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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the number of 1-factors of a generalized Petersen graph $P(N, k)$ and get a lower bound for the number of 1-factors of $P(N, k)$ when $k$ is odd, which shows that the number of 1-factors of $P(N, k)$ is exponential in this case and confirms a conjecture of Lovász and Plummer (Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 576 (2006), no. 1, 389-398). Keywords: Generalized Petersen graphs, matching, 1-factors, Fibonacci number. MSC(2010): Primary: 05C70; Secondary: 05C30, 05C38.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Hereafter, all graphs are, finite, simple and connected. Also, for the basic terminology not defined here one may refer to [1].

A matching in a graph $G$ is a set of pairwise non-adjacent edges. If $M$ is a matching, the two ends of each edge of $M$ are said to be matched under $M$, and each vertex incident with an edge of $M$ is said to be covered by $M$. A perfect matching of a graph $G$ is one which covers every vertex of $G$, where a perfect matching is also called a 1-factor of $G$. Let $\Phi(G)$ be the number of 1-factors of $G$. Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic, written $G \cong H$, if there are bijections $\phi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ and $\varphi: E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ such that $\psi_{G}(e)=u v$ if and only if $\psi_{H}(\varphi(e))=\phi(u) \phi(v)$; such a pair of mappings is called an isomorphism between $G$ and $H$. A graph $G$ is $n$-extendable if $G$ has a matching of size $n$, and every such matching extends to (i.e., is contained in) a perfect matching in $G$. A graph is factorizable if it contains a 1-factor. A graph $G$ is called bicritical if removing any two vertices of $G$, there remains a factorizable subgraph. Odd (even) path (cycle) represents a path (cycle) of odd (even) length.

[^0]One of the topics in matching theory is to determine the function $\Phi(G)$. Kasteleyn [6] first introduced Pfaffian method to give the exact value for the number of 1-factors of planar graphs. However, there may exist no uniform formula or efficient algorithm to compute $(G)$ for some graphs $G$. In particular, Valiant [10] proved that the problem of determining $\Phi(G)$ is NP-hard, even when $G$ is bipartite. This left very little room for finding the exact value of $\Phi(G)$. Naturally, the next move is to find a lower bound for $\Phi(G)$. Up to now, it has obtained many important results for the lower bound $(G)$ of some special graphs $G$. We present a few classical results in this direction.

Theorem 1.1 ([7]). Let $G$ be a Halin graph. Then $\Phi(G) \geqslant \frac{2}{3}(|V(G)|-1)$.
Theorem 1.2 ([12]). Let $G=(X, Y)$ be a bipartite graph with a 1-factor and $d_{G}(x) \geqslant k$ for every $x \in X$. Then $\Phi(G) \geqslant k!$.
Theorem 1.3 ([2,9]). Let $G$ be a $k$-regular bipartite graph on $2 n$ vertices. Then

$$
\left(\frac{(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{k-2}}\right)^{n} \leqslant \Phi(G) \leqslant(k!)^{\frac{n}{k}}
$$

Theorem 1.3 implies that the number of 1-factors of a $k$-regular bipartite graph is exponential. In addition, some non-bipartite cubic graphs may not have 1 -factors. For instance, Sylvester graph has this property.
Theorem 1.4 ([12]). Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph with a 1-factor. Then $\Phi(G) \geqslant k!$ !. In particular, $\Phi\left(K_{n}\right)=(n-1)!!$. These bounds are sharp when $k$ is odd.

Theorem 1.5 ([7]). Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph with a 1-factor and assume that $G$ is not bicritical. Then $\Phi(G) \geqslant k$ !.

Došlić [4] used ear decomposition theory of 2-connected graphs to establish lower bounds on the number of 1 -factors in $k$-extendable graphs.

Theorem 1.6 ([4]). Let $G$ be a $k$-extendable graph of $n$ vertices and $m$ edges with maximum degree $\Delta$, where $k \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\Phi(G) \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{(k+1)!}{4}(m-n-(k-1)(2 \Delta-3)+4)\right\rceil
$$

In 2006, Lovász and Plummer [8] posed a conjecture on the lower bound of 1 -factors of 2 -edge-connected cubic graphs.

Conjecture 1.7 ([8]). Let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected cubic graph. Then there exists a constant number $c>1$ such that $\Phi(G) \geqslant c^{n}$.

Some partial results are known with regard to this conjecture. For example, Voorhoeve [11] showed that if $G$ is a cubic bipartite graph on $2 n$ vertices, then $\Phi(G) \geqslant\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n}$. Chudnovsky and Seymour [3] proved that if $G$ is a cubic planar graph with no cut edges, then $\Phi(G) \geqslant 2 \frac{|V(G)|}{655978752}$.

Let us fix some notations before presenting the main results.
Let $F_{n+1}$ be the number of the subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ containing no consecutive integers in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Then $F_{n+1}$ is called the Fibonacci number. The Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=F_{2}=1, \\
F_{n+1}=F_{n}+F_{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is known that $F_{n}$ can be stated as:

$$
F_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\sigma^{n+1}-\tau^{n+1}\right)
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \tau=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.
Definition 1.8. A generalized Petersen graph $P(N, k)$ for $N \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant k<$ $\frac{N}{2}$ is a graph on the vertex set

$$
V=\left\{u_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\} \cup\left\{w_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}
$$

and the edge set

$$
E=\left\{u_{i} u_{i+1}, u_{i} w_{i}, w_{i} w_{i+k} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}
$$

where the subscripts are taken modulo $N$.
When $N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2), P(N, k)$ is a bipartite graph [5]. Hence, $\Phi(P(N, k))$ is exponential. Neverthless, $P(N, k)$ is non-planar and nonbipartite when $N \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. In this paper, we prove that the number of 1 -factors of $P(N, k)$ is exponential when $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, which confirms Conjecture 1.7 in this case.

## 2. Lower bounds for $\Phi(G)$ in generalized Petersen graphs

Lemma 2.1. Let $f_{m}:=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m+i}{2 i+1}, g_{m}:=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i}{2 i}$. Then $f_{m}=F_{2 m-1}$, $g_{m}=F_{2 m}$, where $F_{2 m-1}$ and $F_{2 m}$ are odd items and even items of Fibonacci sequence $F_{m}$, respectively.

Proof. Obviously, $f_{m}$ and $g_{m}$ satisfy the following initial condition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}=F_{1}=1 \\
g_{1}=F_{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we show that they satisfy the recurrence relations of Fibonacci sequence $F_{m}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
f_{m}+g_{m} & =F_{2 m-1}+F_{2 m}=F_{2 m+1}=f_{m+1} \\
g_{m}+f_{m+1} & =F_{2 m}+F_{2 m+1}=F_{2 m+2}=g_{m+1}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

In fact,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{m}+g_{m}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m+i}{2 i+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i}{2 i} \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i+1}{2 i+1}=f_{m+1} \\
g_{m}+f_{m+1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i}{2 i}+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i+1}{2 i+1}=g_{m+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

The lemma is proved.
For convenience, let $n=\left\lfloor\frac{N-1}{k}\right\rfloor$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ be the greatest common divisor of two positive integers $a$ and $b$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $P(N, k)$ be a generalized Petersen graph with $\operatorname{gcd}(N, k)=1$ and $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\Phi(P(N, k))> \begin{cases}F_{n}, & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}(N, n) \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\ F_{n-1}, & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}(N, n) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We construct a new graph $H=H(V(H), E(H))$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(H)=\left\{u_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\}, \\
E(H)=\left\{u_{i} u_{i+1} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\} \cup \\
\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} u_{[k i+1]} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
[k i+1]= \begin{cases}k i+1, & 1 \leqslant k i+1 \leqslant N \\ l, & N<k i+1=N r+l\end{cases}
$$

We construct a mapping $(f, g)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{cases}f: V(H) \rightarrow V(G) ; & \\
u_{i} \mapsto u_{i}, & i=1,2,, \ldots, N, \\
v_{i} \mapsto w_{[k i+1]}, & i=0,1,, \ldots, N-1\end{cases} \\
\begin{cases}g: E(H) \rightarrow E(G) ; & i=1,2, \ldots, N, \\
u_{i} u_{i+1} \mapsto u_{i} u_{i+1}, & i=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \\
v_{i} v_{i+1} \mapsto w_{[k i+1]} w_{[k(i+1)+1]}, & i=0, \ldots, N-1 . \\
v_{i} u_{[k i+1]} \mapsto w_{[k i+1]} u_{[k i+1]}, & i=0,1,, \ldots, N-1\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $P(10,3)$ has two different drawings, (see Figure 1).
It is easy to see that $(f, g)$ is an isomorphic between $G$ and $H$ when $\operatorname{gcd}(N, k)=1$. Hence $\Phi(G)=\Phi(H)$. In the following, we evaluate the lower bound of $\Phi(H)$. Let $E_{0}=\left\{e_{i}=v_{i} u_{[k i+1]} \in E(H) \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\}$ and $F \in E_{0}$, denote $M_{0}(F)$ to be the set of 1-factors of $H$ containing $F$. Two cases must be considered based on the parity of $N$.

Case 1. $N \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

Figure 1. Two drawings of $P(10,3)$


Then $H-E_{0}$ contains two disjoint odd cycles, denoted by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{N-1} v_{0} \\
C_{2}=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{N} u_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $H$ has a 1 -factor only for $\left|M_{0}(F)\right| \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.
When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=1$, 1-factors of $H$ contain precisely one edge $e_{i}$ of $E_{0}$. Then $C_{1}-v_{i}$ and $C_{2}-u_{[k i+1]}$ are two distinct odd paths and each of them has a 1-factor. Thus, $H$ has a 1-factor. Since $e_{i}$ has $N$ distinct selections, $\Phi(H)=N$.

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=3$, 1-factors of $H$ contain three edges of $E_{0}$. Assume that $M_{0}(F)=\left\{e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{3}}\right\}$, then the number of 1-factors of $H$ containing $M_{0}(F)$ equals to the number of choices of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) . H-E_{0}$ is the set of odd paths since $H$ has a 1-factor in this case. Assume that $C_{1}-\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, v_{i_{3}}\right\}$ are distinct odd paths. It leads to the parity of $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\left(0 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leqslant N-1\right)$ are alternate. Similar to the former, paths of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{\left[k i_{1}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{2}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{3}+1\right]}\right\}$ are of odd length, and hence the parity of $\left[k i_{1}+1\right],\left[k i_{2}+1\right],\left[k i_{3}+1\right]$ are also alternate. $\left[k i_{j}+1\right]$ has $k$ distinct values for $j=1,2,3$ as follows:

$$
\left[k i_{j}+1\right]= \begin{cases}k i_{j}+1, & 1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant N \\ k i_{j}+1-N, & N+1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant 2 N \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ k i_{j}+1-(k-1) N, & (k-1) N+1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant k N\end{cases}
$$

To guarantee $u_{\left[k i_{1}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{2}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{3}+1\right]}$ on cycle $C_{2}$ in this order, we only consider the case that $0 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant N-1$. Since $\left[k i_{j}+1\right]=k i_{j}+1$ for $j=1,2,3$, we have $\left[k i_{1}+1\right],\left[k i_{2}+1\right],\left[k i_{3}+1\right]$ and $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}$ have the same order, and the following three edges of 1-factors of $H$ are chosen from $E_{0}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{i_{1}}=v_{i_{1}} u_{k i_{1}+1} \\
e_{i_{2}}=v_{i_{2}} u_{k i_{2}+1} \\
e_{i_{3}}=v_{i_{3}} u_{k i_{3}+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the order of $e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{3}}$ is given in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The case of $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=3$


Thus,

$$
0 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{N-1}{k}\right\rfloor=n .
$$

It is clear that the number of 1-factors of $H$ with $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=3$ equals to the number of the selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ in $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$. When $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, we shall consider the parity of $i_{1}$. If $i_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
i_{1} \equiv i_{3} \equiv n & \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
i_{2} & \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Let

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
i_{1}-0=2 k_{1}+1 \\
i_{2}-i_{1}=2 k_{2}+1 \\
i_{3}-i_{2}=2 k_{3}+1 \\
n-i_{3}=2 k_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ is a nonnegative integer. Then

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}=\frac{n-3}{2} .
$$

Observe that the number of the selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ equals to the number of solutions of the above equation. Therefore, $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ has $\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right)$ distinct choices. And since $i_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ has $\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right)$ distinct selections analogously.

When $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, the number of selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{n+2}{2}}{3}, i_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
\binom{\frac{n+4}{2}}{3}, i_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $C_{1}-\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, v_{i_{3}}\right\}$ and $C_{2}-\left\{u_{\left[k i_{1}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{2}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{3}+1\right]}\right\}$ are distinct union of odd paths, they have an unique 1-factor. Hence the number of 1factors of $H$ containing $F$ equals to the number of selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$. Therefore, when $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=3$,

$$
\Phi(H) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}}{3}, n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
\binom{\frac{n+2}{2}}{3}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, when $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=5$,

$$
\Phi(H) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{n+5}{2}}{5}, n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
\binom{\frac{n+4}{2}}{5}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Repeat the above discussions again, we may find the lower bound of $\Phi(H)$ for $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=7,9, \ldots, n+\varepsilon_{n}$, where $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=-1$ for otherwise. That is,

$$
\Phi(H)>\left\{\begin{array}{c}
N+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\binom{\frac{n+2 i+1}{2}}{2 i+1}, \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
N+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\binom{\frac{n+2 i}{2}}{2 i+1}, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

And hence,

$$
\Phi(H)>\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\binom{\frac{n+2 i+1}{2}}{2 i+1}, \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)  \tag{2.1}\\
\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\binom{\frac{n+2 i}{2}}{2 i+1}, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2. $N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
Then $H-E_{0}$ contains two even cycles, denoted by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{N-1} v_{0} \\
C_{2}=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{N} u_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $H$ has a 1-factor when $\left|M_{0}(F)\right| \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=0$, 1-factors of $H$ contain no edges of $E_{0}$. Hence $H-E_{0}$ is determined by two even cycles and each of them has two 1 -factors. Thus, $\Phi(H)=4$.

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$, such 1-factors of $H$ have two edges of $E_{0}$. Suppose that

$$
M_{0}(F)=\left\{\left\{e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}\right\} \mid 0 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2} \leqslant N-1\right\}
$$

Based on our reasoning so far, the number of 1-factors of $H$ with $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$ equals to the number of choices of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$. If $H$ contains a 1 -factor, then $C_{1}-\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}\right\}$ and $C_{2}-\left\{u_{\left[k i_{1}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{2}+1\right]}\right\}$ are distinct union of odd paths, and hence $\operatorname{gcd}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left[k i_{1}+1\right],\left[k i_{2}+1\right]\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Therefore, the parity of $i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $\left[k i_{1}+1\right],\left[k i_{2}+1\right]$ are different. $\left[k i_{j}+1\right]$ has $k$ distinct values for $j=1,2$ as follows:

$$
\left[k i_{j}+1\right]= \begin{cases}k i_{j}+1, & 1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant N \\ k i_{j}+1-N, & N+1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant 2 N \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ k i_{j}+1-(k-1) N, & (k-1) N+1 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant k N\end{cases}
$$

Now we only consider the case that $0 \leqslant k i_{j}+1 \leqslant N-1, j=1,2$, as shown in Figure 3. Then $e_{i_{1}}=v_{i_{1}} u_{k i_{1}+1}, e_{i_{2}}=v_{i_{2}} u_{k i_{2}+1}$ with $e_{i_{1}} \cap e_{i_{2}}=\emptyset$ and

$$
0 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2} \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{N-1}{k}\right\rfloor=n
$$

Figure 3. The case of $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$


Now, the number of 1-factors of $H$ with $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$ equals to the number of the selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$. When $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, we consider the parity of $i_{1}$. If $i_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $i_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

Let

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
i_{1}-0 & =2 k_{1}+1 \\
i_{2}-i_{1} & =2 k_{2}+1 \\
n-i_{2} & =2 k_{3}+1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where each $k_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is a nonnegative integer. Then

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=\frac{n-3}{2}
$$

It is easy to see that the number of the selections of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$ equals to the number of solutions of the above equation. Therefore, $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$ has $\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$ distinct selections. And as $i_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$ has $\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}}{2}$ distinct choices.

When $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, the number of choices of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)$. Therefore, when $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$,

$$
\Phi(H) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}, n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
\binom{\frac{n+2}{2}}{2}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similar to the above procedure, we may obtain the lower bound of $\Phi(H)$ for $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=4,6, \ldots, n+\varepsilon_{n}$, where $\varepsilon_{n}=0$ if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=-1$ for otherwise, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(H)>4+\sum_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2 i}{2}\right\rfloor}{ 2 i} \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2 i}{2}\right\rfloor}{ 2 i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ in inequalities (2.1). Then

$$
\Phi(H)>\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m+i}{2 i+1}
$$

Set $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ in inequalities (2.2). Then

$$
\Phi(H)>\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i}{2 i}
$$

Note that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{m}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m+i}{2 i+1} \\
g_{m}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+i}{2 i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

by Lemma 2.1, $f_{m}$ and $g_{m}$ are odd terms and even terms of Fibonacci sequence $F_{m}$, respectively. Then

$$
\Phi(H)> \begin{cases}f_{m}, & N \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ g_{m}, & N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Since the general form of Fibonacci sequence $F_{n}$ is

$$
F_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\sigma^{n+1}-\tau^{n+1}\right)
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \tau=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}, F_{n}$ increases exponentially. Hence $\Phi(H)$ also increases exponentially. By the construction of $H, \Phi(H)=\Phi(P(N, k))$. When $\operatorname{gcd}(N, k)=1$ and $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, the lower bound of $\Phi(P(N, k))$ is some item of Fibonacci sequence, and hence it increases exponentially with order $N$.

This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.3. Let $P(N, k)$ be a generalized Petersen graph. If $\operatorname{gcd}(N, k) \neq 1$, $N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then

$$
\Phi(P(N, k))> \begin{cases}2^{t-1} F_{n}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ 2^{t-1} F_{n-1}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

where $t=\operatorname{gcd}(N, k)$.
Proof. For the proof, we construct a new graph $H^{\prime}$ such that $H^{\prime} \cong P(N, k)$. It is easy to see that $t \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\frac{N}{k} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Let $2 m=\frac{N}{k}$. Then $P(N, k)$ can be restated as the union of a long cycle of length $N, t$ short cycles of length $2 m$ and $N$ edges joining these cycles.

We define a new graph $H^{\prime}=H^{\prime}\left(V\left(H^{\prime}\right), E\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\left\{u_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\} \\
E\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} \mid i=2(j-1) m, \ldots, 2 j m-2, j=1,2, \ldots, t\right\} \\
\cup\left\{v_{2 m-1} v_{0}, v_{4 m-1} v_{2 m}, \ldots, v_{N-1} v_{2(t-1) m}\right\} \\
\cup\left\{v_{i} u_{[k i+j]} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1, j=1,2, \ldots, t\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

An isomorphic mapping $(\phi, \varphi)$ between $H^{\prime}$ and $P(N, k)$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases}\phi: V\left(H^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow V(G) ; & \\
u_{i} \mapsto u_{i}, & i=1,2, \ldots, N ; \\
v_{i} \mapsto w_{[k i+j]}, & i=2(j-1) m, \ldots, 2 j m-1, j=1,2, \ldots, t .\end{cases} \\
& \begin{cases}\varphi: E\left(H^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E(G) ; & \\
u_{i} u_{i+1} \mapsto u_{i} u_{i+1}, & i=1,2, \ldots, N ; \\
v_{i} v_{i+1} \mapsto w_{[k i+1]} w_{[k(i+1)+1]}, & i=0,1, \ldots, 2 m-2 ; \\
v_{2 m-1} v_{0} \mapsto w_{[k(2 m-1)+1]} w_{1} ; & \\
\ldots & i=2 m, 2 m+1, \ldots, 4 m-2 ; \\
v_{i} v_{i+1} \mapsto w_{[k i+2]} w_{[k(i+1)+2]}, & \\
v_{4 m-1} v_{2 m} \mapsto w_{[k(4 m-1)+2]} w_{2} ; & i=2(t-1) m, \ldots, 2 t m-2 ; \\
v_{i} v_{i+1} \mapsto w_{[k i+t]} w_{[k(i+1)+t]}, & \\
v_{N-1} v_{2(t-1) m} \mapsto w_{[k(N-1)+t]} w_{2} ; & i=0,1,, \ldots, N-1, j=1,2, \ldots, t . \\
v_{i} u_{[k i+j]} \mapsto w_{[k i+j]} u_{[k i+j]}, & i=2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $P(12,3)$ has two distinct drawings as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Two drawings of $P(12,3)$



Since $(\phi, \varphi)$ is an isomorphic mapping between $G$ and $H^{\prime}$, we have $\Phi(G)=$ $\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Now we start to compute the lower bound of $\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Let

$$
E_{0}=\left\{e_{i}=v_{i} u_{[k i+j]} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, N-1, j=1,2, \ldots, t\right\}
$$

Then $H^{\prime}-E_{0}$ contains $t$ distinct short cycles $\left(C_{1 j}, j=1,2, \ldots, t\right)$ of length $2 m$ and a long cycle $C_{2}$ of length $N$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1 j}=v_{2(j-1) m} \ldots v_{2 j m-1} v_{2(j-1) m}, j=1,2, \ldots, t \\
C_{2}=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{N} u_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

We still use the definition of $F$ and $M_{0}(F)$ as before. If a 1 -factor of $H^{\prime}$ contains $F$, then $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|$ is even. We consider the case that the above edges lying on both $C_{2}$ and $C_{11}$.

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=0$, 1-factors of $H^{\prime}$ of this type are from $t+1$ long cycles, and each of them has two independent 1-factors. Then $\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)=2^{t+1}$.

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=2$, 1-factors of $H^{\prime}$ of this type contain two edges of $E_{0}$. Suppose that $M_{0}(F)=\left\{e_{0}, e_{i}\right\}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 m-1)$. If $i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $C_{11}-\left\{v_{0}, v_{i}\right\}$ contains a 1-factor. And $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{[k i+1]}\right\}$ also has a 1-factor for $[k i+1] \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then $[k i+1]=k i+1$ and $i$ have distinct parity for $1 \leqslant k i+1 \leqslant N$ (i.e., $1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{N-1}{k}\right\rfloor$ ). Thus we may only consider the case that $i \equiv 1(\bmod 2), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

When $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)($ or $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2))$, similar to the discussions we used before, the choices of $i$ are $\frac{n+1}{2}$ (or $\frac{n}{2}$ ). If $e_{0}$ is not fixed, then the first edge $e_{0}$ has exactly $n+1$ choices and once repeated, hence the two edges have $\frac{(n+1) n}{2}$ selections. Since the subgraphs determined by the left $t-1$ short cycles have $2^{t-1}$ distinct 1-factors,

$$
\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \begin{cases}2^{t-1} \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{n+1}{2}, & n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ 2^{t-1} \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{n}{2}, & n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

When $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=4$, let $M_{0}(F)=\left\{\left\{e_{0}, e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{3}}\right\} \mid 1 \leqslant i_{1} \leqslant i_{2} \leqslant i_{3} \leqslant\right.$ $2 m-1\}$. Then both of $C_{11}-\left\{v_{0}, v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, v_{i_{3}}\right\}$ and $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{\left[k i_{1}+1\right]}, u_{\left[k i_{2}+1\right]}\right.$,
$\left.u_{\left[k i_{3}+1\right]}\right\}$ have 1-factors if $i_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), i_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 2), i_{3} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\left[k i_{1}+1\right] \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\left[k i_{2}+1\right] \equiv 1(\bmod 2),\left[k i_{3}+1\right] \equiv 0(\bmod 2)($ see Figure 5$)$.

Figure 5. The case of $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=4$


As we have shown before, if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,[k i+1]=k i+1$ and $i$ have distinct parity, then the selections of $\left\{e_{0}, e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{3}}\right\}$ equal to the choices of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$. If $e_{0}$ is also not fixed, then it has $n+1$ choices and four times repeated. Hence the contribution of $M_{0}(F)$ is at least

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{n+1}{4}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}{3}, & n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ \frac{n+1}{4}\binom{\frac{n}{2}+1}{3}, & n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Since the remaining $t-1$ cycles have $2^{t-1}$ distinct 1 -factors,

$$
\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \begin{cases}2^{t-1} \frac{n+1}{4}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}{3}, & n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ 2^{t-1} \frac{n+1}{4}\binom{\frac{n}{2}+1}{3}, & n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

By the same method as above, the lower bound for $\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left|M_{0}(F)\right|=$ $6,8, \ldots, 2 m$ is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
2^{t+1}+2^{t-1} \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{n+1}{2}+2^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{n+1}{2 i+2}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}+i}{2 i+1}, n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
2^{t+1}+2^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{n+1}{2 i}\binom{\frac{n}{2}+i-1}{2 i-1}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)>\left\{\begin{array}{c}
2^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}+i}{2 i+1}=2^{t-1} F_{n}, n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
2^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\binom{\frac{n}{2}+i}{2 i+1}=2^{t-1} F_{n-1}, n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which completes the proof.
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