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#### Abstract

Making use of an extended fractional differintegral operator (introduced recently by Patel and Mishra), we introduce a new subclass of multivalent analytic functions and investigate certain interesting properties of the subclass.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+p} \quad(p \in N=\{1,2,3, \cdots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z: z \in C$ and $|z|<1\}$.
Suppose that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in $U$. We say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $U$, and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$ $(z \in U)$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in U)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in $U$, then the following equivalence relationship holds:

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(U) \subset g(U) .
$$

For functions $f_{j}(z) \in A(p) \quad(j=1,2)$, given by

$$
f_{j}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n, j} z^{n+p} \quad(j=1,2)
$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ by

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n, 1} a_{n, 2} z^{n+p}=\left(f_{2} * f_{1}\right)(z)
$$

In [10] (see also [11] and [16]), Owa introduced the following definitions of fractional calculus (that is, fractional integrals and fractional derivatives of an arbitrary order).

Definition 1.1. The fractional integral of order $\lambda(\lambda>0)$ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, analytic in a simply-connected region of the complex plane containing the origin, by

$$
D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\lambda}} d \xi
$$

where the multipicity of $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-\xi)$ to be real when $z-\xi>0$.

Definition 1.2. Under the hypothesis of Definition 1.1, the fractional derivative of $f(z)$ of order $\lambda(\lambda \geq 0)$ is defined by

$$
D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{d z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\lambda}} d \xi & (0 \leq \lambda<1), \\ \frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} D_{z}^{\lambda-n} f(z) & (n \leq \lambda<n+1 ; n \in N \cup\{0\}),\end{cases}
$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.1.
Very recently, Patel and Mishra [12] defined the extended fractional differintegral operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}: A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ for a function $f(z) \in A(p)$ and for a real number $\lambda(-\infty<\lambda<p+1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)=\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{z}^{\lambda} f$ is, respectively the fractional integral of $f$ of order $-\lambda$, when $-\infty<\lambda<0$, and the fractional derivative of $f$ of order $\lambda$, when $0 \leq$ $\lambda<p+1$.

It is easily seen from (1.2) that for a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)} a_{n} z^{n+p} \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& z\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(p-\lambda) \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)+\lambda \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \quad(-\infty<\lambda<p ; z \in U) . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We also note from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{z}^{(-1, p)} f(z)=\frac{p+1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) d t, \quad \Omega_{z}^{(0, p)} f(z)=f(z) \\
\Omega_{z}^{(1, p)} f(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

and, in general,

$$
\Omega_{z}^{(m, p)} f(z)=\frac{(p-m)!z^{m} f^{(m)}(z)}{p!} \quad(m \in N ; m<p+1) .
$$

The fractional differential operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$ with $0 \leq \lambda<1$ was investigated by Srivastava and Aouf [17] and studied by Srivastava and Mishra [18]. Patel and Mishra [12] also obtained several interesting properties and characteristics for certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the differintegral operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$, when $-\infty<\lambda<p+1$. We further observe that $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, 1)}=\Omega_{z}^{\lambda}$ is the operator introduced and studied by Owa and Srivastava [11]. In the present sequel to these earlier works, we shall derive certain interesting properties of the extended fractional differintegral operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$.

Let $P$ be the class of functions $h(z)$ with $h(0)=1$, which are analytic and convex univalent in $U$.

Recently, many authors have introduced and studied some new subclasses of analytic functions defined by various other linear operators (see, e.g., Dziok and Srivastava [1, 2], Srivastava et al. [17-20], Yang et al. [23], Patel et al. [12,13]], Liu and Srivastava [7], Liu [5, 6], and Wang et al. [21]). Now, we introduce the following subclass of $A(p)$ associated with the operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$.

Definition 1.3. A function $f(z) \in A(p)$ is said to be in the class $H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$, if it satisfies the subordination condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \prec h(z) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a complex number and $h(z) \in P$.

## Remark 1.4.

(1) For $p=1, \lambda=1, \alpha=1$ and $h(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, H_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ coincides with $R$, as investigated by Singh and Singh [15].
(2) For $p=1, \lambda=1$ and $h(z)=\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}(-1 \leq b<1, a>b)$, $H_{1}\left(1, \alpha ; \frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)$ reduces to $H(\alpha, a, b)$, as studied by Yang [22].
(3) $\operatorname{For} p=1, \lambda=1$ and $h(z)=1+M z(M>0), H_{1}(1, \alpha ; 1+M z)=$ $S(\alpha, M)$, as introduced and studied by Zhou and Owa [24] and Liu [4] respectively.
A function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is said to be in the class $S^{*}(\rho)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\rho \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\rho(\rho<1)$. When $0 \leq \rho<1, S^{*}(\rho)$ is the class of starlike functions of order $\rho$ in $U$. A function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is said to be prestarlike of order $\rho$ in $U$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\rho)}} * f(z) \in S^{*}(\rho) \quad(\rho<1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote this class by $R(\rho)$ (see [14]). It is clear from (1.6) and (1.7) that a function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is in the class $R(0)$ if and only if $f(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$ and

$$
R\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=S^{*}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

We need the following lemmas in order to derive our main results for the class $H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$.

Lemma 1.5. Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $U$ and $h(z)$ be analytic and convex univalent in $U$ with $h(0)=g(0)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)+\frac{1}{\mu} z g^{\prime}(z) \prec h(z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then

$$
g(z) \prec \widetilde{h}(z)=\mu z^{-\mu} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu-1} h(t) d t \prec h(z)
$$

and $\widetilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.8).
Lemma 1.6. Let $\rho<1, f(z) \in S^{*}(\rho)$ and $g(z) \in R(\rho)$. Then, for any analytic function $F(z)$ in $U$,

$$
\frac{g *(f F)}{g * f}(U) \subset \overline{c o}(F(U))
$$

where $\overline{c o}(F(U))$ denotes the closed convex hull of $F(U)$.
Lemma 1.5 is due to Miller and Mocanu [9] (see also [3]) and Lemma 1.6 can be found in Ruscheweyh [14].

Lemma 1.7. (see [8]). Let $g(z)=1+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \quad(k \in N)$ be analytic in $U$. If $\operatorname{Re}\{g(z)\}>0 \quad(z \in U)$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{g(z)\} \geq \frac{1-|z|^{k}}{1+|z|^{k}} \quad(z \in U)
$$

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$. Then

$$
H_{p}\left(\lambda, \alpha_{2} ; h\right) \subset H_{p}\left(\lambda, \alpha_{1} ; h\right)
$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(z) \in H_{p}\left(\lambda, \alpha_{2} ; h\right)$. Then, the function $g(z)$ is analytic in $U$ with $g(0)=1$. Differentiating both sides of (2.1) with respect to $z$ and using (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =g(z)+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p} z g^{\prime}(z) \prec h(z) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, an application of Lemma 1.5 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z) \prec h(z) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $0 \leq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}<1$ and that $h(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$, it follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) g(z) \\
& \prec h(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $f(z) \in H_{p}\left(\lambda, \alpha_{1} ; h\right)$, and the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.
Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 generalizes a result by Yang [22].
Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha>0, \gamma>0$ and $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; \gamma h+1-\gamma)$. If $\gamma \leq \gamma_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1+u} d u\right)^{-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, 0 ; h)$. The bound $\gamma_{0}$ is sharp when $h(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$.
Proof. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; \gamma h+1-\gamma)$, with $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma>0$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z g^{\prime}(z) & =(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \prec \gamma h(z)+1-\gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, an application of Lemma 1.5 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z) \prec \frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} h(t) d t+1-\gamma=(h * \psi)(z), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1-t} d t+1-\gamma \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0<\gamma \leq \gamma_{0}$, where $\gamma_{0}>1$ is given by (2.4), then it follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re\psi }(z) & =\frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-u z}\right) d u+1-\gamma \\
& >\frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1+u} d u+1-\gamma \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by using the Herglotz representation for $\psi(z)$, from (2.5) and (2.6) we arrive at

$$
z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \prec(h * \psi)(z) \prec h(z),
$$

because $h(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$. This shows that $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, 0 ; h)$. For $h(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$ and $f(z) \in A(p)$ defined by

$$
z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)=\frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1-t} d t+1-\gamma
$$

it is easy to verify that

$$
(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\gamma h(z)+1-\gamma .
$$

Thus, $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha, ; \gamma h+1-\gamma)$. Also, for $\gamma>\gamma_{0}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right\} \rightarrow \frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1+u} d u+1-\gamma<\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \rightarrow-1)
$$

which implies that $f(z) \notin H_{p}(\lambda, 0 ; h)$. Hence, the bound $\gamma_{0}$ cannot be increased when $h(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z) \in A(p) \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{-p} g(z)\right\}>\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h) .
$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ and $g(z) \in A(p)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\alpha)\left(z^{-p} g(z)\right) *\left(z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(z^{-p} g(z)\right) *\left(z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(z^{-p} g(z)\right) * \psi(z), \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(z) & =(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \prec h(z) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.8), the function $z^{-p} g(z)$ has the Herglotz representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{-p} g(z)=\int_{|x|=1} \frac{d \mu(x)}{1-x z} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle $|x|=1$ and

$$
\int_{|x|=1} d \mu(x)=1
$$

Since $h(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$, it follows from (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\int_{|x|=1} \psi(x z) d \mu(x) \prec h(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $(f * g)(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ and the theorem is proved.
Remark 2.5. For $p=1, \lambda=1$ and $h(z)=\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}(-1 \leq b<1, a>b)$, we get a result by Yang [22](Throrem 4).
Corollary 2.6. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ be given by (1.1) and let

$$
s_{m}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} a_{n} z^{n+p} \quad(m \in N \backslash\{1\}) .
$$

Then, the function

$$
\sigma_{m}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{-p} s_{m}(t z) d t
$$

is also in the class $H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{m}(z) & =z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_{n}}{n+1} z^{n+p} \\
& =\left(f * g_{m}\right)(z) \quad(m \in N \backslash\{1\}) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+p} \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)
$$

and

$$
g_{m}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{z^{n+p}}{n+1} \in A(p) .
$$

Also, for $m \in N \backslash\{1\}$, it is known from [8] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{-p} g_{m}(z)\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{z^{n}}{n+1}\right\}>\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.12) and (2.13), an application of Theorem 2.4 leads to $\sigma_{m}(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$,

$$
g(z) \in A(p) \text { and } z^{-p+1} g(z) \in R(\rho) \quad(\rho<1) .
$$

Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h) .
$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ and $g(z) \in A(p)$, from (2.9) (used in the proof of Theorem 2.4), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{\left(z^{-p+1} g(z)\right) *(z \psi(z))}{\left(z^{-p+1} g(z)\right) * z} \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi(z)$ is defined as in (2.10).
Since $h(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$,

$$
\psi(z) \prec h(z), z^{-p+1} g(z) \in R(\rho) \text { and } z \in S^{*}(\rho) \quad(\rho<1),
$$

the desired result follows from (2.14) and Lemma 1.6.
Taking $\rho=0$ and $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 2.7 reduces to the following.

Corollary 2.8. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ and let $g(z) \in A(p)$ satisfy any one of the following conditions:
(i) $z^{-p+1} g(z)$ is convex univalent in $U$
or
(ii) $z^{-p+1} g(z) \in S^{*}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)
$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$. Then, the function $F(z)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{\mu+p}{z^{\mu}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu-1} f(t) d t \quad(\operatorname{Re} \mu>-p) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; \widetilde{h})$, where

$$
\widetilde{h}(z)=(\mu+p) z^{-(\mu+p)} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu+p-1} h(t) d t \prec h(z) .
$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in A(p)$ and $\mathrm{R} e \mu>-p$, we find from (2.15) that $F(z) \in$ $A(p)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu+p) f(z)=\mu F(z)+z F^{\prime}(z) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $G(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p} G(z)=(1-\alpha) \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)\right)^{\prime} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.17) with respect to $z$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
z G^{\prime}(z)+p G(z)= & (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}\left(\frac{\mu F(z)+z F^{\prime}(z)}{\mu+p}\right) \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}\left(\frac{\mu F(z)+z F^{\prime}(z)}{\mu+p}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{\mu}{\mu+p} G(z)+\frac{1}{\mu+p}\left(z G^{\prime}(z)+p G(z)\right) \\
& =G(z)+\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{\mu+p} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$. Then, by (2.19),

$$
G(z)+\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{\mu+p} \prec h(z) \quad(\operatorname{Re} \mu>-p),
$$

and so it follows from Lemma 1.5 that

$$
G(z) \prec \widetilde{h}(z)=(\mu+p) z^{-(\mu+p)} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu+p-1} h(t) d t \prec h(z) .
$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$
F(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; \widetilde{h}) \subset H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h) .
$$

Theorem 2.10. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and $F(z)$ be defined as in Theorem 2.9. If

$$
(1-\gamma) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)+\gamma z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \prec h(z) \quad(\gamma>0)
$$

then $F(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, 0 ; \widetilde{h})$, where Re $\mu>-p$ and

$$
\widetilde{h}(z)=\frac{\mu+p}{\gamma} z^{-\frac{\mu+p}{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\mu+p}{\gamma}-1} h(t) d t \prec h(z) .
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $G(z)$ is analytic in $U$, with $G(0)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z G^{\prime}(z)=-p G(z)+z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)\right)^{\prime} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of $(2.16),(2.20),(2.21)$ and $(2.22)$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\gamma) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)+\gamma z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \\
& =(1-\gamma) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)+\frac{\gamma}{\mu+p}\left(\mu z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =G(z)+\frac{\gamma}{\mu+p} z G^{\prime}(z) \prec h(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} \mu>-p$ and $\gamma>0$. Therefore, an application of Lemma 1.5 yields the assertion of Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 2.11. Let $F(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$. If the function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{\mu+p}{z^{\mu}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu-1} f(t) d t \quad(\mu>-p) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\sigma^{-p} f(\sigma z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{p}(\mu)=\frac{\sqrt{1+(\mu+p)^{2}}-1}{\mu+p} \in(0,1) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound $\sigma$ is sharp, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(\beta \neq 1) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $F(z) \in A(p)$, it is easy to verify that

$$
F(z)=F(z) * \frac{z^{p}}{1-z} \text { and } z F^{\prime}(z)=F(z) *\left(\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{2}}+(p-1) \frac{z^{p}}{1-z}\right)
$$

Hence, by (2.23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{\mu F(z)+z F^{\prime}(z)}{\mu+p}=(F * g)(z) \quad(z \in U ; \mu>-p) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{\mu+p}\left((\mu+p-1) \frac{z^{p}}{1-z}+\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{2}}\right) \in A(p) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} e\left\{z^{-p} g(z)\right\}>\frac{1}{2} \quad(|z|<\sigma) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\sigma_{p}(\mu)$ is given by (2.24). Setting

$$
\frac{1}{1-z}=\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta} \quad(R>0) \quad \text { and } \quad|z|=r<1
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta=\frac{1+R^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{2 R} \quad \text { and } \quad R \geq \frac{1}{1+r} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mu>-p$, it follows from (2.27) and (2.29) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \operatorname{Re} e\left\{z^{-p} g(z)\right\}= & \frac{2}{\mu+p}\left[(\mu+p-1) R \cos \theta+R^{2}\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\mu+p}\left[(\mu+p-1)\left(1+R^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+R^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)^{2}-2 R^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{R^{2}}{\mu+p}\left[R^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}+(\mu+p+1)\left(1-r^{2}\right)-2\right]+1 \\
\geq & \frac{R^{2}}{\mu+p}\left[(1-r)^{2}+(\mu+p+1)\left(1-r^{2}\right)-2\right]+1 \\
= & \frac{R^{2}}{\mu+p}\left(\mu+p-2 r-(\mu+p) r^{2}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

This evidently gives (2.28), which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} e\left\{z^{-p} \sigma^{-p} g(\sigma z)\right\}>\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$. Then, by using (2.26) and (2.30), an application of Theorem 2.4 yields

$$
\sigma^{-p} f(\sigma z)=F(z) *\left(\sigma^{-p} g(\sigma z)\right) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h) .
$$

For $h(z)$ given by (2.25), we consider the function $F(z) \in A(p)$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} F(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(\beta \neq 1) . \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (2.31), (2.17) and (2.19) (used in the proof of Theorem 2.9), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{\mu+p}\left(\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\beta+\frac{(1-\beta)\left(\mu+p+2 z-(\mu+p) z^{2}\right)}{(\mu+p)(1-z)^{2}} \\
& =\beta \quad(z=-\sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that the bound $\sigma=\sigma_{p}(\mu)$ cannot be increased for each $\mu(\mu>-p)$.
Theorem 2.12. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n, j} z^{n+p} \in H_{p}\left(\lambda, \alpha ; h_{j}\right) \quad(j=1,2) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j}(z)=\beta_{j}+\left(1-\beta_{j}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{j}<1 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)=\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{1}(z) * \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{2}(z) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the parameter $\beta$ is given by

$$
\beta= \begin{cases}1-4\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1+u} d u\right) & (\alpha>0)  \tag{2.36}\\ 1-2\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) & (\alpha=0)\end{cases}
$$

The bound $\beta$ is the best possible.
Proof. We consider the case when $\alpha>0$. By setting

$$
F_{j}(z)=(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)\right)^{\prime} \quad(j=1,2),
$$

for $f_{j}(z) \quad(j=1,2)$, given by (2.32), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j}(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n, j} z^{n} \prec h_{j}(z) \quad(j=1,2), \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j}(z) \quad(j=1,2)$, given by (2.33), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)=\frac{p}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} F_{j}(t) d t \quad(j=1,2) . \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $f(z) \in A(p)$ is defined by (2.34), then we find from (2.38) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) & =\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{1}(z) * \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{2}(z) \\
& =\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} z^{p} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} F_{1}(u z) d u\right) *\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} z^{p} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} F_{2}(u z) d u\right) \\
(2.39) & =\frac{p}{\alpha} z^{p} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} F(u z) d u, \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
F(z)=\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\left(F_{1} * F_{2}\right)(u z) d u
$$

Also, by using (2.37) and the Herglotz theorem, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{1}(z)-\beta_{1}}{1-\beta_{1}}\right) *\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{F_{2}(z)-\beta_{2}}{2\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)}\right)\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U)
$$

which leads to

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(F_{1} * F_{2}\right)(z)\right\}>\beta_{0}=1-2\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \quad(z \in U)
$$

According to Lemma 1.7, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(F_{1} * F_{2}\right)(z)\right\} \geq \beta_{0}+\left(1-\beta_{0}\right) \frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it follows from (2.39),(2.40) and (2.41) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\{F(z)\} \\
& =\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} \operatorname{Re} e\left\{\left(F_{1} * F_{2}\right)(u z)\right\} d u \\
& \geq \frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\left(\beta_{0}+\left(1-\beta_{0}\right) \frac{1-u|z|}{1+u|z|}\right) d u \\
& >\beta_{0}+\frac{p\left(1-\beta_{0}\right)}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1-u}{1+u} d u \\
& =1-4\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}}{1+u} d u\right) \\
& =\beta \quad(z \in U)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves that $f(z) \in H_{p}(\lambda, \alpha ; h)$ for the function $h(z)$ given by (2.35) and $\beta$ given by (2.36).

In order to show that the bound $\beta$ is sharp, we take the functions $f_{j}(z) \in$ $A(p) \quad(j=1,2)$, defined by
$\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)=\frac{p}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\left(\beta_{j}+\left(1-\beta_{j}\right) \frac{1+t}{1-t}\right) d t \quad(j=1,2)$,
for which we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{j}(z) & =(1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f_{j}(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\beta_{j}+\left(1-\beta_{j}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(j=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(F_{1} * F_{2}\right)(z)=1+4\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \frac{z}{1-z} .
$$

Hence, for $f(z) \in A(p)$ given by (2.34), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\alpha) z^{-p} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p} z^{-p+1}\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{p}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\left(1+4\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \frac{u z}{1-u z}\right) d u \\
& \rightarrow \beta \quad(\text { as } z \rightarrow-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for the case when $\alpha=0$, the proof of Theorem 2.12 is simple, and so we omit the details.
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