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#### Abstract

In this paper, we derive a nonlinear Picone identity to the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator, which contains some known Picone identities and removes a condition used in many previous papers. Some applications are given including a Liouville type theorem to the singular pseudo $p$-Laplace system, a Sturmian comparison principle to the pseudo $p$-Laplace equation, a new Hardy type inequality with weight and remainder term, a nonnegative estimate of the functional associated to pseudo $p$-Laplace equation. Keywords: Nonlinear Picone identity, pseudo $p$-Laplace equation, pseudo $p$-Laplace system. MSC(2010): Primary: 35J25; Secondary: 35J66.


## 1. Introduction and main results

If the membrane is fixed out of elastic strings in a rectangular fashion, its deformation energy corresponds to the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator (Belloni-Ferone-Kawohl [7], Belloni-Kawohl [8], Cianchi-Salani [12], Demengel [13], Emamizadeh-Rezapour [17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be also derived from the optimal transport problem with congestion effects (Brasco-Carlier [11] ), some reinforced materials (Lions [23], Tang [29]), the dynamics of fluids in the anisotropic media when the conductivities of the media are different in each direction (Antontsev-Díaz-Shmarev [4], Bear [6]) and the image processing (Weickert [31]). Hence, the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator not only has the widespread practical background in the natural science, but also has the important theoretical value in the mathematics. Note

[^0]that if $p=2$, then (1.1) becomes the classical Laplace operator. It is worthy to note that the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator belongs to a kind of anisotropic Laplace operator (Boccardo-Marcellini-Sbordone [9], Fragalà-Gazzola-Kawohl [18], Stroffolini [28])
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right), p_{i}>1
$$
which differents from the usual $p$-Laplace operator
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$
where $|\nabla u|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The pseudo $p$-Laplace operator can be deduced from the anisotropic Laplace operator when $p_{i}=p=$ const. We can also deduce the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator from a kind of differential operator
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$
when $p=q$. Moreover, we point out this kind of differential operator can be derived from the so-called Finsler $p$-Laplace operator (Belloni-Ferone-Kawohl [7], Belloni-Kawohl [8], Cianchi-Salani [12])
$$
Q u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left((H(\nabla u))^{p-1} H_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right)
$$
where $H(\xi)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.
Let us mention some pioneering works for elliptic problems related the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator. For the following anisotropic quasilinear elliptic problem
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\lambda u^{q-1}, & x \in \Omega \\
u \geqslant 0, & x \in \Omega \\
u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where constants $\lambda>0, q>1, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geqslant 2)$ is a smooth bounded domain, Fragalà-Gazzola-Kawohl [18] established existence results in the subcritical case by the minimax methods, and obtained nonexistence results in the at least critical case in domains with a geometric property by modifying the standard notion of starshapedness. Note that the classical model of anisotropic functional

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{p_{1}}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right|^{p_{2}}+\cdots+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right|^{p_{n}}\right) d x
$$

whose minimizers are the solutions of some homogeneous anisotropic elliptic problems. Moussa [25] proved that the Schwarz rearrangement does not decrease the energy functional for the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator, namely

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega^{*}}\left|\frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x
$$

where $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, u^{*}$ and $\Omega^{*}$ denote the Schwarz rearrangement of $u$ and $\Omega$, respectively. Marcellini [24] obtained $H_{l o c}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ regularity of minimizers of the anisotropic functional

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} f(\nabla u(x)) d x
$$

with

$$
m \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \leqslant f(\xi) \leqslant M\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right)
$$

and

$$
m|\lambda|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} f_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\xi) \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \leqslant M\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\right)|\lambda|^{2}
$$

for every $\lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, positive constants $m, M$ and $2 \leqslant p_{i}<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$. Furthermore, for the integral functional

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

where $f$ satisfies the anisotropic coerciveness condition $f(x, \xi) \geqslant m \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}$, Boccardo-Marcellini [9] obtained $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ regularity result. It is shown that the so-called growth conditions are necessary for the local regularity of minimizers in Giaquinta [19]. Moreover, between the radially decreasing symmetrals of minimizers of anisotropic variational problems and minimizers of suitably symmetrized problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\min \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u(x)) d x \\
u=u_{0} \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{0}$ is a prescribed boundary datum, $f$ is a Carathéodory function and satisfies $f(x, \xi) \geqslant \Phi(\xi)$ for a finite-valued $n$-dimensional Young function $\Phi$, Alberico-Cianchi [1] established a pointwise inequality and derived a priori sharp estimates for norms of the relevant minimizers.

Recently, there are a large number of papers and an increasing interest about elliptic problems related to the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator. For the following eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
Q u+\lambda_{p}|u|^{p-2} u=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{Q}\\
u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{p}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^{p} d x ; u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x=1\right\}
$$

In Belloni-Ferone-Kawohl [7], the positivity of the first eigenfunction, simplicity of the first eigenvalue, Faber-Krahn and Payne-Rayner type inequalities are derived and the symmetry of positive solutions of more general equations $Q u=$ $f(u)$ are also shown under some suitable conditions. In Cianchi-Salani [12], a symmetry result is established for solutions to the overdetermined elliptic problem $-Q u=1$ and $p=2$ in variational form, which extended Serrin's theorem in the isotropic radial case. Belloni-Kawohl [8] obtained viscosity solutions of the problem $(Q)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, Demengel [13] proved the Lipschitz interior regularity for the viscosity and weak solutions of the pseudo $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=(p-1) f
$$

where $f \in C\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$. For the following eigenvalue problem with Robin boundary condition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+\alpha f|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
(1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \nu_{i}+\beta|u|^{p-2} u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \alpha \geqslant$ $0,0<\beta<1$ are constants, $f$ is a measurable function and the vector $\left(\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{n}\right)=: \nu$ denotes the unit outward normal on $\partial \Omega$, EmamizadehRezapour [17] proved an optimization of the principal eigenvalue and gave the existence and optimality conditions.

As is well known, the Picone identity is an important tool in the analysis of partial differential equations. In this paper, we provide a nonlinear Picone identity to the pseudo $p$-Laplace operator, which contains some known Picone identities and removes a condition used in many previous papers. As applications, we give a Liouville type theorem to singular pseudo $p$-Laplace systems, a Sturmian comparison principle to pseudo $p$-Laplace equation, a new Hardy type inequality with weight and remainder term, and a nonnegative estimate of the functional associated to pseudo $p$-Laplace equation. Before giving the main result of this paper, we first briefly review the research development of nonlinear Picone identities (see [2, 3, 10, 14, 20, 21, 22, 26, 27] and related references for linear Picone identities).

Recently, a nonlinear Picone identity for the Laplace operator was presented by Tyagi [30]: If $v$ and $u$ are differentiable functions such that $v>0$ and $u \geq 0$,
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{f^{\prime}(v)}+\left(\frac{u \sqrt{f^{\prime}(v)} \nabla v}{f(v)}-\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{f^{\prime}(v)}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\alpha|\nabla u|^{2}-\nabla\left(\frac{u^{2}}{f(v)}\right) \nabla v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(y)>0,0<y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f^{\prime}(y) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha>0$. Bal [5] generalized the result of Tyagi with $\alpha=1$ to the $p$-Laplace operator: If $v$ and $u$ are differentiable functions such that $v>0$ and $u \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla u|^{p}-\frac{p u^{p-1}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u}{f(v)}+\frac{u^{p} f^{\prime}(v)|\nabla v|^{p}}{[f(v)]^{2}} \\
& =|\nabla u|^{p}-\nabla\left(\frac{u^{p}}{f(v)}\right)|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(y)>0,0<y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f^{\prime}(y) \geq(p-1)\left[f(y)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\right], p>1$. For related results we refer to Dwivedi-Tyagi [15] (see also [16]).

The main result in this paper is
Theorem 1.1. Let $v>0$ and $u$ be two differentiable functions in the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \\
L(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f(v)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(v) \geq(p-1)[f(v)]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}, p>1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(u, v)=L(u, v) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L(u, v) \geq 0$; moreover, $L(u, v)=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ if and only if $u=c_{1} v+c_{2}$ a.e. in $\Omega$ for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$.

Remark 1.2. We mention that the assumption $u \geq 0$ was required in many previous papers. But we remove it here. If $p=2$, then (1.2) is the result in Tyagi [30] with $\alpha=1$; if $p=2$ and $f(v)=v$, then (1.2) is the result in Allegretto [2]; if $p>2$ and $f(v)=v^{p-1}$, then (1.2) is the one in Jaros [21] with $p=r$. We also note that the "if and only if "condition for $L(u, v)=0$ is different from the known results.

This paper is organized as follows: The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 2; Section 3 is devoted to applications.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove $R(u, v)=L(u, v)$. Via a direct calculation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(u, v) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{f(v)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} \\
& =L(u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we verify that $L(u, v) \geq 0$. In fact, in virtue of

$$
u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \leq|u|\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|
$$

it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
L(u, v) \geq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(p-1)\left(\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
& \left.-\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}\left|\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\right| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(p-1) \\
1): & I+I I, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I= \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(p-1)\left(\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
\\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \\
I I=- \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}(p-1)\left(\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p} f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us recall Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \leq \frac{a^{p}}{p}+\frac{b^{q}}{q} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$, where $p>1, q>1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, and the equality holds if and only if $a=b^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Taking $a=\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|$ and $b=\frac{u^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}$ in (2.2), it gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq p\left[\frac{1}{p}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $I \geq 0$ by (2.3). Noting the assumptions for $f(v)$, it implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|u|^{p}(p-1)[f(v)]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}{[f(v)]^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(p-1)\left(\frac{|u|^{p-1}}{f(v)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $L(u, v) \geq 0$ by (2.1).
The proof process above also shows that $L(u, v)=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|=\left(\frac{1}{f(v)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}|u|\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|, f(v)>0  \tag{2.4}\\
f^{\prime}(v)=(p-1)[f(v)]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}  \tag{2.5}\\
u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=|u|\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

a.e. in $\Omega$.

If $u=c_{1} v+c_{2}$, for constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, then $u$ satisfies (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), and so $L(u, v)=0$.

Conversely, if $L(u, v)\left(x_{0}\right)=0, x_{0} \in \Omega$, we consider two cases $u\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, separately.
(a) If $u\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then it follows (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). We will obtain $u=c_{1} v+c_{2}$ by solving (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). In fact, it follows by (2.5) that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(v)}{[f(v)]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}=(p-1)
$$

and by integrating,

$$
(p-1)[f(v)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}=(p-1) v+c_{3}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(v)=\left(v+c_{0}\right)^{p-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting (2.7) into (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v+c_{0}\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|=|u|\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

finally, putting (2.8) into (2.6), it gives

$$
\left(v+c_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}=0, a . e . .
$$

In virtue of $f(v)=\left(v+c_{0}\right)^{p-1}>0$, we have

$$
\frac{\left(v+c_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}}{\left(v+c_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{u}{v+c_{0}}\right)=0, \text { a.e. }
$$

which implies

$$
u=c_{1} v+c_{2} .
$$

(b) If $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, we denote $S=\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x)=0\}$ and then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=0$ a.e. in $S$. Thus

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)=\frac{1}{v^{2}}\left(v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=0
$$

which shows that $u=c_{1} v+c_{2}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is ended.

## 3. Applications

Throughout this section, we always assume

$$
v>0, f(v)>0, \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(v) \geq(p-1)[f(v)]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad p>1
$$

We will give several applications of Theorem 1.1. The first is a Liouville theorem.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(u, v) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \times W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem of a singular pseudo p-Laplace system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=f(v), & x \in \Omega  \tag{3.1}\\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\frac{[f(v)]^{2}}{|u|^{p-1}}, & x \in \Omega \\
v>0, & x \in \Omega \\
u=0, v=0, & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $u=c_{1} v+c_{2}$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
Proof. For any $\phi, \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, it gets by (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} f(v) \phi d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{[f(v)]^{2}}{|u|^{p-1}} \varphi d x . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\phi=|u|$ and $\varphi=\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}$ in (3.2) and (3.3), respectively, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{\Omega} f(v)|u| d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x .
$$

Combining it and (1.2), it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} L(u, v) d x & =\int_{\Omega} R(u, v) d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the conclusion is true.

Remark 3.2. Here we do not use the condition $u>0$ in $\Omega$.
The next is a Sturmian comparison principle to a singular pseudo $p$-Laplace equation.

Proposition 3.3. Let $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}(x)$ be two continuous functions with $f_{1}(x)<f_{2}(x)$. Assume that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfies the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=f_{1}(x) u^{p-1}, & x \in \Omega  \tag{3.4}\\
u>0, & x \in \Omega \\
u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then any nontrivial solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=f_{2}(x) f(v), x \in \Omega \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

must change sign.

Proof. Assume that the solution $v$ in (3.5) does not change sign and without loss generality, let $v>0$ in $\Omega$. We have by (3.4), (3.5) and (1.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} L(u, v) d x=\int_{\Omega} R(u, v) d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)\right)|u|^{p} d x \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. This accomplishes the proof.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose that there exists a constant $k>0$ and a function $h(x)$ such that for a differentiable function $v>0$ in $\Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \geq k h(x) f(v) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x \geq k \int_{\Omega} h(x) u^{p} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0 \leq u \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. By (3.6) and (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} L(u, v) d x=\int_{\Omega} R(u, v) d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-k \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (3.7).

Proposition 3.4 can help us establish a new Hardy type inequality with weight and remainder term.

Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $u \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right), 1<p<n$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x \geq & \left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^{p-1} \frac{(n+p)(1-p)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}}|u|^{p} d x \\
3.8) & +\left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^{p-1}(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2 p-2}}|u|^{p} d x . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $v=|x|^{\beta}$, where $\beta=\frac{p-n}{p}$, hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}=\beta|x|^{\beta-2} x_{i} \\
\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2}=|\beta|^{p-2}|x|^{(\beta-2)(p-2)}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-2} \\
\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}=\beta|\beta|^{p-2}|x|^{\beta p-\beta-2 p+2}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-2} x_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^{p-1} \frac{(n+p)(1-p)}{p} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}}\right] v^{p-1} \\
& \quad+\left[\left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^{p-1}(p-1) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-2}}{|x|^{2 p-2}}\right] v^{p-1} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, taking $f(v)=v^{p-1}$ in (3.9), we know that (3.6) in Proposition 3.4 is satisfied and hence (3.8) is followed by (3.7).

Finally, we consider a pseudo $p$-Laplace equation with weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a(x)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-a(x) f(v)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(x)$ is a positive weight. Let

$$
W_{l o c}^{1, p}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \mid \text { for any } \Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega\right\} .
$$

A function $0<v \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ is called a super-solution to (3.10) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x) f(v) \varphi d x \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0 \leq \varphi \in W_{c}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$, where

$$
W_{c}^{1, p}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}(\Omega), \text { supp } u \subset \Omega\right\} .
$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $0<v \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ be a super-solution of (3.10). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u):=\int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} d x \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in W_{c}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$.
Proof. Taking $\varphi=\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}$ in (3.11), it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} d x \geq 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{f(v)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x) R(u, v) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

we substitute it into (3.13) to obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} d x \geq \int_{\Omega} a(x) R(u, v) d x .
$$

Since $a(x)>0$ and $R(u, v) \geq 0$, it yields (3.12).
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