \in S$ , which defined by

$$\psi_s(a) := a \downarrow, \forall a \in A_s.$$

We need to prove that  $\psi$  is a bijective sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra homomorphism. Clearly, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $\psi_s$  is a bijective join-preserving mapping. Moreover, for any  $o \in O$ , with rank  $s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has that

$$\begin{aligned} o_{\mathcal{P}(A)_j}(\psi_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, \psi_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) &= o_{\mathcal{P}(A)_j}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow) \\ &= j_s(o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow)) \\ &= (\bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow)) \downarrow \\ &= \{x \in A_s \mid x \leq \bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\psi_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})) = (o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})) \downarrow$ . However, straightforward checking shows that  $o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})$  is the sup of  $o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow)$ , i.e.,

$$o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}) = \bigvee o_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(a_{s_1} \downarrow, \dots, a_{s_n} \downarrow).$$

Consequently, we get that  $\psi_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})) = o_{\mathcal{P}(A)_j}(\psi_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, \psi_{s_n}(a_{s_n}))$ .  $\square$

### 5. Quotients of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras

We write the set of all nuclei on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  by  $\text{Nuc}(A)$ . Define a relation on  $\text{Nuc}(A)$  by

$$j \leq j' \iff j_s \leq j'_s, \forall s \in S,$$

where  $j(j')$  is an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings of  $j_s(j'_s)$ , respectively), and  $j_s \leq j'_s$  is under pointwise ordering.

**Lemma 5.1** ([4, Proposition 2.2.8]). *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $j, j' \in \text{Nuc}(A)$ . Then the following conditions hold.*

- (1)  $\text{Nuc}(A)$  is a complete lattice,
- (2)  $j \leq j'$  if and only if  $A_{s_{j'}} \subseteq A_{s_{j_s}}$ , for all sorts  $s \in S$ ,
- (3)  $j \leq j'$  if and only if for every sort  $s \in S$ ,  $x, y \in A_s$ ,  $j_s(x) = j_s(y)$  implies that  $j'_s(x) = j'_s(y)$ .

The final section is devoted to find out the relation between  $\text{Nuc}(A)$  and  $\text{Con}(A)$  for a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra.

Recall that a congruence  $\rho$  on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $S$ -indexed family of equivalence relations  $\rho_s$  on  $A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , which are compatible with arbitrary joins and operations. The set of all congruences on  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Con}(A)$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a congruence on a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A$ , we write  $A/\rho$  as the  $S$ -indexed family of  $A_s/\rho_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , where  $A_s/\rho_s = \{[a]_{\rho_s} \mid a \in A_s\}$ . Define joins on the quotient set  $A_s/\rho_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , by

$$\bigvee_{k \in I} [a_k]_{\rho_s} := [\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k]_{\rho_s}.$$

Clearly,  $A_s/\rho_s$  is a complete lattice. Define the operation on  $A/\rho$  by

$$o_{A/\rho}([a_1]_{\rho_{s_1}}, \dots, [a_n]_{\rho_{s_n}}) := [o_A(a_1, \dots, a_n)]_{\rho_s},$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with  $\text{rank } s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $a_i \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the operation is well defined. Moreover, for arbitrary  $x_k \in A_{s_k}$ ,  $k \in I$ , and

$a_j \in A_{s_j}$ ,  $s_j \in S$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ , the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & o_{A/\rho}([a_1]_{\rho_{s_1}}, \dots, \bigvee_{k \in I} [x_k]_{\rho_{s_i}}, \dots, [a_n]_{\rho_{s_n}}) \\ &= o_{A/\rho}([a_1]_{\rho_{s_1}}, \dots, [\bigvee_{k \in I} x_k]_{\rho_{s_i}}, \dots, [a_n]_{\rho_{s_n}}) \\ &= [o_A(a_1, \dots, \bigvee_{k \in I} x_k, \dots, a_n)]_{\rho_s} \\ &= [\bigvee_{k \in I} o_A(a_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, a_n)]_{\rho_s} \\ &= \bigvee_{k \in I} [o_A(a_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, a_n)]_{\rho_s}, \end{aligned}$$

indicate that  $A/\rho$  is a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra.

Let the surjective mapping  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\rho$  be an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $\pi_s : A_s \rightarrow A_s/\rho_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , which defined by

$$\pi_s(a) := [a]_{\rho_s}, \quad \forall a \in A_s.$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi$  is a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras, and its right adjoint is denoted by  $\pi^*$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $\pi$  is the mapping mentioned above. Then  $\pi^*\pi$  is a nucleus on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* It is a consequence of Lemma 3.5. □

**Lemma 5.3** ([4, Proposition 2.2.11]). *Let  $h : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras. For any sort  $s \in S$ ,  $x, y \in A_s$ , one has that*

$$h_s(x) = h_s(y) \iff h_s^*h_s(x) = h_s^*h_s(y).$$

As usual, for any mapping  $j : A \rightarrow B$ , which is an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , between two sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras, we denote  $\ker j$  as the  $S$ -indexed family of equivalence relations  $\ker j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , where  $\ker j_s = \{(a, b) \in A_s \times A_s \mid j_s(a) = j_s(b)\}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra,  $j$  a nucleus on  $A$  with the  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then  $\ker j$  is a congruence on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $(a_k, a'_k) \in \ker j_s$ ,  $k \in I$ , since  $j_s(a_k) = j_s(a'_k)$ , and  $j_s(\bigvee_{k \in I} j_s(a_k)) = j_s(\bigvee_{k \in I} j_s(a'_k))$ , it follows that  $\ker j_s$  is compatible with joins by Lemma 3.3.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given  $(a_{s_i}, b_{s_i}) \in \ker j_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then for any  $o \in O$  with  $\text{rank } s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ , by Lemma 3.4, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})) &= j_s(o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_1}(a_{s_n}))) \\ &= j_s(o_A(j_{s_1}(b_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_1}(b_{s_n}))) \\ &= j_s(o_A(b_{s_1}, \dots, b_{s_n})). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\ker j_s$  is compatible with operations.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to present the definite relationship between  $\text{Nuc}(A)$  and  $\text{Con}(A)$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $A$  be a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra. Then there is an isomorphism  $\psi : \text{Nuc}(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$  of posets. Moreover, for each  $j \in \text{Nuc}(A)$  with the  $S$ -indexed family of closure operators  $j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $A_j \cong A/\psi(j)$  as sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* Define a mapping  $\psi : \text{Nuc}(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$  by

$$\psi(j) := \ker j,$$

for each  $j \in \text{Nuc}(A)$ .

By Lemma 5.4,  $\ker j$  is a congruence on  $A$ . By Lemma 5.1(3),  $\psi$  is an order-embedding. Next we show that  $\psi$  is surjective. If  $\rho \in \text{Con}(A)$ , then we consider the natural surjection  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\rho$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $\pi^*\pi$  is a nucleus on  $A$ , and by Lemma 5.3,  $\psi(\pi^*\pi) = \ker(\pi^*\pi) = \ker \pi = \rho$ , so  $\psi$  is surjective.

Next, for a nucleus  $j$  on  $A$ , let  $f : A/\ker j \rightarrow A_j$  be an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $f_s : A_s/\ker j_s \rightarrow A_{s_{j_s}}$ ,  $s \in S$ , which defined by

$$f_s([a_s]_{\ker j_s}) := j_s(a_s), \quad \forall a_s \in A_s,$$

and  $g : A_j \rightarrow A/\ker j$  an  $S$ -indexed family of mappings  $g_s : A_{s_{j_s}} \rightarrow A_s/\ker j_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , which defined by

$$g_s(a_s) := [a_s]_{\ker j_s}, \quad \forall a_s \in A_{s_{j_s}}.$$

Then  $f$  and  $g$  are well-defined. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with  $\text{rank } s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $a_{s_i} \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(o_{A/\ker j}([a_{s_1}]_{\ker j_{s_1}}, \dots, [a_{s_n}]_{\ker j_{s_n}})) &= f_s([o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})]_{\ker j_s}) \\ &= j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})) \\ &= j_s(o_A(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n}))) \\ &= o_{A_j}(j_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, j_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) \\ &= o_{A_j}(f_{s_1}([a_{s_1}]_{\ker j_{s_1}}), \dots, f_{s_n}([a_{s_n}]_{\ker j_{s_n}})), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $a_k \in A_s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} [a_k]_{\ker j_s}\right) &= f_s\left([\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k]_{\ker j_s}\right) = j_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k\right) \\ &= j_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} j_s(a_k)\right) = \bigvee_{k \in I} j_s(a_k) = \bigvee_{k \in I} f_s([a_k]_{\ker j_s}), \end{aligned}$$

one can conclude that  $f$  is a homomorphism of sup- $\Sigma$ -algebras.

Similarly, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $o \in O$  with  $\text{rank } s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $a_{s_i} \in A_{s_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} o_{A/\ker j}(g_{s_1}(a_{s_1}), \dots, g_{s_n}(a_{s_n})) &= o_{A/\ker j}([a_{s_1}]_{\ker j_{s_1}}, \dots, [a_{s_n}]_{\ker j_{s_n}}) \\ &= [o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})]_{\ker j_s} \\ &= [j_s(o_A(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n}))]_{\ker j_s} \\ &= [o_{A_j}(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})]_{\ker j_s} \\ &= g_s(o_{A_j}(a_{s_1}, \dots, a_{s_n})), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $a_k \in A_s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k\right) &= g_s\left(j_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k\right)\right) = [j_s\left(\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k\right)]_{\ker j_s} \\ &= [\bigvee_{k \in I} a_k]_{\ker j_s} = \bigvee_{k \in I} [a_k]_{\ker j_s} = \bigvee_{k \in I} g_s(a_k), \end{aligned}$$

which imply that  $g$  is a sup- $\Sigma$ -algebra homomorphism.

Finally, for every  $a_s \in A_{s_{j_s}}$ ,  $s \in S$ ,

$$f_s g_s(a_s) = f_s([a_s]_{\ker j_s}) = j_s(a_s) = a_s,$$

and

$$g_s f_s([a_s]_{\ker j_s}) = g_s(f_s(a_s)) = [j_s(a_s)]_{\ker j_s} = [a_s]_{\ker j_s},$$

for any  $[a_s]_{\ker j_s} \in A_s/\ker j_s$ . We obtain that  $A_j \cong A/\ker(j)$  as needed.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2016A030313832), the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou, China (No. 201607010190), the State Scholarship Fund, China (No. 20170844 0512). The authors thank the referee for her/his most valuable comments, and in particular for giving an idea that has improved the definition of an elementary translation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff and J.D. Lipson, Heterogeneous algebra, *J. Combin. Theory* **8** (1970) 115–133.
- [2] D. Kruml and J. Paseka, Algebraic and categorical aspects of quantales, in: Handbook of Algebra, Vol. 5, pp. 323–362, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [3] J. Paseka, Projective sup-algebras: a general view, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2008) 308–317.
- [4] P. Resende, Topological Systems and Observational Logic in Concurrency and Specification, PhD Thesis, IST, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, 1998.
- [5] K. Rosenthal, Quantales and Their Applications, Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, 1990.
- [6] C. Russo, Quantale Modules, Lambert Academic Publ. Saarbrücken, 2009.
- [7] S. Solovyov, A representation theorem for quantale algebras, in: Contributions to General Algebra 18, pp. 189–197, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2008.
- [8] S. Solovyov, A note on nuclei of quantale algebras, *Bull. Sect. Logic Univ. Łódź* **40** (2011) 91–112
- [9] D. Yetter, Quantales and non-commutative linear logic, *J. Symb. Log.* **55** (1990) 41–64.
- [10] X. Zhang and V. Laan, On injective hulls of  $S$ -posets. *Semigroup Forum* **91** (2015) 62–70.

(Xia Zhang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 510631 GUANGZHOU, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* xzhang@m.scnu.edu.cn

(Yunyan Zhou) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 510631 GUANGZHOU, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* secret9288@163.com