

RIGID RESOLUTION OF A FINITELY GENERATED MODULE OVER A REGULAR LOCAL RING

L. SHARIFAN AND F. RAHMATI*

Communicated by Teo Mora

ABSTRACT. Let M be a finitely generated module over a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) , and let $\mathbb{M} = \{M_i\}$ be an \mathfrak{n} -stable filtration on M . As a consequence of a recent result by Rossi and Sharifan in [14], we prove that if the i -th Betti numbers of M and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(\{M\})$ coincide with each other, then for each $j \geq i$ the j -th Betti numbers of them are the same and $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ is a Koszul module provided that $\text{Syz}_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$ is componentwise linear.

1. Introduction

Supposing M is a finitely generated module over a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) and if $\mathbb{M} = \{M_i\}$ is an \mathfrak{n} -stable filtration on M , then $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) := \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} M_t/M_{t+1}$ will be the corresponding associated graded module. Often by making use of the Hilbert function, mathematicians compare the numerical invariants of M and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ to find reasonable conditions on M for being $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ Cohen-Macaulay or having estimated depth. We can deduce more accurate data by comparing the minimal free resolutions of M as an R -module and the minimal free resolutions of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ as a $P = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ -module.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13H05; Secondary: 13D02.

Keywords: Minimal free resolution, filtered module, associated graded module, componentwise linear module, generic initial ideal, Koszul module.

Received: 18 February 2009, Accepted: 15 August 2009.

*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

For example, consider the linear part of a minimal free resolution of M (see page 6). Röemer [13] has defined the linearity defect of M , written $ld(M)$, as the smallest integer i such that the linear part is exact in homological degrees greater than i . The module $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{n}^i M / \mathfrak{n}^{i+1} M$ has a linear P -resolution if and only if $ld(M) = 0$. In this case, M is called a Koszul module and its Betti numbers are the same as the ones of $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M)$ (see [9]). Another interesting result is that in the graded setting M is Koszul if and only if M is componentwise linear (see [13, Theorem 3.2.8]).

Here, we deal with the rigidity of the Betti numbers of M by passing through the associated graded module $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$. Mainly, we generalize the central results of [14] and prove that if $\beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)) = \beta_i(M)$, for some $i \geq 0$, and $\text{Syz}_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$ is a componentwise linear module, then $\beta_k(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)) = \beta_k(M)$, for each $k \geq i$ (see Theorem 3.3). In particular, under these assumptions, $\text{depth } M = \text{depth } \text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$, the module $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ is Koszul and $ld(M) \leq i$ (see Corollary 3.6).

One of the most important starting points of [14] is a result due to Robbiano (see [12] and also [11]), which says that we can build up an R -free resolution of M from a minimal P -free resolution of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$. We should point out that this construction is a very useful element and its applications have appeared in [14, 15]. Also, using properties of this resolution, we are able to give a short proof for Theorem 3.3 by means of [14, Theorem 3.1], which actually shows that $\beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)) = \beta_i(M)$, for every $i \geq 0$ provided that M and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ have the same minimal number of generators and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ is a componentwise linear module.

The following is the use of Theorem 3.3 to the classical case, a local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m}) = (R/I, \mathfrak{n}/I)$ filtered by the \mathfrak{m} -adic filtration. In this case, $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = P/I^*$, where I^* is a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring P generated by the initial forms (w.r.t. the \mathfrak{n} -adic filtration) of the elements of I and if we consider the ideal I equipped with the \mathfrak{n} -adic filtration $\mathbb{M} = \{I \cap \mathfrak{n}^i\}$, we have $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(I) = I^*$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.3, we can see that if $\beta_i(I) = \beta_i(I^*)$, and $\text{Syz}_i(I^*)$ is componentwise linear, then $\beta_k(I) = \beta_k(I^*)$, for each $k \geq i$. It is interesting to compare this result with Conca, et al.'s main theorem in [4] which says that if J is a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring P and $\beta_i(J) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(J))$, then $\beta_k(J) = \beta_k(\text{Gin}(J))$, for each $k \geq i$. In this route, we state an interesting conjecture and some examples (see Discussion 3.9).

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, (R, \mathfrak{n}) is a regular local ring with infinite residue field k . If $\dim R = n$, then the associated graded ring $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ with respect to the \mathfrak{n} -adic filtration is the polynomial ring $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If x is a non-zero element of R , we denote by x^* (or $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(x)$) Then initial form of x in P . If $x = 0$, then $x^* = 0$.

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. We say, according to the notation in [16], that a filtration of submodules $\mathbb{M} = \{M_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ on M is called an \mathfrak{n} -filtration if $\mathfrak{n}M_n \subseteq M_{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 0$, and a good (or stable) \mathfrak{n} -filtration if $\mathfrak{n}M_n = M_{n+1}$, for all sufficiently large n . In the following, a *filtered module* M will always be an R -module equipped with a good \mathfrak{n} -filtration \mathbb{M} . If $\mathbb{M} = \{M_j\}$ is an \mathfrak{n} -filtration of M , Then define

$$\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (M_j/M_{j+1}),$$

which is a graded $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ -module in a natural way. It is called the **associated graded module** to the filtration \mathbb{M} .

To avoid triviality, we assume that $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ is not zero or equivalently $M \neq 0$. If N is a submodule of M , then by Artin-Rees Lemma, the sequence $\{N \cap M_j \mid j \geq 0\}$ is a good \mathfrak{n} -filtration of N . Since

$$(2.1) \quad (N \cap M_j)/(N \cap M_{j+1}) \simeq (N \cap M_j + M_{j+1})/M_{j+1},$$

$\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(N)$ is a graded submodule of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$, denoted by N^* .

The morphism of filtered modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ ($f(M_p) \subseteq N_p$ for every p) clearly induces a morphism of graded $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ -modules,

$$\text{gr}(f) : \text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathbb{N}}(N).$$

It is clear that $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(\cdot)$ is a functor from the category of filtered R -modules into the category of the graded $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ -modules. Furthermore, we have a canonical embedding $(\ker f)^* \rightarrow \ker(\text{gr}(f))$.

Let $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s Re_i$ be a free R -module of rank s and ν_1, \dots, ν_s be integers. We define the filtration $\mathbb{L} = \{L_p : p \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ on L as follows

$$L_p := \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{n}^{p-\nu_i} e_i = \{(a_1, \dots, a_s) : a_i \in \mathfrak{n}^{p-\nu_i}\}.$$

We denote the filtered free R -module L by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s R(-\nu_i)$ and we call it *special filtration* on L . If (\mathbf{F}, δ) is a complex of finitely generated free

R -modules, a special filtration on \mathbf{F} is a special filtration on each F_i that makes (\mathbf{F}, δ) a complex of filtered modules.

Next, we state a crucial result due to Robbiano which gives a criteria to compare free resolutions of M and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$. For the proof and more information, see [14, Theorem 1.8]

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a filtered R -module and let (\mathbf{G}, d) be a P -free graded resolution of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} : 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\beta_l} P(-a_{li}) \xrightarrow{d_l} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\beta_{l-1}} P(-a_{l-1i}) \xrightarrow{d_{l-1}} \\ \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\beta_0} P(-a_{0i}) \xrightarrow{d_0} \text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can build up an R -free resolution (\mathbf{F}, δ) of M and a special filtration \mathbb{F} on it such that $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{G}$.

We remind that (\mathbf{F}, δ) is computed by an inductive process. For each $j \geq 0$, the R -free module F_j is defined with the special filtration $F_j = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\beta_j} R(-a_{ji})$ and the differential map $\delta_j : F_j \rightarrow F_{j-1}$ such that $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}_j}(F_j) = \mathbf{G}_j$, $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}_j}(\delta_j) = d_j$ and moreover,

$$(2.2) \quad \ker(d_j) = \text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}_j}(\ker(\delta_j)).$$

It is worth saying that the R -free resolution of M ,

$$\mathbf{F} : 0 \rightarrow R^{\beta_l} \xrightarrow{\delta_l} R^{\beta_{l-1}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{l-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R^{\beta_0} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

coming from a minimal free resolution of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$, is not necessarily minimal. In particular, (\mathbf{F}, δ) is minimal if and only if the Betti numbers of M and $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ coincide.

Let (\mathbf{F}, δ) be a non-minimal free resolution of an R -module M . In [5, Page 6], a method was described to construct the minimal free resolution of M starting from (\mathbf{F}, δ) . In the following, we explain this with more details.

Remark 2.2. Let

$$F_j = R^{\alpha_j} \xrightarrow{\delta_j} F_{j-1} = R^{\alpha_{j-1}}$$

be part of (\mathbf{F}, δ) , a free resolution of a module M , and $\mathcal{M}_j = (m_{rs})$ be the matrix of δ_j with respect to the bases $\{e_{j1}, \dots, e_{j\alpha_j}\}$ of F_j and $\{e_{j-1,1}, \dots, e_{j-1,\alpha_{j-1}}\}$ of F_{j-1} . Suppose that there exist some non-zero invertible entries in \mathcal{M}_j . Let (p, q) be such that $m_{pq} \notin \mathfrak{n}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $(p, q) = (1, 1)$. Let $c = m_{11}$ and replace the basis of F_{j-1} by $e'_{j-1,1} = ce_{j-1,1} + m_{21}e_{j-1,2} + \dots + m_{\alpha_{j-1}1}e_{j-1,\alpha_{j-1}}$, and $e'_{j-1,i} = e_{j-1,i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \alpha_{j-1}$.

The matrices of differential maps δ_k change just for δ_j and δ_{j-1} . Since $\delta_j(e_{j1}) = ce_{j-1,1} + m_{21}e_{j-1,2} + \dots + m_{\alpha_{j-1}1}e_{j-1,\alpha_{j-1}} = e'_{j-1,1}$, the first column of \mathcal{M}_j is replaced with $(1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)^{tr}$.

Since $\delta_{j-1}(e'_{j-1,1}) = \delta_{j-1}(\delta_j(e_{j1})) = 0$, the first column of \mathcal{M}_{j-1} is replaced with $(0 \ \dots \ 0)^{tr}$. For $s \geq 2$, one can check that the column $(m_{1s} \ \dots \ m_{rs} \ \dots \ m_{\alpha_{j-1}s})^{tr}$ of \mathcal{M}_j is replaced with

$$(c^{-1}m_{1s} \ \dots \ m_{rs} - c^{-1}m_{1s}m_{r1} \ \dots \ m_{\alpha_{j-1}s} - c^{-1}m_{1s}m_{\alpha_{j-1}1})^{tr}.$$

Now, we consider a subcomplex of \mathbf{F} . Let $H_i = 0$, if $i \neq j-1, j$ and $H_j = F_j|_{e_{j1}}$ and $H_{j-1} = F_{j-1}|_{e'_{j-1,1}}$. Thus, we have found the following trivial subcomplex of (\mathbf{F}, δ) ,

$$\mathbf{H} : \underbrace{0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0}_{h-j+1} \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{id} R \rightarrow \underbrace{0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0}_j,$$

where h is the length of (\mathbf{F}, δ) . \mathbf{H} is embedded in \mathbf{F} in such a way that $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{H}$ is again a free resolution of M . The matrices of differential maps of \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{H} are different with those of (\mathbf{F}, δ) , just for $j-1, j, j+1$.

If we show the matrices of new resolution by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i$, then delete the first column of \mathcal{M}_{j-1} to obtain $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{j-1}$. Delete the first column and first row of \mathcal{M}_j to get $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_j$. Finally, delete the first row of \mathcal{M}_{j+1} to obtain $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{j+1}$.

Continuing in this way, we eventually reach a minimal free resolution.

3. Rigidity of resolutions

In this section, we present the main results of the paper. Our interest is to find some conditions such that the tail of a resolution (\mathbf{F}, δ) of a filtered module M has a rigid behavior with respect to the Betti numbers of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$. We denote by $\mu(\)$ the minimal number of generators of a module over a local ring (or the minimal number of generators of a graded module over the polynomial ring).

Let (\mathbf{G}, d) be the minimal free resolution of a graded module M over a polynomial ring (or a module M over a local ring), Set.

$$\text{Syz}_i(M) = \ker(d_{i-1}).$$

Let N be a graded P -module. For $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, write $N_{\langle d \rangle}$ for the submodule of N which is generated by all homogeneous elements of N with degree d . In the graded case, we may also define the graded Betti numbers; i.e.,

$$\beta_{ij}(N) := \dim_k \text{Tor}_i^P(k, N)_j.$$

For the following definition and more information on the topic, see [8, 13, 4].

Definition 3.1. Let N be a graded P -module.

- (i) Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, N has a d -linear resolution if $\beta_{ij} = 0$, for $j \neq d+i$.
- (ii) N is componentwise linear if for all integers d the module $N_{\langle d \rangle}$ has a d -linear resolution.

Theorem 3.2. ([14], Theorem 3.1.) *Let M be a finitely generated filtered module over a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) . Assume:*

- (1) $\mu(M) = \mu(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$.
- (2) $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ is a componentwise linear P -module.

Then, $\beta_i(M) = \beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$, for each $i \geq 0$.

What follows is the extension of the above result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a finitely generated filtered module over a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) . Assume:*

- (1) for some $i \geq 0$, $\beta_i(M) = \beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$.
- (2) $\text{Syz}_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$ is a componentwise linear P -module.

Then, $\beta_l(M) = \beta_l(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$, for each $l \geq i$.

Proof. Denote $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) = M^*$ and let

$$\mathbf{G}: 0 \rightarrow G_h \xrightarrow{d_h} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} G_i \xrightarrow{d_i} G_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M^* \rightarrow 0$$

be the minimal free resolution of M^* . It is clear that $0 \rightarrow G_h \xrightarrow{d_h} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} G_i \xrightarrow{d_i} N^*$ is the minimal free resolution of $N^* = \text{Syz}_i(M^*)$. By Theorem

2.1, we can build up a free resolution (\mathbf{F}, δ) for M :

$$0 \rightarrow F_h \xrightarrow{\delta_h} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $N = \ker(\delta_{i-1})$. By construction, $N^* = \text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}_{i-1}}(N)$ and clearly $0 \rightarrow F_h \xrightarrow{\delta_h} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} N$ is a free resolution of N .

By Remark 2.2, we construct the minimal free resolution of M with an inductive process. Let c_1 be the smallest integer less than $i + 1$ such that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} has invertible entries and follow the process of Remark 2.2 for \mathcal{M}_{c_1} . Continuing this way, the biggest integer that we can choose is $i - 1$, because $\beta_i(M) = \beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$. In each step k , the matrices of differential maps are different from the ones from the previous step, just for $c_k - 1, c_k$ and $c_k + 1$. So, we get a free resolution $(\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}, \widetilde{\delta})$ for M such that there is no invertible entry in the matrices of differential maps for $c \leq i + 1$, and moreover the matrices of differential maps are the same as those of (\mathbf{F}, δ) , for $l > i$.

Since there is no invertible entry in the matrix of the differential map $\delta_{i+1} = \widetilde{\delta}_{i+1}$, we have $\mu(N) = \mu(N^*) = \beta_i(M^*)$. So, by Theorem 3.2, $\beta_j(N) = \beta_j(N^*)$, for each j , which means that there is no invertible entry in the matrices of differential maps $\delta_l = \widetilde{\delta}_l$, for $l \geq i + 1$. Therefore, $(\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}, \widetilde{\delta})$ is the minimal free resolution of M and $\beta_l(M) = \beta_l(M^*)$, for $l \geq i$. \square

An immediate application of the above result is that under the assumption of Theorem 3.3,

$$\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth}(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pd}(M) = \text{pd}(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)).$$

The above proof shows that we can find more information about M under the assumption of Theorem 3.3. To denote them, let us remind some notations.

Let (\mathbf{F}, δ) be a minimal R -free resolution of a module M . For all integer i , we have

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(F_i)(-i) = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \mathfrak{n}^{j-i} F_i / \mathfrak{n}^{j+1-i} F_i \simeq \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbf{R})^{\beta_i(M)}(-i)$$

Following this construction due to Eisenbud, etal. [6], the differential maps δ_i induces a bihomogeneous map,

$$\delta_{i+1}^{lin} : \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(F_{i+1})(-i-1) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(F_i)(-i),$$

which can be described by matrices of *linear forms*. Precisely the matrices, say \mathcal{M}_{i+1}^{lin} , are obtained by replacing in \mathcal{M}_{i+1} , the matrix of δ_{i+1} , all entries of valuation > 1 by 0 and by replacing all the entries of valuation one by their initial forms with respect to the \mathfrak{n} -adic filtration. The minimality of (\mathbf{F}, δ) ensures that the maps $\{\delta_i^{lin}\}$ are well-defined and form a complex homomorphism denoted by $lin^R(\mathbf{F})$, which is not necessarily exact. It is called the *linear part of the resolution*. For the construction of this complex and related results, see [6], as well as [9, 13]. Röemer introduced a measure for the lack of the exactness and defined

$$ld(M) := \inf\{j : H_i(lin^R(\mathbf{F})) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq j + 1\}.$$

In particular, $ld(M) = 0$ if and only if $lin^R(\mathbf{F})$ is exact.

Definition 3.4. A finitely generated R -module M is said to be Koszul if $lin^R(\mathbf{F})$ is acyclic, where \mathbf{F} is the minimal free resolution of M .

Röemer proved in [13, Theorem 3.2.8] that, for graded modules, when $ld(M) = 0$ (meaning Koszul modules), they are equivalently componentwise linear. Herzog and Iyengar proved in [9, Proposition 1.5] that to be *Koszul* is equivalent to the fact that $lin^R(\mathbf{F})$ is the minimal free resolution of $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M) = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{n}^j M / \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} M$. In particular, this is the case if and only if $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M)$ has a linear resolution as a $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ -module.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the definition.

Corollary 3.5. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. The following facts hold.*

- (i) $ld(M) = \min\{i : \text{Syz}_i(M) \text{ is a Koszul module}\}$.
- (ii) *If M is a Koszul module, Then so are all its syzygy modules.*

Supposing a filtered R -module M , in [14, Theorem 3.6] it is proved that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, M itself is Koszul. In a very special situation, using this result we can check the Koszulness of a module by means of a general \mathfrak{n} -stable filtration (not necessarily the n -adic filtration). We apply this theory to show that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ is a Koszul module.

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be a finitely generated filtered module over a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) . Assume:*

- (1) *for some $i \geq 0$, $\beta_i(M) = \beta_i(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{M}}(M))$.*

(2) $\text{Syz}_i(\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M))$ is a componentwise linear P -module.

Then, $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ is a Koszul module and $ld(M) \leq i$.

Proof. Let (\mathbf{G}, d) be the minimal free resolution of $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M)$ and (\mathbf{F}, δ) be a free resolution of M as described in Theorem 2.1.

Let $N = \ker(\delta_{i-1})$ and $N^* = \ker(d_{i-1})$. By construction, $N^* = \text{gr}_{\mathbb{F}_{i-1}}(N)$ and as we have already seen in the proof of Theorem 3.3, $\mu(N) = \mu(N^*)$. So, by [14, Theorem 3.6], N is Koszul. Notice that we have also shown that $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ has a minimal free resolution with the same differential maps as N . So, $\text{Syz}_i(M)$ is a Koszul module and by Corollary 3.5, $ld(M) \leq i$. \square

The following is the application of our results to the classical case, a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) filtered by the \mathfrak{m} -adic filtration. Let I be an ideal of a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) and $A = R/I$. So, $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = P/I^*$, where $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$ and I^* is the graded ideal generated by the initial forms of I . We recall that if we apply the general theory on filtered modules to $M = I$ and $\mathbb{M} = \{\mathfrak{n}^p \cap I\}$, we obtain $\text{gr}_{\mathbb{M}}(M) = I^*$. So, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_i(R/I) \leq \beta_i(P/I^*).$$

Corollary 3.7. Let I be an ideal of a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{n}) . Assume:

- (1) for some $i \geq 0$, $\beta_i(I) = \beta_i(I^*)$
- (2) $\text{Syz}_i(I^*)$ is a componentwise linear P -module.

Then, $\beta_j(I) = \beta_j(I^*)$, for each $j \geq i$, $\text{Syz}_i(I)$ is a Koszul module and $ld(I) \leq i$.

Example 3.8. Let $R = K[[x_1, \dots, x_6]]$. Let

$$S = \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}x_{i_3}x_{i_4}x_{i_5} \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq i_4 \leq i_5 \leq 6, \\ (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \neq (1, 2, 3, 4, 6)\}.$$

If $I = \langle x_1^2 + x_1x_3x_4x_6, x_1x_2 + x_3x_4x_6, x_3^2 \rangle + \langle S \rangle$, then $\mu(I^*) = \mu(I) + 1$. Using SINGULAR [7], the minimal free resolution of I^* and I respectively are:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow P^{70}(-10) \rightarrow P^{381}(-9) \rightarrow P(-7) \oplus P^{834}(-8) \rightarrow P(-5) \oplus P^3(-6) \\
\oplus P^{918}(-7) \rightarrow P(-3) \oplus P^2(-4) \oplus P^3(-5) \oplus P^{508}(-6) \rightarrow P^3(-2) \oplus \\
P(-4) \oplus P^{113}(-5) \rightarrow I^*
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow R^{70} \rightarrow R^{381} \rightarrow R^{835} \rightarrow R^{922} \rightarrow R^{513} \rightarrow R^{116} \rightarrow I.$$

So, $\beta_2(I^*) = \beta_2(I)$. $N = \text{Syz}_2(I^*)$ is componentwise linear, because (1) clearly $N_{(5)}$ has linear resolution, (2) the minimal free resolution of $N_{(6)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow P(-11) \rightarrow P^6(-10) \rightarrow P^{15}(-9) \rightarrow P^{20}(-8) \rightarrow \\
P^{16}(-7) \rightarrow P^9(-6) \rightarrow N_{(6)},
\end{aligned}$$

and (3) it is easy to check that $N_{(7)}$ also has linear resolution (See [13, lemmas 3.2.2 and 3.2.4]). So, the conditions of Corollary 3.7 hold and $\beta_i(I^*) = \beta_i(I)$ for $i \geq 2$.

Discussion 3.9. The inequality (3.1) suggests an upper bound coming from the homogeneous context. Assume the residue field k of characteristic 0 and let J be a graded ideal of the polynomial ring P . We have a monomial ideal canonically attached to J : the *generic initial ideal* with respect to the revlex order. We denote

$$\text{Gin}(I) := \text{Gin}(I^*).$$

Notice that it is proved in [2], if $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, then one can define an anti-degree-compatible ordering on the terms of R such that the initial ideal of I , after performing a ‘generic change’ of coordinates, is a monomial ideal which coincides with $\text{Gin}(I^*)$. This monomial ideal has the same Hilbert function as R/I . Indeed,

$$HF_A(n) = HF_{\text{gr}_m(A)}(n) = HF_{P/I^*}(n) = HF_{P/\text{Gin}(I)}(n).$$

Nevertheless, since $\beta_i(P/I^*) \leq \beta_i(P/\text{Gin}(I^*))$, then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_i(R/I) \leq \beta_i(P/I^*) \leq \beta_i(P/\text{Gin}(I))$$

for every $i \geq 0$.

It is interesting to compare Corollary 3.7 with Conca, et al.’s main result in [4], which says that if J is a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial

ring P and $\beta_i(J) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(J))$ for some i , then $\beta_j(J) = \beta_j(\text{Gin}(J))$, for all $j \geq i$.

Combining the above result with Corollary 3.7 lead us to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1: *Assume $\text{char}(K) = 0$, and let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. Suppose that $\beta_i(I) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(I))$, for some i . Then,*

$$\beta_k(I) = \beta_k(\text{Gin}(I)) \text{ for all, } k \geq i.$$

To examine this conjecture, it is enough to study the following problem.

Problem 2: *Let J be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring P and $\beta_i(J) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(J))$, for some i . Then, $\text{Syz}_i(J)$ is a componentwise linear module.*

Note that $\beta_i(I) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(I))$ and using inequality (3.2) we have, in particular, $\beta_i(I^*) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(I^*))$. So, the conjecture can be followed by Corollary 3.7 and the above problem.

If J is a homogeneous ideal, $\text{Gin}(J)$ is a componentwise linear ideal, and by corollary 3.5, all its syzygy modules are componentwise linear. On the other hand, from the assumption $\beta_i(J) = \beta_i(\text{Gin}(J))$, we can also conclude

$$\beta_{lk}(J) = \beta_{lk}(\text{Gin}(J)),$$

for $l \geq i$ and each k . Thus, the minimal free resolution of $N = \text{Syz}_i(J)$ has the important properties of componentwise linear modules described in [14, Proposition 2.2 and Remak 2.3]. This fact strengthens our given conjecture.

Our next examples are related to Problem 1.

Let J be a graded ideal of the polynomial ring P and suppose that the residue field K is of characteristic zero. Then, $\mu(J) = \mu(\text{Gin}(J))$ if and only if J is a componentwise linear ideal (see [1]). The same result does not hold if we compare the i -th Betti numbers for $i > 0$.

Example 3.10. Let $P = K[x_1, \dots, x_6]$ and

$$J = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2, x_2^2 x_3^3, x_1 x_3^3, x_2 x_3^5 x_4, x_2 x_3^5 x_5, x_2 x_3^5 x_6, x_2 x_3^4 x_6 \rangle.$$

Using CoCoA [3], the minimal free resolution of J and $\text{Gin}(J)$ are respectively

$$0 \rightarrow P(-11) \rightarrow P^5(-10) \rightarrow P(-7) \oplus P(-8) \oplus P^9(-9) \rightarrow P(-4) \oplus P(-5) \oplus P(-6) \oplus P^2(-7) \oplus P^7(-8) \rightarrow P^2(-2) \oplus P(-4) \oplus P(-6) \oplus P^2(-7) \rightarrow J$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow P(-11) \rightarrow P^5(-10) \rightarrow P(-6) \oplus P(-7) \oplus P(-8) \oplus P^9(-9) \rightarrow P(-3) \oplus P(-4) \oplus P^2(-5) \oplus P^2(-6) \oplus P^2(-7) \oplus P^7(-8) \rightarrow P^2(-2) \oplus P(-3) \oplus P(-4) \oplus P(-5) \oplus P(-6) \oplus P^2(-7) \rightarrow \text{Gin}(J).$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Syz}_2(J)$ is a componentwise linear module but $\beta_2(J) \neq \beta_2(\text{Gin}(J))$.

For a given graded ideal J and positive integer d , let $J_{\leq d}$ be the ideal generated by homogeneous generators of J whose degrees are less than or equal to d . It is easy to see that $\mu(J) = \mu(\text{Gin}(J))$ implies that for each d , $\mu(\text{Gin}(J)_{\leq d}) = \mu(\text{Gin}(J_{\leq d}))$. the next example shows that the same result does not hold if we compare the i -th Betti numbers for $i > 1$.

Example 3.11. Let $P = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ and $I = \langle x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_5^2 \rangle$. Using CoCoA[3], the minimal free resolution of J and $\text{Gin}(J)$ are respectively

$$0 \rightarrow P(-7) \rightarrow P(-5) \oplus P^4(-6) \rightarrow P^4(-4) \oplus P^6(-5) \rightarrow P^6(-3) \oplus P^4(-4) \rightarrow P^5(-2)$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow P(-7) \rightarrow P(-5) \oplus P^4(-6) \rightarrow P^4(-4) \oplus P^6(-5) \rightarrow P^7(-3) \oplus P^4(-4) \rightarrow P^5(-2) \oplus P(-3).$$

So, $\beta_2(J) = \beta_2(\text{Gin}(J))$, but $\beta_2(\text{Gin}(J)_{\leq 2}) \neq \beta_2(\text{Gin}(J_{\leq 2}))$.

The above examples show that Problem 2 is not simple and it needs a more careful study.

Acknowledgments

The first author's special thanks go to Professor Maria Evelina Rossi for her useful discussion on his study. We are also grateful to the referee because of his careful reading of the paper and giving providing useful.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aramova, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Ideals with stable Betti numbers, *Adv. Math.* **152**(1) (2000) 72-77.
- [2] V. Bertella, Hilbert function of local Artinian level rings in codimension two, *J. Algebra* **321**(5) (2009) 1429-1442.
- [3] CoCoA Team, CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra, Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.
- [4] A. Conca, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Rigid resolutions and big Betti numbers, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79**(4) (2004) 826-839.
- [5] D. Eisenbud, *The Geometry of Syzygies, A Second Course in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, G. Floystad, F. O. Schreyer, Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355**(11) (2003) 4397-4426.
- [7] G. M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schonemann, SINGULAR 2.0, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Center for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2001. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [8] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Componentwise linear ideals, *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999) 141-153.
- [9] J. Herzog, S. Iyengar, Koszul modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **201**(1-3) (2005) 154-188.
- [10] J. Herzog, V. Reiner, V. Welker, Componentwise linear ideals and Golod rings, *Michigan Math. J.* **46**(2) (1999) 211-223.
- [11] J. Herzog, M. E. Rossi, G. Valla, On the depth of the symmetric algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **296**(2) (1986) 577-606.
- [12] L. Robbiano, Coni tangenti a singolarita' razionali, Curve algebriche, Istituto di Analisi Globale, Firenze, 1981.
- [13] T. Röemer, On minimal Graded Free Resolutions, Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades (Dr. rer. nat.), Univ. Essen, 2001.
- [14] M. E. Rossi, L. Sharifan, Minimal free resolution of a finitely generated module over a regular local ring, *J. Algebra* (to appear)
- [15] M. E. Rossi, L. Sharifan, Consecutive cancellations in Betti numbers of local rings, *Proc. A.M.S.* (to appear)
- [16] M. E. Rossi, G. Valla, Hilbert Function of filtered modules, (2007) arXiv:0710.2346.

Leila Sharifan and Farhad Rahmati

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology,
424 Hafez Ave., P. O. Box 15914, Tehran, Iran.

Email: leila-sharifan@aut.ac.ir

Email: frahmati@aut.ac.ir