

**AN OPERATIONAL METHOD FOR THE NUMERICAL
SOLUTION OF TWO DIMENSIONAL LINEAR
FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH AN
ERROR ESTIMATION**

M. Y. RAHIMI, S. SHAHMORAD*, F. TALATI AND A. TARI

Communicated by Mohammad Asadzadeh

ABSTRACT. We formulate the operational Tau method for the two dimensional linear Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. Some theoretical results are given to simplify application of the Tau method, and then existence and uniqueness of solution for these equations are investigated. We also estimate error of the proposed method and give some numerical examples to demonstrate its accuracy in finding solutions.

1. Introduction

As we know, suitable work has been done on the development and analysis of numerical methods for solving one dimensional integral equations of the second kind (for example, see [1-5] and their references). But, for the numerical solution of two dimensional integral equations, the work done is much less (for example, see [5, 7, 19]). On the other hand, up to now, the operational Tau method (see Ortiz [8] and Ortiz and Samara [9]) has been developed for the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations (see, for instance, [10-12]) and for

MSC(2010): Primary: 65R20.

Keywords: Two dimensional linear Fredholm integral equations, operational Tau method.

Received: 15 July 2008, Accepted: 26 August 2009.

*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

partial differential equations (see, for example, [13-14]). Also, in recent years, this method has been formulated for the numerical solution of one dimensional integral and integro-differential equations (see [15-18]).

The aim of our work here is to formulate the operational Tau method for the two dimensional linear Fredholm integral equations (TDLFIE) of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(x, t) - \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z) \phi(y, z) dy dz = f(x, t), \quad x \in [a, b], \quad t \in [c, d].$$

where, $K(x, t, y, z)$ and $f(x, t)$ are continuous functions.

To this end, we replace different parts of TDLFIE by their matrix representations of the Tau method and hence convert it to the system of linear algebraic equations, and solve it to obtain an approximate solution of the problem.

2. Some preliminary results of the Tau method

The matrix Tau method, proposed by Ortiz and Samara [9] is based on using three simple matrices,

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$, \quad \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

having the following properties.

Lemma 2.1. *If $y_N(x) = \underline{a}_N X$ with $\underline{a}_N = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $X = (1, x, x^2, \dots)^T$, then*

a. $\frac{d}{dx} y_N(x) = \underline{a}_N \eta X.$

b. $xy_N(x) = \underline{a}_N \mu X.$

$$c. \int y_N(x)dx = \underline{a}_N \iota X.$$

Proof. See [15].

Corollary 2.2. *Generally, under assumptions of Lemma 2.1, we have*

$$a. x^i X = \mu^i X.$$

$$b. \int X dx = \iota X.$$

For the remainder of the paper, we assume that $\underline{\mu}$, $\underline{\eta}$ and $\underline{\iota}$ are $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrices including the first $(N + 1)$ rows and columns of μ , η and ι , respectively.

3. Existence and uniqueness of solution

Here, we give a proof for existence and uniqueness of solution of (1.1) based on [1].

We define the integral operator $A : C([a, b] \times [c, d]) \rightarrow C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ as

$$(3.1) \quad A\phi(x, t) = \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z)\phi(y, z)dydz, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad t \in [c, d]$$

Then, (1.1) can be written in the operator form

$$(3.2) \quad \phi - A\phi = f$$

The following theorem is an extension from one dimensional case (of [1]) to two dimensional case about the operator A .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K : [a, b] \times [c, d] \times [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow R$ be continuous. then, the operator A defined by (3.1) is bounded by the norm,*

$$(3.3) \quad \|A\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [a, b], t \in [c, d]} \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dydz$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ and $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1$. Then,

$$|(A\phi)(x, t)| \leq \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dydz, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad t \in [c, d].$$

Hence,

$$\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1} \|A\phi\|_\infty \leq \max_{x \in [a,b], t \in [c,d]} \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dy dz.$$

On the other hand, since K is continuous, there exist $x_0 \in [a, b]$ and $t_0 \in [c, d]$ such that

$$\int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| dy dz = \max_{x \in [a,b], t \in [c,d]} \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dy dz.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and define

$$\psi(y, z) = \frac{\overline{K(x_0, t_0, y, z)}}{|K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| + \epsilon}.$$

Then, $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\psi\|_\infty &\geq |(A\psi)(x_0, t_0)| = \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{|K(x_0, t_0, y, z)|^2}{|K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| + \epsilon} dy dz \\ &\geq \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{|K(x_0, t_0, y, z)|^2 - \epsilon^2}{|K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| + \epsilon} dy dz \\ &= \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| dy dz - \epsilon(b-a)(d-c) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_\infty &= \sup_{\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1} \|A\phi\|_\infty \geq \\ \|A\psi\|_\infty &\geq \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| dy dz - \epsilon(b-a)(d-c), \end{aligned}$$

and since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_\infty &\geq \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x_0, t_0, y, z)| dy dz \\ &= \max_{x \in [a,b], t \in [c,d]} \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dy dz, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the proof is complete. \square

Now, we recall the following theorem from [1].

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a bounded operator on $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ with $\|A\| < 1$ and I denote the identity operator. Then $I - A$ has a bounded inverse on $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$, which is given by the Neumann series*

$$(I - A)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k,$$

satisfying

$$\|(I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

Theorem 3.2 ensures that the following condition is a sufficient (not necessary) condition for existence and uniqueness of the solution of (3.2):

$$\max_{x \in [a, b], t \in [c, d]} \int_c^d \int_a^b |K(x, t, y, z)| dy dz < 1.$$

4. Description of the method

To solve Eq. (3.2) by operational approach, we assume that $K(x, t, y, z)$ and $f(x, t)$ are polynomials; otherwise, they can be approximated by suitable polynomials.

We assume the approximate solution has the truncated series form,

$$(4.1) \quad \phi(x, t) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N C_{ij} x^i t^j = \underline{X}^T C \underline{T},$$

where, $\underline{X} = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^N)^T$, $\underline{T} = (1, t, t^2, \dots, t^N)^T$ and C is the following $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrix,

$$(4.2) \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} & \cdots & C_{0N} \\ C_{10} & C_{11} & \cdots & C_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{N0} & C_{N1} & \cdots & C_{NN} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K(x, t, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N k_{ijmn} x^i t^j y^m z^n$. Then, we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z) \phi(y, z) dy dz = \underline{X}^T \Pi_I \underline{T},$$

where,

$$(4.4) \quad \Pi_I = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N k_{ijmn} P_{ijmn}$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad P_{ijmn} = e_{i+1}(\underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(b) - \underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(a)) C (\underline{\xi}^{(n)}(d) - \underline{\xi}^{(n)}(c)) e_{j+1}^T,$$

$\underline{\xi}^{(m)}(x) = \underline{\mu}^m \underline{\iota X}$, and $\underline{\xi}^{(n)}(x) = \underline{\mu}^n \underline{\iota X}$ corresponding to the term $x^i t^j y^m z^n$ in the kernel.

Proof. Since $\phi(x, t) = \underline{X}^T C \underline{T}$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \int_c^d \int_a^b x^i t^j y^m z^n \phi(y, z) dy dz = \int_c^d t^j \left[\int_a^b x^i y^m \underline{Y}^T dy \right] C \underline{Z} z^n dz,$$

where, $\underline{Y} = (1, y, y^2, \dots, y^N)^T$ and $\underline{Z} = (1, z, z^2, \dots, z^N)^T$.

By corollary 2.2,

$$\int_a^b x^i y^m \underline{Y}^T dy = x^i \int_a^b \underline{Y}^T (\underline{\mu}^T)^m dy = x^i \left\{ \int_a^b \underline{Y}^T dy \right\} (\underline{\mu}^T)^m = x^i \left\{ \underline{Y}^T \underline{\iota}^T \right\}_a^b (\underline{\mu}^T)^m$$

$$(4.7) \quad = x^i \left\{ (\underline{\mu}^m \underline{\iota Y}|_{y=b})^T - (\underline{\mu}^m \underline{\iota Y}|_{y=a})^T \right\} = \underline{X}^T e_{i+1} (\underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(b) - \underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(a)),$$

and similarly,

$$(4.8) \quad \int_c^d t^j \underline{Z} z^n dz = (\underline{\xi}^{(n)}(d) - \underline{\xi}^{(n)}(c)) e_{j+1}^T \underline{T}.$$

By substituting (4.7) into the right side of (4.6), we obtain:

$$(4.9) \quad \int_c^d t^j \left\{ \underline{X}^T e_{i+1} (\underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(b) - \underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(a)) \right\} C \underline{Z} z^n dz \\ = \underline{X}^T e_{i+1} (\underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(b) - \underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(a)) C \left[\int_c^d t^j \underline{Z} z^n dz \right].$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.9), we obtain:

$$\int_c^d \int_a^b x^i t^j y^m z^n \phi(y, z) dy dz$$

$$(4.10) \quad = \underline{X}^T \{e_{i+1}(\underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(b) - \underline{\xi}^{(m)T}(a))C(\underline{\xi}^{(n)}(d) - \underline{\xi}^{(n)}(c))e_{j+1}^T\} \underline{T}$$

Therefore, the proof is complete.

Lemma 4.2. *The elements of $\underline{\xi}^{(m)}(x) = (\xi_1^{(m)}(x), \dots, \xi_{N+1}^{(m)}(x))$ are determined as:*

$$(4.11) \quad (\xi_k^{(m)}(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{k+m}}{k+m}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, N - m \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A similar result is obtained for $\underline{\xi}^{jn}(x)$ by substituting m by n .

Proof. By the definition of $\underline{\xi}^{im}(x)$, we have

$$\underline{\xi}^{(im)}(x) = \mu^m \underline{X} = \left(\frac{1}{m+1}x^{m+1}, \frac{1}{m+2}x^{m+2}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}x^N, 0, \dots, 0 \right)^T,$$

where, the last zero elements are repeated $(m + 1)$ times.

Corollary 4.3. *The matrix P_{ijmn} in Theorem 4.1 has the form,*

$$P_{ijmn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & p_{i+1,j+1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the nonzero element is computed as:

$$(4.12) \quad p_{i+1,j+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{N-n} \sum_{k=1}^{N-m} \frac{d^{r+n} - c^{r+n}}{r+n} \frac{b^{k+m} - a^{k+m}}{k+m} C_{k-1,r-1},$$

$$, \quad m, n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$

Proof. By substituting (4.11) in (4.5), the result is at hand. Note that if $m = N$ (or $n = N$), then $\underline{\xi}^{im} = \bar{0}$ ($\underline{\xi}^{jn} = \bar{0}$), and P_{ijmn} is a zero matrix.

Note that, up to now, we have written the left hand side of (1.1) in the matrix form by (4.1) and (4.3). At this time, we can write the right hand side of the problem (4.1) in the form,

$$(4.13) \quad f(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N f_{ij} x^i t^j = \underline{X}^T F \underline{T},$$

where,

$$(4.14) \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{00} & f_{01} & \cdots & f_{0N} \\ f_{10} & f_{11} & \cdots & f_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{N0} & f_{N1} & \cdots & f_{NN} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, by substituting (4.1), (4.3) and (4.13) in (1.1), we obtain:

$$\underline{X}^T C \underline{T} - \underline{X}^T \Pi_I \underline{T} = \underline{X}^T F \underline{T},$$

or

$$\underline{X}^T (C - \Pi_I - F) \underline{T} = 0,$$

and therefore,

$$(4.15) \quad C - \Pi_I = F,$$

since \underline{X} and \underline{T} are bases.

By solving the system (4.15), we obtain $\phi(x, t)$ from (4.1).

5. Error estimation

A complete error analysis and convergence of the Tau method are investigated in [6]. Here, we give a way of estimating error for our proposed method. Indeed, this error estimation ensures that the method can be applied to problems with areasonable confidence unknowns solutions.

Define the error function as:

$$(5.1) \quad e(x, t) = \phi(x, t) - \phi_N(x, t),$$

where, $\phi(x, t)$ and $\phi_N(x, t)$ are the exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation (1.1), respectively.

Substituting $\phi_N(x, t)$ in (1.1) leads to:

$$(5.2) \quad \phi_N(x, t) - \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z) \phi_N(y, z) dy dz = f(x, t) + p_N(x, t)$$

where, $p_N(x, t)$ is a perturbation term and can be obtained by substituting the computed solution $\phi_N(x, t)$ into the equation,

$$(5.3) \quad p_N(x, t) = \phi_N(x, t) - \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z) \phi_N(y, z) dy dz - f(x, t).$$

We proceed to find an approximation $e_N(x, t)$ to the error function $e(x, t)$ in the same way as we did before for the solution of equation (1.1).

Now, by subtracting (5.2) from (1.1) and using (5.1), the error function $e(x, t)$ satisfies:

$$(5.4) \quad e(x, t) - \int_c^d \int_a^b K(x, t, y, z) e(y, z) dy dz = -p_N(x, t).$$

It should be noted that in order to construct the approximation $e_N(x, t)$ to $e(x, t)$, only the right hand side of the equation (5.1) needs to be recomputed, and thus by solving the integral equation (5.4) we obtain an estimate for the error function (5.1).

We also get the following error bound from (5.4), which enable us to control the estimated errors:

$$(5.5) \quad \|e\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|p_N\|_\infty}{1 - \|K\|_\infty}$$

6. Numerical examples

Here, we give some examples to show accuracy of the solutions obtained by our proposed method.

Note that, as we mentioned previously, whenever $K(x, t, y, z)$ or $f(x, t)$ are not polynomials, they must be approximated by polynomials of suitable degrees. Therefore, in the following examples, we approximate non-polynomial parts of $K(x, t, y, z)$ and $f(x, t)$ by the Taylor polynomial.

Example 1. Consider the integral equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x, t) &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 (x \sin y + t \sin z) \phi(y, z) dy dz \\ &= x \cos t + t + 4(x \sin(1) - t)(\cos(1) - \sin(1)), \\ &\quad x, t \in [-1, 1],\end{aligned}$$

with the exact solution $\phi(x, t) = x \cos t + t$.

First, we expand $\sin z$ and $\cos t$ in Taylor series on $z_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 0$. Tables 1 and 2 show the absolute errors ($e(x, t)$) and their estimations ($e_N(x, t)$) at the points $(x, t) = ((0.25)i, (0.25)i)$, $i = -4, \dots, 4$, with $N = 12$ and $N = 14$, respectively.

TABLE 1

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
$(-1, -1)$	$0.680166e - 7$	$0.680104e - 7$
$(-0.75, -0.75)$	$0.510209e - 7$	$0.510163e - 7$
$(-0.5, -0.5)$	$0.340140e - 7$	$0.340109e - 7$
$(-0.25, -0.25)$	$0.170070e - 7$	$0.170055e - 7$
$(0, 0)$	0	0
$(0.25, 0.25)$	$0.170070e - 7$	$0.170055e - 7$
$(0.5, 0.5)$	$0.340140e - 7$	$0.340109e - 7$
$(0.75, 0.75)$	$0.510209e - 7$	$0.510163e - 7$
$(1, 1)$	$0.680166e - 7$	$0.680104e - 7$

TABLE 2

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
$(-1, -1)$	$0.379145e - 9$	$0.379124e - 9$
$(-0.75, -0.75)$	$0.284394e - 9$	$0.284378e - 9$
$(-0.5, -0.5)$	$0.189596e - 9$	$0.189586e - 9$
$(-0.25, -0.25)$	$0.947983e - 10$	$0.947928e - 10$
$(0, 0)$	0	0
$(0.25, 0.25)$	$0.947983e - 10$	$0.947928e - 10$
$(0.5, 0.5)$	$0.189596e - 9$	$0.189586e - 9$
$(0.75, 0.75)$	$0.284394e - 9$	$0.284378e - 9$
$(1, 1)$	$0.379145e - 9$	$0.379124e - 9$

Example 2. The integral equation,

$$\phi(x, t) - \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 (xy + te^z)\phi(y, z)dydz$$

$$= xe^{-t} - \frac{1}{3}x(1 + 2e - \frac{2}{e}) + t(1 - \frac{4}{e}), \quad x, t \in [-1, 1],$$

has the exact solution $\phi(x, t) = xe^{-t} + x + t$.

Similar to Example 1, we estimate e^{-t} by Taylor series on $t_0 = 0$.

Numerical results are reported in tables 3 and 4 for the absolute errors and their estimations at the points $(x, t) = ((0.25)i, (0.25)i)$, $i = -4, \dots, 4$, with $N = 12$ and $N = 14$, respectively.

TABLE 3

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
$(-1, -1)$	$0.169117e - 7$	$0.169090e - 7$
$(-0.75, -0.75)$	$0.128104e - 7$	$0.128078e - 7$
$(-0.5, -0.5)$	$0.854228e - 8$	$0.854055e - 8$
$(-0.25, -0.25)$	$0.427114e - 8$	$0.427028e - 8$
$(0, 0)$	$0.212730e - 20$	$0.212730e - 20$
$(0.25, 0.25)$	$0.427114e - 8$	$0.427028e - 8$
$(0.5, 0.5)$	$0.854229e - 8$	$0.854057e - 8$
$(0.75, 0.75)$	$0.128161e - 7$	$0.128135e - 7$
$(1, 1)$	$0.172344e - 7$	$0.172302e - 7$

TABLE 4

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
$(-1, -1)$	$0.934594e - 10$	$0.934498e - 10$
$(-0.75, -0.75)$	$0.706981e - 10$	$0.706887e - 10$
$(-0.5, -0.5)$	$0.471374e - 10$	$0.471311e - 10$
$(-0.25, -0.25)$	$0.235687e - 10$	$0.235656e - 10$
$(0, 0)$	$0.425459e - 20$	$0.425459e - 20$
$(0.25, 0.25)$	$0.235687e - 10$	$0.235656e - 10$
$(0.5, 0.5)$	$0.471374e - 10$	$0.471312e - 10$
$(0.75, 0.75)$	$0.707135e - 10$	$0.707040e - 10$
$(1, 1)$	$0.949944e - 10$	$0.949792e - 10$

Example 3. Consider the third example as:

$$\phi(x, t) - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\sin y + t \cos z - 1) \phi(y, z) dy dz = x \sin(x-t) + \frac{7}{4}t - \frac{5}{4} \cos(1) - \frac{3}{2} \sin(1) - \frac{1}{2} t \cos(1) - t \sin(1) + \frac{1}{8} \sin(2) - \frac{1}{4} \cos(2) + \frac{1}{4} t \cos(2) + 2,$$

$$x, t \in [-1, 1],$$

which has the exact solution: $\phi(x, t) = x \sin(x-t) + t$.

Tables 5 and 6 show absolute errors and their estimations with $N = 12$ and $N = 14$, respectively.

TABLE 5

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
(0, 0)	$0.315868e - 6$	$0.315900e - 6$
(0.25, 0.25)	$0.454994e - 6$	$0.455033e - 6$
(0.5, 0.5)	$0.594120e - 6$	$0.594166e - 6$
(0.75, 0.75)	$0.733212e - 6$	$0.733265e - 6$
(1, 1)	$0.870615e - 6$	$0.870665e - 6$

TABLE 6

(x, t)	$e(x, t)$	$e_N(x, t)$
(0, 0)	$0.748611e - 8$	$0.748626e - 8$
(0.25, 0.25)	$0.106630e - 7$	$0.106632e - 7$
(0.5, 0.5)	$0.138399e - 7$	$0.138402e - 7$
(0.75, 0.75)	$0.170168e - 7$	$0.170170e - 7$
(1, 1)	$0.201841e - 7$	$0.201844e - 7$

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referee for his (her) useful comments on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kress, *Linear Integral Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [2] R. P. Kanwal, *Linear Integral Equations*, London, Academic Press, 1971.
- [3] L. M. Delves and J. L. Mohamed, *Computational Methods for Integral Equations*. Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [4] A. J. Jerri, *Introduction to Integral Equations with Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, INC, 1999.
- [5] K. E. Atkinson, *The Numerical Solution of Integral Equations of the Second Kind*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] C. G. Canuto, A. M. Quarteroni, M. Y. Hussaini and T. A. Zang, *Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics*, Springer, 1988.
- [7] E. Babolian, S. Abbasbandy and F. Fattahzadeh, A numerical method for solving a class of functional and two dimensional integral equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **198**(1) (2008) 35-43.
- [8] E. L. Ortiz, The Tau Method, *SIAM. J. Numer. Anal.* (1969) 480-492.
- [9] E. L. Ortiz and H. Samara, An operational approach to the Tau method for the numerical solution of nonlinear differential equations, *Computing* **27** (1981) 15-25.
- [10] M. Hosseini Aliabadi and E. L. Ortiz, Numerical treatment of moving and free boundary value problems with the Tau method, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **35**(8) (1999) 197-210.
- [11] K. M. Liu and C. K. Pan, The automatic solution system of ordinary differential equations by the Tau method, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **38** (1999) 197-210.
- [12] M. Hosseini Aliabadi, The application of the operational Tau method on some stiff system of ODEs, *Int. J. Appl. Math.* **2**(9) (2000) 1027-1036.
- [13] E. L. Ortiz and L. Samara, Numerical solution of partial differential equations with variable coefficient with an operational approach to the Tau method, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **10**(1) (1984) 5-13.
- [14] E. L. Ortiz and K. S. Pun, Numerical solution of nonlinear partial differential equations with the Tau method, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **12/13** (1985) 511-516.
- [15] M. Hosseini Aliabadi and S. Shahmorad, A matrix formulation of the Tau method for Fredholm and Volterra linear integro-differential equations, *The Korean Journal of Comput. and Appl. Math.* **9** (2) (2002) 497-507.
- [16] S. M. Hosseini and S. Shahmorad, Tau numerical solution of Fredholm integro-differential equations with arbitrary polynomial bases, *Appl. Math. Modeling* **27** (2003) 145-154.
- [17] S. M. Hosseini and S. Shahmorad, Numerical piecewise approximation of Fredholm integro-differential equations by the Tau method, *Appl. Math. Modeling* **29** (2005) 1005-1021.
- [18] G. Ebadi, M. Y. Rahimi and S. Shahmorad, Numerical solution of the system of nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equations by the operational Tau method with an error estimation, *Scientia Iranica* **14**(6) (2007) 546-554.
- [19] A. Tari and S. Shahmorad, A computational method for solving two-dimensional linear Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, *ANZIAM J.* **49** (2008) 543-549.

M. Y. Rahimi, S. Shahmorad

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

Email: m_rahimi@tabrizu.ac.ir

Email: shahmorad@tabrizu.ac.ir

F. Talati

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

Email: talati@tabrizu.ac.ir

A. Tari

Department of Mathematics, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran.

Email: tari@shahed.ac.ir