ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 43 (2017), No. 5, pp. 1259-1264

Title:

On certain maximality principles

Author(s):

R. Mohammadpour

Published by the Iranian Mathematical Society http://bims.ir

ON CERTAIN MAXIMALITY PRINCIPLES

R. MOHAMMADPOUR

(Communicated by Ali Enayat)

ABSTRACT. We present streamlined proofs of certain maximality principles studied by Hamkins and Woodin. Moreover, we formulate an intermediate maximality principle, which is shown here to be equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal κ such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$. **Keywords:** Hamkins' maximality principle, weakly compact cardinal, consistency result. **MSC(2010):** 03E35.

1. Introduction

In his paper "A Simple Maximality Principle" [3], Joel Hamkins introduced a maximality principle that is exactly axiom S5 in the sense of modal logic (see Definition 2.1). He also considered various versions of his maximality principle and proved the consistency of some of them, but the status of some others remained open. The necessary maximality principle $(\Box MP(\mathbb{R}))$ is a strong principle whose consistency relative to some strong hypotheses was proved by Woodin in an unpublished work; as described in [2, Theorem 4.12]. Also, in a joint work [3], Hamkins and Woodin proved that $\Box_{c.c.c.}MP_{c.c.c.}(\mathbb{R})$ is equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal. In this paper, we present new proofs of some results of Hamkins [2] which are simpler than the original ones. Moreover we introduce $\Box_{c.c.c.}MP(\mathbb{R})$ and show that it is equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal κ such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$.

2. Hamkins' Maximality principle

Let \mathbb{P} be a forcing notion and ϕ a sentense in the language of set theory, we write $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \phi$ if $V[G] \models \phi$ for every \mathbb{P} -generic filter G over V. For a sentence ϕ in the language of set theory and a model V of ZFC, we say ϕ is forceable $(\diamondsuit \phi)$ over V, if there exists a forcing notion $\mathbb{P} \in V$ such that $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \phi$; and ϕ is necessary $(\Box \phi)$ over V, if for all forcing notions $\mathbb{P} \in V$, $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \phi$. When we

Article electronically published on 31 October, 2017. Received: 6 March 2016, Accepted: 20 May 2016.

restrict ourselves to the class of c.c.c. forcing notions, then we say ϕ is c.c.c.-forceable $(\diamondsuit_{c.c.c}, \phi)$ or c.c.c.-necessary $(\Box_{c.c.c}, \phi)$. Note that $\diamondsuit \phi$, $\Box \phi$, $\diamondsuit_{c.c.c}, \phi$ and $\Box_{c.c.c}, \phi$ are all first order expressible. Now we define some principles that are schemes in the first order language.

Definition 2.1. (The Maximality Principle) Hamkins' maximality principle (MP(X)) asserts

$$\Diamond \Box \phi \Longrightarrow \phi$$
,

whenever ϕ is a sentence in the language of set theory with parameters from X.

Definition 2.2. (The Necessary Maximality Principle) Hamkins' necessary maximality principle ($\square MP(X)$) asserts MP(X) is necessary, i.e., for any forcing notion \mathbb{P} , $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models MP(X)$; in particular $V \models MP(X)$.

We use the notations $MP_{c.c.c.}(X)$ and $\square_{c.c.c.}MP_{c.c.c.}(X)$, when we restrict ourselves to the class of c.c.c.-forcing notions. We may note that Hamkins has used the notation $\square MP_{c.c.c.}(X)$ to denote our $\square_{c.c.c.}MP_{c.c.c.}(X)$.

Let $V_{\delta} \prec V$ be the scheme that asserts $\forall x \in V_{\delta}(\phi(x) \longleftrightarrow \phi(x)^{V_{\delta}})$, whenever $\phi(x)$ is a formula with only one free variable. The following is an immediate consequence of the Montague-Levy reflection theorem.

Lemma 2.3 ([2]). If ZFC is consistent, then is $ZFC + V_{\delta} \prec V$.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be two forcing notions. A map $\pi : \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a projection if

- (1) $\pi(1_{\mathbb{P}}) = 1_{\mathbb{O}}$
- (2) π is order preserving, and
- (3) for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, if $q < \pi(p)$, then there is p' < p, such that $\pi(p') < q$.

The first item of the following lemma is a special case of [1, Theorem 14.1] and the two other items easily follow from the first one.

Lemma 2.5 ([1, Theorem 14.1]). (1) If \mathbb{P} is a forcing notion of size κ and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} |\kappa| = \aleph_0$, then \mathbb{P} is forcing equivalent to $Coll(\omega, \kappa)$.

- (2) If κ is an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{P} \in V_{\kappa}$, then $V^{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq V^{Coll(\omega, <\kappa)}$.
- (3) If \mathbb{P} is a forcing notion of size $\leq \kappa$, then there exists a projection from $Coll(\omega, \kappa)$ to \mathbb{P} .

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $\mathbb{P} \in V_{\delta}$. If G is a \mathbb{P} -generic filter over V and $V_{\delta} \prec V$, then G is \mathbb{P} -generic over V_{δ} and $V_{\delta}[G] \prec V[G]$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be a maximal antichain in V_{δ} , so it is a maximal antichain in V, which implies $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$, so G is \mathbb{P} -generic over V_{δ} . Suppose that $V[G] \models \exists x \phi(x)$, then there exists $p \in G$ such that $V \models p \Vdash \exists x \phi(x)$, thus by elementarity, $V_{\delta} \models \exists x \ p \Vdash \phi(x)$. Then one can find $x \in V_{\delta}[G]$ such that $V_{\delta}[G] \models \phi(x)$. Hence $V_{\delta}[G] \prec V[G]$.

Definition 2.7. An uncountable cardinal κ is weakly compact if for every $f: [\kappa]^2 \longrightarrow 2$ there is a set H of size κ such that f is constant on $[H]^2$.

The following is a well-known characterization of weakly compactness.

Lemma 2.8 ([1]). the following are equivalent for an inaccessible cardinal κ .

- (1) κ is weakly compact.
- (2) For every transitive set \mathcal{M} of size κ with $\kappa \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{<\kappa} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ there are a transitive set \mathcal{N} of size κ with $\mathcal{N}^{<\kappa} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and an elementary embedding $j: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with critical point κ .

The following lemma is proved by Hamkins and Woodin using the method of Boolean valued models; we give a proof which avoids the use of Boolean valued models.

Lemma 2.9 ([3]). Let κ be a weakly compact cardinal and let \mathbb{P} be a $\kappa-c.c.$ forcing notion. Then, for any $x \in H_{\kappa}^{\mathbb{P}}$, there exists $\mathbb{Q} \triangleleft \mathbb{P}$ such that $x \in H_{\kappa}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and \mathbb{Q} is a forcing notion of size less than κ .

Proof. If $|\mathbb{P}| < \kappa$, then there is nothing to prove. So, assume $|\mathbb{P}| \ge \kappa$, and choose regular $\theta > \kappa$ large enough such that $\mathbb{P} \in H_{\theta}$. Without loss of generality suppose that $x \subseteq \kappa$ and $|x| < \kappa$. Let τ be a \mathbb{P} -name for x and find an elementary substructure \mathcal{M} of H_{θ} such that:

- (1) $\kappa, \tau, \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (2) $|\mathcal{M}| = \kappa$,
- (3) $\mathcal{M}^{<\kappa} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

The existence of \mathcal{M} is guaranteed by the inaccessibility of κ . We divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1. $|\mathbb{P}| = \kappa$: Since κ is weakly compact, there exist a transitive model \mathcal{N} and an elementary embedding $j : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with $crit(j) = \kappa$. We show that

$$N \models (\exists \mathbb{Q} \triangleleft j(\mathbb{P}))[|\mathbb{Q}| < |j(\mathbb{P})| \land (\exists \sigma 1_{j(\mathbb{P})} \Vdash \sigma = j(\tau)].$$

Without loss of generality suppose that $\mathbb{P} \subseteq V_{\kappa}$, which implies $\mathbb{P} \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be a maximal antichain in \mathcal{N} . So $|A| < \kappa$, hence $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\mathcal{M} \models \forall p \in \mathbb{P} \exists q \in A \ q \parallel p.$$

Using j, we have

$$N \models \forall p \in j(\mathbb{P}) \exists q \in j(A) = A \ q \parallel p.$$

On the other hand, since $x \in H_{\kappa}^{\mathbb{P}}$, and \mathbb{P} is $\kappa - c.c.$, we can assume $|\tau| < \kappa$, so $j(\tau) = \tau$. Put $\sigma = \tau$ which implies $1_{j(\mathbb{P})} \Vdash \sigma = \tau$. Thus

$$N \models (\exists \mathbb{Q} \lhd j(\mathbb{P}))[|\mathbb{Q}| < |j(\mathbb{P})| \land (\exists \sigma 1_{j(\mathbb{P})} \Vdash \sigma = j(\tau)].$$

Now by elementarity of j, we have in \mathcal{M} :

$$\exists \mathbb{Q} \triangleleft \mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{Q} \mid < \mid \mathbb{P} \mid \wedge \exists \sigma 1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash \sigma = \tau.$$

Case 2. $|\mathbb{P}| > \kappa$: Consider $\mathbb{P} \cap \mathcal{M}$ and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{P} \cap \mathcal{M}$ be a maximal antichain. Then $|A| < \kappa$, hence $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and A is a maximal antichain in \mathbb{P} . Hence $\mathbb{P} \cap \mathcal{M} \lhd \mathbb{P}$. Then by case 1, there exist \mathbb{Q} of size less than κ and a \mathbb{Q} -name σ such that $\mathbb{Q} \lhd \mathbb{P} \cap \mathcal{M} \lhd \mathbb{P}$ and $\vdash_{\mathbb{Q}} \tau = \sigma$.

Theorem 2.10 (Hamkins [2]). The consistency of ZFC implies the consistency of ZFC + MP.

Proof. Suppose that $V_{\delta} \prec V$. Let $\mathbb{P} = Coll(\omega, \delta)$ and let $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} = Coll(\omega, \lambda)$ for $\lambda < \delta$. Now assume that G is \mathbb{P} -generic over V and for $\lambda < \delta$ set $G_{\lambda} = G \cap \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}$. We show that MP holds in V[G]. Thus assume $V[G] \models \Diamond \Box \phi$. Then for some $p \in G$

$$p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists \mathbb{Q} (\exists q \in \mathbb{Q} \ q \Vdash \Box \phi).$$

Let $\lambda < \delta$ be such that $p \in G_{\lambda}$. Now $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} \in V_{\delta}$ and hence by Lemma 2.6, $V_{\delta}[G_{\lambda}] \prec V[G_{\lambda}]$. It is evident that $V[G_{\lambda}] \models \Diamond \Box \phi$, and hence as $p \in V_{\delta}$ and $V_{\delta} \prec V$, one can find $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}$ and \dot{q} in V_{δ} , such that $(p,\dot{q}) \Vdash \Box \phi$, so $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}} \models \Box \phi$. On the other hand by Lemma 2.5 and the fact that $|\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}| < \delta$, we have $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}} \subseteq V^{\mathbb{P}}$ which implies $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \phi$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.11 (Hamkins [2]). $ZFC+MP(\mathbb{R})$ is equiconsistent with ZFC plus the existence of an inaccessible cardinal κ such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$.

Proof. (Right to Left) Assume κ is an inaccessible cardinal such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$. Consider $\mathbb{P} = Coll(\omega, < \kappa)$. Let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic forcing over V. Suppose that $\bar{x} \in 2^{\omega} \cap V[G]$, so $\bar{x} \in V[G_{\lambda}]$, for some regular cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$, where $G_{\lambda} = G \cap Coll(\omega, < \lambda)$ is generic for $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} = Coll(\omega, < \lambda)$. Since $V_{\kappa} \prec V$, by Lemma 2.6 we have $V_{\kappa}[G_{\lambda}] \prec V[G_{\lambda}]$. It follows that there exists $\mathbb{Q} \in V_{\kappa}[G_{\lambda}]$ such that $V[G_{\lambda}]^{\mathbb{Q}} \models \Box \phi(\bar{x})$, hence $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}} \models \phi(\bar{x})$. Then $V[G] \models \phi(\bar{x})$, by Lemma 2.5(3).

The other side has appeared as [2, Lemma 3.2]. We give a proof for completness. (Left to Right) Assume MP(\mathbb{R}). First we claim that ω_1 is inaccessible to the reals. Thus let r be a real and let $\phi(r)$ be the statement "the ω_1 of L[r] is countable", that is forceably necessary. Thus it is true by $MP(\mathbb{R})$, so we have $\omega_1^{L[r]} < \omega_1$. This implies $\delta = \omega_1$ is inaccessible to the reals, and hence it is inaccessible in L. Now it is enough to show that $L_{\delta} \prec L$. Suppose that $L \models \exists x \phi(x, a)$, where $\phi(x, a)$ has parameters from L_{δ} , that are coded in a single real a. Let ψ be the following statement

"The least α such that there is an $x \in L_{\alpha}$ with $\phi(x,a)^{L_{\alpha}}$, is countable"

It is forceably necessary, thus it's already true, that means the least such α is countable, so there exists $y \in L_{\delta}$ with $\phi(y, a)$.

The following theorem is proved by Hamkins and Woodin [3]:

Theorem 2.12 (Hamkins-Woodin [3]). $ZFC + \Box_{c.c.c.}MP_{c.c.c.}(\mathbb{R})$ is equiconsistent with ZFC plus the existence of a weakly compact cardinal.

We now state and prove a generalization of the above theorem. The next result is obtained in a joint work with M. Golshani, and is presented here with his kind permission.

Theorem 2.13. The following conditions are equiconsistent:

- (1) ZFC plus the existence of a weakly compact cardinal κ such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$.
- (2) $ZFC + \square_{c.c.c.}MP(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 2.14. Clearly, $\Box_{c.c.c.} MP(\mathbb{R})$ is stronger than $\Box_{c.c.c.} MP_{c.c.c.}(\mathbb{R})$, but weaker than $\Box MP(\mathbb{R})$. It is easily seen that the condition (1) of Theorem 2.10 is strictly stronger than the existence of a weakly compact cardinal, but it is consistent to have this condition, if, for example, one assumes the existence of a measurable cardinal.

We need the following theorem of Leo Harrington and Saharon Shelah.

Theorem 2.15 ([4]). Assume MA holds. Then either there is a real a such that $\aleph_1 = \aleph_1^{L[a]}$ or \aleph_1 is weakly compact in L.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. $(1 \Longrightarrow 2)$. Let κ be a weakly compact cardinal such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$. Let $\mathbb{P} = Coll(\omega, < \kappa)$ and let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic filter over V. By Theorem 2.11, $V[G] \models \mathrm{MP}(\mathbb{R})$. Now let \mathbb{Q} be an arbitrary c.c.c. forcing in V[G] and let H be a \mathbb{Q} -generic filter over V[G]. Our aim is to show that $V[G][H] \models \mathrm{MP}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus assume $r \in \mathbb{R} \cap V[G][H]$ and $V[G][H] \models \Diamond \Box \phi(r)$.

Clearly $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}$ is $\kappa - c.c.$, so Lemma 2.9 guarantees the existence of a $\kappa - c.c.$ forcing notion $\mathbb{S} \lhd \mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $|\mathbb{S}| < \kappa$ and \mathbb{S} capture r. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathbb{S} \in V_{\kappa}$.

Let K be an S-generic filter over V such that $r \in V[S]$ and $V[K] \subseteq V[G*H]$. Since $V[G*H] \models \Diamond \Box \phi(r)$, we have $V[K] \models \Diamond \Box \phi(r)$. On the other hand we have $V_{\kappa}[K] \prec V[K]$ by Lemma 2.6, so $V_{\kappa}[K] \models \Diamond \Box \phi(r)$. Thus there exist $\mathbb{T} \in V_{\kappa}[K]$ and a \mathbb{T} -generic filter L such that $V_{\kappa}[K][L] \models \Box \phi(r)$. But we have a canonical projection $\pi : Coll(\omega, \langle \kappa) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}*\mathbb{T}$, which implies $V[G*H] \models \phi(r)$.

 $(2 \Longrightarrow 1)$. Assume $V \models \Box_{c.c.c.} MP(\mathbb{R})$, and let \mathbb{P} be some c.c.c. forcing notion which forces $MA + \neg CH$. Then $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models MP(\mathbb{R}) + \Box_{c.c.c.} MP_{c.c.c.}(\mathbb{R})$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.11 that $\delta = \omega_1^{V^{\mathbb{P}}}$ is inaccessible in L and $L_{\delta} \prec L$. Since MA holds, by Harrington-Shelah's theorem we have ω_1 is weakly compact in L.

As a corollary, we obtain a simpler proof for the consistency of $\square_{c.c.c.}$ MP_{c.c.c.} (\mathbb{R}).

Corollary 2.16. If "ZFC+ there is a weakly compact cardinal κ such that $V_{\kappa} \prec V$ " is consistent, then is so "ZFC + $\square_{c.c.c.}$ MP $_{c.c.c.}$ (\mathbb{R})".

Acknowledgement

This paper is based on Chapter 3 of the author's master thesis that is written under the supervision of Mohammad Golshani and Massoud Pourmahdian. The author is thankful to Mohammad Golshani, for all his help and support during the author's master degree and for giving useful comments on the draft version of this paper. The author is also grateful to Ali Enayat for his encouragement and his helpful comments on the draft version of the paper.

References

- [1] J. Cummings, Iterated forcing and elementary embeddings, in: Handbook of Set Theory, Vols. 1, 2, 3, pp. 775–883, Springer, Dordrecht, 2010.
- [2] J.D. Hamkins, A simple maximality principle, J. Symbolic Logic 68 (2003), no. 2, 527–550.
- [3] J.D. Hamkins, W.H. Woodin, The necessary maximality principle for c.c.c. forcing is equiconsistent with a weakly compact cardinal, MLQ Math. Log. Q. 51 (2005), no. 5, 493–498.
- [4] L. Harrington, S. Shelah, Some exact equiconsistency results in set theory, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 26 (1985), no. 2, 178–188.

(Rahman Mohammadpour) Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Amirkabir University of Technology, Hafez Avenue 15194, Tehran, Iran.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: rahmanmohammadpour@gmail.com}$