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#### Abstract

For all $a, b>0$, the following two optimal inequalities are presented: $H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \geq M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b)$ for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, and $H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \leq M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b)$ for $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$. Here, $H(a, b), L(a, b)$, and $M_{p}(a, b)$ denote the harmonic, logarithmic, and power means of order $p$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ the power mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ of order $p$ and logarithmic mean $L(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ are defined by

$$
M_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p \neq 0,  \tag{1.1}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & p=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
L(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{b-a}{\log b-\log a}, & a \neq b  \tag{1.2}\\ a, & a=b\end{cases}
$$
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It is well-known that $M_{p}(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Recently both mean values have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for $M_{p}(a, b)$ and $L(a, b)$ can be found in the literature $[2,3,5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,16,17,18]$.

Let $I(a, b)=\frac{1}{e}\left(a^{a} / b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a-b)}, A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2, G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$, and $H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$ be the identric, arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means of two positive real numbers $a$ and $b$ with $a \neq b$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \{a, b\}<H(a, b)=M_{-1}(a, b)<G(a, b)=M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b)  \tag{1.3}\\
& <I(a, b)<A(a, b)=M_{1}(a, b)<\max \{a, b\} .
\end{align*}
$$

In [3], Alzer and Janous established the following sharp double inequality (see also [6, p.350]):

$$
M_{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}}(a, b) \leq \frac{2}{3} A(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} G(a, b) \leq M_{\frac{2}{3}}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$.
For any $\alpha \in(0,1)$, Janous [11] found the greatest value $p$ and the least value $q$ such that

$$
M_{p}(a, b) \leq \alpha A(a, b)+(1-\alpha) G(a, b) \leq M_{q}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$.
In [15], Sándor proved

$$
\frac{A(a, b) G(a, b)}{I(a, b)}<\sqrt{G(a, b) I(a, b)}<L(a, b)
$$

and

$$
L(a, b)<A(a, b)+G(a, b)-I(a, b)<\frac{1}{2}(G(a, b)+I(a, b))
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
The following companion of (1.3) provides inequalities for the geometric and arithmetic means of $L$ and $I$, the proof can be found in [1].

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(a, b)^{\frac{1}{2}} A(a, b)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq L(a, b)^{\frac{1}{2}} I^{\frac{1}{2}}(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} L(a, b)+\frac{1}{2} I(a, b) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} G(a, b)+\frac{1}{2} A(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b>0$.
Burk [7] established

$$
L(a, b)<\left(\frac{a^{\frac{1}{3}}+b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}\right)^{3}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Alzer and Qiu [4] proved

$$
M_{c}(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} L(a, b)+\frac{1}{2} I(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with the best possible parameter $c=\frac{\log 2}{1+\log 2}$.

$$
[G(a, b)]^{A(a, b)}<[L(a, b)]^{I(a, b)}<[A(a, b)]^{G(a, b)}
$$

for $a, b \geq e$, and

$$
[A(a, b)]^{G(a, b)}<[I(a, b)]^{L(a, b)}<[G(a, b)]^{A(a, b)}
$$

for $0<a, b<e$.
The main purpose of this paper is to present the optimal bounds for $H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ in terms of the power mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

## 2. Set up

In order to establish our main results we need a lemma, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1. Let $r \in(0,1), p=\frac{1-4 r}{3}$ and $g(t)=\left[r t^{p+2}-t^{p+1}\right.$ $\left.+(r-1) t^{p}-(1-r) t^{2}-t+r\right] \log t+(1-r)\left(t^{p+2}-t^{p}+t^{2}-1\right)$. Then the following statements are true:
(1) If $r \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, then $g(t)<0$ for $t \in(1, \infty)$;
(2) If $r \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$, then $g(t)>0$ for $t \in(1, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $g_{1}(t)=t^{1-p} g^{\prime}(t), g_{2}(t)=t^{p} g_{1}^{\prime}(t), g_{3}(t)=t^{1-p} g_{2}^{\prime}(t), g_{4}(t)=$ $t^{p} g_{3}^{\prime}(t), g_{5}(t)=t^{1-p} g_{4}^{\prime}(t), g_{6}(t)=t^{3+p} g_{5}^{\prime}(t), g_{7}(t)=t^{1-p} g_{6}^{\prime}(t), g_{8}(t)=$
$t^{p-1} g_{7}^{\prime}(t), g_{9}(t)=t^{2} g_{8}^{\prime}(t)$, and $g_{10}(t)=t^{1-p} g_{9}^{\prime}(t)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(1)= 0,  \tag{2.1}\\
& g_{1}(t)= {\left[r(p+2) t^{2}-(p+1) t-2(1-r) t^{2-p}-t^{1-p}\right.} \\
&-p(1-r)] \log t+(p-p r+2-r) t^{2}-t \\
&+(1-r) t^{2-p}+r t^{-p}-t^{1-p}-(p+1)(1-r), \\
& g_{1}(1)= 0,  \tag{2.2}\\
& g_{2}(t)= {\left[2 r(p+2) t^{1+p}-(p+1) t^{p}+2(p-2)(1-r) t\right.} \\
&+p-1] \log t+(2 p+4-p r) t^{1+p}-(p+2) t^{p} \\
&-p(1-r) t^{p-1}-p(1-r) t-p r t^{-1}+p-2, \\
& g_{2}(1)= 0,  \tag{2.3}\\
& g_{3}(t)= {\left[2 r(p+1)(p+2) t+2(p-2)(1-r) t^{1-p}\right.} \\
&-p(p+1)] \log t+\left(2 p^{2}-p^{2} r+p r+6 p+4 r+4\right) t \\
&\left.-p(p-1)(1-r) t^{-1}+p r t^{-1-p}+(p-1)\right) t^{-p} \\
&+(1-r)(p-4) t^{1-p}-\left(p^{2}+3 p+1\right), \\
& g_{3}(1)= 6 p+8 r-2=0,  \tag{2.4}\\
& g_{4}(t)= 2\left[r(p+1)(p+2) t^{p}-(p-1)(p-2)(1-r)\right] \log t \\
&+p(p-1)(1-r) t^{p-2}-p(p+1) t^{p-1}-p(p-1) t^{-1} \\
&-p(p+1) r t^{-2}+\left(2 p^{2}+p^{2} r+7 p r+6 p+8 r+4\right) t^{p} \\
&-\left(p^{2}-7 p+8\right)(1-r), \\
& g_{4}(1)= 12 p+16 r-4=0,  \tag{2.5}\\
& g_{5}(t)= 2 p r(p+1)(p+2) \log t-2(p-1)(p-2) t^{-p} \\
&+p(p-1) t^{-1-p}-p(p+1)(p-1) t^{-1} \\
&+p(p-1)(p-2)(1-r) t^{-2}+2 p^{3}+p^{3} r+9 p^{2} r \\
&+6 p^{2}+14 p r+4 p+4 r, \\
& g_{5}(1)= 2 p^{3}+16 r p^{2}+2 p^{2}+8 p r+12 p+8 r-4  \tag{2.6}\\
&= 8(r-1) \\
& g_{6}(t)= p\left[2(p-1)(p-2)(1-r) t^{2}-(p-1)(p+1) t\right. \\
&-2 r(p+1)(p+2)+2 r(p+1)(p+2) t^{2+p} \\
&\left.+(p-1)(p+1) t^{1+p}-2(p-1)(p-2)(1-r) t^{p}\right], \\
& g_{6}(1)= 0,  \tag{2.7}\\
&(p r 1), \\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{7}(t)= & p\left[2 r(p+1)(p+2)^{2} t^{2}+(p-1)(p+1)^{2} t\right. \\
& -(p-1)(p+1) t^{1-p}+4(p-1)(p-2)(1-r) t^{2-p} \\
& -2 p(p-1)(p-2)(1-r)], \\
g_{7}(1)= & p\left[4 r p^{3}-p^{3}+10 p^{2}+32 p r-17 p-8\right]  \tag{2.8}\\
= & \frac{4(1-4 r)}{81}\left(-64 r^{4}+64 r^{3}-192 r^{2}+169 r+23\right), \\
g_{8}(t)= & p\left[4 r(p+1)(p+2)^{2} t^{p}+(p-1)(p+1)^{2} t^{p-1}\right. \\
& \left.+(p-1)^{2}(p+1) t^{-1}-4(p-1)(p-2)^{2}(1-r)\right], \\
g_{8}(1)= & 2 p\left[4 r p^{3}-p^{3}+10 p^{2}+32 p r-17 p-8\right]  \tag{2.9}\\
= & \frac{8(1-4 r)}{81}\left(-64 r^{4}+64 r^{3}-192 r^{2}+169 r+23\right), \\
g_{9}(t)= & p\left[4 r p(p+1)(p+2)^{2} t^{p+1}+(p-1)^{2}(p+1)^{2} t^{p}\right. \\
& \left.-(p+1)(p-1)^{2}\right], \\
g_{9}(1)= & p^{2}(p+1)\left[4 r(p+2)^{2}+(p-1)^{2}\right]>0,  \tag{2.10}\\
g_{10}(t)= & p^{2}(p+1)^{2}\left[4 r(p+2)^{2} t+(p-1)^{2}\right],  \tag{2.11}\\
g_{10}(1)= & p^{2}(p+1)^{2}\left[4 r(p+2)^{2}+(p-1)^{2}\right]>0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

(1) If $r \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, then from $(2.6),(2.8)$ and (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{8}(1)<0,  \tag{2.13}\\
& g_{7}(1)<0,  \tag{2.14}\\
& g_{5}(1)<0,  \tag{2.15}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g_{8}(t)<0,  \tag{2.16}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g_{7}(t)=-\infty . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.11) implies that $g_{10}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$, then from (2.10), (2.12) and the monotonicity of $g_{10}(t)$ we clearly see that $g_{9}(t)>0$ for all $t \in(1, \infty)$. Hence we get that $g_{8}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$.

From (2.13) and (2.16) together with the monotonicity of $g_{8}(t)$ we see that $g_{8}(t)<0$ in $(1, \infty)$. Hence we obtain that $g_{7}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$. From (2.14) and (2.17) together with the monotonicity of $g_{7}(t)$
we clearly see that $g_{7}(t)<0$ in $(1, \infty)$. So we get that $g_{6}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.1(1) follows from the monotonicity of $g_{6}(t),(2.7)$, (2.15) and (2.1)-(2.5).
(2) If $r \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$, then from (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{8}(1)>0,  \tag{2.18}\\
& g_{7}(1)>0,  \tag{2.19}\\
& g_{5}(1) \geq 0 . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.11) implies that $g_{10}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.1(2) follows from (2.12), (2.10), (2.18), (2.19), (2.7), (2.20) and (2.1)-(2.5) together with the monotonicity of $g_{10}(t)$.

## 3. The main result

Theorem 3.1. For all $a, b>0$ we

$$
M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b) \leq H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)
$$

for $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, and

$$
H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \leq M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b)
$$

for $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$. Each inequality becomes equality if and only if $a=b$, and the parameter $\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}$ in each inequality is the best possible.

Proof. If $a=b$, then from (1.1) we clearly see that

$$
a=M_{0}(a, b)=H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)=M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b)=b .
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $t=\frac{a}{b}>1$. Then (1.1) and (1.2) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{p}(a, b)-H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)  \tag{3.1}\\
=\quad & b\left[\left(\frac{t^{p}+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}-\left(\frac{2 t}{t+1}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{t-1}{\log t}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t)= & \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+t^{p}}{2}-\alpha \log \left(\frac{2 t}{t+1}\right)-(1-\alpha)[\log (t-1)  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\log (\log t)] .
\end{align*}
$$

Then simple computations yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{+}} f(t)=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
& f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{g(t)}{t(t-1)(t+1)\left(t^{p}+1\right) \log t}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t)= & {\left[\alpha t^{p+2}-t^{p+1}+(\alpha-1) t^{p}-(1-\alpha) t^{2}-t+\alpha\right] \log t } \\
& +(1-\alpha)\left(t^{p+2}-t^{p}+t^{2}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) If $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, then from Lemma 2.1(1) and (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(t)<0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(1, \infty)$.
Therefore, from (3.1)-(3.3) and (3.5) we see that the inequality

$$
H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)>M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
(2) If $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$, from Lemma 2.1(2) and (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(t)>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(1, \infty)$.
Therefore, from (3.1)-(3.3) and (3.6) we obtain that inequality

$$
H^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{\frac{1-4 r}{3}}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Next, we prove that the parameter $\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}$ in each inequality is optimal.
Case A. If $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$, then for any $0<\varepsilon<(4 \alpha-1) / 3$ and $x>0$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}(x+1,1)-H^{\alpha}(x+1,1) L^{1-\alpha}(x+1,1)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\left[\frac{(x+1)^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}+1}{2}\right]^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}-\left(\frac{x+1}{1+\frac{x}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot\left[\frac{x}{\log (x+1)}\right]^{1-\alpha} \\
& =\frac{f_{1}(x)}{\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha}[\log (1+x)]^{1-\alpha}},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(x)= & {\left[\frac{(x+1)^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}+1}{2}\right]^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}[\log (1+x)]^{1-\alpha}\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} } \\
& -(1+x)^{\alpha} x^{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x)=\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{8} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] x^{1-\alpha} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.7) and (3.8) imply that for any $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$ and $0<\varepsilon<\frac{4 \alpha-1}{3}$ there exists $\delta_{1}(\varepsilon, \alpha)>0$, such that

$$
H^{\alpha}(x+1,1) L^{1-\alpha}(x+1,1)<M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}+\varepsilon}(x+1,1)
$$

for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$.
Case B. If $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$, then for any $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}$ and $x>0$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\alpha}(x+1,1) L^{1-\alpha}(x+1,1)-M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon}(x+1,1)  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\left(\frac{x+1}{1+\frac{x}{2}}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\frac{x}{\log (x+1)}\right]^{1-\alpha}-\left[\frac{(x+1)^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon}+1}{2}\right]^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon} \\
& =\frac{f_{2}(x)}{\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha}[\log (1+x)]^{1-\alpha}},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}(x)= & (1+x)^{\alpha} \cdot x^{1-\alpha}-\left[\frac{(x+1)^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon}+1}{2}\right]^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon}[\log (1+x)]^{1-\alpha} \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x)=\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{8} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] x^{1-\alpha} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.9) and (3.10) imply that for any $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{3 \sqrt{5}-5}{40}\right]$ and $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}$ there exists $\delta_{2}(\varepsilon, \alpha)>0$, such that

$$
H^{\alpha}(1+x, 1) L^{1-\alpha}(1+x, 1)>M_{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{3}-\varepsilon}(1+x, 1)
$$

for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{2}\right)$.
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