

WEIGHTED FROBENIUS-PERRON AND KOOPMAN OPERATORS

M.R. JABBARZADEH

Communicated by Heydar Radjavi

ABSTRACT. We introduce the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator P_φ^u on L^1 associated with the pair (u, φ) as a peridual of weighted Koopman operator $W = uC_\varphi$ on L^∞ and then investigate some fundamental properties of P_φ^u by the language of conditional expectation operator.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be a non-singular transformation; i.e., φ is Σ -measurable and $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}(A) := \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A)) = 0$, for all $A \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$. This assumption about φ just says that the measure $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ (we write $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$, as usual), where $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}(A) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A))$ for $A \in \Sigma$. We shall assume that the restriction of μ to σ -subalgebra $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ of Σ is σ -finite, and we denote by $(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu)$ the completion of $(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu|_{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)})$. We denote by h the Radon-Nikodym derivative, $h = d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}/d\mu$. We will write $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ for $L^1(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu|_{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)})$. $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ may then be viewed as a subspace of $L^1(\Sigma)$ and we denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_1$. Support of a measurable function f will be denoted by $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X; f(x) \neq 0\}$.

MSC(2000): Primary 47B20; Secondary 46B38.

Keywords: Frobenius-Perron operator, weighted composition operator, conditional expectation.

Received: 10 August 2008, Accepted: 15 November 2008.

© 2009 Iranian Mathematical Society.

Relationships between functions f and between sets are interpreted in the almost everywhere sense. For any non-negative Σ -measurable function f as well as for any $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$, by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exists a unique $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable function $E(f)$ such that

$$\int_A Efd\mu = \int_A fd\mu, \quad \text{for all } A \in \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma).$$

Hence, we obtain an operator E from $L^1(\Sigma)$ onto $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ which is called conditional expectation operator associated with the σ -algebra $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. It is easy to show that for each $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$, there exists a Σ -measurable function g such that $E(f) = g \circ \varphi$. We can assume that $\sigma(g) \subseteq \sigma(h)$, and there exists only one g with this property. We therefore write $g = E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}$, though we make no assumptions regarding the invertibility of φ (see [1]). This operator will play a major role in our work, and we list here some of its useful properties:

- $E(fg) = E(f)g$, whenever g is $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable and both conditional expectations are defined.
- $|E(f)| \leq E(|f|)$.
- If $f \geq 0$, then $E(f) \geq 0$; if $E(|f|) = 0$, then $f = 0$.

Let f be a real-valued measurable function. Consider the set $B_f = \{x \in X : E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}$. The function f is said to be conditionable with respect to $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ if $\mu(B_f) = 0$. If f is complex-valued, then f is conditionable if the real and imaginary parts of f are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. For more details on the properties of E see [11, 9]. Our aim here is to generalize some results obtained for the (classic) Frobenius-Perron operators in [4, 6, 7] to the weighted Frobenius-Perron operators.

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a non-singular transformation and let $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a conditionable measurable function. If A is any Σ -measurable set for which $\int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} ufd\mu$ exists, then the linear operator $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u : L^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^1(\Sigma)$, defined by $\int_A \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} ufd\mu$, is called the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator associated with the pair (u, φ) .

Let $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ be given. For the above u and φ , we define the measure,

$$\mu_{\varphi,f}^u(A) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u f d\mu, \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

The assumption $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$ implies $\mu_{\varphi,f}^u \ll \mu$. By the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exists a μ -unique function $\tilde{f}_\varphi^u \in L^1(\Sigma)$ such that $\mu_{\varphi,f}^u(A) = \int_A \tilde{f}_\varphi^u d\mu$, for any $A \in \Sigma$. This may be expressed alternatively as:

$$\int_A \tilde{f}_\varphi^u d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u f d\mu, \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

It follows that the mapping $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u : f \mapsto \tilde{f}_\varphi^u$ is well defined on $L^1(\Sigma)$.

We note that according to Proposition 2.3 (vi) below, to the same extent that the weighted Koopman operators are actual generalizations of the Koopman operators, the weighted Frobenius-Perron operators will be the actual generalizations of the (classic) Frobenius-Perron operators.

The weighted Koopman operator on $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ with respect to the pair (u, φ) is defined by $uU_\varphi(f) = u \cdot f \circ \varphi$, for each $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. Here, the non-singularity of φ guarantees that uU_φ is well defined as a mapping of equivalence classes of functions on $\sigma(u)$. Note that $uU_\varphi = M_u U_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u = P_\varphi M_u$ where M_u is a multiplication operator, U_φ and P_φ are (classic) Koopman and Frobenius-Perron operators, respectively. It is easy to see that uU_φ is a bounded operator on $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ if and only if $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, and in this case $\|uU_\varphi\| = \|u\|_\infty$ (see [12]). For a bounded linear operator T on a Banach space, we use the symbols $\mathcal{N}(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ to denote the kernel and the range of T , respectively.

Now, let $A \in \Sigma$ with $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$. As an application of the properties of the conditional expectation and using the change of variable formula, we have,

$$\int_A \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u f d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} E(uf) d\mu = \int_A h E(uf) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu,$$

for all $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Since Σ is a σ -finite algebra, then it follows that $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f = h E(uf) \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

In the following theorem, we investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions for a weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u to be bounded.

Theorem 2.2. *The weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u is a bounded operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ if and only if $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ and its norm is given by $\|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\| = \|u\|_\infty$.*

Proof. Let $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. Using the change of variable formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f\|_1 &= \int_X |\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f| d\mu = \int_X h|E(uf) \circ \varphi^{-1}| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X E(|uf|) d\mu = \int_X |uf| d\mu \leq \|u\|_\infty \|f\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

for each $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Thus, $\|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\| \leq \|u\|_\infty$. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{P}_φ^u is a bounded operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$. Write uf as $w|uf|$, when $|w| = 1$. Then, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u f\|_1 &= \int_X |uf| d\mu = \int_X \bar{w} u f d\mu = \int_X h E(\bar{w} u f) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_X \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(\bar{w} f) d\mu = \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(\bar{w} f)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\| \|\bar{w} f\|_1 = \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\| \|f\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

for each $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Hence, we conclude that the multiplication operator M_u is a bounded linear operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$. Therefore, $u \in L^\infty$ and $\|u\|_\infty = \|M_u\| \leq \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\|$. The proof of the theorem is now complete. \square

Some basic properties of \mathcal{P}_φ^u are listed in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let φ_i be a measurable transformation of X such that $\mu \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $h_i = d\mu \circ \varphi_i^{-1} / d\mu \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Put $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$ and $E(\cdot | \varphi_i^{-1}(\Sigma)) = E_i$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) $\mu \circ \varphi_3^{-1} \ll \mu$ and $h_3 = d\mu \circ \varphi_3^{-1} / d\mu = h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$.

(ii) $P_{\varphi_1} \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_2}^u = \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_3}^u$.

(iii) $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_1}^u \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_2}^u = P_{\varphi_1} P_{\varphi_2} M_{u \circ \varphi_2}$.

(iv) $(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^n = (\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u \circ \varphi^i) P_\varphi^n$.

(v) Let $u \geq 0$. Then, $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$ and $(uU_\varphi)g \geq 0$ if $g \geq 0$.

(vi) $(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^* = uU_\varphi$.

Proof. (i) The assumption $\mu \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \ll \mu$ implies that for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) = 0$, $\mu(\varphi_1^{-1}(A)) = 0$, and so $\mu(\varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(A))) = 0$. Hence, $\mu \circ \varphi_3^{-1} \ll \mu$. Also, by use of conditional expectation operator and change of variables formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A h_3 d\mu &= \int_A \frac{d\mu \circ (\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2)^{-1}}{d\mu} d\mu = \int_A d\mu \circ \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \\ &= \int_{\varphi_1^{-1}(A)} d\mu \circ \varphi_2^{-1} = \int_{\varphi_1^{-1}(A)} h_2 d\mu = \int_{\varphi_1^{-1}(A)} E_1(h_2) d\mu \\ &= \int_A E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi_1^{-1} = \int_A h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, then the proof is complete.

(ii) Since $P_{\varphi_i} f = h_i E_i(f) \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$, then for any $A \in \Sigma$ and $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_3}^u f d\mu &= \int_A h_3 E_3(uf) \circ \varphi_3^{-1} d\mu = \int_A E_3(uf) \circ \varphi_3^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi_3^{-1} \\ &= \int_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} E_3(uf) d\mu = \int_{\varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(A))} uf d\mu = \int_{\varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(A))} E_2(uf) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi_1^{-1}(A)} h_2 E_2(uf) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} d\mu = \int_A h_1 E_1(h_2 E_2(uf) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_A P_{\varphi_1}(h_2 E_2(uf) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) d\mu = \int_A P_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_2}^u f) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, then the proof is complete.

(iii) Since $P_{\varphi_1} P_{\varphi_2} = P_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2}$, then for any $A \in \Sigma$ and $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A P_{\varphi_1} P_{\varphi_2} M_{u \circ \varphi_2} f d\mu &= \int_A P_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2}(u \circ \varphi_2) f d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} h_3 E_3(u \circ \varphi_2 f) \circ \varphi_3^{-1} d\mu = \int_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} u \circ \varphi_2 f d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi_1^{-1}(A)} h_2 u E_2(uf) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} d\mu = \int_A h_1 E_1(h_2 u E_2(uf) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_A h_1 E_1(u \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_2}^u f) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu = \int_A \mathcal{P}_{\varphi_1}^u(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_2}^u f) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, then the proof is complete.

(iv) It follows from (iii).

(v) It is trivial.

(vi) It is well-known that $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ is the dual space of $L^1(\Sigma)$; that is, $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ is viewed as a bounded linear functional f^* on $L^1(\Sigma)$, defined by $f^*(g) = (g, f) = \int_X gf d\mu$. First, suppose that $f = \chi_A$, $A \in \Sigma$ ($\mu(A) = +\infty$ is possible). Then, for each $g \in L^1(\Sigma)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (g, (\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^* \chi_A) &= (\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u g, \chi_A) = \int_A E(ug) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} E(ug) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} ugd\mu = \int_X gu\chi_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} d\mu = \int_X g(u\chi_A \circ \varphi) d\mu = (g, (uU_\varphi)\chi_A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^* \chi_A = (uU_\varphi)\chi_A$. It follows that the result holds if f is a simple function. Now, since the simple functions are dense in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$, then we get $(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^* f = (uU_\varphi)f$, for all $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. This completes the proof.

Many problems in ergodic theory and physical sciences are related to the problem of existence and computation of absolutely continuous invariant measures (see [2]). Let $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Define $\nu_f(A) = \int_A ufd\mu$, for all $A \in \Sigma$. It is easy to see that $\nu_f \ll \mu$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Then, $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u - M_u)$ if and only if the measure ν_f is invariant under φ (here, the invariance of the measure ν_f means that $\nu_f \circ \varphi^{-1} = \nu_f$).*

Proof. Since Σ is σ -finite, then for all $A \in \Sigma$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u - M_u) &\iff \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f = uf \iff \\ \nu_f \circ \varphi^{-1}(A) &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} ufd\mu = \int_A \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f d\mu = \int_A ufd\mu = \nu_f(A). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *The function $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ is a fixed point of the Frobenius-Perron operator P_φ if and only if $\mu_f \circ \varphi^{-1} = \mu_f$, where $\mu_f(A) = \int_A f d\mu$ ($A \in \Sigma$).*

It is well-known that each $\nu \in ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the space of all bounded complex charges on Σ which vanish on all sets of μ -measure 0, defines a bounded linear functional F_ν on $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ by $F_\nu(f) = \int_X f d\nu$. Moreover the mapping $\nu \rightarrow F_\nu$ is an isometric isomorphism from $ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto $(L^\infty(\Sigma))^*$; see [3, 10]. For $\nu \in ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, we define the measure Λ_ν by

$$\Lambda_\nu(A) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u d\nu, \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

Since $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$, then we see that $\Lambda_\nu \in ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Now, we compute the dual of $W := uU_\varphi$. Take $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $\nu \in ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. As an application of the properties of the conditional expectation operator E and using the change of variable formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} W^*(F_\nu)(f) &= F_\nu(Wf) = \int_X u f \circ \varphi d\nu = \int_X E_\nu(u) f \circ \varphi d\nu \\ &= \int_X f E_\nu(u) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\nu \circ \varphi^{-1} = \int_X f d\Lambda_\nu = F_{\Lambda_\nu}(f). \end{aligned}$$

After identifying $(L^\infty(\Sigma))^*$ with $ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ and ν with F_ν , we can write $W^*(\nu) = \Lambda_\nu$.

Let $ca(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be the subspace of $ba(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ consisting of all complex measures absolutely continuous with respect to σ -finite measure μ . Since for each $f \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, $\mu_f \ll \mu$, then we have $\mu_f \in ca(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Define a mapping $\Psi : L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow ca(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ by $\Psi(f) = \mu_f$, with inverse $\Psi^{-1}(\nu) = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ (see [4]). Now, for any $A \in \Sigma$ and $f \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, we get,

$$\Lambda_{\mu_f}(A) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u d\mu_f = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u f d\mu = \int_A \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(f) d\mu.$$

Hence, $\frac{d\Lambda_{\mu_f}}{d\mu} = \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(f)$. On the other hand, we have,

$$\Psi^{-1}W^*\Psi(f) = \Psi^{-1}W^*(\mu_f) = \psi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\mu_f}) = \frac{d\Lambda_{\mu_f}}{d\mu} = \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(f).$$

Therefore, W^* is the natural extension of the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u on $(L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu))^{**}$ (see [4]).

In the following theorem, we give a sufficient condition for \mathcal{P}_φ^u to have closed range on $L^1(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathcal{P}_φ^u be the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator and $W = uU_\varphi$ be the weighted Koopman operator with respect to the pair (u, φ) . If there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|u| \geq \delta$ on $\sigma(u)$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)$ and $\mathcal{R}(W)$ are closed in $L^1(\Sigma)$ and $L^\infty(\Sigma)$, respectively.*

Proof. First, we show that the range of W is closed. Let $\{Wf_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence in $\mathcal{R}(W)$, which converges to some $g \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. Hence, $\{Wf_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\frac{g}{u} \in L^\infty(\sigma(u), \Sigma|_{\sigma(u)}, \mu|_{\sigma(u)})$. In fact, since $|U_\varphi f_n - \frac{g}{u}| = |\frac{1}{u}| |Wf_n - g| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} |Wf_n - g|$ on $\sigma(u)$, then it follows that $\|U_\varphi f_n - \frac{g}{u}\|_{L^\infty(\sigma(u))} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since P_φ and so $P_\varphi^* = U_\varphi$ always have a closed range (see [7]), then we obtain a function $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $U_\varphi f = \frac{g}{u}$ on $\sigma(u)$. Since $g = 0$ on $X \setminus \sigma(u)$ and $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu) = L^\infty(\sigma(u)) \oplus L^\infty(\sigma(u)^c)$, then we deduce that $g = Wf \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. By the Banach closed range theorem, this implies that the range of W^* is also closed. Now, we show that $\Psi^{-1}W^*\Psi = \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u$ has a closed range. Suppose $W^*(\mu_{f_n}) = (W^*\Psi)f_n \rightarrow \Psi g$, for some $g \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. So, there exists $\nu \in ca(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $\Psi g = W^*(\nu)$. Hence, $g = \Psi^{-1}W^*(\nu) = \Psi^{-1}W^*\Psi(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu})$. This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of the above theorem and the Banach closed range theorem (see [13]), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.6, we have:*

- (a) \mathcal{P}_φ^u is one-to-one if and only if W is onto.
- (b) W is one-to-one if and only if \mathcal{P}_φ^u is onto.

The proofs are similar to the proofs of the similar results in [7].

In the following, we show that the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u is the product of two linear operators. This is a generalization of the work done in [6]. Define $T_1 : L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)) \rightarrow L^1(\Sigma)$ and $T_2 : L^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ by

$$T_1 f = h \cdot f \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad f \in L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$$

and

$$T_2 f = E(uf), \quad f \in L^1(\Sigma),$$

respectively. It follows that

$$\|T_1 f\|_1 = \int_X h|f \circ \varphi^{-1}| d\mu = \int_X |f| \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} = \int_X |f| d\mu = \|f\|_1.$$

Hence, T_1 is an isometry. Note that $T_1 \circ T_2 = \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u$. Thus, if $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, then \mathcal{P}_φ^u is actually the product of two bounded linear operators and

$$(2.1) \quad \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f\|_1 = \|T_2 f\|_1, \quad f \in L^1(\Sigma).$$

Therefore, $\|T_2\| = \|\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u\| = \|u\|_\infty$. Also, equality (2.1) shows that the operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u is compact if and only if T_2 is a compact operator. On the other hand, since $(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u)^* = W$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u = \Psi^{-1}W^*\Psi$, then compactness of \mathcal{P}_φ^u is equivalent to compactness of W .

Recall that an atom of the measure μ is an element $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that for each $F \in \Sigma$, if $F \subseteq A$, then either $\mu(F) = 0$ or $\mu(F) = \mu(A)$. A measure with no atom is called non-atomic. It is a well-known fact that every σ -finite measure space (X, Σ, μ) can be partitioned uniquely as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad X = \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right) \cup B,$$

where $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Sigma$ is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint atoms and B , being disjoint from each A_n , is non-atomic (see [14]).

In the sequel, we investigate compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$. Recall that a linear operator T on a Banach space \mathcal{B} is compact if it maps every bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{B} onto a sequence $\{Tx_n\}$ in \mathcal{B} which has a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a non-atomic σ -finite measure space. Then, no bounded weighted Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ is compact unless it is the zero operator.*

Proof. Recall that the operator \mathcal{P}_φ^u is compact if and only if T_2 is a compact operator. Hence, it suffices to show that the non-zero bounded operator T_2 is not compact. Consider the set $F = \{x \in X : |u(x)|^2 > \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\infty^2\}$. Obviously, $\mu(F) > 0$. Since Σ is non-atomic and σ -finite, then there are measurable sets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n \subseteq A_0 \subseteq F$,

$\mu(A_0) < \infty$, and $0 < \mu(A_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(A_n)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define:

$$f_n = \frac{\bar{u}}{\|u\|_\infty^2 \mu(A_n)} \chi_{A_n}.$$

Then, $\|f_n\|_1 \leq 1/\|u\|_\infty$. Now, since E is a positive operator, then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2 f_m - T_2 f_n\|_1 &= \int_X |E(u f_m) - E(u f_n)| d\mu = \int_X E(u(f_n - f_m)) d\mu \\ &= \int_X u(f_n - f_m) d\mu = \int_X \frac{|u|^2}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \left(\frac{\chi_{A_n}}{\mu(A_n)} - \frac{\chi_{A_m}}{\mu(A_m)} \right) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{A_n \setminus A_m} \frac{d\mu}{2\mu(A_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu(A_n \setminus A_m)}{\mu(A_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(A_m)}{\mu(A_n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(A_m) < \frac{1}{2}\mu(A_n)$, then we get $\|T_2 f_m - T_2 f_n\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}$, which shows that the sequence $\{T_2 f_n\}$ does not contain a convergent subsequence. \square

In the following theorem, we give the sufficient conditions for the compactness of \mathcal{P}_φ^u on $L^1(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let \mathcal{P}_φ^u be a bounded Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be partitioned as (2.2). Suppose that $u(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist finite disjoint atoms $A_\varepsilon^1, \dots, A_\varepsilon^n$ such that $\mu(\{x \in \varphi^{-1}(\cup_{i=1}^n A_\varepsilon^i) : |u(x)| > \varepsilon\}) > 0$, and $\mu(\{x \in \varphi^{-1}(X \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n A_\varepsilon^i) : |u(x)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$. Then, \mathcal{P}_φ^u is a compact operator.*

Proof. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Put $B_\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1}(\cup_{i=1}^n A_\varepsilon^i)$ and $v = \chi_{B_\varepsilon} u$. It is easy to see $u = v = 0$ on $\varphi^{-1}(B)$ and $u = v$ on B_ε . Then, for each $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u - \mathcal{P}_\varphi^v)f\|_1 &= \int_{X \setminus (\varphi^{-1}(B) \cup B_\varepsilon)} |hE(uf) \circ \varphi^{-1}| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus (\varphi^{-1}(B) \cup B_\varepsilon)} E(|uf|) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(X \setminus (\varphi^{-1}(B) \cup B_\varepsilon))} E(|uf|) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(X \setminus (\varphi^{-1}(B) \cup B_\varepsilon))} |uf| d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int_X |f| d\mu = \varepsilon \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have,

$$\mathcal{P}_\varphi^v f = hE \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{A_\varepsilon^i} \circ \varphi \right) uf \right) \circ \varphi^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{P}_\varphi^u f)(A_\varepsilon^i) \chi_{A_\varepsilon^i}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{P}_φ^v has a finite rank and hence \mathcal{P}_φ^u is compact. \square

Example 2.10. Let $w = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Consider the space $l^p(w) = L^p(\mathbb{N}, 2^\mathbb{N}, \mu)$, where $2^\mathbb{N}$ is the power set of natural numbers and μ is a measure on $2^\mathbb{N}$ defined by $\mu(\{n\}) = m_n$. Let $u = \{u(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that the restriction of μ to σ -subalgebra $\varphi^{-1}(2^\mathbb{N})$ is σ -finite, where $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a non-singular measurable transformation. Direct computations show that for all $f = \{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1(w)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &= \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(k)} m_j, \\ (E(f))(k) &= \frac{\sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(k))} f(j)m_j}{\sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(k))} m_j}, \\ (E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1})(k) &= \frac{\sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(k)} f(j)m_j}{\sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(k)} m_j}, \\ \mathcal{P}_\varphi^u(f)(k) &= h(k)(E(uf) \circ \varphi^{-1})(k) = \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j \in \varphi^{-1}(k)} u(j)f(j)m_j. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.11. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $d\mu = dx$, and Σ be the Lebesgue sets. A mapping $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called piecewise monotonic if there exists a partition $0 < a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi_j := \varphi|_{(a_{j-1}, a_j)}$ is a c^1 -function, which can be extended to a c^1 -function on $A_j = [a_{j-1}, a_j]$ and $|\varphi_j'(x)| > 0$ on (a_{j-1}, a_j) , $j = 1, \dots, n$. Put $\Sigma_j = \Sigma|_{A_j}$, $E(\cdot|_{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma_j)}) = E_j$ and $\mu|_{\Sigma_j} = \mu_j$. It is easy to see that $\mu_j \circ \varphi_j^{-1} \ll \mu_j$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma_j) = \Sigma_j$. Thus, $E_j = I$ on $L^1(A_j, \Sigma_j, \mu_j)$ and $h_j(x) = (d\mu_j \circ \varphi^{-1}/d\mu_j)(x) = (\varphi_j^{-1})'(x) = 1/\varphi_j'(\varphi_j^{-1}(x))$, for all $x \in (a_{j-1}, a_j)$. Note that, in general, one does not have $h_j = h|_{A_j}$ (see [1]). Then, for all $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_\varphi f)(x) &= \mathcal{P}_\varphi^1(f)(x) = h(x)(E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1})(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(x)(E_j(\chi_{A_j} f) \circ \varphi_j^{-1})(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f(\varphi_j^{-1}(x))}{\varphi_j'(\varphi_j^{-1}(x))} \chi_{A_j}(\varphi_j^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for very helpful comments and valuable suggestions. The author was supported by the Research Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

REFERENCES

1. J. Campbell and J. Jamison, On some classes of weighted composition operators, *Glasgow Math. J.* **32** (1990) 87-94.
2. I. P. Cornfeld, S.V. Fomin and YA.G. Sinai, *Ergodic Theory*, Springer, New York, 1982.
3. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I, General Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
4. J. Ding and W.E. Hornor, A new approach to Frobenius-Perron operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **187** (1994) 1047-1058.
5. J. Ding, The point spectrum of Frobenius-Perron and Koopman operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998) 1355-1361.
6. J. Ding, The Frobenius-Perron operator as a product of two operators, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **9** (1996) 63-65.
7. J. Ding, A closed range theorem for the Frobenius-Perron operator and its application to the spectral analysis, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994) 156-167.
8. J. Ding, Decomposition theorems for Koopman operators, *Nonlinear Anal.* **28** (1997) 1011-1018.
9. A. Lambert, Localizing sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **188** (1991) 111-118.
10. K.P.S. Bhaskara Rao and M. Bhaskara Rao, *Theory of Charges*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
11. M.M. Rao, *Conditional Measure and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
12. H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, Multiplication and composition operators between two L^p -spaces, *Contemporary Math.* **232** (1999) 321-338.
13. K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 5th ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
14. A. C. Zaanen, *Integration*, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

M. R. Jabbarzadeh

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Tabriz, P.O. Box 5166615648, Tabriz, Iran.

Email: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir