

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the
Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 43 (2017), No. 6, pp. 1801–1810

Title:

The graph of equivalence classes and Isoclinism of groups

Author(s):

R. Rezaei and M. Varmazyar

Published by the Iranian Mathematical Society
<http://bims.ims.ir>

THE GRAPH OF EQUIVALENCE CLASSES AND ISOCLINISM OF GROUPS

R. REZAEI* AND M. VARMAZYAR

(Communicated by Ali Reza Ashrafi)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a non-abelian group and let $\Gamma(G)$ be the non-commuting graph of G . In this paper we define an equivalence relation \sim on the set of $V(\Gamma(G)) = G \setminus Z(G)$ by taking $x \sim y$ if and only if $N(x) = N(y)$, where $N(x) = \{u \in G \mid x \text{ and } u \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma(G)\}$ is the open neighborhood of x in $\Gamma(G)$. We introduce a new graph determined by equivalence classes of non-central elements of G , denoted $\Gamma_E(G)$, as the graph whose vertices are $\{[x] \mid x \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$ and join two distinct vertices $[x]$ and $[y]$, whenever $[x, y] \neq 1$. We prove that group G is AC-group if and only if $\Gamma_E(G)$ is complete graph. Among other results, we show that the graphs of equivalence classes of non-commuting graph associated with two isoclinic groups are isomorphic.

Keywords: Non-commuting graph, graph of equivalence classes, Isoclinism.

MSC(2010): Primary: 05C25; Secondary: 20F99.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group and $Z(G)$ be the center of G . The non-commuting graph $\Gamma(G)$ associated with G is the graph whose vertex set is $G \setminus Z(G)$ and two distinct elements x and y are adjacent, denoted $x - y$, if and only if $[x, y] \neq 1$. According to [2] the non-commuting graph of a finite group G was first considered by Paul Erdős in connection with the following problem. Let G be a group whose non-commuting graph $\Gamma(G)$ has no infinite complete subgraphs. Is it true that there is a finite bound on the cardinalities of complete subgraphs of $\Gamma(G)$? B.H. Neumann [12] answered positively to this question. In [2] and [11], some graph theoretical properties of $\Gamma(G)$ and the relations between some properties of $\Gamma(G)$ and the structure of group G were studied. Of course, there are some other ways to construct a graph associated with a given group.

Article electronically published on 30 November, 2017.

Received: 17 September 2015, Accepted: 11 October 2016.

*Corresponding author.

We may refer to the works of Bertram et al. [6] and Moghadamfar et al. [11] or recent papers on non-commuting graph, Engel graph and non-cyclic graph in [1, 2] and [4], respectively.

Two vertices a and b of a simple graph Γ are said to be equivalent, if their open neighborhoods are the same, i.e., $a \sim b$ if and only if $N(a) = N(b)$, where $N(a) = \{c \in V(\Gamma) \mid a \text{ and } c \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma\}$. One can see that \sim is an equivalence relation and we denote the class of a by $[a]$. The graph of equivalence classes of Γ , denoted Γ_E , is the graph associated with Γ whose vertex set is $\{[a] : a \in V(\Gamma)\}$ and two equivalence classes $[a]$ and $[b]$ are adjacent in Γ_E if a and b are adjacent in Γ . In Section 2, we will introduce the graph of equivalence classes of the non-commuting graph $\Gamma(G)$. We will state some of basic graph theoretical properties of $\Gamma_E(G)$, for instance determining diameter, girth, dominating set, planarity of the graph and we give some relation between the graph properties of $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma_E(G)$. In Section 3 of the paper, we state a connection between the graph of equivalence classes of the non-commuting graph and isoclinism of groups. We prove that the graphs of equivalence classes of two isoclinic groups are isomorphic. Moreover, we show that for any group G with $\Gamma_E(G) < \infty$, there is a finite group K such that $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(K)$.

2. Definitions and basic results

Let $\Gamma(G)$ be the non-commuting graph of a non-abelian group G . For $x, y \in G \setminus Z(G)$, we say that $x \sim y$ if and only if $G \setminus C_G(x) = N(x) = N(y) = G \setminus C_G(y)$ if and only if $C_G(x) = C_G(y)$, where $N(x) = \{u \in G \mid x \text{ and } u \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma(G)\}$. It is easy to see that \sim is an equivalence relation and we denote the class of x by $[x]$.

Definition 2.1. The graph of equivalence classes of $\Gamma(G)$, denoted $\Gamma_E(G)$, is the graph associated with G with vertex set $\{[x] : x \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$ such that two distinct vertices $[x]$ and $[y]$ are joined by an edge, denoted $[x] - [y]$, if and only if $[x, y] \neq 1$.

It is easy to check $[x] \mapsto C_G(x)$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between $V(\Gamma_E(G))$ and the set of all proper centralizers of group G . Hence $|V(\Gamma_E(G))| = \#\text{Cent}(G) - 1$, where $\text{Cent}(G)$ denote the set of centralizers of single elements of G and $\#\text{Cent}(G)$ is the size of $\text{Cent}(G)$.

Recall that a clique of a graph is a set of mutually adjacent vertices, and that the maximum size of a clique of a graph Γ , the clique number of Γ , is denoted $\omega(\Gamma)$. Moreover, a clique of a graph Γ is called a maximum clique if its size is $\omega(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = \{[x] : C_G(x) \text{ is an abelian group}\}$. Then \mathcal{A} is a clique in $\Gamma_E(G)$.

Proof. By the structure of \mathcal{A} , it will be enough to prove the induced subgraph on \mathcal{A} is a complete graph. Hence, suppose that $[x]$ and $[y]$ are two distinct elements of \mathcal{A} . We claim that $[x]$ and $[y]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_E(G)$, or equivalently, $[x, y] \neq 1$. If not, then for every $a \in C_G(y)$, we get $[a, x] = 1$, since $x \in C_G(y)$ which is an abelian group. Thus, $a \in C_G(x)$, and so $C_G(y) \subseteq C_G(x)$. Similarly $C_G(x) \subseteq C_G(y)$, and hence $C_G(x) = C_G(y)$. But then, by definition we have $x \sim y$, which forces $[x] = [y]$, a contradiction. \square

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{[x] : C_G(x) \text{ is minimal among all centralizers of } G\}$ i.e., if $[x] \in \mathcal{B}$ and $C_G(y) \subseteq C_G(x)$, then $C_G(y) = C_G(x)$. Assume that \mathcal{A} is as in Lemma 2.2, $[x] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $C_G(y) \subseteq C_G(x)$. For every $a \in C_G(x)$, $[a, y] = 1$, since $a, y \in C_G(x)$ which is abelian, and so $a \in C_G(y)$. Therefore $[x] \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. In the following we will give some other facts on the sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

For a graph Γ and a subset S of vertices, denote by $N_\Gamma[S]$ the set of vertices in Γ which are in S or adjacent to a vertex in S . If $N_\Gamma[S] = V(\Gamma)$, then S is called a dominating set for Γ . The dominating number $\gamma(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the minimum size of a dominating set of the vertices of Γ .

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that G is a non-abelian group, and \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} defined as above.*

- (i) *If $[y] \in \mathcal{B}$, then $[y]$ is adjacent to all elements of $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{[y]\}$ in $\Gamma_E(G)$.*
- (ii) *If $[z] \in V(\Gamma_E(G)) \setminus \mathcal{B}$, then $[z]$ is not adjacent to all elements of \mathcal{B} in $\Gamma_E(G)$.*
- (iii) *If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, then every vertex of $\Gamma_E(G)$ is adjacent to at least a vertex of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, \mathcal{A} is a maximal clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$ and if $\Gamma_E(G)$ is finite, then $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) = |\mathcal{A}|$.*

Proof. (i) For $[y] \in \mathcal{B}$, if $[y] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $[x, y] = 1$ for some $[x] \in \mathcal{A}$, then $[x] = [y]$ which is a contradiction. Then $[y]$ is adjacent to all elements of \mathcal{A} . Now assume that $[y] \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and $[x, y] = 1$ for some $[x] \in \mathcal{A}$, then $C_G(x) \subseteq C_G(y)$ and so $C_G(x) = C_G(y)$, since $[y] \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus $[x] = [y]$, a contradiction and so $[y]$ is adjacent to all elements of \mathcal{A} .

(ii) Assume that $[z] \in V(\Gamma_E(G)) \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Then there is $[w] \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $C_G(w) \subseteq C_G(z)$ and so $[z, w] = 1$. This means that $[z]$ is not adjacent to $[w]$, as required.

(iii) Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. If there is $[z] \in V(\Gamma_E(G))$ such that $[z]$ is not adjacent to elements in \mathcal{A} , then $[x, z] = 1$ for all $[x] \in \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and so $\bigcup_{[x] \in \mathcal{A}} C_G(x) \subseteq C_G(z)$. On the other hand, there is a non-central element $w \in G$ such that $[z]$ and $[w]$ are adjacent. Thus $w \notin C_G(z)$ and so $[w]$ is adjacent to all elements of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, which will contradict part (ii). Therefore, \mathcal{A} is a dominating set for $\Gamma_E(G)$. Moreover, assume that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{[y]\}$ is a clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$, where $[y] \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then there is $[x] \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ such that $C_G(x) \subseteq C_G(y)$ and so $[x, y] = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is a maximal clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$. Now suppose that $\Gamma_E(G)$ is finite and Y is a maximum clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$ such

that $|\mathcal{A}| < |Y|$. Then there are $[x] \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ and $[y_1], [y_2] \in Y$ such that $C_G(x) \subseteq C_G(y_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $y_1, y_2 \in C_G(x)$ and so $[y_1, y_2] = 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) = |\mathcal{A}|$ and the proof is complete. \square

A non-abelian group is called an AC-group if the centralizer of every non-central element is abelian.

Theorem 2.4. *A non-abelian group G is an AC-group if and only if $\Gamma_E(G)$ is a complete graph. In particular, if G is an AC-group with $n = \#\text{Cent}(G) < \infty$, then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_{n-1}$, where K_{n-1} is a complete graph with $n - 1$ vertices.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma_E(G)$ is a complete graph and $a, b \in C_G(x) \setminus Z(G)$. Then $[a] = [x] = [b]$ and so $[a, b] = 1$. Therefore, $C_G(x)$ is an abelian group. Now, assume that G is an AC-group. Then $V(\Gamma_E(G)) = \mathcal{A}$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.2. Furthermore, assume that $\#\text{Cent}(G) = n < \infty$. If $C_G(x_1), C_G(x_2), \dots, C_G(x_{n-1})$ are all proper centralizers of G , then $[x_i, x_j] \neq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and so $V(\Gamma_E(G)) = \{[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_{n-1}]\}$ is the maximum clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$. Thus $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_{n-1}$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let G and H be two non-abelian groups. If $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(H)$, then G is an AC-group if and only if H is an AC-group.*

Corollary 2.6.

- (i) *Let $G = D_{2n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2n$. Then*

$$\Gamma_E(G) \cong \begin{cases} K_{n+1} & n \text{ is odd} \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}+1} & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *If $G = Q_{4n}$ is the generalized quaternion group of order $4n$, then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_{n+1}$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\text{Cent}(G) = \{G, C_G(a), C_G(a^i b), 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$, when n is odd and $\text{Cent}(G) = \{G, C_G(a), C_G(a^i b), 0 \leq i \leq n/2 - 1\}$ when n is even. Furthermore $\text{Cent}(G) = \{G, C_G(a), C_G(a^i b), 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ when $G = Q_{4n}$. Now the results follows from Theorem 2.4. \square

From Theorem 2.4 we note that in some cases the graph of the equivalence classes of groups are not complete. The smallest counterexample is the symmetric group S_4 . It is easy to check that $C_G((1\ 2)(3\ 4))$ and $C_G((1\ 3)(2\ 4))$ are non-abelian and distinct, and the vertices $[(1\ 2)(3\ 4)]$ and $[(1\ 3)(2\ 4)]$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma_E(S_4)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that G is a non-abelian group. Then $\text{diam}(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq 2$ and $\text{girth}(\Gamma_E(G)) = 3$. In particular $\Gamma_E(G)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let $[x]$ and $[y]$ be two distinct vertices of $\Gamma_E(G)$. If $[x] - [y]$ then $d([x], [y]) = 1$. Thus we may assume that $[x] \neq [y]$. Since x, y are non-central, there exist $[x'], [y'] \in V(\Gamma_E(G))$ such that $\{[x], [x']\}$ and $\{[y], [y']\}$

are edges. If $[y] - [x']$ or $[x] - [y']$ then $d([x], [y]) = 2$. Otherwise the vertex $[x'y']$ is adjacent to both $[x]$ and $[y]$ and again $d([x], [y]) = 2$. Therefore, $\text{diam}(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq 2$. Moreover, for every edge $\{[x], [y]\}$ of $\Gamma_E(G)$, $\{[x], [y], [xy]\}$ is a triangle. Hence the girth of $\Gamma_E(G)$ is 3. \square

A subset X of the vertices of a graph Γ is called an independent set if the induced subgraph on X has no edges. The maximum size of an independent set in a graph Γ is called the independence number of Γ and denoted by $\alpha(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a non-abelian group.*

- (i) *If \mathcal{C} is a dominating set for $\Gamma(G)$, then $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \{[x] : x \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma_E(G)$.*
- (ii) *If \mathcal{D} is an independent set of $\Gamma(G)$, then $\bar{\mathcal{D}} = \{[x] : x \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is an independent set of $\Gamma_E(G)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $[x]$ be a vertex of $\Gamma_E(G)$ that is not in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ so $x \notin \mathcal{C}$. Therefore there is $y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $[x, y] \neq 1$ and this means that there is $[y] \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $[x] - [y]$.

(ii) If $[x]$ and $[y]$ are two elements of $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$, then $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ and this means that $[x, y] = 1$. Therefore $[x]$ and $[y]$ are not adjacent. \square

By Proposition 2.8 one can see that $\gamma(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq \gamma(\Gamma(G))$ and $\alpha(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq \alpha(\Gamma(G))$. In the following, we will prove that the graphs $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma_E(G)$ have the same clique number and vertex chromatic number.

Let $k > 0$ be an integer. A k -vertex coloring of a graph Γ is an assignment of k colors to the vertices of Γ such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. The vertex chromatic number $\chi(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ , is the minimum k for which Γ has a k -vertex coloring.

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a finite non-abelian group. Then $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) = \omega(\Gamma(G))$, $\chi(\Gamma_E(G)) = \chi(\Gamma(G))$.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_E(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgraph of $\Gamma(G)$, then $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq \omega(\Gamma(G))$. Assume that $\omega(\Gamma(G)) = n$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a maximum clique of $\Gamma(G)$. Then for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $[x_i, x_j] \neq 1$ and so, $[x_i]$ and $[x_j]$ are adjacent for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. This means that $\{[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_n]\}$ is a clique in $\Gamma_E(G)$ and so $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq \omega(\Gamma_E(G))$.

Now, let $\chi(\Gamma_E(G)) = t$ and $\chi(\Gamma(G)) = k$. By [2, Lemma 4.1] k is the minimum number of abelian subgroups of G whose union is G , then G is covered by abelian subgroups A_1, \dots, A_k . Assume that $V(\Gamma_E(G)) = \{[x_1], \dots, [x_n]\}$, $T = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $T_i = \{[x] : x \in T \cap A_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the vertices of $\Gamma_E(G)$ in T_i are independent and so $t \leq k$. Now suppose that B_1, \dots, B_t are independent subsets of $V(\Gamma_E(G))$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^t B_j = V(\Gamma_E(G))$. Then $A_j = \langle \bigcup_{x \in B_j} [x], Z(G) \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of G , for $1 \leq j \leq t$ and G is covered by these t abelian subgroups. It follows that $k \leq t$. \square

Proposition 2.10. *If $\Gamma(G) \cong \Gamma(H)$, then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(H)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : V(\Gamma(G)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma(H))$ be a bijective map such that for every two distinct elements $x, y \in V(\Gamma(G))$, we have $[x, y] = 1$ if and only if $[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] = 1$. Define $\psi : V(\Gamma_E(G)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(H))$ such that $\psi([x]) = [\varphi(x)]$. One can check that ψ is a bijective map and $[x] - [y]$ in $\Gamma_E(G)$ if and only if $\psi([x]) - \psi([y]) = \psi([y])$ in $\Gamma_E(H)$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let G or H be non-abelian AC-groups. Then $\omega(\Gamma_E(G \times H)) = \omega(\Gamma_E(G))\omega(\Gamma_E(H))$.*

Proof. Assume that G is an AC-group and $V(\Gamma_E(G)) = \{[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_n]\}$ and $\{[y_1], [y_2], \dots, [y_m]\}$ are maximum clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$ and $\Gamma_E(H)$, respectively. We show that $\Omega = \{[(x_i, y_j)] : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ is a maximum clique of $\Gamma_E(G \times H)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\Omega \neq \Omega \cup \{[(u, v)]\}$ is a clique of $\Gamma_E(G \times H)$. Then $[(x_i, y_j)] - [(u, v)]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Case 1. $[u] = [x_t]$ or $[v] = [y_s]$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq s \leq m$. It is clear that $\{[y_1], [y_2], \dots, [y_m], [v]\}$ or $\{[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_n], [u]\}$ is a clique of $\Gamma_E(H)$ or $\Gamma_E(G)$, respectively, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $[u] \neq [x_i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since G is an AC-group, $[u]$ is adjacent to $[x_i]$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and so $\{[x_1], [x_2], \dots, [x_n], [u]\}$ is a clique of $\Gamma_E(G)$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let H be a finite subgroup of G . Then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(H)$ if and only if $G = HZ(G)$.*

Proof. Define $\varphi : V(\Gamma_E(G)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(H))$ by $\varphi([hz]) = [h]$, which is a bijective map. Since $[h_1z_1, h_2z_2] \neq 1$ if and only if $[h_1, h_2] \neq 1$, then $[h_1z_1]$ and $[h_2z_2]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_E(G)$ if and only if $[h_1]$ and $[h_2]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_E(H)$ and so φ is a graph isomorphism.

Conversely, assume that $V(\Gamma_E(H)) = \{[h_1], [h_2], \dots, [h_n]\}$, so that we may have $V(\Gamma_E(G)) = \{[h_1], [h_2], \dots, [h_n]\}$ and $Z(H) \subseteq Z(G)$. Since the map $\varphi : \frac{H}{Z(H)} \rightarrow \frac{G}{Z(G)}$ by $\varphi(hZ(H)) = hZ(G)$ is an isomorphism, for every $g \in G$ there exists $h \in H$ such that $gZ(G) = \varphi(hZ(H)) = hZ(G)$. Thus $h^{-1}g \in Z(G)$ and so $g = hz \in HZ(G)$ for some $z \in Z(G)$ and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.13. $\Gamma_E(G \times A) \cong \Gamma_E(G)$ if and only if A is an abelian group.

Proposition 2.14. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(G/N)$, if $N \cap G' = 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $N \cap G' = 1$. Then the map $\varphi : V(\Gamma_E(G)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(G/N))$ by $\varphi([x]) = [xN]$ is a bijection. Now assume that $[x]$ is not adjacent to $[y]$ in $\Gamma_E(G)$. Since $[x, y] = 1$, then $[xN, yN] = N$ and so $[xN] \neq [yN]$. On the other hand, if $[xN]$ and $[yN]$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma_E(G/N)$, then $[xN, yN] = 1_{G/N}$. Thus $[x, y] \in N \cap G' = 1$ and so $[x]$ and $[y]$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma_E(G)$. \square

Proposition 2.15. *Assume that $\Gamma_E(G_1) \cong \Gamma_E(H_1)$ and $\Gamma_E(G_2) \cong \Gamma_E(H_2)$. Then $\Gamma_E(G_1 \times G_2) \cong \Gamma_E(H_1 \times H_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_i : V(\Gamma_E(G_i)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(H_i))$ be a graph isomorphism for $i = 1, 2$. Then it is easy to see that $\psi : V(\Gamma_E(G_1 \times G_2)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(H_1 \times H_2))$ such that $\psi([(x, y)]) = [(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(y))]$, where $C_{H_1}(\varphi_1(x)) := \varphi_1(C_{G_1}(x))$ and $C_{H_2}(\varphi_2(y)) := \varphi_2(C_{G_2}(y))$ is a graph isomorphism between $\Gamma_E(G_1 \times G_2)$ and $\Gamma_E(H_1 \times H_2)$. \square

3. Isoclinism classes and the graph of equivalences classes

The notion of isoclinism of groups was introduced by Philip Hall [9] as the following

Definition 3.1. Let G and H be two groups; a pair (φ, ψ) is called an *isoclinism* from G to H if :

- (1) φ is an isomorphism from $G/Z(G)$ to $H/Z(H)$;
- (2) ψ is an isomorphism from G' to H' ;
- (3) the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{G}{Z(G)} \times \frac{G}{Z(G)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} & \frac{H}{Z(H)} \times \frac{H}{Z(H)} \\ a_G \downarrow & & a_H \downarrow \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H' \end{array}$$

where, $a_G(g_1Z(G), g_2Z(G)) = [g_1, g_2]$ and $a_H(h_1Z(H), h_2Z(H)) = [h_1, h_2]$.

If there is an isoclinism from G to H , we say that G and H are isoclinic and denote it by $G \sim H$. One may easily check that the groups Q_8 and D_8 are isoclinic but are not isomorphic.

In the following, we prove that the graphs of equivalences classes of non-commuting graphs associated with two isoclinic groups are isomorphic.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that G and H are two isoclinic groups. Then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(H)$.*

Proof. Let (φ, ψ) be an isoclinism from G to H , where $\varphi : G/Z(G) \rightarrow H/Z(H)$ and $\psi : G' \rightarrow H'$ are isomorphisms and if $\varphi(g_1Z(G)) = h_1Z(H)$, $\varphi(g_2Z(G)) = h_2Z(H)$, then $\psi([g_1, g_2]) = [h_1, h_2]$. Now assume that $C_G(x) \in \text{Cent}(G)$ such that $\varphi(C_G(x)/Z(G)) = K/Z(H)$, we will show that $K = C_H(y)$, where $\varphi(xZ(G)) = yZ(H)$. For $k \in K$ there is $g \in C_G(x)$ such that $\varphi(gZ(G)) = kZ(H)$. Since $[x, g] = 1$ and by commutativity

$$[y, k] = a_H(\varphi \times \varphi(xZ(G), gZ(G))) = \psi(a_G(xZ(G), gZ(G))) = \psi([x, g]),$$

then $[y, k] = 1$ and so $k \in C_H(y)$. Conversely, for $h \in C_H(y)$ there is $g \in G$ such that $\varphi(gZ(G)) = hZ(H)$ and

$$\psi([x, g]) = \psi(a_G(xZ(G), gZ(G))) = a_H(\varphi \times \varphi(xZ(G), gZ(G))) = [y, k] = 1.$$

Therefore $g \in C_G(x)$ and so $h \in K$, as required. Thus $\#\text{Cent}(G) = \#\text{Cent}(H)$ and so $\theta : V(\Gamma_E(G)) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_E(H))$ by $\theta([x]) = [y]$ is a bijective map, where $\varphi(C_G(x)/Z(G)) = C_H(y)/Z(H)$.

Furthermore if $[a]$ and $[b]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_E(G)$, then $C_G(a) \neq C_G(b)$ and $[a, b] \neq 1$. Since (φ, ψ) is an isoclinism, $[a']$ and $[b']$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_E(H)$, where $\varphi(C_G(a)/Z(G)) = C_H(a')/Z(H)$ and $\varphi(C_G(b)/Z(G)) = C_H(b')/Z(H)$. In the same way we can show that, $[a'] - [b']$ in $\Gamma_E(H)$ implies that $[a] - [b]$ in $\Gamma_E(G)$ and so $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(H)$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a group such that $\Gamma_E(G)$ is finite. Then there is a finite group K such that $Z(K) \subseteq K'$, $K \sim G$ and $\Gamma_E(K) \cong \Gamma_E(G)$.*

Proof. Thanks to [10, Proposition 2.5] there is a group K isoclinic to G such that $Z(K) \subseteq K'$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma_E(G)$ is finite, $\omega(\Gamma(G)) = \omega(\Gamma_E(G)) < \infty$ and so by the main theorem of [13], we have $[K : Z(K)] = [G : Z(G)] \leq c^{\omega(\Gamma(G))}$ for some constant c . Now, Schur's Theorem follows that K' and so $Z(K)$ is finite. Therefore K is finite and the result follows from Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.4. $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_3$ if and only if $G \sim D_8$.

Proof. It is clear that if G is isoclinic to D_8 , then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_3$. Now assume that $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_3$. By Theorem 3.3, we might as well assume that G is a finite group such that $Z(G) \subseteq G'$ and by Theorem 2.4, G is an AC-group. Since group G is the union of its proper centralizers, there are proper centralizers $C_G(x), C_G(y), C_G(z)$ of G such that $G = C_G(x) \cup C_G(y) \cup C_G(z)$. On the other hand, $[xy, x] \neq 1$ and $[xy, y] \neq 1$. Thus, $C_G(xy) = C_G(z)$ and so $G = C_G(x) \cup C_G(y) \cup C_G(xy)$. We claim that for every $u \in G \setminus Z(G)$, $u^2 \in Z(G)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that u^2 is not central and for example $u \in C_G(x)$, then $C_G(x) = C_G(u)$ and so $[u^2y, x] \neq 1$ and $[u^2y, y] \neq 1$. Hence, $C_G(u^2y) = C_G(xy)$, since G has four distinct centralizers. Moreover, $C_G(xy) = C_G(uy)$, since $[uy, x] \neq 1$ and $[uy, y] \neq 1$. Then $C_G(u^2y) = C_G(uy)$ and so $uy = yu$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G/Z(G)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. On the other hand, one can see that for every $u \in G \setminus Z(G)$ if for example $u \in C_G(x)$, then $uZ(G) = xZ(G)$ and so $[G : Z(G)] = 4$, which implies that $G/Z(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$ and so $Z(G) = G'$. Now by [14, Corollary 3.1], $G' \cong C_2$ and one can see that G is isoclinic to D_8 , as required. \square

Theorem 3.5. $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_4$ if and only if G is isoclinic to S_3 or an extra special group of order 27.

Proof. If $G \sim S_3$, then $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(S_3) \cong K_4$ by Theorem 3.2. Moreover, assume that E is an extra special group of order 27 and G is isoclinic to E . By using GAP, we can see that E has four abelian proper centralizers and so $\Gamma_E(G) \cong \Gamma_E(E) \cong K_4$. For the converse, let $\Gamma_E(G) \cong K_4$. From Theorem 3.3, we may assume, up to isoclinism, that G is a finite group such that $Z(G) \subseteq G'$. Then we have $\#\text{Cent}(G) = 5$ and so $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to $C_3 \times C_3$ or S_3 by [5, Fact 5]. First assume that $G/Z(G) \cong S_3$ and S is a non-central Sylow p -subgroup of G (p is a prime). Then $SZ(G)/Z(G) \in \text{Syl}_p(G/Z(G))$ and Sylow subgroups of $G/Z(G) \cong S_3$ are cyclic groups of order 2 or 3. Thus, $SZ(G)/Z(G)$ is cyclic which implies that $SZ(G)$ is abelian and so is S . Hence all Sylow subgroups of G are abelian and by [7, Corollary 4.5], $G' \cap Z(G) = 1$ and this implies that $Z(G) = 1$. Then we conclude that $G \sim S_3$, as required. Now let $G/Z(G) \cong C_3 \times C_3$. By a similar argument as Theorem 3.4, we can see that $Z(G) = G'$ and $G' \cong C_3$. It remains to prove that G is isoclinic to an extra special group of order 27. Let $G/Z(G) = \langle xZ(G) \rangle \times \langle yZ(G) \rangle$ and E be an extra special group of order 27 i.e., $E' = Z(E) \cong C_3$ and $E/Z(E) \cong C_3 \times C_3 = \langle aZ(E) \rangle \times \langle bZ(E) \rangle$, where $x, y \in G$ and $a, b \in E$.

Define $\varphi : G/Z(G) \rightarrow E/Z(E)$ by the rules $\varphi(xZ(G)) = aZ(E)$ and $\varphi(yZ(G)) = bZ(E)$, which is an isomorphism. Furthermore, one can check that $G' = \langle [x, y] \rangle$ and $E' = \langle [a, b] \rangle$ and so the map $\psi : G' \rightarrow E'$ by the rule $\psi([x, y]) = [a, b]$ is an isomorphism. Now we prove that the following diagram is commutative,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{G}{Z(G)} \times \frac{G}{Z(G)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} & \frac{E}{Z(E)} \times \frac{E}{Z(E)} \\ a_G \downarrow & & a_E \downarrow \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E' \end{array}$$

For any $0 \leq t, s, u, v \leq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_E \varphi \times \varphi(x^t y^s Z(G), x^u y^v Z(G)) &= a_E(a^{tb^s} Z(E), a^u b^v Z(E)) \\ &= [a^{tb^s}, a^u b^v] = [a, b]^{tv-su} \\ &= \psi([x, y]^{tv-su}) = \psi([x^t y^s, x^u y^v]) \\ &= \psi a_G(x^t y^s Z(G), x^u y^v Z(G)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore G and E are isoclinic by Definition 3.1 as required. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be an AC-group. Then $\Gamma_E(G)$ is planar if and only if G is isoclinic to S_3 , D_8 or an extra special group of order 27.*

Proposition 3.7. *If $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) \leq 20$, then G is solvable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we may assume that G is a finite group with $\omega(\Gamma_E(G)) = \omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq 20$. Therefore, the result follows from [3, Theorem 1.4]. \square

It must be note that A_5 is an AC-group with $\#\text{Cent}(A_5) = 22$ and so $\omega(\Gamma_E(A_5)) = 21$, by Theorem 2.4. Therefore, the above upper bound is sharp.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for providing helpful and constructive comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdollahi, Engel graph associated with a group, *J. Algebra* **318** (2007) 680–691.
- [2] A. Abdollahi, S. Akbari and H.R. Maimani, Non-commuting graph of a group, *J. Algebra* **298** (2006) 468–492.
- [3] A. Abdollahi and A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Finite groups with a certain number of elements pairwise generating a non-nilpotent subgroup, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **30** (2004), no. 2, 1–20.
- [4] A. Abdollahi and A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Non-cyclic graph of a group, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007) 2057–2081.
- [5] S.M. Belcastro and G.J. Sherman, Counting centralizers in finite groups, *Math. Mag.* **67** (1994), no. 5, 366–374.
- [6] E.A. Bertram, M. Herzog and A. Mann, On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), no. 6, 569–575.
- [7] A. M. Broshi, Finite groups whose Sylow subgroups are abelian, *J. Algebra* **17** (1971) 74–82.
- [8] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, version 4.4.10, 2007. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [9] P. Hall, The classification of prime-power groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **182** (1940) 130–141.
- [10] P. Lescot, Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995) 847–869.
- [11] A.R. Moghaddamfar, W.J. Shi, W. Zhou and A.R. Zokai, On noncommuting graphs associated with a finite group, *Sib. Math. J.* **46** (2005), no. 2, 325–332.
- [12] B.H. Neumann, A problem of Paul Erdős on groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **21** (1976) 467–472.
- [13] L. Pyber, Non-commuting elements and the index of the centre in a finite group, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **35** (1987), no. 2, 287–295.
- [14] R. Rezaei and A. Erfanian, A note on the relative commutativity degree of finite groups, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **7** (2014), no. 1, 1–9.

(Rashid Rezaei) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MALAYER UNIVERSITY, MALAYER, IRAN.

E-mail address: ras_rezaei@yahoo.com; r.rezaei@malayeru.ac.ir

(Mehdi Varmazyar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MALAYER UNIVERSITY, MALAYER, IRAN.

E-mail address: m.varmazyar61@yahoo.com