

COFINITENESS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY BASED ON A NON-CLOSED SUPPORT DEFINED BY A PAIR OF IDEALS

A. TEHRANIAN * AND A. POUR ESHMANAN TALEMI

Communicated by Siamak Yassemi

ABSTRACT. Let I, J be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R and let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module. If t is the first integer such that the local cohomology module with respect to (I, J) is non- (I, J) -cofinite, then we show that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite. Also, we study the finiteness of $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$, for $i = 1, 2$. In addition, for a finite R -module M , we show that the associated primes of $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ have an equal grade, when $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring and I, J are ideals of R . The generalized local cohomology module with respect to a pair of ideals I, J of R is introduced by Takahashi-Yoshino [12].

We are concerned with the subsets

$$W(I, J) = \{ p \in \text{Spec}(R) | I^n \subseteq p + J, \text{ for an integer } n \gg 1 \}$$

MSC(2010): Primary: 13D45, 13D22; Secondary: 13E99, 13D07.

Keywords: Local cohomology, cofinite module, associated prime.

Received: 29 June 2009, Accepted: 29 August 2009.

*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\tilde{W}(I, J) = \{a \trianglelefteq R \mid I^n \subseteq a + J, \text{ for an integer } n \gg 1\}$. In general, $W(I, J)$ is closed under specialization, but not necessarily a closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. For an R -module M , we consider the (I, J) -torsion submodule $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ of M which consists of all elements x of M with $\text{Supp}(Rx) \subseteq W(I, J)$. Furthermore, for an integer i , we define the local cohomology functor $H_{I,J}^i(-)$ with respect to (I, J) to be the i -th right derived functor of $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$. Note that if $J = 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i(-)$ coincides with the ordinary local cohomology functor $H_I^i(-)$, with the support in the closed subset $V(I)$. On the other hand, if J contains I , then $\Gamma_{I,J}$ is the identity functor and $H_{I,J}^i(-) = 0$, for $i > 0$.

There are many questions about classical local cohomology modules. In particular, Grothendieck proposed the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1. Let M be a finite module over a ring R , and let I be an ideal of R . Then, the module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^j(M))$ is finite, for all $j \geq 0$.

Hartshorne later refined this conjecture, and proposed the following one.

CONJECTURE 2. Let M be a finite R -module, and let I be an ideal of R . Then, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))$ is finite, for every $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$.

Using the derived category, Hartshorne showed that if M is a finitely generated R -module, where R is a complete regular local ring, then $H_I^j(M)$ is I -cofinite in two cases:

- (i) I is non-zero principal ideal.
- (ii) I is a prime ideal with dimension 1.

Kawasaki [9] proved (i) for any Noetherian ring and Marley-Delfino [1] proved (ii) for any Noetherian ring.

In Section 2, we study the finiteness condition of $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_{I,J}^j(M))$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$. More precisely, we show the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module and $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, for every $i < t$. If $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$ is finite, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is a finite R -module.

Theorem 2.5. Let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, for every $i < t$. Then, the following statements hold.

- (a) If $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.
- (b) If $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is finite, for all $i \geq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.

We recall that an important problem in commutative algebra is determining the set of associated primes of local cohomology modules. Huneke [8] raised the following conjecture: If M is a finitely generated R -module, then the set of associated primes of $H_I^i(M)$ is finite, for every ideals I of R and every $i \geq 0$. Singh [11] gives a counter-example to this conjecture. On the other hand, Brodmann and Lashgari [2] have shown that the first non-finite local cohomology module $H_I^i(M)$ of a finite module M has only finitely many associated primes. Also, Dibaei and Yassemi [5], by using cofiniteness, found a condition for finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology.

In Section 3, we study the above results for local cohomology with respect to a pair of ideals I, J of R and as a consequence of Theorem 2.3, We show that the set of associated primes of local cohomology are finite. Also, we prove that all associated prime ideals of the first non-zero local cohomology module have an equal grade.

2. Cofiniteness

Definition 2.1. An R -module M is called (I, J) -cofinite if $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq W(I, J)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module, for every $i \geq 0$.

Remark 2.2. Let M be an R -module and let E be the injective hull of the R -module $M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$. Let $L = E/(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$. Since $\text{AssHom}_R(R/I, E) = V(I) \cap \text{Ass}(E) \subseteq W(I, J) \cap \text{Ass}(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) = \emptyset$, the modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E)$ and $\Gamma_{I,J}(E)$ are zero. Also, from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$

by applying $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, -)$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ and $H_{I,J}^i(L) \cong H_{I,J}^{i+1}(M)$, for every $i \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module and $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, for every $i < t$. If $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$ is finite, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is a finite R -module.*

Proof. First assume that $N = 0$. We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. Then, $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is equal to the finite R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$.

Suppose that $t > 0$ and the case $t - 1$ is settled. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite, for every i . By using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite. Now, by Remark 2.2, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, L)$ is finite and $H_{I,J}^i(L)$ is (I, J) -cofinite for every $i < t - 1$. Thus, by induction hypothesis, $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$ is finite, which implies that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.

Now, assume that $N \neq 0$. By considering the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M) \longrightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M)/N \longrightarrow 0,$$

and applying $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, -)$ to that, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N).$$

Since the left hand (by case $N = 0$) and the right hand sides are finite, we have that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is finite.

The next result was shown by Dibaei and Yassemi in [5], and it generalized [2, Theorem 2.2]

Corollary 2.4. *Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring R . Let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module. If $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite, for all $i < t$, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(M))$ is finite.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let t be a non-negative integer. Let M be an R -module such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, for all $i < t$. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (a) *If $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M)$ is a finite R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.*

(b) If $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is finite, for all $i \geq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.

Proof. (a) We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. Then, the short exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite.

Suppose that $t > 0$ and the case $t - 1$ is settled. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite, for every i , and so by (*), $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite. Now, by Remark 2.2, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, L)$ is finite and $H_{I,J}^i(L)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, for every $i < t - 1$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$ is finite, and so $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.

(b) (\Rightarrow) We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. Then, the short exact sequence (*) induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, M).$$

To show that $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite, it is enough to show that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite. By Remark 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, L) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(L)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^1(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the assertion holds.

Suppose $t > 0$ and the case $t - 1$ is settled. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cofinite, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite, for every i . Using the exact sequence (*), we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite, for every i . By Remark 2.2, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L)$ is finite, for every i and also $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(L)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite. By the induction hypothesis, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$ is finite and hence, we have $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.

(\Leftarrow) We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. The short exact sequence (*) induces the following exact sequence,

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)).$$

Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is finite. By Remark 2.2, $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, L)$ is finite and hence the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(L))$ is finite. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^1(M))$ is finite.

Now, let $t > 0$ and the case $t - 1$ be settled. Remark 2.2 implies that the modules $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L)$ are finite, for all i . By the induction hypothesis, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(L))$ is finite and hence $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite.

The following corollary generalizes Dibaei and Yassemi's result [6].

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$. Then, the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.
- (b) $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite.

3. Associated primes

Let M be a finite R -module. Let $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ and $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$ be such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$ is a finite R -module. If $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ is an I -torsion, then by Theorem 2.3, $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ has finitely many associated primes. In particular, $\text{Ass} H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is a finite set if and only if $\text{Ass} N$ is a finite set.

Remark 3.1. Let M be a finite R -module and i be an integer. Suppose that $p \in \text{Ass} H_{I,J}^i(M)$. Then, $pR_p \in \text{Ass}(H_{I,J}^i(M))_p$ implies that $\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, (H_{I,J}^i(M))_p) \neq 0$. By [12, Theorem 3.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, (\varinjlim_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} (H_a^i(M)) \otimes_{R_p} R_p) &\cong \\ \varinjlim_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} (\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, H_{aR_p}^i(M_p))) & \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists $a \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$ such that $\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, H_{aR_p}^i(M_p)) \neq 0$. Hence, $pR_p \in \text{Ass}(H_{aR_p}^i(M_p))$, which implies that $p \in \text{Ass} H_a^i(M)$, for an $a \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ass} H_{I,J}^i(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} \text{Ass} H_a^i(M).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a finite R -module. If $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$, then*

$$(1) \quad \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I,J) \\ \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t}} \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M).$$

Proof. By Remark 3.1, it is enough to show that $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$. Since $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq W(I, J)$, by [12, Theorem 4.1], we have $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} | \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\} \geq \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} | \mathfrak{p} \in W(I, J)\} = t$. On the other hand, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \neq 0$ implies that $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$.

Now, we show that we can replace the set $\tilde{W}(I, J)$ by $W(I, J)$ in (1).

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a finite R -module and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be ideals of R such that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ and $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{b}} = t$. Then,*

$$\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M).$$

Proof. By choosing $x \in \mathfrak{b} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$ and considering the following Mayer – Vietoris sequence, $0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+xR}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M_x)$, we obtain that $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}+xR}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$. Now, the assertion follows by induction.

Proposition 3.4. *Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$. Then,*

$$\text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in W(I,J) \\ \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{q}} = t}} \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$, there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$ such that $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$. Now, consider the non-empty set

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I, J) | \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t, \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)\},$$

for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$. Since R is a Noetherian ring, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has a maximal element \mathfrak{q} . We claim that \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal. Let $x, y \in R$ be such that $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$, but $x, y \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore $xR + \mathfrak{q}, yR + \mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{q}^2 \subseteq (xR + \mathfrak{q})(yR + \mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ implies that

$\text{grade}_M \mathfrak{q} = \text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q})(yR + \mathfrak{q})$. So, we have $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) \geq t$ and $\text{grade}_M(yR + \mathfrak{q}) \geq t$. Now, from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \cup \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$. If $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) > t$, then $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$. So, assume that $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) = t$. Then, by maximality of \mathfrak{q} , we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_y)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M_x) \cap \text{Supp}(M_y)$. On the other hand, $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies that $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, which is contradictory to $\text{Supp}_R(M_x) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid x \text{ is not in } \mathfrak{p}\}$. Hence, \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal.

Corollary 3.5. *Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) = 0\}$. If \mathfrak{q} is the maximal element of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and consider $x \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$. By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \cup \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$. Since $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M)$, which is a contradiction, by maximality of \mathfrak{q} . So, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$.

Now, we can state our main theorem here.

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$. Then, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$, $\text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = t$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$. If $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)) \neq 0$, then $\text{grade}_M I = t$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \cap V(I)$. So, by Theorem 3.6, $\text{grade}_M I \leq t$. On the other hand, $V(I) \subseteq W(I, J)$ implies that $\text{grade}_M I \geq t$. Hence, $\text{grade}_M I = t$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let M be a finite R -module. Let I, J be ideals of R such that $\text{grade}_M I = n$ and $\Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})M) = 0$, for a maximal M -sequence x_1, \dots, x_n in I . Then,*

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) =$$

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = n\}.$$

Proof. We prove using induction on n . Let $n = 1$. Then, for a non-zero divisor $x \in I$, the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces the long exact sequence, $0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{I,J}^1(M) \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{H}_{I,J}^1(M)$. Since $\Gamma_I(M/xM) \neq 0$, $\Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \subseteq \text{Ass } \mathbf{H}_{I,J}^1(M)$, therefore by Theorem 3.6,

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = 1\}.$$

Suppose that $n > 1$ and the case $n-1$ is settled. Then, $\text{grade}_{M/x_1M} I = n-1$ implies:

$$\Gamma_{I,J}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M/x_1M) \cong \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M) = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{I,J}^1(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M/x_1M) \cong \mathbf{H}_{I,J}^1(M/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M) \neq 0.$$

So, by the induction hypothesis, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_n)M/x_1M) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &M/x_1M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M/x_1M\mathfrak{p}} = n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) &= \\ \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can conclude the same result for local cohomology module with respect to an ideal.

Corollary 3.9. *Let M be a finite R -module and let I be an ideal of R such that $\text{grade}_M I = n$ is a non-zero integer. Then, for a maximal M -sequence $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ in I ,*

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_I(M/xM) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}.$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be a finite R -module and let I be an ideal of R such that $\text{grade}_M I = n$ is a non-zero integer. Then, for a maximal M -sequence $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ in I ,*

$$\text{Ass } H_I^n(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the case $n = 1$. Consider $x \in I \setminus Z(M)$. From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M/xM) \rightarrow H_I^1(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_I^1(M)$. Since

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_I(M/xM) = \text{Ass}(0 :_{H_I^1(M)} x) = \text{Ass } H_I^1(M),$$

by Corollary 3.9, we get

$$\text{Ass } H_I^1(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = 1\}.$$

Now, the result follows by induction on $\text{grade}_M I$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring, and let M be a finite Cohen–Macaulay R -module. Let I be an ideal of R such that $\dim M/IM > 0$ and $\text{grade}_M I = n$. Then, $m \notin \text{Ass } H_I^n(M)$.*

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to Professor S. Yassemi for his constant help, advice and, in particular, for suggesting many of the topics considered in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Delfino and T. Marley, Cofinite modules and local cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **121**(1) (1997) 45–52.
- [2] M. P. Brodmann and A. L. Faghani, A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(10) (2000) 2851–2853.
- [3] M. P. Brodmann, Ch. Rotthaus and R. Y. Sharp, On annihilators and associated primes of local cohomology modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **153** (2000) 197–227.
- [4] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [5] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, **117** (2005) 199–205.
- [6] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Finiteness of extension functors of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006) 3097–3101.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970) 145–164.
- [8] C. Huneke, Problems on local cohomology, Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, *Res. Notes Math.* 2, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, MA (1992) 93–108.
- [9] K. I. Kawasaki, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for principal ideals, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **30**(3)(1998) 241–246.

- [10] T. Marley and J. C. Vassilev, Cofiniteness and associated primes of local cohomology modules *J. Algebra* **256** (1) (2002) 180–193.
- [11] A. K. Singh, P-torsion elements in local cohomology modules, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000) 165–176.
- [12] R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino and T. Yoshizawa, Local cohomology based on a non-closed support defined by a pair of ideals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213**(4) (2009) 582–600.

Abolfazl Tehranian

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14515, 775, Tehran, Iran.

Email: tehranian1340@yahoo.com

Atiyeh Pour Eshmanan Talemi

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14515, 775, Tehran, Iran.

Email: ptalemy@yahoo.com