Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 38 No. 2 (2012), pp 469-477.

APPLICATIONS OF EPI-RETRACTABLE MODULES

B. M. PANDEYA, A. K. CHATURVEDI* AND A. J. GUPTA

Communicated by Siamak Yassemi

ABSTRACT. An *R*-module *M* is called *epi-retractable* if every submodule of M_R is a homomorphic image of *M*. It is shown that if *R* is a right perfect ring, then every projective slightly compressible module M_R is epi-retractable. If *R* is a Noetherian ring, then every epi-retractable right *R*-module has direct sum of uniform submodules. If endomorphism ring of a module M_R is von-Neumann regular, then *M* is semi-simple if and only if *M* is epi-retractable. If *R* is a quasi Frobenius ring, then *R* is a right hereditary ring if and only if every injective right *R*-module is semi-simple. A ring *R* is semi-simple if and only if *R* is right hereditary and every epiretractable right *R*-module is projective. Moreover, a ring *R* is semi-simple if and only if *R* is pri and von-Neumann regular.

1. Introduction

All rings are associative with unit elements and all modules are unitary right modules. Let R be a ring. The ring R is said to be a principal right ideal (pri) ring if every right ideal of R is principal. Ghorbani and Vedadi [3] generalized this concept to modules. An R-module M is called epi-retractable if every submodule of M_R is a homomorphic image of M. Therefore, R is a pri ring if and only if R_R is epi-retractable. An

*Corresponding author

MSC(2010): Primary: 16D10; Secondary: 16D40, 16L30, 16P40, 16E60.

Keywords: Epi-retractable modules, semi-simple rings, perfect rings, hereditary rings, von-Numann regular rings.

Received: 17 September 2010, Accepted: 19 February 2011.

^{© 2012} Iranian Mathematical Society.

R-module *N* is called *M*-cyclic if it is isomorphic to M/L, for some submodule *L* of *M* (see [10]). Note that M_R is epi-retractable if and only if every submodule of *M* is *M*-cyclic. Here, we shall investigate epiretractable modules in terms of *M*-cyclic submodules and also provide those properties of epi-retractable modules which have not been studied earlier.

By [2, 6.9.3], an R-module M is called *compressible* if for every nonzero submodule N of M there exists a monomorphism from M to N. The concept of epi-retractable modules is dual to the concept of compressible modules. There exist some epi-retractable modules which are not compressible. For example, semi-simple modules are epi-retractable but not compressible.

In Section 2, we study two important properties of epi-retractable modules. We observe that every epi-retractable module is a *slightly* compressible module (see [6]), but the converse need not be true. In Theorem 2.2, we provide a sufficient condition for slightly compressible modules to be epi-retractable. We show that if R is a right perfect ring, then every projective slightly compressible module M_R is epi-retractable. This is a well known problem in the theory of rings and modules when a module has direct sum of uniform submodules. In Theorem 2.3, we show that if R is a Noetherian ring, then every epi-retractable right R-module has direct sum of uniform submodules.

In Section 3, we study the semi-simplicity of epi-retractable modules and pri rings. Note that every semi-simple module is epi-retractable, but the converse need not be true. In some results of that section, we provide sufficient conditions for the epi-retractable modules to be semisimple by injective modules, projective modules, right hereditary rings, von-Neumann regular rings. We show that if endomorphism ring of a module M is von-Neumann regular, then M is semi-simple if and only if M is an epi-retractable module. If R is a quasi Frobenius ring, then Ris a right hereditary ring if and only if every injective R-module is semisimple. We characterize semi-simple rings by epi-retractable modules so that a ring R is semi-simple if and only if R is right hereditary and every epi-retractable R-module is projective. We end up with a result that states: A ring R is semi-simple if and only if R is pri and von-Neumann regular.

We refer to [10] and [1] for all undefined notions used in the text.

470

2. Epi-retractable modules

Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a ring. Then, $M_R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $N_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ and $P_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are right R-modules. It is clear that R_R , N_R , P_R and $(M/P)_R$ are epi-retractable R-modules. But, M_R is not an epi-retractable module. Moreover, submodules of an epi-retractable module need not be epi-retractable and also factors of an epi-retractable module need not be epi-retractable. We begin with the observation that the class of epi-retractable modules is closed under direct sums.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of epi-retractable modules. Then, $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is an epi-retractable module.

Proof. Let K be a submodule of M. Then, $K \cap M_i$ is a submodule of M_i , for each $i \in I$. Since each M_i is an epi-retractable module, there exists an epimorphism $\alpha_i : M_i \to K \cap M_i$. Define $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i : M \to K$. Then, clearly α is a surjective homomorphism. Hence, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is an epi-retractable module.

A projective *R*-module *P* together with a small epimorphism $\pi: P \to M$ is called a *projective cover* of *M*. A ring *R* is said to be *right perfect* if every *R*-module has a projective cover. In [6], Smith calls an *R*-module *M slightly compressible* if, for every non-zero submodule *N* of *M*, there exists a non-zero homomorphism from *M* to *N*. An *R*-module *M* is called to be *self-generator* if, for each submodule *N* of *M*, there exists an index set *J* and an epimorphism $\theta: M^{(J)} \to N$. It is clear that every epi-retractable module is self-generator. Moreover, every self-generator is slightly compressible. Then, epi-retractable modules are slightly compressible. In general, every slightly compressible module is not a self-generator (see [6, Proposition 3.1]). Therefore, every slightly compressible module need not be epi-retractable.

The following result shows a sufficient condition for slightly compressible modules to be epi-retractable.

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then, every projective slightly compressible R-module is epi-retractable.

Proof. Assume that M is a projective and slightly compressible module. Let K be a submodule of M. Since R is right perfect, there is a projective cover P of K with a small $Ker(\pi)$, where $\pi : P \to K$ is an epimorphism. Then, there exists a non-zero homomorphism $f: M \to K$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} M \\ h\swarrow & \downarrow f \\ P \xrightarrow{} K \\ \pi \end{array}$$

Since M is projective, f can be lifted to a homomorphism h from M to P such that the above diagram is commutative, that is, $f = \pi h$. It follows that $P = Im(h) + Ker(\pi)$. Then, P = Im(h), because $Ker(\pi)$ is small. This implies that h is surjective. Therefore, f is also surjective, and hence M is an epi-retractable module.

A ring R is called a *right V-ring* if every simple R-module is injective. Moreover, if R is a right V-ring, then every projective R-module is slightly compressible (see [6, Theorem 1.5]). Theorem 2.2 has the following consequence.

Corollary 2.3. Let R be a right perfect and right V-ring. Then, every projective R-module is epi-retractable.

Proof. This follows from [6, Theorem 1.5] and Theorem 2.2. \Box

Following [9], an R-module M is called *quasi-polysimple* if every nonzero submodule of M contains a uniform submodule of M. Note that over a Noetherian ring R, every R-module is quasi-polysimple (see [5, Theorem 2.2]).

We shall now investigate when a epi-retractable module has direct sum of uniform submodules.

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a Noetherian ring. If M is an epi-retractable R-module, then M has direct sum of uniform submodules of M.

Proof. It is clear that M is quasi-polysimple. Therefore, M is an essential extension of the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in J} K_i$, where each K_i is the uniform submodule of M and J is some index set (see [5, Lemma 2.1]). Since M is epi-retractable, there exists an endomorphism $f \in S$ such that $f(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in J} K_i$.

3. Semi-simplicity of epi-retractable modules

A ring R is called *right hereditary* if every right ideal is projective. Moreover, R is right hereditary if and only if every submodule of every projective R-module is projective and if and only if quotients of injective, R-modules are injective (see [4, Corollary 2.26] and [4, Theorem 3.22]). There are some modules which are injective, but not epi-retractable. For example, the set of rational numbers Q_Z is an injective module, but is not epi-retractable. Note that every semi-simple module is epi-retractable, but in general the converse is not true.

In the following, we investigate when an epi-retractable module is semi-simple.

Proposition 3.1. Let R be a right hereditary ring. Then, the followings hold:

- (1) Every injective epi-retractable R-module is semi-simple.
- (2) Every projective epi-retractable R-module is semi-simple.

Proof. (1). Assume that R is a right hereditary ring and K is submodule of an epi-retractable injective R-module M. Since M is epi-retractable, $K \cong M/L$, for some submodule L of M. It follows that K is injective. Suppose I is the identity map from K to K. Therefore, I can be extended to a homomorphism from M to K. Hence, K is a direct summand of M. This implies that M is semi-simple.

(2). This is clear.

Recall that a ring R is said to be a quasi Frobenius ring if it is a (left) right self injective Noetherian ring. Note that if R is a ring such that every injective R-module is epi-retractable, then R is a quasi Frobenius ring (see [3, Proposition 3.2]). In the following, we characterize right hereditary rings.

Proposition 3.2. Let R be a quasi Frobenius ring. Then, R is a right hereditary ring if and only if every injective R-module is semi-simple.

Proof. Assume R is a right hereditary ring. Let M be an injective R-module. By [3, Proposition 3.2], M is an epi-retractable module. By Proposition 3.1, it is clear that M is a semi-simple module.

Conversely, assume that every injective R-module is semi-simple. Suppose that K is the homomorphic image of an injective R-module M. Then, K is a direct summand of M, because M is semi-simple. Therefore, K is also injective. This implies that quotients of injective R-modules are injective. This proves that R is a right hereditary ring. \Box

Theorem 3.3. If the endomorphism ring S of a module M is von-Neumann regular, then M is semi-simple if and only if M is an epiretractable module. *Proof.* Suppose M is an epi-retractable module and K is a submodule of M. Then, there is an epimorphism f from M to K. Since S = End(M) is von-Neumann regular, f(M) = K is a direct summand of M. Hence, M is a semi-simple module. The converse is obvious. \Box

Let R be a ring and M be an R-module. We denote $r(x) = \{s \in R : xs = 0\}$, for some $x \in M$. Note that r(x) is a right ideal of R and $R/r(x) \cong xR$, for all $x \in M$. In the following, we characterize semi-simple ring.

Theorem 3.4. A ring R is semi-simple if and only if R is right hereditary and every epi-retractable R-module is projective.

Proof. Assume that R is a right hereditary ring and every epi-retractable R-module is projective. Let M be a simple R-module. It follows that M is epi-retractable and projective. For any $x \in M$, $xR \cong R/r(x)$. Then, xR (and hence R/r(x)) is projective, because R is a right hereditary ring. Therefore, the exact sequence $0 \to r(x) \to R \to R/r(x) \to 0$ splits. This implies that r(x) is a direct summand of M. Since r(x) is a maximal right ideal, R is a semi-simple ring. The converse is obvious. \Box

An *R*-module *M* is said to satisfy (**)-property if every non-zero endomorphism of *M* is an epimorphism (see [11]). In general, epi-retractable modules do not satisfy (**)-property. For example, *Z* as *Z*-module is epi-retractable, but it does not satisfy (**)-property. The following result shows that epi-retractable module with (**)-property is simple.

Proposition 3.5. An *R*-module M is simple if and only if M is epiretractable with (**)-property.

Proof. Assume that M is epi-retractable with (**)-property. Let K be a proper submodule of M. Then, there is an epimorphism $f: M \to K$. This implies that f is a non-zero endomorphism from M to M. Since M satisfies (**)-property, f(M) = M = K. Hence, M is simple. The converse is obvious.

Corollary 3.6. If an *R*-module *M* is epi-retractable with (**)-property, then $End(M_R)$ is a division ring.

An *R*-module *M* is said to satisfy (*)-property if every non-zero endomorphism of *M* is a monomorphism (see [7]). This is dual to the concept of (**)-property defined earliar.

Proposition 3.7. Every epi-retractable module with (*)-property is a co-Hopfian module.

Applications of epi-retractable modules

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 3.8. A ring R is semi-simple if and only if R is a pri and von-Neumann regular ring.

Proof. Assume that R is a pri ring. Then, every right ideal of ring R is a principal right ideal. This implies that every right ideal is a direct summand of R, because R is von-Neumann regular. It follows by [10, 20.7] that R is a semi-simple ring.

Proposition 3.9. Let R be a ring such that every slightly compressible R-module is pseudo-projective. Then, R is a right V-ring if and only if R is a semi-simple ring.

Proof. Let M be a slightly compressible R-module. Suppose there is a free R-module F with an epimorphism $g: F \to M$. By [6, Theorem 1.5], F is a slightly compressible module. Then, $F \oplus M$ is a slightly compressible module by [6, Proposition 1.4]. Consider the exact sequence $0 \to Ker(g) \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$. This sequence splits by [8, Lemma 1.3]. Therefore, M is a direct summand of F. Hence, M is projective. In particular, every simple R-module is projective. It follows by [10, 20.7] that R is a semi-simple ring.

Corollary 3.10. Over a right V-ring R, if every slightly compressible R-module is pseudo-projective, then every R-module is epi-retractable.

A ring R is called *right semi-artinian* if every non-zero R-module has non-zero socle.

Proposition 3.11. Let R be a right semi-artinian right V-ring. Then, R is semi-simple if and only if every R-module is pseudo-projective.

Proof. Assume that over a right semi-artinian right V-ring R, every R-module is pseudo-projective. By [6, Proposition 1.18], every right R-module is slightly compressible. It follows by Proposition 3.9 that R is semi-simple.

Corollary 3.12. Over a right semi-artinian right V-ring, every pseudoprojective module is an epi-retractable module.

Recall that a ring R is right *PP-ring* if every cyclic right ideal of R is projective. A ring R is called a *regular* if for any $a \in R$ there is an element $b \in R$ with aba = a. Note that R is regular if and only if every right principal ideal is a direct summand in R (see [10, 3.10]).

Proposition 3.13. The followings are equivalent for a pri ring R.

- (1) R is a right PP-ring.
- (2) R is a right hereditary ring.
- (3) R is a von-Neumann regular ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Straightforward.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Assume the condition (2). Let *L* be a principal right ideal of *R*. Then, *L* is projective, because *R* is a right hereditary ring. Suppose $\pi : R \to L$ is an epimorphism and $I : L \to L$ is the identity map. This implies that *I* can be lifted to a homomorphism *f* from *L* to *R*, that is, $I = \pi f$. It follows that *L* is a direct summand of *R*. Hence, *R* is a von-Neumann regular ring.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Obvious.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referee for his/her careful considerations.

References

- F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] J. C. Mc Connell, J. C. Robson, Non-commutative Noetherian Rings, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [3] A. Ghorbani and M. R. Vedadi, Epi-retractable modules and some applications, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 35 (2009), no. 1, 155–166.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [5] B. M. Pandeya and S. A. Paramhans, Extending Modules and Modules over Noetherian Rings, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) 62 (1996), no. 1-4, 121–128.
- [6] P. F. Smith, Modules with many homomorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 197 (2005), no. 1-3, 305–321.
- [7] A. K. Tiwary and B. M. Pandeya, Modules whose non zero endomorphisms are monomorphisms, in: Proc. Int. Symp. Algebra and its Applications, New Delhi, 1981, in: Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 91 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1984.
- [8] A. K. Tiwary and B. M. Pandeya, Pseudo-projective and Pseudo-injective Modules, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 9 (1978), no. 9, 941–949.
- [9] A. K. Tiwary and B. M. Pandeya, On poly simple modules, Progr. Math. (Allahabad) 5 (1971), no. 1-2, 49–61.
- [10] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, A handbook for study and research. Revised and translated from the 1988 German edition. Algebra, Logic and Applications, 3. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, PA, 1991
- [11] W. Xue, On morita duality, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 49 (1994), no. 1, 35–45.

476

Applications of epi-retractable modules

Bashishth Muni Pandeya

Department of Applied Mathematics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, P.O. Box 221005, Varanasi, India Email: bmpandeya@bhu.ac.in; bmpandeya@gmail.com

Avanish Kumar Chaturvedi

Department of Mathematics, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, P.O. Box 201307, Noida(UP), India Email: akc99@rediffmail.com; akchaturvedi.math@gmail.com

Ashok Ji Gupta

Department of Applied Mathematics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, P.O. Box 221005, Varanasi, India Email: ashokg@bhu.ac.in