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1. Introduction

In 1990, R. Hegh-Krohn and B. Torresani [5] introduced irreducible quasi simple Lie algebras as a generalization of both affine Lie algebras and finite dimensional simple Lie algebras over the complex numbers. In 1997, the authors in [1] systematically studied irreducible quasi simple Lie algebras under the name extended affine Lie algebras. A nonzero Lie algebra is called an extended affine Lie algebra if it is equipped with an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form and that it has a weight space decomposition with respect to a finite dimensional Cartan subalgebra (i.e., a finite dimensional self-centralizing toral subalgebra) whose root vectors satisfy some natural conditions. Working with toral subalgebras in place of finite dimensional self-centralizing toral subalgebras, E. Neher [11] defines the notion of invariant affine reflection algebras. In [14], the author introduces and studies the super version of invariant affine reflection algebras called extended affine Lie superalgebras. Finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and affine Lie superalgebras are examples of extended affine Lie superalgebras having a Cartan subalgebra.

One knows that affine Lie algebras are realized using loop algebras. In [2], the authors deal with the realization of extended affine Lie algebras as a generalization of affine Lie algebras. Extended affine Lie algebras has a very close connection with certain kind of root graded Lie algebras [3] to which we refer
as finite-Lie tori so that the realization of extended affine Lie algebras comes back to the realization of finite-Lie tori. In [2], the authors using multiloop algebras instead of loop algebras, obtain almost all finite-Lie tori. The ingredients to construct a multiloop algebra in order to obtain a finite-Lie torus, is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a finite sequence of finite ordered commuting automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$.

On the other hand, affine Lie superalgebras are obtained using a loop superalgebra starting form a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra [12]. Also, it is proved that a simple extended affine Lie superalgebra having a Cartan subalgebra is a direct limit of finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. We call these Lie superalgebras locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. In a paper under preparation, we urge to realize extended affine Lie superalgebras; to this end, we must work with multiloop superalgebras staring from a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra. For this, we first need to know the structure and the classification of locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras.

In this work, we classify all locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and then study the conjugacy classes of cartan subalgebras under the group of automorphisms. Locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras with zero odd part are exactly locally finite split simple Lie algebras which are introduced, studied and classified by K-H. Neeb and N. Stumme in [10].

We organize this paper as follows: In Section 2, we gather some preliminaries which we need throughout the paper. In Section 3, we introduce some locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and show that they are mutually non-isomorphic. In Section 4, we classify locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and study the conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras; to do this, we need to know the concept of Chevalley bases for finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. A subsection of Section 4 is exclusively devoted to Chevalley bases and related topics.

This paper is the second part of a project on extended affine Lie superalgebras containing Cartan subalgebras. In this paper, we focus on “simple” extended affine Lie superalgebras containing Cartan subalgebras while in the first part, we study, in general, extended affine Lie superalgebras containing Cartan subalgebras and figure out the properties of the root spaces. Although, the first part is being separately prepared as a paper, we have put both parts in a single file at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1502.04586v1.pdf.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic zero and $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \{0, 1\}$ is the unique abelian group of order 2. Unless otherwise mentioned, all vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{F}$. We denote the dual space of a vector space $V$ by $V^*$. We denote the degree of a homogenous element $v$ of a superspace by
For a subset $S$ of an abelian group, by $\langle S \rangle$, we mean the subgroup generated by $S$ and for a set $S$, by $|S|$, we mean the cardinal number of $S$. For a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $C \subseteq A$, by $f \mid_C$, we mean the restriction of $f$ to $C$. For two symbols $i, j$, by $\delta_{i,j}$, we mean the Kronecker delta. We also use $\emptyset$ to indicate the disjoint union. We finally recall that the direct union is, by definition, the direct limit of a direct system whose morphisms are inclusion maps.

In the sequel, by a symmetric form on an additive abelian group $A$, we mean a map $(\cdot, \cdot) : A \times A \rightarrow F$ satisfying

- $(a, b) = (b, a)$ for all $a, b \in A$,
- $(a + b, c) = (a, c) + (b, c)$ and $(a, b + c) = (a, b) + (a, c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.

In this case, we set $A^0 := \{a \in A \mid (a, A) = \{0\}\}$ and call it the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The form is called nondegenerate if $A^0 = \{0\}$. We note that if the form is nondegenerate, $A$ is torsion free and we can identify $A$ as a subset of $Q \otimes Z A$. Throughout the paper, if an abelian group $A$ is equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric form, we consider $A$ as a subset of $Q \otimes Z A$ without further explanation. Also if $A$ is a vector space over $F$, bilinear forms are used in the usual sense.

**Definition 2.1** ([13, Definition 1.1]). Suppose that $A$ is a nontrivial additive abelian group, $R$ is a subset of $A$ and $(\cdot, \cdot) : A \times A \rightarrow F$ is a symmetric form.

Set

- $R^0 := R \cap A^0$,
- $R^\times := R \setminus R^0$,
- $R^\times_{re} := \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0\}$, $R_{re} := R^\times_{re} \cup \{0\}$,
- $R^\times_{ns} := \{\alpha \in R \setminus R^0 \mid (\alpha, \alpha) = 0\}$, $R_{ns} := R^\times_{ns} \cup \{0\}$.

We say $(A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)$ is an extended affine root supersystem if the following hold:

(S1) $0 \in R$ and $\langle R \rangle = A$,
(S2) $R = -R$,
(S3) for $\alpha \in R^\times_{re}$ and $\beta \in R$, $2(\alpha, \beta)/(\alpha, \alpha) \in Z$,
(S4) (root string property) for $\alpha \in R^\times_{re}$ and $\beta \in R$, there are nonnegative integers $p, q$ with $2(\beta, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha) = p - q$ such that

$$\{\beta + ka \mid k \in Z\} \cap R = \{\beta - pa, \ldots, \beta + qa\};$$

we call $\{\beta - pa, \ldots, \beta + qa\}$ the $\alpha$-string through $\beta$,
(S5) for $\alpha \in R_{ns}$ and $\beta \in R$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, $\{\beta - \alpha, \beta + \alpha\} \cap R \neq \emptyset$.

If there is no confusion, for the sake of simplicity, we say $R$ is an extended affine root supersystem in $A$. Elements of $R^0$ are called isotropic roots, elements of $R^\times_{re}$ are called real roots and elements of $R_{ns}$ are called nonsingular roots. A subset $X$ of $R^\times$ is called connected if each two elements $\alpha, \beta \in X$ are connected.
in \( X \) in the sense that there is a chain \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in X \) with \( \alpha_1 = \alpha \), \( \alpha_n = \beta \) and \( (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \neq 0 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). An extended affine root supersystem \( R \) is called irreducible if \( R_{re} \neq \{0\} \) and \( R^\times \) is connected (equivalently, \( R^\times \) cannot be written as a disjoint union of two nonempty orthogonal subsets). An extended affine root supersystem \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is called a locally finite root supersystem if the form \((\cdot, \cdot)\) is nondegenerate and it is called an affine reflection system if \( R_{ns} = \{0\} \); see \([11]\).

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is a locally finite root supersystem.

- The subgroup \( W \) of \( Aut(A) \) generated by \( r_\alpha (\alpha \in R^\times_{re}) \) mapping \( a \in A \) to \( a - \frac{2(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha \), is called the Weyl group of \( R \).
- A subset \( S \) of \( R \) is called a sub-supersystem if the restriction of the form to \( \langle S \rangle \) is nondegenerate, \( 0 \in S \), for \( \alpha \in S \cap R^\times_{re}, \beta \in S \) and \( \gamma \in S \cap R_{ns} \) with \( (\beta, \gamma) \neq 0 \), \( r_\alpha (\beta) \in S \) and \( \{\gamma - \beta, \gamma + \beta\} \cap S \neq \emptyset \); see \([13] \text{, Lemma 1.4 & Remark 1.6(ii)}\).
- A sub-supersystem \( S \) of \( R \) is called closed if for \( \alpha, \beta \in S \) with \( \alpha + \beta \in R \), we have \( \alpha + \beta \in S \).
- If \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is irreducible, \( R \) is said to be of real type if \( \text{span}_\mathbb{Q} R_{re} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} A \); otherwise, we say it is of imaginary type.
- The locally finite root supersystem \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is called a locally finite root system if \( R_{ns} = \{0\} \); see \([8]\).
- \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is said to be isomorphic to another locally finite root supersystem \((B, (\cdot, \cdot)', S)\) if there is a group isomorphism \( \varphi : A \rightarrow B \) and a nonzero scalar \( r \in \mathbb{F} \) such that \( \varphi(R) = S \) and \( (a_1, a_2) = r(\varphi(a_1), \varphi(a_2))' \) for all \( a_1, a_2 \in A \). In this case, we write \( R \simeq S \).

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that \((A, (\cdot, \cdot), R)\) is a locally finite root supersystem with Weyl group \( W \). Then the following statements hold.

(i) For \( A_{re} := \langle R_{re} \rangle \) and \( (\cdot, \cdot)_{re} := (\cdot, \cdot) |_{A_{re} \times A_{re}} \), \( (A_{re}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{re}, R_{re}) \) is a locally finite root system.

(ii) If \( R \) is irreducible and \( R_{ns} \neq \{0\} \), then \( R^\times_{ns} = W\delta \cup -W\delta \) for each \( \delta \in R^\times_{ns} \).

**Proof.** See \([15, \text{Section 3}]\). \(\square\)

Using Lemma 2.3, to know the classification of irreducible locally finite root supersystems, we first need to know the classification of locally finite root systems. Suppose that \( T \) is a nonempty index set with \( |T| \geq 2 \) and \( \mathcal{U} := \oplus_{i \in T} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i \) is the free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module over the set \( T \). Define the form \((\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\)

\[
(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) \mapsto \delta_{i,j}, \text{ for } i, j \in T
\]
and set

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}_T &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid i, j \in T\}, \\
D_T &= \hat{A}_T \cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) \mid i, j \in T, \ i \neq j\}, \\
B_T &= D_T \cup \{\pm\varepsilon_i \mid i \in T\}, \\
C_T &= D_T \cup \{\pm2\varepsilon_i \mid i \in T\}, \\
BC_T &= B_T \cup C_T.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

These are irreducible locally finite root systems in their \(\mathbb{Z}\)-span’s. Moreover, each irreducible locally finite root system is either an irreducible finite root system or a locally finite root system isomorphic to one of these locally finite root systems. We refer to locally finite root systems listed in (2.1) as type \(A, D, B, C\) and \(BC\) respectively. We note that if \(R\) is an irreducible locally finite root system as above, then \((\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_0^0\) for all \(\alpha \in R\). This allows us to define

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{sh} &= \{\alpha \in R^\times \mid (\alpha, \alpha) \leq (\beta, \beta); \ \text{for all } \beta \in R\}, \\
R_{ex} &= R \cap 2R_{sh} \ \text{and} \ \ R_{lg} := R^\times \setminus (R_{sh} \cup R_{ex}).
\end{align*}
\]

The elements of \(R_{sh}\) (respectively, \(R_{lg}, R_{ex}\)) are called short roots (respectively, long roots, extra-long roots) of \(R\). We point out that following the usual notation in the literature, the locally finite root system of type \(A\) is denoted by \(\hat{A}\) instead of \(A\), as all locally finite root systems listed above are spanning sets for \(\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}\) other than the one of type \(A\) which spans a subspace of codimension 1; see [8] and [13, Remark 1.6(i)].

Next suppose that \(I, J\) are two index sets with \(I \cup J \neq \emptyset\) and \(F\) is a free abelian group with a basis \(\{\varepsilon_i, \delta_j \mid i \in I, j \in J\}\). Define a form \((\cdot, \cdot) : F \times F \to \mathbb{F}\) with

\[
(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_r) := \delta_{i,r}, (\delta_j, \delta_s) := -\delta_{j,s} \ \text{and} \ \ (\varepsilon_i, \delta_j) = 0; \ \ i, r \in I, \ j, s \in J.
\]

Set

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_r, \delta_i - \delta_r, \varepsilon_i - \delta_r - \frac{1}{7} \sum_{k \in I} (\varepsilon_k - \delta_k) \mid i, r \in I\}; \\
\hat{A}(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_r, \delta_i - \delta_s, \varepsilon_i - \delta_j \mid i, r \in I, j, s \in J\}; \\
B(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_r, \delta_i \pm \delta_j \mid i, r \in I, j, s \in J\}; \\
C(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_r, \delta_i \pm \delta_s, \varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j \mid i, r \in I, j, s \in J\}; \\
D(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_r, \delta_i \pm \delta_s, \varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j \mid i, r \in I, j, s \in J, i \neq r\}; \\
BC(I, J) &= \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_r, \delta_i \pm \delta_j, \varepsilon_i \pm \delta_s \mid i, r \in I, j, s \in J\}; \\
F(4) &= \{0, \varepsilon_i, \delta_i \pm \delta_j, \delta_i, \frac{2}{7}(\varepsilon_1 \pm \delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}; \\
G(3) &= \{0, \varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_1, \delta_1 - \delta_j, 2\delta_1 - \delta_j - \delta_k, \varepsilon_1 \pm (\delta_i - \delta_j) \mid \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}; \\
(I = \{1\}, J = \{1, 2, 3\}).
\end{align*}
\]

in which if \(I\) or \(J\) is empty, the corresponding indices disappear. We mention that the \(\mathbb{Z}\)-span of all these locally finite root supersystems are \(F\) except for \(\hat{A}(I, J)\), so to denote this type, we use \(\hat{A}\) instead of \(A\).
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2.3 We assume

Suppose that

so we have

\[ C(J) = \{ 0, \pm \delta_j, \pm e_i, \pm \delta_j | j, s \in J \}. \]

In the sequel if either \( I \) or \( J \) is a finite set, we may replace it by its cardinality in each type, e.g., we may denote \( B(I, J) \) by \( B(|I|, |J|) \) if \( I \) and \( J \) are finite sets. Using this convention, \( C(1) \) can be identified with \( \hat{A}(1, 2) \) and \( D(2, 1) \) is nothing but the root system of the finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra \( D(2, 1, \alpha) \) for \( \alpha = 1 \). We drew the attention of readers to the point that our notations have a minor difference with the notations in the literature, more precisely, \( C(n) \) for \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1} \) and \( \hat{A}(m, n) \) for \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1} \) in our sense are denoted by \( C(n+1) \) and \( A(m-1, n-1) \), respectively in the literature. Our notations allow us to switch smoothly from the finite case to the infinite case.

**Theorem 2.4** ([15, Section 4]). Each irreducible locally finite root supersystem

is either isomorphic to the root system of a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra or isomorphic to one of the root supersystems introduced in (2.2). Among all irreducible locally finite root supersystems, \( C(I) \) and \( \hat{A}(I, J) \) with \( |I| \neq |J| \) if both \( I \) and \( J \) are finite, are of imaginary type and the other ones are of real type.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose that \( \mathcal{V} \) is a vector space equipped with a symmetric bilinear form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and \( R \) is a subset of \( \mathcal{V} \) such that \((\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)_{(R)} \times (R), R)\) is a locally finite root supersystem. Suppose that \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq R_{re} \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent. Then \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) is \( \mathbb{F} \)-linearly independent; also if \( R_{ns} \setminus \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \delta \in R_{ns} \setminus \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \), then \( \{\delta, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) is also \( \mathbb{F} \)-linearly independent.

**Proof.** We assume \( R_{ns} \setminus \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \delta \in R_{ns} \setminus \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \), and show \( \{\delta, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) is \( \mathbb{F} \)-linearly independent; the other statement is similarly proved. Take \( \{1, x_i | i \in I\} \) to be a basis for \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( \mathbb{F} \). Suppose that \( r, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{F} \) and \( r\delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j \alpha_j = 0 \). Suppose that for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \), \( r_j = s_j + \sum_{i \in I} s_j^i x_i \) with \( \{s_j, s_j^i | i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \). We first show \( r = 0 \). To the contrary, assume \( r \neq 0 \). Without loss of generality, we assume \( r = 1 \). So \( 0 = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j \alpha_j = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (s_j + \sum_{i \in I} s_j^i x_i) \alpha_j \). Now for \( \alpha \in R_{re} \), we have

\[
\frac{2(\delta, \alpha)}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j 2\frac{(\alpha_j, \alpha)}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i \in I} s_j^i x_i 2\frac{(\alpha_j, \alpha)}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} = 0.
\]

This implies that for \( \alpha \in R_{re} \) and \( i \in I \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j 2\frac{(\alpha_j, \alpha)}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} = 0 \) and so \( (\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j^i \alpha_j, \alpha) = 0 \). But it follows from Lemma 2.3(b)(i) that the form on \( \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}R_{re} \) is nondegenerate, so \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j^i \alpha_j = 0 \) for all \( i \in I \). Now as \( \{\alpha_j | 1 \leq j \leq n\} \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent, we have

\[
s_j^i = 0 \quad (i \in I, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}).
\]
Therefore, we get \( 0 = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j \alpha_j = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (s_j + \sum_{i \in I} s_i^j x_i) \alpha_j = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \alpha_j. \) Thus we have \( \delta = - \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \alpha_j \) which is absurd. This shows that \( r = 0. \) Now repeating the above argument, one gets that \( s_j^i = 0 \) for all \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and that \( 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \alpha_j. \) Thus we have \( s_j = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq n. \) This implies that \( r_j = s_j + \sum_{i \in I} s_i^j x_i = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) and so we are done.

**Lemma 2.6** ([13, Lemma 2.3]). Suppose that \( R \) is an irreducible locally finite root supersystem of type \( X \) in an abelian group \( A. \) Then we have the following:

(i) \( A \) is a free abelian group and \( R \) contains a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis for \( A. \)

(ii) If \( X \neq A(\ell, \ell), \) \( R \) contains a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis \( \Pi \) for \( A \) satisfying the partial sum property in the sense that for each \( \alpha \in R^\times, \) there are \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Pi \) (not necessarily distinct) and \( r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) with \( \alpha = r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n \) and \( r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n \in R^\times, \) for all \( 1 \leq t \leq n. \)

**Definition 2.7.** A subset \( \Pi \) of a locally finite root supersystem \( R \) is called an integral base for \( R \) if \( \Pi \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis for \( A. \) An integral base \( \Pi \) of \( R \) is called a base for \( R \) if it satisfies the partial sum property.

**Lemma 2.8** ([13, Lemma 2.4(iii)]). If \( R \) is an infinite irreducible locally finite root supersystem, then there is a base \( \Pi \) for \( R \) and a class \( \{ R_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma \} \) of finite irreducible closed sub-supersystems of \( R \) of the same type as \( R \) such that \( R \) is the direct union of \( R_{\gamma} \)'s and for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma, \Pi \cap R_{\gamma} \) is a base for \( R_{\gamma}. \)

### 3. Locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras

We recall that a Lie superalgebra \( \mathcal{G} \) is called locally finite if each finite subset of \( \mathcal{G} \) generates a finite dimensional subsuperalgebra. Suppose that \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \) is a nonzero Lie superalgebra equipped with a nondegenerate invariant even supersymmetric bilinear form \((\cdot, \cdot)\) and \( \mathcal{H} \) is a nontrivial subalgebra of \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) such that with respect to \( \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L} \) has a weight space decomposition \( \mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{L}^\alpha \) via the adjoint representation and the restriction of the form \((\cdot, \cdot)\) to \( \mathcal{H} \) is nondegenerate. We call \( R := \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathcal{L}^\alpha \neq \{0\} \} \), the root system of \( \mathcal{L} \) (with respect to \( \mathfrak{h} \)). Each element of \( R \) is called a root. We mention that \( \mathfrak{h} \) is abelian and as \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) as well as \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) are \( \mathfrak{h} \)-submodules of \( \mathcal{L} \), we have using [9, Proposition 2.1.1] that \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{L}_0^\alpha \) and \( \mathcal{L}_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{L}_1^\alpha \) with \( \mathcal{L}_i^\alpha := \mathcal{L}_i \cap \mathcal{L}^\alpha, i = 0, 1. \) We refer to the elements of \( R_0 := \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathcal{L}_0^\alpha \neq \{0\} \} \) (respectively \( R_1 := \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathcal{L}_1^\alpha \neq \{0\} \} \)) as even roots (respectively odd roots) and note that \( R = R_0 \cup R_1. \) Since the form is invariant and even, for \( \alpha, \beta \in R \) and \( i, j \in \{0, 1\}, \) we have

\[
(\mathcal{L}_i^\alpha, \mathcal{L}_j^\beta) = \{0\} \quad \text{if } i \neq j \text{ or } \alpha + \beta \neq 0.
\]

This in particular implies that for \( i \in \{0, 1\} \) and \( \alpha \in R_i, \) the form restricted to \( \mathcal{L}_i^\alpha + \mathcal{L}_i^{-\alpha} \) is nondegenerate. Take \( p : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^* \) to be the function mapping
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Since the form is nondegenerate on \( \mathcal{H} \), the map \( \phi \) is one to one (and so onto if \( \mathcal{H} \) is finite dimensional). So for each element \( \alpha \) of the image \( \mathcal{H}^p \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) under the map \( \phi \), there is a unique \( t_\alpha \in \mathcal{H} \) representing \( \alpha \) through the form \( (\cdot, \cdot) \) and defined by

\[
(\alpha, \beta) := (t_\alpha, t_\beta) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^p).
\]

Using [14, Lemma 3.1], if \( \alpha \in R \cap \mathcal{H}^p \), \( x \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha \) and \( y \in \mathcal{L}^{-\alpha} \) with \( [x, y] \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
[x, y] = (x, y)t_\alpha.
\]

We also draw the attention of readers to the point that if either \( \mathcal{H} \) is finite dimensional or \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{H} \), it is not hard to see that \( R \subseteq \mathcal{H}^p \).

**Definition 3.1.** A Lie superalgebra \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \), is called a **locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra** if

- \( \mathcal{L} \) is locally finite and simple,
- \( \mathcal{L} \) is equipped with an invariant nondegenerate even supersymmetric bilinear form,
- \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) has a nontrivial subalgebra \( \mathcal{H} \) (referred to as a Cartan subalgebra) with respect to which \( \mathcal{L} \) has a weight space decomposition \( \mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{L}^\alpha \) via the adjoint representation with corresponding root system \( \mathcal{R} \) such that \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{R}^R = \{ \alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, R) \neq \{0\} \} \neq \emptyset \).

We may also write \((\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))\) is a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra.

**Theorem 3.2 (\cite[Theorem 2.30]{16}).** Suppose that \((\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))\) is a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra, then

(i) the root system \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( \mathcal{L} \) is an irreducible locally finite root supersystem,
(ii) \( \mathcal{L} \) is a direct union of finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras,
(iii) \([\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_0]\) is a semisimple Lie algebra,
(iv) if \( \mathcal{L}_1 \neq \{0\} \), it is a completely reducible \( \mathcal{L}_0 \)-module with at most two irreducible constituents.

In the rest of this section, we shall introduce some non-isomorphic examples of locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. Let us start with some notations. For a unital associative superalgebra \( \mathcal{A} \) and nonempty index supersets \( I, J \), by an \( I \times J \)-matrix with entries in \( \mathcal{A} \), we mean a map \( A : I \times J \to \mathcal{A} \). For \( i \in I, j \in J \), we set \( a_{ij} := A(i, j) \) and call it the \((i, j)\)-th entry of \( A \). By a convention, we denote the matrix \( A \) by \( (a_{ij}) \). We also denote the set of all \( I \times J \)-matrices with entries in \( \mathcal{A} \) by \( \mathcal{A}^{I \times J} \). If \( I = J \), we denote \( \mathcal{A}^{I \times J} \)
by $A^I$. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in A^{I \times J}$, the matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in A^{J \times I}$ with

$$b_{ij} := \begin{cases} a_{ji} & |i| = |j| \\ a_{ji} & |i| = 1, |j| = 0 \\ -a_{ji} & |i| = 0, |j| = 1 \end{cases}$$

is called the supertransposition of $A$ and denoted by $A^{st}$. If $A = (a_{ij}) \in A^{I \times J}$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in A^{J \times K}$ are such that for all $i \in I$ and $k \in K$, at most for finitely many $j \in J$, $a_{ij}b_{jk}$'s are nonzero, we define the product $AB$ of $A$ and $B$ to be the $I \times K$-matrix $C = (c_{ik})$ with $c_{ik} := \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij}b_{jk}$ for all $i \in I, k \in K$. We note that if $A, B, C$ are three matrices such that $AB, (AB)C, BC$ and $A(BC)$ are defined, then $A(BC) = (AB)C$. We make a convention that if $I$ is a disjoint union of subsets $I_1, \ldots, I_t$ of $I$, then for an $I \times I$-matrix $A$, we write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,t} \\ A_{2,1} & \cdots & A_{2,t} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{t,1} & \cdots & A_{t,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

in which for $1 \leq r, s \leq t$, $A_{r,s}$ is an $I_r \times I_s$-matrix whose $(i, j)$-th entry coincides with $(i, j)$-th entry of $A$ for all $i \in I_r, j \in I_s$. In this case, we say that $A \in A^I_{I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_t}$ and note that the defined matrix product obeys the product of block matrices. If $\{a_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq A$, by diag$(a_i)$, we mean an $I \times I$-matrix whose $(i, i)$-th entry is $a_i$ for all $i \in I$ and other entries are zero. If $A$ is unital, we set $1_A := \text{diag}(1_A)$. A matrix $A \in A^I$ is called invertible if there is a matrix $B \in A^I$ such that $AB$ as well as $BA$ are defined and $AB = BA = 1_I$; such a $B$ is unique and denoted by $A^{-1}$. For $i \in I, j \in J$ and $a \in A$, we define $E_{i,j}(a)$ to be a matrix in $A^{I \times J}$ whose $(i, j)$-th entry is $a$ and other entries are zero and if $A$ is unital, we set $e_{i,j} := E_{i,j}(1)$.

Take $M_{I \times J}(A)$ to be the subspace of $A^{I \times J}$ spanned by $\{E_{i,j}(a) \mid i \in I, j \in J, a \in A\}$. $M_{I \times J}(A)$ is a superspace with $M_{I \times J}(A)_{i} := \text{span}_F \{E_{r,s}(a) \mid |r| + |s| + |a| = i\}$, for $i = 0, 1$. Also with respect to the multiplication of matrices, the vector superspace $M_{I \times J}(A)$ is an associative $F$-superalgebra and so it is a Lie superalgebra under the Lie bracket $[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{|A||B|} BA$ for all $A, B \in M_{I \times J}(A)$. We denote this Lie superalgebra by $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)$, we have $(XY)^{st} = (-1)^{|X||Y|} Y^{st} X^{st}$. Finally, for an element $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)$, we set $\text{str}(X) := \sum_{i \in I}(-1)^{|i|}x_{i, i}$ and call it the supertrace of $X$.

**Lemma 3.3.**

(i) Suppose that $Q$ is a homogeneous element of $F^I$, then $G_Q := \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(F) \mid X^{st} Q = -(-1)^{|X||Q|} Q X\}$ is a Lie subsuperalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(F)$.

(ii) If $Q_1, Q_2$ are homogeneous elements of $F^I$ and $T$ is an invertible homogeneous element of $F^I$ of degree zero such that $Q_2 = T^{st}Q_1T$, then $G_{Q_1}$ is isomorphic to $G_{Q_2}$ via the isomorphism mapping $X \to T^{-1}XT$. 
Suppose that $I$ and $J$ are two supersets and $\eta : I \to J$ is a bijection preserving the degree. For a matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ of $\mathbb{F}^I$, define $A^\eta \in \mathbb{F}^J$ to be $(A^\eta_{ij})$ with $A^\eta_{ij} = A_{\eta^{-1}(i)\eta^{-1}(j)}$. If $Q$ is a homogeneous element of $\mathbb{F}^I$ and $Q' := Q^\eta$, then the Lie superalgebra $G_Q := \{ X \in \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{F}) \mid X^s Q = -(-1)^{|X||Q|} Q X \}$ is isomorphic to the Lie superalgebra $G_{Q'} := \{ X \in \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{F}) \mid X^s Q' = -(-1)^{|X||Q'|} Q' X \}$.

Proof. Statements (i) and (ii) are easy to verify.

(iii) Suppose that matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^I$ are such that $AB$ is defined, then for $i, j \in I$, we have

$$(A^\eta B^\eta)_{\eta(i)\eta(j)} = \sum_{t \in I} A^\eta_{\eta(i)t} B^\eta_{\eta(t)\eta(j)} = \sum_{t \in I} A_{ij} B_{tj} = (AB)_{ij} = (AB)^\eta_{\eta(i)\eta(j)}.$$

This in particular implies that if $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{F}^I$ are such that $AB$ and $CD$ are defined and $AB = CD$, then $A^\eta B^\eta = C^\eta D^\eta$. Moreover, as $\eta$ preserves the degree, we have $(A^s)^\eta = (A^\eta)^s$. Now it is easy to see that the function $\theta : G_Q \to G_{Q'}$ mapping $X$ to $X^\eta$ is an isomorphism. \hfill \Box

Example 3.4. For two disjoint index sets $I, J$ with $|J| \neq 0$, suppose that $\{0, i, i, j \mid i \in I \cup J\}$ is a superset with $|0| = |i| = |i| = 0$ for $i \in I$ and $|j| = |i| = 1$ for $j \in J$. We set $\tilde{I} := I \cup \bar{I}$, $\bar{I} := \{0\} \cup I \cup \bar{I}$ and $\tilde{J} := J \cup \bar{J}$ where

$$\tilde{I} := \{ \tilde{i} \mid i \in I \} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{J} := \{ \tilde{j} \mid j \in J \}.$$

For $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{I} \cup \tilde{J}$ or $\mathcal{I} = \bar{I} \cup \bar{J}$, we set

$$Q_{\mathcal{I}} := \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in which

$$M_2 := \sum_{j \in J} (e_{j,j} - e_{\bar{j},\bar{j}}) \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 := \begin{cases} -2v_{0,0} + \sum_{k \in J} (e_{\tilde{k},\tilde{k}} + e_{\bar{k},\bar{k}}) & \text{if $\mathcal{I} = \bar{I} \cup J$} \\ \sum_{k \in J} (e_{\tilde{k},\tilde{k}} + e_{\bar{k},\bar{k}}) & \text{if $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{I} \cup \bar{J}$} \end{cases}$$

Now by Lemma 3.3,

$$G_{\mathcal{I}} := G_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} = \{ X \in \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{F}) \mid X^s Q_{\mathcal{I}} = -Q_{\mathcal{I}} X \}$$

is a Lie subsuperalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{F})$ which we refer to as $\mathfrak{osp}(2\tilde{I}, 2\tilde{J})$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(2\bar{I} + 1, 2\bar{J})$ if $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{I} \cup J$ or $\mathcal{I} = \bar{I} \cup J$ respectively. Set

$$\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{ h_t, d_k \mid t \in I, k \in J \}$$

in which for $t \in I$ and $k \in J$,

$$h_t := e_{t,\tilde{t}} - e_{\bar{t},\bar{t}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_k := e_{k,\tilde{k}} - e_{\bar{k},\bar{k}}$$

and for $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, define

$$e_i : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{F} \quad \delta_j : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{F}$$

$$h_t \mapsto \delta_{i,t}, \quad d_k \mapsto 0, \quad h_t \mapsto 0, \quad d_k \mapsto \delta_{j,k},$$
(t ∈ I, k ∈ J). One sees that \( G_T \) has a weight space decomposition with respect to \( \mathfrak{h} \). Taking \( R(\mathcal{I}) \) to be the corresponding set of weights, we have

\[
R(\{0\} \cup J) = \{±e_r, ±(e_r ± e_s), ±(δ_p ± δ_q), ±(e_r ± δ_p) \mid r, s \in I, p, q \in J, r \neq s\},
\]

\[
R(I \cup J) = \{±(e_r ± e_s), ±(δ_p ± δ_q), ±(e_r ± δ_p) \mid r, s \in I, p, q \in J, r \neq s\}
\]

in which \( ±(e_r ± e_s) \)'s are disappeared if \( |I| = 1 \) and \( ±e_r \)'s, \( ±(e_r ± e_s) \)'s as well as \( ±(e_r ± δ_p) \)'s are disappeared if \( |I| = 0 \). Moreover, for \( r, s \in I, p, q \in J \) with \( r \neq s \) and \( p \neq q \), we have

\[
(\mathcal{G}_I)^{r+s} = \text{span}_p(e_r - e_s, r), \quad (\mathcal{G}_I)^{r-s} = \text{span}_p(2e_r - e_s, r),
\]

\[
(\mathcal{G}_I)^{r-2s} = \text{span}_p(2e_r - e_s, r), \quad (\mathcal{G}_I)^{r-2s} = \text{span}_p(e_r - e_s, r),
\]

\[
(\mathcal{G}_I)^{r-2s} = \text{span}_p(e_r - e_s, r), \quad (\mathcal{G}_I)^{r-2s} = \text{span}_p(2e_r - e_s, r),
\]

\[
(\mathcal{G}_I)^{r+2s} = \text{span}_p(e_r + e_s, r), \quad (\mathcal{G}_I)^{r+2s} = \text{span}_p(2e_r + e_s, r),
\]

\[
(\mathcal{G}_I)^{r+2s} = \text{span}_p(2e_r + e_s, r), \quad (\mathcal{G}_I)^{r+2s} = \text{span}_p(e_r + e_s, r),
\]

\[
\mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J)^{r} = \text{span}_p(e_r + 2e_s, r), \quad \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J)^{-r} = \text{span}_p(e_r - 2e_s, r),
\]

\[
\mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J)^{s} = \text{span}_p(e_r - 2e_s, r), \quad \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J)^{-s} = \text{span}_p(e_r + 2e_s, r).
\]

Define

\[
(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{G}_T \times \mathcal{G}_I \rightarrow \mathbb{F}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{str}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{G}_I).
\]

Then \( (\mathcal{G}_T, \mathfrak{h}, (\cdot, \cdot)) \) is a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra whose root system is an irreducible locally finite root supersystem of type \( X \) as in the following table:

| \( X \) | \( (|I|, |J|) \) | \( \mathcal{I} \) | \( X \) | \( (|I|, |J|) \) | \( \mathcal{I} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( B(0, J) \) | \( (0, \geq 1) \) | \( \{0\} \cup J \) | \( C(J) \) | \( (1, \geq 2) \) | \( I \cup J \) |
| \( B(1, J) \) | \( (1, \geq 2) \) | \( \{0\} \cup J \) | \( D(2, 1, \alpha) \) | \( (2, 1) \) | \( I \cup J \) |
| \( B(1, J) \) | \( (\geq 2, 1) \) | \( \{0\} \cup J \) | \( D(2, J) \) | \( (2, \geq 2) \) | \( I \cup J \) |
| \( C(2, J) \) | \( (\geq 2, \geq 2) \) | \( \{0\} \cup J \) | \( D(1, J) \) | \( (3, \geq 1) \) | \( I \cup J \) |
| \( A(0, 2) \) | \( (1, 1) \) | \( I \cup J \) | \( D(1, J) \) | \( (\geq 3, 2) \) | \( I \cup J \) |

We refer to \( \mathfrak{h} \) as the standard Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathcal{G}_T \). We note that \( (\mathcal{G}_T)_0 \) is centerless unless \( \mathcal{I} = \{I \cup J \} \) with \( |I| = 1 \); see [16, Lemma 2.33]. In this case, suppose \( I = \{1\} \), then for a fixed index \( j \in J \), \( t^{\varepsilon_j + \delta_j} - (1/2)t^{2\delta_j} \) is a nonzero central element of the even part of \( \mathcal{G}_T \).

As in [10, Section 1], we have the following lemma:
Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $I, J$ are two nonempty index sets with $|I| = \infty$, then $\mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J)$.

Proof. Consider the following matrices of $\mathbb{F}^{(0)\cup I\cup J\cup J}$:

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_e := \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q := \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then we have $S^t QS = Q_e$. Also for matrices

$$S' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_o := \begin{pmatrix} 2I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q' := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\mathbb{F}^{I\cup J\cup J\cup J}$, we have $S'^t Q' S' = Q_o$. Now by Lemma 3.3, $G_Q \simeq G_{Q_e}, G_{Q'} \simeq G_{Q_o},$ and $G_{Q_e} \simeq G_{Q_o}$. This completes the proof. □

Example 3.6. Suppose that $J$ is a superset with $J_0, J_1 \neq \emptyset$. Set $G := \mathfrak{sl}(J_0, J_1) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{F}) \mid \text{str}(X) = 0 \}$ and $\mathcal{H} := \text{span}_\mathbb{F}\{e_{i,i} - e_{r,r}, e_{j,j} - e_{s,s}, e_{i,i} + e_{j,j} \mid i, r \in J_0, j, s \in J_1\}$. For $t \in J_0, k \in J_1$, define $e_t : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad e_{i,i} - e_{r,r} \mapsto \delta_{i,t} - \delta_{r,t}, \quad e_{j,j} - e_{s,s} \mapsto 0, \quad e_{i,i} + e_{j,j} \mapsto \delta_{i,k}$,

$$\delta_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad e_{i,i} - e_{r,r} \mapsto 0, \quad e_{j,j} - e_{s,s} \mapsto \delta_{j,k} - \delta_{k,s}, \quad e_{i,i} + e_{j,j} \mapsto \delta_{k,j}$$

$(i, r \in J_0, j, s \in J_1)$. Also define $(\cdot, \cdot) : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}; \quad (X, Y) \mapsto \text{str}(XY)$. If $|J| < \infty$ and $|J_0| = |J_1|$, take $K := \mathbb{F}\sum_{j \in J} e_{jj}$ and note that it is a subset of the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. So it induces a bilinear form on $G/K$ denoted again by $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Set

$$\mathfrak{sl}_s(J_0, J_1) := \begin{cases} G/K & \text{if } |J| < \infty \text{ and } |J_0| = |J_1|, \\ G & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(\mathcal{L} := \mathfrak{sl}_s(J_0, J_1), (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}/K)$ is a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra with root system

$$\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j, \delta_p - \delta_q, \pm(\epsilon_i - \delta_q) \mid i, j \in J_0, p, q \in J_1\}$$

which is an irreducible locally finite root supersystem of type $X$ as in the following table:
| \(X\) | \(|J_0|, |J_1|\) |
|---|---|
| \(A(0, J_1)\) | \((1, \geq 2)\) |
| \(A(0, J_0)\) | \((\geq 2, 1)\) |
| \(A(J_0, J_1)\) | \(|J_0| \neq |J_1|\) if \(J_0, J_1\) are both finite |
| \(A(t, t)\) | \((t, t)\) \((t \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 1})\) |

Also if \(|J_0|, |J_1|\) \((\neq (1, 1))\), for \(i, j \in J_0\) and \(p, q \in J_1\) with \(i \neq j\) and \(p \neq q\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} &= \mathbb{F} e_{i,j}, \\
\mathcal{L}^{\delta_p - \delta_q} &= \mathbb{F} e_{q,p}, \\
\mathcal{L}^{\epsilon_i - \delta_p} &= \mathbb{F} e_{i,p}, \\
\mathcal{L}^{-\epsilon_i + \delta_p} &= \mathbb{F} e_{p,i}.
\end{align*}
\]

We refer to \(\mathcal{H}/K\) as the standard Cartan subalgebra of \(\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{sl}_s(J_0, J_1)\). We now need to discuss the center of \(\mathcal{L}_0\) for our future purpose. We recall from finite dimensional theory of Lie superalgebras that if \(|J_0|, |J_1| < \infty\), \(\mathcal{L}_0\) has nontrivial center if and only if \(|J_0| \neq |J_1|\) and that in this case, it has a one dimensional center. Now suppose \(|J_0 \cup J_1| = \infty\), say \(|J_0| = \infty\). Fix \(i_0 \in J_0\) and \(j_0 \in J_1\). Then \(\{e_{i_0,j}, e_{i,j} - e_{i_0,j} + e_{i_0,j_0}, e_{i_0,j_0} + e_{j_0,j} \mid i \in J_0 \setminus \{i_0\}, j \in J_1 \setminus \{j_0\}\}\) is a basis for \(\mathcal{H}\). Suppose \(i_1, \ldots, i_t\) are distinct elements of \(J_0 \setminus \{i_0\}\) and \(j_1, \ldots, j_n\) are distinct elements of \(J_1 \setminus \{j_0\}\). If \(z = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i (e_{i_0,i_0} - e_{i_0,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i (e_{j_0,j_0} - e_{j_0,j}) + k(e_{i_0,i} + e_{j_0,j})\) (where \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i (e_{j_0,j} - e_{j_0,j_0})\) is disappearred if \(|J_1| = 1\)) is an element of the center of \(\mathcal{L}_0\), then for each \(i \in J_0 \setminus \{i_0\}\), \([z, (\mathcal{L}_0)^{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i_0}}] = \{0\}\).

Now if \(i = i_s\) for some \(s \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\), we get \(r_s + (\sum_{t=1}^{\ell} r_t) - k = 0\) and if \(i \not\in \{i_1, \ldots, i_t\}\), we get \(\sum_{t=1}^{\ell} r_t - k = 0\). Therefore we have \(r_s = 0\) for all \(s \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\) and so \(k = 0\). This shows that \(\mathcal{L}\) is centerless if \(|J_1| = 1\). If \(|J_1| > 1\), \([z, \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon_0 - \delta_0}] = \{0\}\). This implies that \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i = 0\). We also have \([z, \mathcal{L}^{\delta_j - \delta_0}] = \{0\}\) for all \(j \in J_1 \setminus \{j_0\}\). Now it follows that \(s_t = 0\) for all \(t \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\). This means that \(z = 0\) and so \(\mathcal{L}\) is centerless.

**Lemma 3.7.** For index sets \(I, J\) with \(|J| \neq 0\) and a superset \(T\) with \(|T_0|, |T_1| \neq 0\), set

\[
\mathfrak{a}_{I,J} := \mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J)(I \neq \emptyset), \mathfrak{b}_{I,J} := \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J), \mathfrak{c}_T := \mathfrak{sl}_s(T_0, T_1).
\]

Suppose that \(\mathcal{G}\) and \(\mathcal{L}\) are two Lie superalgebras of the class \(\{\mathfrak{a}_{I,J}, \mathfrak{b}_{I,J}, \mathfrak{c}_T \mid I, J, T\}\).

Then \(\mathcal{G}\) and \(\mathcal{L}\) are isomorphic if and only if (up to changing the role of \(\mathcal{G}\) and \(\mathcal{L}\)) one of the following holds:

- there are index sets \(I, I', J\) with \(|I| = |I'| \neq 0\), \(|J| = |J'| \neq 0\), \(\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{a}_{I,J}\) and \(\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{a}_{I',J}\),
- there are index sets \(I, I', J\) with \(|I| = |I'|\), \(|J| = |J'| \neq 0\), \(\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{b}_{I,J}\) and \(\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{b}_{I',J}\),
- there are supersets \(I, J\) with \(|I_0| = |J_0| \neq 0\), \(|I_1| = |J_1| \neq 0\), or \(|I_0| = |J_1| \neq 0\), \(|I_1| = |J_0| \neq 0\) such that \(\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{c}_I\) and \(\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{c}_J\),
there are index sets \( I, J \) with \( |I| = |J| = 1 \) and a superset \( T \) with \(|T_0| = 1, |T_1| = 2 \) or \(|T_0| = 2, |T_1| = 1 \) such that \( G = a_{I,J} \) and \( L = c_T \).

Moreover, in each of the first three cases, the mentioned isomorphism can be chosen such that the standard Cartan subalgebra of \( G \) is mapped to the standard Cartan subalgebra of \( L \).

Proof. We first note that for two Lie algebras \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) such that \([k_1, k_1]\) and \([k_2, k_2]\) are semisimple with the complete sets of simple ideals \( \{k_{11}, \ldots, k_{1n}\} \) and \( \{k_{21}, \ldots, k_{2m}\} \) respectively, if \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are isomorphic, we have

- \([t_1, t_1]\) and \([t_2, t_2]\) are isomorphic,
- \( t_1 \) is centerless if and only if \( t_2 \) is centerless,
- \( m = n \) and (under a permutation of indices) \( t_i^1 \simeq t_i^2 \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

Now take \( A \) to be one of the Lie superalgebras \( a_{I,J}, b_{I,J}, c_T \). We have already seen that if \( A \) is infinite dimensional, then the even part of \( A \) is centerless if and only if \( A \neq a_{I,J} \) for some infinite index set \( J \) and an index set \( I \) with \( |I| = 1 \). Next suppose that \( G \) and \( L \) are as in the statement and assume they are isomorphic, then we have \( G_0 \simeq L_0 \). We also know that \([G_0, G_0]\) as well as \([L_0, L_0]\) are semisimple Lie algebras by Theorem 3.2. Using these together with (3.1), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, classification of basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and [10, Propositions VI4,VI6], we are done. \( \square \)

4. Classification theorem

In this section, we classify locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras (l.f.b.c.s Lie superalgebras for short) and study the conjugacy classes of their Cartan subalgebras under the group of automorphisms. The first step towards the classification of l.f.b.c.s Lie superalgebras is finding out an isomorphism theorem. One knows that l.f.b.c.s Lie superalgebras with zero odd part are exactly locally finite split simple Lie algebras in the sense of [10] and that finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras and consequently finite dimensional simple Lie algebras are examples of l.f.b.c.s. Lie superalgebras. We know form the finite dimensional theory of Lie algebras that due to the interaction of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra with its root system, the theorem stating that finite dimensional simple Lie algebras with isomorphic root systems, are isomorphic [6, Theorem 14.2], plays a crucial role to get the classification of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras. Using this theorem together with the fact that locally finite split simple Lie algebras are a direct union of finite dimensional simple subalgebras, the authors in [10] prove that two locally finite split simple Lie algebras with isomorphic root systems are isomorphic. Moreover, they introduce two isomorphic locally finite split simple Lie algebras with non-isomorphic Cartan subalgebras and isomorphic root
systems. They use this to find the conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras of locally finite split simple Lie algebras.

To get the classification of l.f.b.c.s Lie superalgebras, we also prove that two l.f.b.c.s Lie superalgebras with isomorphic root systems are isomorphic. To this end, we first need to prove the theorem for finite dimensional case. Because of the existence of self-orthogonal roots for a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra, the proof of the mentioned theorem in the super case is different from the one in non-super case; more precisely, we first need to define Chevalley bases for finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. Chevalley bases for finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras were introduced in 2011 by K. Iohara and Y. Koga [7] using the fact that a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra is a contragredient Lie superalgebra and its Cartan matrix is symmetrizable. Our definition of Chevalley bases are somehow different from the one defined in [7].

The zero part of a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra which is infinite dimensional and not a Lie algebra is either a locally finite split simple Lie superalgebra or a direct sum of two locally finite split simple Lie algebras. In the last theorem of this section, we use the result of [10] to find the conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras of locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that \((G_1, (\cdot, \cdot)_1, \mathcal{H}_1), (G_2, (\cdot, \cdot)_2, \mathcal{H}_2)\) are two locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebras with corresponding root systems \(R_1, R_2\) respectively. For \(i = 1, 2\), denote the induced form on \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_i \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i^*\) again by \((\cdot, \cdot)_i\). If \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) are isomorphic, say via \(f : \langle R_1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle R_2 \rangle\) with \((f(\alpha), f(\alpha'))_2 = k(\alpha, \alpha')_1\) for all \(\alpha, \alpha' \in R\) and some \(k \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}\), then there is a linear isomorphism \(\tilde{f} : \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_1 \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_2\) whose restriction to \(\langle R_1 \rangle\) coincides with \(f\) and for \(\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_1\), \((\tilde{f}(\alpha), \tilde{f}(\alpha'))_2 = k(\alpha, \alpha')_1\).

**Proof.** We know that \(R_1\) is of real type if and only if for each nonsingular root \(\delta\), there exists a nonzero integer \(n\) with \(n\delta \in \langle \langle R_1 \rangle_{re} \rangle \) or equivalently \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle R_1 \rangle_{re} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} R_1\). Now fix a basis \(\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \langle R_1 \rangle_{re}\) for \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} R_1\) as well as a nonzero nonsingular root \(\delta\) of \(R_1\) if \(R_1\) is of imaginary type. Set

\[
B := \begin{cases} 
\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\} & \text{if } R_1 \text{ is of real type,} \\
\{\delta, \alpha_i \mid i \in I\} & \text{if } R_1 \text{ is of imaginary type.}
\end{cases}
\]

Then by Lemma 2.5, \(B\) is \(\mathbb{F}\)-linearly independent and so by Lemma 2.3(b)(ii), it is a basis for both \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_1\) and \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} R_1\). Similarly, \(\tilde{f}(B)\) is a basis for \(\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_2\).

We define the linear transformation \(\tilde{f}\) mapping \(\alpha \in B\) to \(f(\alpha)\). It is immediate that \((\tilde{f}(\alpha), \tilde{f}(\alpha')) = k(\alpha, \alpha')\) for \(\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R_1\). Now if \(\alpha \in R_1 \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} B\), \(\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j \beta_j\) where \(r_1, s_1, \ldots, r_n, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in B\), so for \(s = s_1 \cdots s_n\) and \(r_j = r_j s / s_j\) (\(1 \leq j \leq n\)), we have \(s \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j f(\beta_j)\). Therefore, we have \(s \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r'_j f(\beta_j)\). Thus, we have \(\tilde{f}(\alpha) = \tilde{f}(\alpha)\). \(\square\)
4.1. Chevalley bases for basic classical simple Lie superalgebras. Suppose that \( G \) is a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra of type \( X \neq A(1,1) \) with a Cartan subalgebra \( H \) and corresponding root system \( R = R_0 \cup R_1 \) such that \( G_1 \neq \{0\} \). In what follows for \( \alpha \in R^\times \) with \( G_\alpha^\times \neq \{0\} \), we set \( |\alpha| := i \). Now we want to define a total ordering on \( V := \text{span}_{Q} R \). We fix a basis \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} \) for \( V \). For \( u = r_1 v_1 + \cdots + r_m v_m \in V \), we say \( 0 \prec u \) if \( u \neq 0 \) and that the first nonzero \( r_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), is positive; next for \( u, v \in V \), we say \( u \prec v \) if \( 0 \prec v - u \). We set \( R^+ := R \cap \{v \in V \mid 0 \prec v\} \) as well as \( R^- := -R^+ \). Elements of \( R^+ \) are called positive and elements of \( R^- \) are called negative. As usual, for \( u, v \in V \), we say \( u \preceq v \) if either \( u = v \) or \( u \prec v \). Fix an invariant nondegenerate even supersymmetric bilinear form \( (\cdot, \cdot) \) on \( G \). We denote the induced nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on \( H^* \) again by \( (\cdot, \cdot) \). We recall that for \( \alpha \in H^* \), \( t_\alpha \) indicates the unique element of \( H \) representing \( \alpha \) through the form \( (\cdot, \cdot) \). For \( \alpha \in H^* \), set
\[
\sigma_\alpha := \begin{cases} 
-1 & \alpha \in R_1 \cap R^-, \\
1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Next fix \( r \in F \setminus \{0\} \) and for each \( \alpha \in R^\times \), set
\[
h_\alpha := rt_\alpha.
\]

One can see that
\[
\sigma_{-\alpha} = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\sigma_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = -h_{-\alpha} \quad (\alpha \in R^\times).
\]

Fixing \( Y_\alpha \in G^\alpha \) and \( Y_{-\alpha} \in G^{-\alpha} \) with \([Y_\alpha, Y_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in R^+ \), we have \([Y_\alpha, Y_{-\alpha}] = \sigma_\alpha h_\alpha \) \( (\alpha \in R^\times) \).

**Definition 4.2.** A set \( \{X_\alpha, h_i \mid \alpha \in R^\times, i = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \) is called a Chevalley basis for \( G \) if

- there are a nonzero scalar \( r \) and a subset \( \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell\} \) of \( R^\times \) such that \( \{h_1 := h_{\beta_1}, \ldots, h_\ell := h_{\beta_\ell}\} \) is a basis for \( H \) where for \( \alpha \in R^\times \), by \( h_\alpha \), we mean \( rt_\alpha \),
- for each \( \alpha \in R^\times \), \( X_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha \),
- for each \( \alpha \in R^\times \), \( [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = \sigma_\alpha h_\alpha \).

Suppose that \( \{X_\alpha, h_i \mid \alpha \in R^\times, i = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \) is a Chevalley basis for \( G \). We know from [16, Lemma 2.4] that if \( \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \) such that \( \alpha + \beta \in R^\times \), then \( [G^\alpha, G^\beta] \neq \{0\} \). This together with the fact that \( \dim(G^{\alpha + \beta}) = 1 \) implies that there is a nonzero scalar \( N_{\alpha, \beta} \) with \([X_\alpha, X_\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta}X_{\alpha + \beta} \); we also interpret \( N_{\alpha, \beta} \) as zero for \( \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \) with \( \alpha + \beta \notin R \). We refer to \( \{N_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in R^\times\} \) as a set of structure constants for \( G \) with respect to \( \{X_\alpha, h_i \mid \alpha \in R^\times, i = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \).

**Proposition 4.3.** Keep the same notation as above; we have the following:

(i) If \( \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \), then \( N_{\alpha, \beta} = -(-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|}N_{\beta, \alpha} \).
(ii) If \( \alpha, \beta \in R^x \) with \( \alpha + \beta \in R^x \), then for \( s_{\alpha, \beta} := \sigma_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha+\beta} \), we have

\[
N_{\alpha, \beta} = s_{\alpha, \beta} N_{\beta, -\alpha - \beta} = \sigma_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\beta, -\alpha - \beta}.
\]

(iii) Suppose that \( \alpha, \beta \in R^x \) with \( \alpha + \beta \in R^x \), then

\[
N_{\alpha, \beta} N_{-\alpha, -\beta} = r_{\alpha, \beta} := \sigma_\beta \sigma_{\beta+\alpha} (\alpha) \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{|\beta| |\alpha|} (\beta - i\alpha)(h_\alpha);
\]

where \( p = 0 \) if \( \alpha, \beta \in R_{\text{ns}} \) and otherwise, \( p \) is the largest nonnegative integer such that \( \beta - pa \in R \).

(iv) If \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in R^x \) with \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0 \) such that each pair is not the opposite of the one another, then

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{|\alpha| |\beta|} \sigma_{\alpha+\beta}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{|\beta| |\gamma|} \sigma_{\beta+\gamma}(\gamma) = 0.
\]

Proof. Using a modified argument as in [4, Proposition 7.1] gives the result. \( \square \)

We know that there are roots \( \alpha, \gamma \) such that \( \alpha \neq \pm \gamma \) and \( \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \neq 0 \). So either \( \alpha + \gamma \in R^x \) or \( \alpha - \gamma \in R^x \). Replacing \( \gamma \) with \( -\gamma \) if necessary, we assume \( \eta := -\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \in R^x \). Since \( \alpha + \gamma + \eta = 0 \), either two of \( \alpha, \gamma, \eta \) are positive or two of \( \alpha, -\gamma, -\eta \) are positive. Selecting this pair of positive roots in an appropriate order, we get a pair \( (\eta_1, \eta_2) \) among the 12 pairs

\[
\begin{align*}
(\alpha, \gamma), (\alpha, \eta), (\gamma, \eta), (\gamma, \alpha), (\eta, \alpha), (\eta, \gamma), \\
(-\alpha, -\gamma), (-\alpha, -\eta), (-\gamma, -\eta), (-\gamma, -\alpha), (-\eta, -\alpha), (-\eta, -\gamma)
\end{align*}
\]

such that \( 0 < \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \); following [4], we call such a pair a special pair. More precisely, a pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) of elements of \( R^x \) is called a special pair if \( 0 < \alpha \leq \beta \) and \( \alpha + \beta \in R \). A special pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) is called an extraspecial pair if for each special pair \( (\delta, \gamma) \) with \( \alpha + \beta = \delta + \gamma \), we get \( \alpha \leq \delta \).

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that \( A \) is the set of all extraspecial pairs \( (\alpha, \beta) \) of \( R^x \) and \( \{N_{\alpha, \beta} \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in A \} \) is an arbitrary set of nonzero scalars. Then there is \( \{e_\alpha \in G^a \setminus \{0\} \mid \alpha \in R^+ \} \) such that \( [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha+\beta} \) for all \( (\alpha, \beta) \in A \).

Proof. Suppose that \( R^+ = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) with \( \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_n \) and take \( t \) to be the smallest index such that \( \alpha_t \) is the summation of the components of an extraspecial pair. We choose arbitrary elements \( e_{\alpha_i} \in G^{\alpha_i} \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \). We know that there is a unique extraspecial pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) with \( \alpha_t = \alpha + \beta \), so there is a unique pair \( (i, j) \) with \( i \leq j \) such that \( \alpha_i = \alpha_t + \alpha_j \) and define \( e_{\alpha_t} = N_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}^{-1}[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\alpha_j}] \). Now using an induction process, we can complete the proof; indeed, suppose that \( t < r \leq n \) and that \( \{e_{\alpha_s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq r - 1\} \) with the desired property has been chosen. If \( \alpha_r \) is not the summation of the components of an extraspecial pair, we choose \( e_{\alpha_r} \) arbitrary, but otherwise we pick the unique pair \( (i', j') \) with \( i' \leq j' < r - 1 \) such that \( \alpha_r = \alpha_{i'} + \alpha_{j'} \). Now we define \( e_{\alpha_r} = N_{\alpha_{i'}, \alpha_{j'}}^{-1}[e_{\alpha_{i'}}, e_{\alpha_{j'}}] \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are two finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebras with Cartan subalgebras $\mathcal{H}$ and $T$ and corresponding root systems $R = R_0 \cup R_1$ and $S = S_0 \cup S_1$ respectively which are not of type $A(1,1)$. Suppose that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ (respectively $(\cdot, \cdot)'$) is an invariant nondegenerate even supersymmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}$) and denote the induced forms on $\mathcal{H}^*$ and $T^*$ again by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)'$ respectively. Suppose that $(\langle R \rangle, (\cdot, \cdot), R)$ and $(\langle S \rangle, (\cdot, \cdot)', S)$ are isomorphic finite root supersystems, say via $f : \langle R \rangle \rightarrow \langle S \rangle$. Then we have the following:

(i) There are Chevalley bases $\{h_i, e_\alpha | \alpha \in R^\times, 1 \leq i \leq \ell \}$ and $\{t_i, x_\beta | \beta \in S^\times, 1 \leq i \leq \ell \}$ for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ with corresponding sets of structure constants $\{N_{\alpha, \beta} | \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \}$ and $\{M_{\gamma, \eta} | \gamma, \eta \in S^\times \}$ respectively such that $N_{\alpha, \beta} = M_{f(\alpha), f(\beta)}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$.

(ii) $\{N_{\alpha, \beta} | \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \}$ is completely determined in terms of $N_{\alpha, \beta}$'s for extraspecial pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$.

(iii) There is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{L}$ mapping $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{T}$ and $e_\alpha$ to $x_{f(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (i),(ii) Suppose that $k \in F \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $(f(\alpha), f(\beta))' = k(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in R$. Fix $r, s \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that $r = sk$. This implies that $r(\alpha, \beta) = sk(\alpha, \beta) = s(f(\alpha), f(\beta))'$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R$. Use Lemma 4.1 to extend the map $f$ to a linear isomorphism, denoted again by $f$, from $\mathcal{H}^* = \text{span}_F R$ to $T^* = \text{span}_S$ with

\[
(4.1) \quad r(\alpha, \beta) = sk(\alpha, \beta) = s(f(\alpha), f(\beta))' \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*).
\]

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$, take $t_\alpha$ to be the unique element of $\mathcal{H}$ representing $\alpha$ through $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and for $\beta \in T^*$, take $t'_\beta$ to be the unique element of $T$ representing $\beta$ through $(\cdot, \cdot)'$. Next set

\[
h_\alpha := rt_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h'_\beta := st'_\beta \quad (\alpha \in R, \beta \in S).
\]

Fix a total ordering “$\preceq$” on $\text{span}_Q R$ as at the beginning of this subsection and transfer it through $f$ to a total ordering, denoted again by “$\preceq$”, on $\text{span}_S$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$ and $\beta \in T^*$, set

\[
\sigma_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \alpha \in R^- \cap R_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'_{\beta} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta \in S^- \cap S_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of all extraspecial pairs of $R$, then

\[
\{(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) | (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \} = \{(\eta, \gamma) | (\eta, \gamma) \text{ is an extraspecial pair of } S\}.
\]

Fix a subset $\{N_{\alpha, \beta} | (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of nonzero scalars and set $M_{f(\alpha), f(\beta)} := N_{\alpha, \beta}$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$. Using Lemma 4.4, one can find $\{e_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^* \setminus \{0\} | \alpha \in R^+\}$ and $\{x_\beta \in \mathcal{L}^* \setminus \{0\} | \beta \in S^+\}$ such that

\[
[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha + \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad [x_{f(\alpha)}, x_{f(\beta)}] = M_{f(\alpha), f(\beta)} x_{f(\alpha) + f(\beta)}; \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}.
\]
Now for each \( \alpha \in R^+ \) and \( \gamma \in S^+ \), choose \( e_{-\alpha} \in G^{-\alpha} \) and \( x_{-\gamma} \in L^{-\gamma} \) such that
\[
[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad [x_{\gamma}, x_{-\gamma}] = h'_{\gamma} \quad (\alpha \in R^+, \gamma \in S^+)
\]
and note that we have
\[
[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = \sigma_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad [x_{\gamma}, x_{-\gamma}] = \sigma_{\gamma} h'_{\gamma} \quad (\alpha \in R^+, \gamma \in S^+).
\]

Now for each pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) of \(R^x\) with \(\alpha + \beta \in R^x\) and \((\alpha, \beta) \notin A\), take \(N_{\alpha,\beta}\) to be the unique nonzero element of \(F\) with \([e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}\); also for each pair \((\gamma, \eta)\) of \(S^x\) with \(\gamma + \eta \in S^x\) such that \((\gamma, \eta)\) is not an extraspecial pair, take \(M_{\gamma,\eta}\) to be the unique nonzero element of \(F\) with \([x_{\gamma}, x_{\eta}] = M_{\gamma,\eta} e_{\gamma+\eta}\). Fix \(\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell\}\) such that \(\{h_i := h_{\beta_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}\) is a basis for \(H\) and set \(t_i := h'_i(\beta_i)\). Then \(\{h_i, e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^x, 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}\) and \(\{t_i, x_{\beta} \mid \beta \in S^x, 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}\) are Chevalley bases for \(G\) and \(L\) respectively. Now contemplating Proposition 4.3 and using the same argument as in [4, Proposition 7.4], we get the result.

(iii) Use the same notation as above. Define \(\theta : G \to L\) mapping \(h_i = h_{\beta_i}\) to \(t_i = h'_{\beta_i}\) and \(e_{\alpha}\) to \(x_{f(\alpha)}\) for all \(\alpha \in R^x\) and \(1 \leq i \leq \ell\). We claim that \(\theta\) is a Lie superalgebra isomorphism. We first note that by [16, Proposition 2.5] and [14, Proposition 3.10], \(f(R_0) = S_0\) and \(f(R_1) = S_1\). Therefore, we have \(\theta(G_i) \leq L_i\) for \(i = 0, 1\). Now we need to show \(\theta(x, y) = [\theta(x), \theta(y)]\) for all \(x, y \in G\). If \(x = h_{\beta_i}\) and \(y = e_{\alpha}\) for some \(1 \leq i \leq \ell\) and \(\alpha \in R^x\), by (4.1), we have
\[
\theta[h_{\beta_i}, e_{\alpha}] = \theta(\alpha(h_{\beta_i}) e_{\alpha}) = \alpha(h_{\beta_i}) \theta(e_{\alpha}) = f(\alpha)(h'_{\beta_i}) x_{f(\alpha)} = [h'_{\beta_i}, x_{f(\alpha)}] = [\theta(h_{\beta_i}), \theta(e_{\alpha})] .
\]

Next suppose \(\alpha, \beta \in R^x\). If \(\alpha + \beta \notin R\), then \(f(\alpha) + f(\beta) \notin S\) and \([e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}] = 0\) and \([\theta(e_{\alpha}), \theta(e_{\beta})] = [x_{f(\alpha)}, x_{f(\beta)}] = 0\). Also if \(\alpha + \beta \in R^x\), then by part (i),
\[
\theta[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}] = \theta(N_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}) = N_{\alpha,\beta} \theta(e_{\alpha+\beta}) = M_{f(\alpha), f(\beta)} x_{f(\alpha+\beta)} = [x_{f(\alpha)}, x_{f(\beta)}] = [\theta(e_{\alpha}), \theta(e_{\beta})] .
\]

Finally, for \(\alpha \in R^x\), if \(h_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i h_{\beta_i}\) for some \(r_i \in F \) \((1 \leq i \leq \ell)\), we get \(\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i \beta_i\) and so \(f(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i f(\beta_i)\) which in turn implies that
\[
h'_{f(\alpha)} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i h'_{f(\beta_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i \theta(h_{\beta_i}) = \theta(h_\alpha) .
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
\theta[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = \theta(\sigma_{\alpha} h_\alpha) = \sigma_{\alpha} h'_{f(\alpha)} = \sigma_{f(\alpha)} h'_{f(\alpha)} = [x_{f(\alpha)}, x_{-f(\alpha)}] = [\theta(e_{\alpha}), \theta(e_{-\alpha})] .
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)
Suppose that \( G \) is a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra with a Cartan subalgebra \( H \) and corresponding root system \( R \). For a group homomorphism \( \phi : \langle R \rangle \to \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \), the linear transformation \( \phi : G \to G \) mapping \( x \in G^\alpha (\alpha \in R) \) to \( \phi(\alpha)x \) is a superalgebra automorphism.

**Lemma 4.6.** Keep the same notations and assumptions as in Theorem 4.5 and its proof. Suppose that \( \Pi \) is an integral base for \( R \) and fix nonzero elements \( f_\alpha \in G^\alpha \) and \( y_\alpha \in L_f^{(\alpha)} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \). Then there is an isomorphism from \( G \) to \( L \) mapping \( f_\alpha \) to \( y_\alpha \) and \( h_\alpha \) to \( h_f^{(\alpha)} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \). Moreover, if \( \Pi \) is a base, then such an isomorphism is unique.

**Proof.** Consider the Chevalley bases \( \{e_\alpha, h_\beta \mid \alpha \in R^+, 1 \leq i \leq \ell \} \) and \( \{x_\beta, t_i \mid \beta \in S^+, 1 \leq i \leq \ell \} \) as well as the isomorphism \( \theta : G \to L \) as in Theorem 4.5. Since \( f_\alpha \in G^\alpha = \mathbb{F}e_\alpha \), there is \( k_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( f_\alpha = k_\alpha e_\alpha \). Similarly, there is a nonzero scalar \( k'_\alpha \) such that \( y_\alpha = k'_\alpha x_f(\alpha) \). Define \( \phi : \langle R \rangle \to \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \) mapping \( \alpha \in \Pi \) to \( k_\alpha^{-1} \) and \( \phi' : \langle S \rangle \to \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \) mapping \( f(\alpha) \in f(\Pi) \) to \( k'_\alpha \). Now using (4.2), one can see that isomorphism \( \psi := \phi' \circ \theta \circ \phi \) has the desired properties. Next suppose \( \Pi \) is a base for \( R \) and \( \psi \) and \( \psi' \) are two isomorphisms from \( G \) to \( L \) mapping \( f_\alpha \) to \( y_\alpha \) and \( h_\alpha \) to \( h_f^{(\alpha)} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \).

Then \( \varphi := \psi^{-1} \circ \psi' \) is an automorphism of \( G \) mapping \( f_\alpha \) to \( f_\alpha \) and \( h_\alpha \) to \( h_\alpha \), for \( \alpha \in \Pi \). Since for \( \alpha \in \Pi \), \( \varphi(f_\alpha) = f_\alpha \), we have \( \varphi(e_\alpha) = e_\alpha \). On the other hand, as \( \varphi \) is identity on \( H \), \( \varphi \) preserves the root spaces. This together with the fact that \( [e_\alpha, \varphi(e_{-\alpha})] = [\varphi(e_\alpha), \varphi(e_{-\alpha})] = \varphi(h_\alpha) = h_\alpha \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \), implies that \( \varphi(e_{-\alpha}) = e_{-\alpha} \). Now suppose that \( \alpha \in R^+ \), since \( \Pi \) is a base, there are \( r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \{\pm 1\} \) and \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Pi \) such that \( \alpha = r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n \) and that \( r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n \in R^+ \) for all \( 1 \leq t \leq n \). This together with [16, Lemma 2.4] and the fact that root spaces corresponding to nonzero roots are one dimensional, implies that \( G^\alpha = \mathbb{F}[e_{r_1 \alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{r_3 \alpha_3}, e_{r_2 \alpha_2}, e_{r_1 \alpha_1}] \cdots \). But \( \varphi(e_\alpha) = e_\alpha \) and \( \varphi(e_{-\alpha}) = e_{-\alpha} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \), so the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( G^\alpha \) is identity. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

### 4.2. Classification

**Proposition 4.7.** Suppose that \( (G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, H) \) and \( (L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle', T) \) are two infinite dimensional locally finite classical simple Lie superalgebras with corresponding root systems \( R \) and \( S \) respectively. Denote the induced forms on \( \mathcal{V} := \text{span}_\mathbb{F} R \) and \( \mathcal{U} := \text{span}_\mathbb{F} S \) again by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' \) respectively. Suppose that \( (\langle R \rangle, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R) \) and \( (\langle S \rangle, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle', S) \) are isomorphic locally finite root supersystems, then there is an isomorphism from \( G \) to \( L \) mapping \( H \) onto \( T \).

**Proof.** Locally finite classical simple Lie superalgebras with zero odd part are exactly locally finite split simple Lie algebras in the sense of [10]. So contemplating [10, Theorem IV.4], we may assume \( L_1 \neq \{0\} \). Suppose that \( f : \langle R \rangle \to \langle S \rangle \) is the isomorphism from \( R \) to \( S \) with \( (f(\alpha), f(\beta))' = k(\alpha, \beta) \) for \( \alpha, \beta \in R \) and some nonzero scalar \( k \). Using Lemma 4.1, we extend \( f \) to a linear
isomorphism, denoted again by $f$, from $\text{span}_R S$ to $\text{span}_S R$ with $(f(\alpha), f(\beta))' = k(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \text{span}_R R$. Fix $r, s \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that $r = sk$. Therefore, we have 

$$r(\alpha, \beta) = sk(\alpha, \beta) = s(f(\alpha), f(\beta))' \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \text{span}_R R).$$

For $\alpha \in \text{span}_R R$, take $t_\alpha$ to be the unique element of $\mathcal{H}$ representing $\alpha$ through $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and for $\beta \in \text{span}_S S$, take $t'_\beta$ to be the unique element of $T$ representing $\beta$ through $(\cdot, \cdot)'$. Set 

$$h_\alpha := rt_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h'_\beta := st'_\beta \quad (\alpha \in R, \beta \in S).$$

By Lemma 2.8, there is a base $\Pi$ for $R$ and a class $\{R_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of finite irreducible closed sub-supersystems of $R$ of the same type as $R$ such that $R$ is the direct union of $R_\gamma$’s and for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\Pi' \cap R_\gamma$ is a base for $R_\gamma$. Now $\Pi' := f(\Pi)$ is a base for $S$ and $S = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S_\gamma$ in which $S_\gamma := f(R_\gamma)$ is a finite irreducible closed sub-supersystem of $S$ and $\Pi'_\gamma := \Pi' \cap S_\gamma$ is a base for $S_\gamma$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, set 

$$G(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha \in R_\gamma^+} G^\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_\gamma^-} [G^\alpha, G^{-\alpha}] \quad \text{and} \quad H(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha \in R_\gamma^+} [G^\alpha, G^{-\alpha}],$$

and 

$$L(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha \in S_\gamma^+} L^\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in S_\gamma^-} [L^\alpha, L^{-\alpha}] \quad \text{and} \quad T(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha \in S_\gamma^+} [L^\alpha, L^{-\alpha}].$$

Then as in the proof of [16, Lemma 2.28], $G(\gamma)$ is a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra with Cartan subalgebra $H(\gamma)$ and corresponding root system $R_\gamma$ and $L(\gamma)$ is a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra with Cartan subalgebra $T(\gamma)$ and corresponding root system $S_\gamma$. Now fix $\{f_\alpha \in G^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ and $\{y_\alpha \in L^{f(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$. By Lemma 4.6, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is a unique isomorphism $\theta_\gamma$ from $G(\gamma)$ to $L(\gamma)$ mapping $f_\alpha$ to $y_\alpha$ and $h_\alpha$ to $h'_{f(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_\gamma$. Now for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ with $R_{\gamma_1} \subseteq R_{\gamma_2}$, $\theta_{\gamma_1}, \theta_{\gamma_2} | g(\gamma_1)$ are isomorphisms from $G(\gamma_1)$ to $L(\gamma_1)$ mapping $f_\alpha$ to $y_\alpha$ and $h_\alpha$ to $h'_{f(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi_{\gamma_1}$, therefore, we have $\theta_{\gamma_1} = \theta_{\gamma_2} | g(\gamma_1)$ by Lemma 4.6. This allows us to define the isomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow L$ by $\theta(x) = \theta_\gamma(x)$ if $x \in G(\gamma)$. The isomorphism $\theta$ maps $H$ onto $T$. This completes the proof. \hfill \square

**Corollary 4.8.** Suppose that $L$ is a locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra. Assume $H$ and $T$ are two Cartan subalgebras of $L$ with corresponding root systems $R$ and $S$ respectively. Then $H$ and $T$ are conjugate under $\text{Aut}(L)$ if and only if $R$ and $S$ are isomorphic locally finite root supersystems.

**Proof.** Assume $\phi : L \rightarrow L$ is a Lie superalgebra automorphism such that $T = \phi(H)$. Define the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)' : L \times L \rightarrow F$ with $(x, y)' := (\phi^{-1}(x), \phi^{-1}(y))$ for all $x, y \in L$. The linear isomorphism $\phi |_H : H \rightarrow T$ induces a linear isomorphism $\phi : H^* \rightarrow T^*$ mapping $\alpha \in H^*$ to $\alpha \circ (\phi_T)^{-1}$. For
Each locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra with nonzero odd part is either a finite dimensional basic classical simple Lie superalgebra or isomorphic to one and only one of the Lie superalgebras \( \mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J) \) (\( I, J \) index sets with \( |I \cup J| = \infty, |J| \neq 0 \)), \( \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J) \) (\( I, J \) index sets with \( |I| < \infty, |J| = \infty \)) or \( \mathfrak{sl}(I_0, 1) \) (\( I \) an infinite superset with \( I_0, I_1 \neq \emptyset \)).

**Proposition 4.10.** Suppose that \( \mathcal{L} \) is an infinite dimensional locally finite basic classical simple Lie superalgebra with nonzero odd part, then if for an infinite index set \( I \) and a nonempty index set \( J, \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J) \), there are two conjugacy classes for Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{L} \) under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \); otherwise all Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{L} \) are conjugate under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \), i.e., there is just one conjugacy class for Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{L} \) under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \).

**Proof.** We first assume \( I \) is an infinite index set, \( J \) a nonempty index set and \( \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2I + 1, 2J) \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J) \). We know form Example 3.4 that there are Cartan subalgebras \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_2 \) for \( \mathcal{L} \) with corresponding root systems \( R_1 \) of type \( B(I, J) \) and \( R_2 \) of type \( D(I, J) \) respectively; in particular thanks to Corollary 4.8, there are at least two conjugacy classes for Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{L} \) under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \). We next note that there is a decomposition \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{G}^1 \oplus \mathcal{G}^2 \) for \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) into simple ideals in which \( \mathcal{G}^1 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{so}(2I + 1, \mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(2I, \mathbb{F}) \) and \( \mathcal{G}^2 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sp}(J, \mathbb{F}) \); see [10] for the notations. By [10, Corollary VI.8] and finite dimensional theory of Lie algebras, there are two conjugacy classes for Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{G}^1 \) under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^1) \) and there is just one conjugacy class for Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{G}^2 \) under \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^2) \). Therefore, up to \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^1) \)-conjugacy, \( \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}^1, \mathcal{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{G}^1 \) are the only non-conjugate Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{G}^1 \); also \( \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}^2, \mathcal{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{G}^2 \) are \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^2) \)-conjugate Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathcal{G}^2 \) and in fact up to \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^2) \)-conjugacy, \( \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}^2 \) is the only Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathcal{G}^2 \). Now suppose that \( T \) is a Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathcal{L} \) with corresponding
root system $S = S_0 \cup S_1$. We want to show that $T$ is either conjugate to $H_1$
or to $H_2$. Since $T \cap G^1$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $G^1$ and $T \cap G^2$ is a Cartan
subalgebra of $G^2$, there are $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\phi_1 \in \text{Aut}(G^1), \phi_2 \in \text{Aut}(G^2)$ such that
$\phi_1(T \cap G^1) = H_i \cap G^1$ and $\phi_2(T \cap G^2) = H_i \cap G^2$. So $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ is an automorphism
of $L_0$ mapping $T = (T \cap G^1) \oplus (T \cap G^2)$ to $H_i = (H_i \cap G^1) \oplus (H_i \cap G^2)$. This
implies that $(R_i)_0$ is isomorphic to $S_0$. So using the classification of locally
finite root supersystems (Theorem 2.4) together with [16, Proposition 2.5 and
Lemma 2.17] and the fact that $|R_i|, |S| = \infty$, $R_i$ is isomorphic to $S$. Therefore
there is an automorphism of $L$ mapping $T$ to $H_i$ by Proposition 4.7. This
implies that there are exactly two conjugacy classes for Cartan subalgebras of
$L$ under $\text{Aut}(L)$.

Next suppose that $L$ is one of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(2I, 2J), \mathfrak{osp}(2I +
1, 2J)$ where $I, J$ are index sets with $0 \neq |I| < \infty, |J| \neq 0$ or $\mathfrak{sl}(I_0, I_1)$ where $I$
is an infinite superset with $|I| = \infty$ and $I_0, I_1 \neq \emptyset$. Take $\mathfrak{h}$ to be the standard
Cartan subalgebra of $L$ introduced in Examples 3.4 and 3.6 and consider its
corresponding root system $R$. Next suppose that $T$ is another Cartan subalgebra
of $L$ and take $S$ to be the corresponding root system of $L$ with respect to $T$.
Then $S$ is an irreducible locally finite root supersystem with $|S| = \infty$. From
Theorem 2.4 and Lemmas 2.16 and 2.17, $S$ is isomorphic to the root system
of one of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}^\dagger, b_{\dagger, \dagger}, c_{\dagger}$ introduced in Lemma 3.7. Call
this Lie superalgebra $G$ and take $H$ to be its standard Cartan subalgebra, so
by Proposition 4.7, there is an isomorphism $\phi : L \rightarrow G$ mapping $T$ to $H$.
Now since $L \simeq G$, using Lemma 3.7, there is an isomorphism $\psi$ form $L$ to $G$
mapping $\mathfrak{h}$ to $H$. Therefore, $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ is an automorphism of $L$ mapping $T$ to $\mathfrak{h}$.
This completes the proof. 

Acknowledgement

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 93170415)
and partially carried out at IPM-Isfahan branch. The author acknowledges this
support.

References

Verlag, New York, 1972.

(Malihe Yousofzadeh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, IRAN, P. O. BOX 81745-163
AND
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P. O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: ma.yousofzadeh@sci.ui.ac.ir; ma.yousofzadeh@ipm.ir.