**ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)** 



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

## **Bulletin of the**

# Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 43 (2017), No. 6, pp. 1769-1779

Title:

Hyperbolic surfaces of  $L_1$ -2-type

Author(s):

P. Lucas and H.F. Ramírez-Ospina

Published by the Iranian Mathematical Society http://bims.ims.ir

Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. Vol. 43 (2017), No. 6, pp. 1769–1779 Online ISSN: 1735-8515

## HYPERBOLIC SURFACES OF $L_1$ -2-TYPE

P. LUCAS\* AND H.F. RAMÍREZ-OSPINA

(Communicated by Mohammad Bagher Kashani)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that an  $L_1$ -2-type surface in the threedimensional hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  either is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ , or it has non constant mean curvature, non constant Gaussian curvature, and non constant principal curvatures.

Keywords: Hyperbolic surface, Cheng-Yau operator,  $L_1$ -finite-type surface,  $L_1$ -biharmonic surface, Newton transformation. MSC(2010): Primary: 53B25; Secondary: 53A05, 53C40.

### 1. Introduction

During the late 1970s, B.Y. Chen introduced finite type submanifolds (that is, submanifolds whose isometric immersion into the Euclidean, or pseudo-Euclidean space is constructed by using eigenfunctions of their Laplacian). The first results on this subject were collected in his book [7], and, in subsequent papers, he has provided a detailed account of recent development on problems and conjectures about this topic, [5, 6]. It is well known that the Laplacian operator  $\Delta$  can be seen as the first one of a sequence of operators  $L_0 = \Delta$ ,  $L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ , *n* being the dimension of the submanifold, where  $L_k$  stands for the linearized operator of the first variation of the (k + 1)-th mean curvature arising from normal variations (see, for instance, [10]).

As might be expected, the notion of finite type submanifold can be defined for any operator  $L_k$ , [8], and then it is natural to try to obtain new results and compare them with the classical ones. For example, it is a well known result that the only 2-type surfaces in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$  are open pieces of the Riemannian products  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ , [4,5].

What can we say about an  $L_1$ -2-type surface  $M^2$  in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ? These surfaces are characterized by the following spectral decomposition

O2017 Iranian Mathematical Society

Article electronically published on 30 November, 2017.

Received: 31 July 2016, Accepted: 14 October 2016.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>1769</sup> 

of its position vector  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$ :

 $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2, \quad L_1\psi_1 = \lambda_1\psi_1, \quad L_1\psi_2 = \lambda_2\psi_2, \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R},$ 

where  $\psi_0$  is a constant vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ , and  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ -valued non-constant differentiable functions on  $M^2$ . It is easy to see that open pieces of the standard Riemannian products  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$  are surfaces of  $L_1$ -2-type (see Example 3.2). Our main theorem is the following local result.

**Theorem.** Let  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type. Then either  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ , or  $M^2$  has non constant mean curvature, non constant Gaussian curvature, and non constant principal curvatures.

Our conjecture is that (open pieces of) standard Riemannian products  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$  are the only  $L_1$ -2-type surfaces in the three-dimensional hyperbolic space.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$  denote the 4-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space with flat metric given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}x_2^2 + \mathrm{d}x_3^2 + \mathrm{d}x_4^2,$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  is the usual rectangular coordinate system on  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{H}^3$  the (connected) unit hyperbolic space, which has the standard embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$  as

$$\mathbb{H}^{3} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4} : \langle x, x \rangle = -1, \text{ and } x_{1} > 0 \}$$

Let  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an isometric immersion of a connected and orientable surface  $M^2$ , with Gauss map N. We denote by  $\nabla^0$ ,  $\overline{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connections on  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and  $M^2$ , respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\begin{split} \nabla^0_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + \langle SX,Y\rangle \, N + \langle X,Y\rangle \, \psi, \\ SX &= -\overline{\nabla}_X N = -\nabla^0_X N, \end{split}$$

for all tangent vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$ , where  $S : \mathfrak{X}(M^2) \to \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  stands for the shape operator (or Weingarten endomorphism) of  $M^2$ , with respect to the chosen orientation N. The mean curvature H and the curvature  $H_2$  of  $M^2$ are defined by  $2H = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$  and  $H_2 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2$ , respectively,  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  being the eigenvalues of S (that is, the principal curvatures of the surface). It is well known that the Gaussian curvature K is given by  $K = -1 + H_2$ .

The Newton transformation of  $M^2$  is the operator  $P : \mathfrak{X}(M^2) \to \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  defined by

$$(2.1) P = 2HI - S.$$

Note that by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have  $S \circ P = H_2 I$ . Observe also that, at any point  $m \in M^2$ , S(m) and P(m) can be simultaneously diagonalized: if  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  are the eigenvectors of S(m) corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\kappa_1(m)$  and  $\kappa_2(m)$ , respectively, then they are also the eigenvectors of P(m) with corresponding eigenvalues  $\kappa_2(m)$  and  $\kappa_1(m)$ .

According to [9, p. 86], the divergence of a vector field X is the differentiable function defined by

$$\operatorname{div}(X) = C(\nabla X) = \langle \nabla_{E_1} X, E_1 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{E_2} X, E_2 \rangle,$$

 $\{E_1, E_2\}$  being any local orthonormal frame of tangent vectors fields. Analogously, for an operator  $T : \mathfrak{X}(M^2) \to \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  the divergence associated to the metric contraction  $C_{12}$  will be the vector field  $\operatorname{div}(T) \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  defined as

$$\operatorname{div}(T) = C_{12}(\nabla T) = (\nabla_{E_1} T)E_1 + (\nabla_{E_2} T)E_2.$$

The following properties of P are well known (see, for example, [1]).

Lemma 2.1. The Newton transformation P satisfies:

- (a) tr(P) = 2H.
- (b)  $\operatorname{tr}(S \circ P) = 2H_2$ .
- (c)  $\operatorname{tr}(S^2 \circ P) = 2HH_2$ .
- (d)  $\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_X S \circ P) = \langle \nabla H_2, X \rangle$ , where  $\nabla H_2$  stands for the gradient of  $H_2$ .
- (e)  $\operatorname{div}(P) = 0.$

Associated to the Newton transformation P, we can define a second-order linear differential operator  $L_1: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M^2) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M^2)$  by

(2.2) 
$$L_1(f) = \operatorname{tr}(P \circ \nabla^2 f) = \operatorname{div}(P(\nabla f)),$$

where  $\nabla^2 f : \mathfrak{X}(M^2) \to \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  denotes the self-adjoint linear operator metrically equivalent to the Hessian of f, given by  $\langle \nabla^2 f(X), Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_X(\nabla f), Y \rangle$ . An interesting property of  $L_1$  is the following: for every couple of differentiable functions  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M^2)$  we have

(2.3) 
$$L_1(fg) = gL_1(f) + fL_1(g) + 2 \langle P(\nabla f), \nabla g \rangle$$

Note that the operator  $L_1$  can be naturally extended to vector valued functions.

## 3. First formulas, examples and results

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an arbitrary fixed vector. A direct computation shows that the gradient of the height function  $\langle \psi, a \rangle$  is given by

(3.1) 
$$\nabla \langle \psi, a \rangle = a^{\top} = a - \langle N, a \rangle N + \langle \psi, a \rangle \psi,$$

where  $a^{\top} \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$  denotes the tangential component of a. Taking covariant derivative in (3.1), and using the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we obtain

(3.2) 
$$\nabla_X \nabla \langle \psi, a \rangle = \nabla_X a^{\top} = \langle N, a \rangle SX + \langle \psi, a \rangle X,$$

for every vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$ . Finally, by using (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, we find

(3.3) 
$$L_1 \langle \psi, a \rangle = \langle N, a \rangle \operatorname{tr}(S \circ P) + \langle \psi, a \rangle \operatorname{tr}(P) = 2H_2 \langle N, a \rangle + 2H \langle \psi, a \rangle,$$

and therefore

$$L_1\psi = 2H_2N + 2H\psi.$$

A straightforward computation yields  $\nabla \langle N, a \rangle = -Sa^{\top}$ , and then, from the Weingarten formula and (3.2), we get

$$\nabla_X \nabla \langle N, a \rangle = -(\nabla_a T S) X - \langle N, a \rangle S^2 X - \langle \psi, a \rangle S X,$$

for every tangent vector field X. This equation, jointly with (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, yields

(3.5) 
$$L_1 \langle N, a \rangle = -\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_{a^{\top}} S \circ P) - \langle N, a \rangle \operatorname{tr}(S^2 \circ P) - \langle \psi, a \rangle \operatorname{tr}(S \circ P)$$
$$= - \langle \nabla H_2, a \rangle - 2HH_2 \langle N, a \rangle - 2H_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle.$$

In other words,

$$L_1 N = -\nabla H_2 - 2HH_2 N - 2H_2 \psi.$$

On the other hand, equations (2.3), (3.3) and (3.5) lead to

$$\begin{split} L_1^2 \langle \psi, a \rangle &= 2H_2 L_1 \langle N, a \rangle + 2L_1 (H_2) \langle N, a \rangle + 4 \langle P(\nabla H_2), \nabla \langle N, a \rangle \rangle \\ &+ 2H L_1 \langle \psi, a \rangle + 2L_1 (H) \langle \psi, a \rangle + 4 \langle P(\nabla H), \nabla \langle \psi, a \rangle \rangle, \\ &= -2H_2 \langle \nabla H_2, a \rangle - 4 \langle (S \circ P) (\nabla H_2), a \rangle + 4 \langle P(\nabla H), a \rangle \\ &+ \left[ 2L_1 H_2 - 4H H_2 (H_2 - 1) \right] \langle N, a \rangle \\ &+ \left[ -4H_2^2 + 4H^2 + 2L_1 H \right] \langle \psi, a \rangle, \end{split}$$

and then we obtain

(3.6) 
$$L_{1}^{2}\psi = 4P(\nabla H) - 3\nabla H_{2}^{2} + 2[L_{1}H_{2} - 2HH_{2}(H_{2} - 1)]N + 2[L_{1}H - 2H_{2}^{2} + 2H^{2}]\psi.$$

## 3.1. Examples.

**Example 3.1** (Surfaces of  $L_1$ -1-type). In this example we present some surfaces  $M^2 \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  of  $L_1$ -1-type in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ , that is, surfaces whose position vector  $\psi$  can be written as  $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ , where  $\psi_0$  is a constant vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$  and  $\psi_1$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ -valued non-constant differentiable function satisfying  $L_1\psi_1 = \lambda\psi_1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the case  $\lambda = 0, M^2$  is said to be an  $L_1$ -null-1-type surface or an  $L_1$ -harmonic surface.

As is well known, totally umbilical surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  are obtained as the intersection of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  with a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ , and the causal character of the hyperplane determines the type of the surface. More precisely, let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a non-zero constant vector with  $\langle a, a \rangle \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ , and take the differentiable function  $f_a : \mathbb{H}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f_a(x) = \langle x, a \rangle$ . It is not difficult to see that for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\langle a, a \rangle + \tau^2 = \delta^2 > 0$ , the set  $M_\tau = f_a^{-1}(\tau)$  is a totally umbilical surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , with Gauss map  $N(x) = (1/\delta)(a + \tau x)$ , and shape operator  $S = -(\tau/\delta)I$ . From here, we get that  $M_\tau$  has constant mean curvature  $H = -\tau/\delta$  and constant Gaussian curvature  $K = -\langle a, a \rangle / \delta^2$ . Now we will see the different possibilities:

- (i) If  $\langle a, a \rangle = -1$ , then  $K = 1/(\tau^2 1)$  is positive, and  $M_\tau \subset \mathbb{S}^2(\sqrt{\tau^2 1})$ .
- (ii) If  $\langle a, a \rangle = 0$ , then K = 0, and  $M_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .  $M_{\tau}$  is said to be a flat totally umbilical surface.
- (iii) If  $\langle a, a \rangle = 1$ , then  $K = -1/(\tau^2 + 1)$  is negative, and  $M_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{H}^2(-\sqrt{\tau^2 + 1})$ .

Bearing (3.4) in mind, we obtain

$$L_1\psi = \lambda\psi + b, \quad \lambda = \frac{2\tau}{\delta} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\delta^2} - 1\right), \quad b = \frac{2\tau^2}{\delta^3}a.$$

We distinguish three cases:

- (i) If  $\tau = 0$  (and so S = 0), then  $L_1 \psi = 0$  and  $M_\tau \subset \mathbb{H}^2$  is of  $L_1$ -null-1-type.
- (ii) If  $\tau^2 = \delta^2 > 0$ , then  $L_1 \psi = b \neq 0$ ,  $\langle b, b \rangle = 0$ , and  $M_\tau \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is of infinite  $L_1$ -type.
- (iii) If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then we write  $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ , with  $\psi_0 = -(1/\lambda)b$  and  $\psi_1 = \psi + (1/\lambda)b$ , showing  $M_{\tau}$  is of  $L_1$ -1-type.

**Example 3.2** (Surfaces of  $L_1$ -2-type). We will see that the standard Riemannian product  $M^2(r) = \mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$  is a surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  of  $L_1$ -2-type in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ . Let us suppose  $M^2(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^3 : x_3^2 + x_4^2 = r^2\}$ , then the Gauss map is given by

$$N(x) = \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} x_1, \frac{r}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} x_2, \frac{\sqrt{1+r^2}}{r} x_3, \frac{\sqrt{1+r^2}}{r} x_4\right),$$

and the principal curvatures are computed as

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{-r}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{-\sqrt{1+r^2}}{r}.$$

Hence, we get

$$H = -\frac{2r^2 + 1}{2r\sqrt{1 + r^2}}$$
 and  $H_2 = 1$ .

If we put  $\psi_1 = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0)$  and  $\psi_2 = (0, 0, x_3, x_4)$ , then  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$ , and from (3.4) we obtain  $L_1\psi_1 = \lambda_1\psi_1$  and  $L_1\psi_2 = \lambda_2\psi_2$ , where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{-1}{r\sqrt{1+r^2}}$$
 and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{1+r^2}}$ .

Therefore,  $M^2(r)$  is an  $L_1$ -2-type surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ .

#### 3.2. First results.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an isometric immersion. Then  $\psi$  is of  $L_1$ -1-type if and only if  $M^2$  is a non-flat totally umbilical surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

*Proof.* We have already checked in example 3.1 that non-flat totally umbilical surfaces are of  $L_1$ -1-type. Conversely, let us assume that  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  is of  $L_1$ -1-type. Then it is easy to get  $L_1\psi = \lambda\psi + b$ ,  $b = -\lambda\psi_0$ , and by using (3.4) we obtain

$$2H_2N + (2H - \lambda)\psi = b$$

Taking covariant derivative here, we get

$$-2H_2SX + (2H - \lambda)X + 2X(H_2)N + 2X(H)\psi = 0,$$

for every tangent vector field X. As a consequence,  $M^2$  has constant curvatures H and  $H_2$ , that is,  $M^2$  is an isoparametric surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Bearing in mind examples 3.1 and 3.2, and the classification of isoparametric surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  [3], we easily obtain the result.

The following result is also deduced from examples 3.1 and 3.2, taking into account [3].

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an isoparametric surface. Then  $M^2$  is of  $L_1$ -2-type in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$  if and only if  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ .

The following definition appears in a natural way, [2].

**Definition 3.5.** An isometric immersion  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4_1$  is said to be  $L_1$ biharmonic if  $L_1^2 \psi = 0$ . In the case  $L_1^2 \psi = 0$  and  $L_1 \psi \neq 0$ , we will say that  $\psi$ is a proper  $L_1$ -biharmonic immersion.

Observe that, from (3.4), any totally geodesic surface of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is trivially an  $L_1$ -biharmonic surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ .

Let  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an  $L_1$ -biharmonic surface. Then (3.6) yields

- (3.8)  $L_1H_2 2HH_2(H_2 1) = 0,$
- (3.9)  $L_1H + 2(H^2 H_2^2) = 0.$

If H is constant, then (3.9) yields  $M^2$  is an isoparametric surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . If K is constant (and so is  $H_2$ ), by taking divergence in (3.7), we get  $L_1H = 0$ . Then from (3.9) we also deduce  $M^2$  is an isoparametric surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Therefore, bearing [3] in mind, we have obtained the following result.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be an  $L_1$ -biharmonic surface. Then one of the following claims holds:

(a)  $M^2$  is an open piece of a totally geodesic hyperbolic plane.

- (b)  $M^2$  is an open piece of a flat totally umbilical surface.
- (c)  $M^2$  has non constant curvatures H and K.

This result can be improved as follows. If H is an  $L_1$ -harmonic function (that is,  $L_1H = 0$ ), then (3.9) implies again  $M^2$  is an isoparametric surface. The same conclusion is also obtained when  $H_2$  (or K) is an  $L_1$ -harmonic function. Indeed, in this case, (3.8) yields

$$HH_2(H_2 - 1) = 0.$$

Let us assume that H is non constant (otherwise, there is nothing to prove) and take the non-empty set  $\mathcal{U} = \{p \in M^2 \mid \nabla H^2(p) \neq 0\}$ . On this set we have  $H_2(H_2-1) = 0$ , and then  $H_2$  (and also K) is constant on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence, Proposition 3.6 implies  $\mathcal{U}$  is a totally umbilical surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , but then the mean curvature H is also constant, a contradiction. So the following result has been proved.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a non totally umbilical  $L_1$ -biharmonic surface. Then the curvatures H and K are not  $L_1$ -harmonic functions.

#### 4. Main results

The main goal of this section is to improve Proposition 3.4 in several ways. First, we need to do some more computations.

Let us suppose that  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  is an  $L_1$ -2-type surface, then we can write  $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2$ . Since  $L_1\psi = \lambda_1\psi_1 + \lambda_2\psi_2$  and  $L_1^2\psi = \lambda_1^2\psi_1 + \lambda_2^2\psi_2$ , an easy computation shows that  $L_1^2\psi = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)L_1\psi - \lambda_1\lambda_2(\psi - a)$ , and by using (3.4) we obtain

$$L_1^2 \psi = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 a^{\top} + \left[ 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) H_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle N, a \rangle \right] N + \left[ 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) H - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle \right] \psi.$$

This equation, jointly with (3.6), yield the following equations, that characterize  $L_1$ -2-type surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ :

(4.1) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 a^{\top} = 4P(\nabla H) - 3\nabla H_2^2,$$

(4.2) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle N, a \rangle = 2L_1 H_2 - 2H_2 (2HH_2 - 2H + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2),$$

(4.3) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = -2L_1H + 4H_2^2 - 4H^2 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H - \lambda_1\lambda_2$$

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type. Then  $M^2$  has constant mean curvature if and only if  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M^2$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type with constant mean curvature. We will prove that the curvature  $H_2$  is also constant. Otherwise, let us consider the non-empty open set  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{p \in M^2 \mid \nabla H_2^2(p) \neq 0\}$ . By taking covariant derivative in (4.3) we have  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 a^{\top} = 4 \nabla H_2^2$ . Using this in (4.1) we obtain

that  $H_2$  is constant on  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $M^2$  is an isoparametric surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , and then the result follows from Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type. Then  $M^2$  has constant Gaussian curvature if and only if  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M^2$  be an  $L_1$ -2-type surface with constant Gaussian curvature K, and consider the open set  $\mathcal{U} = \{p \in M^2 \mid \nabla H^2(p) \neq 0\}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is empty. If we suppose it is non-empty, by taking covariant derivative in (4.2), and using that  $H_2$  is constant, we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 Sa^{\top} = 4H_2(H_2 - 1)\nabla H.$$

From (4.1) and bearing in mind that  $S \circ P = H_2 I$ , we have  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 S a^{\top} = 4H_2 \nabla H$ , and therefore

$$H_2(H_2 - 2)\nabla H = 0.$$

Consequently, on  $\mathcal{U}$  we have either  $H_2 = 2$  or  $H_2 = 0$ . We will study each case separately.

Case 1:  $H_2 = 2$ . By applying  $L_1$  to both sides of (4.2), and using (4.3), we get

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 L_1 \langle N, a \rangle = 4 \left[ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle + 4H^2 - 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - 16 \right].$$

On the other hand, (3.5) leads to

 $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle N, a \rangle H + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle a, \psi \rangle - 4H^2 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + 16,$ and using (4.2) we find

(4.4) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = 2H^2 + 3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H - \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{2} + 8.$$

Taking gradients in (4.4), and using (4.1) and (2.1), we obtain

(4.5) 
$$\left[4H + 3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right] \nabla H = 4P(\nabla H) = 8H\nabla H - 4S(\nabla H),$$

that is,

$$S(\nabla H) = \frac{4H - 3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{4} \nabla H.$$

Now, by applying the operator S to both sides of the first equality of (4.5), and bearing in mind that  $S \circ P = 2I$ , we obtain

$$S(\nabla H) = \frac{8}{4H + 3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \nabla H.$$

The last two equations for  $S(\nabla H)$  imply that H is constant on  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is a contradiction.

Case 2:  $H_2 = 0$ . Let us suppose  $\kappa_1 = 0$  and  $\kappa_2 = 2H \neq 0$  (otherwise,  $M^2$  would be a totally geodesic surface and then of  $L_1$ -1-type). Let  $\{E_1, E_2\}$  be

a local orthonormal frame of principal directions of S such that  $SE_i = \kappa_i E_i$ . From Codazzi's equation, we easily obtain

$$\nabla_{E_1} E_1 = 0, \qquad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{E_2} E_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{H} E_2, \qquad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = \frac{\alpha}{H} E_1 \qquad [E_1, E_2] = \frac{\alpha}{H} E_2,$$

where  $\alpha = E_1(H)$ . Now, from the definition of curvature tensor [9, p. 74], we get

$$R(E_1, E_2)E_1 = \frac{HE_1(\alpha) - 2\alpha^2}{H^2}E_2,$$

and from the Gauss equation we have  $R(E_1, E_2)E_1 = -E_2$ . By equating the last two equations we deduce

On the other hand, from the definition of  $L_1$ , see (2.2), we obtain

(4.7) 
$$L_1 H = \kappa_2 \langle E_1, \nabla_{E_1} \nabla H \rangle + \kappa_1 \langle E_2, \nabla_{E_2} \nabla H \rangle = 2HE_1(\alpha).$$

By using (4.6) and (4.7), (4.3) can be rewritten as

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - 8\alpha^2.$$

Taking covariant derivative along  $E_1$  here, we have

(4.8) 
$$E_1(\lambda_1\lambda_2\langle\psi,a\rangle) = 2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\alpha - 16\alpha E_1(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, from (4.1) we get  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 a^{\top} = 8H\alpha E_1$ , and therefore

$$E_1(\lambda_1\lambda_2\langle\psi,a\rangle) = \langle\lambda_1\lambda_2a^{\top},E_1\rangle = 8H\alpha_1$$

This equation, jointly with (4.8), imply that  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\alpha - 8\alpha E_1(\alpha) = 4H\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha \neq 0$ , see (4.6), we deduce

$$8E_1(\alpha) = -4H + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

From here and using (4.7) we get  $4L_1H = -4H^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H$ . By using this in (4.3), we find

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = -2H^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H - \lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$

Taking gradient, and using (4.1) and (2.1), we obtain

(4.9) 
$$\left[-4H + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right]\nabla H = 4P(\nabla H) = 8H\nabla H - 4S(\nabla H),$$

that is,

$$S(\nabla H) = \left(3H - \frac{3}{8}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right)\nabla H.$$

On the other hand, by applying the operator S to both sides of the first equality of (4.9), and bearing in mind that  $S \circ P = 0$ , we obtain

$$\left[-4H + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right]S(\nabla H) = 0.$$

The last two equations imply that H is constant on  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is a contradiction.

We have proved that if  $M^2$  is an  $L_1$ -2-type surface with constant Gaussian curvature, then its mean curvature is also constant. Then Proposition 3.4 yields the result.

A surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is said to have a *constant principal curvature* if one of its principal curvatures is constant.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type. Then  $M^2$  has a constant principal curvature if and only if  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M^2$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type with constant curvature  $\kappa_1$ ; and assume that  $\kappa_1$  is a nonzero constant (otherwise,  $H_2 = 0$  and Theorem 4.2 applies). Consider the open set  $\mathcal{U} = \{p \in M^2 \mid \nabla \kappa_2^2(p) \neq 0\}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is empty. Otherwise, equations (4.1)–(4.3) can be rewritten in terms of  $\kappa_2$  as follows,

(4.10) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 a^{\top} = [-6\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 + 2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)] \nabla \kappa_2 - 2S(\nabla \kappa_2),$$

(4.11) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle N, a \rangle = 2\kappa_1 L_1 \kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \left| (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)(\kappa_1 \kappa_2 - 1) + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \right|,$$

(4.12) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle \psi, a \rangle = -L_1 \kappa_2 + 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2 - (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$

From (4.11) and (4.12) we find

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left\langle N, a \right\rangle &= -2\kappa_1 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left\langle \psi, a \right\rangle \\ &+ 2\kappa_1 \Big[ -\kappa_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\kappa_1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - 3\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + 3\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2^3 \Big], \end{split}$$

and by taking gradient, we obtain

(4.13) 
$$-\lambda_1\lambda_2Sa^{\top} = -2\kappa_1\lambda_1\lambda_2a^{\top} + 2\kappa_1^2\Big[-3 + 6\kappa_1\kappa_2 - 3\kappa_2^2\Big]\nabla\kappa_2.$$

On the other hand, by using  $S \circ P = H_2 I$  and (4.1), we get

(4.14) 
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 S a^{\dagger} = -6\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 S(\nabla \kappa_2) + 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \nabla \kappa_2.$$

Now, from (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14), we deduce

$$3\kappa_1\kappa_2 - 2)S(\nabla\kappa_2) = (-3\kappa_1\kappa_2^2 + (9\kappa_1^2 - 1)\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)\nabla\kappa_2.$$

Since  $3\kappa_1\kappa_2 - 2 \neq 0$  (otherwise,  $\kappa_2$  would be constant), we deduce

$$S(\nabla \kappa_2) = f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \nabla \kappa_2, \qquad f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \frac{-3\kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 + (9\kappa_1^2 - 1)\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{3\kappa_1 \kappa_2 - 2}$$

This equation implies that either  $f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \kappa_1$  or  $f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \kappa_2$ . In any case, it follows that  $\kappa_2$  is constant on  $\mathcal{U}$ , a contradiction.

As a consequence of theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we have the following characterization of  $L_1$ -2-type surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . **Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\psi: M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4_1$  be a surface of  $L_1$ -2-type. Then either  $M^2$  is an open piece of a standard Riemannian product  $\mathbb{H}^1(-\sqrt{1+r^2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(r)$ , or  $M^2$  has non constant mean curvature, non constant Gaussian curvature, and non constant principal curvatures.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by MINECO (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad) and FEDER, project MTM2015-65430-P, and by Fundación Séneca (Región de Murcia, Spain) project 19901/GERM/15.

#### References

- [1] L.J. Alías and S.M.B. Kashani, Hypersurfaces in space forms satisfying the condition  $L_k \psi = A \psi + b$ , Taiwanese J. Math. 14 (2010) 1957–1978.
- M. Aminian and S.M.B. Kashani, L<sub>k</sub>-biharmonic hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space, Taiwanese J. Math. 19 (2015) 861–874.
- [3] É. Cartan, Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 17 (1938) 177–191.
- [4] B.Y. Chen, Submanifolds of finite type in hyperbolic spaces, Chinese J. Math. 20 (1992) 5–21.
- [5] B.Y. Chen, A report on submanifolds of finite type, Soochow J. Math. 22 (1996) 117– 337.
- [6] B.Y. Chen, Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type: recent development, *Tamkang J. Math.* 45 (2014) 87–108.
- [7] B.Y. Chen, Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type, World Scientific Publ. Singapore, 1984; 2nd edition, 2015.
- [8] S.M.B. Kashani, On some L<sub>1</sub>-finite type (hyper)surfaces in R<sup>n+1</sup>, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009) 35–43.
- [9] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [10] R. Reilly, Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms, J. Differential Geom. 8 (1973) 465–477.

(Pascual Lucas) Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{plucasQum.es}$ 

(Héctor Fabián Ramírez-Ospina) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIEN-CIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, BOGOTÁ DC, COLOMBIA.

E-mail address: hframirezo@unal.edu.co