

## CONVERGENCE OF PRODUCT INTEGRATION METHOD APPLIED FOR NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF LINEAR WEAKLY SINGULAR VOLTERRA SYSTEMS

B. BABAYAR-RAZLIGHI<sup>1,2</sup>, K. IVAZ<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, AND M. R. MOKHTARZADEH<sup>3</sup>

Communicated by Mohammad Asadzadeh

**ABSTRACT.** We develop and apply the product integration method to a large class of linear weakly singular Volterra systems. We show that under certain sufficient conditions this method converges. Numerical implementation of the method is illustrated by a benchmark problem originated from heat conduction.

### 1. Introduction

Transformation of a differential system (such as heat conduction problem) to an equivalent system of integral equations is a powerful technique for deducing the existence and uniqueness of the solution [2]. We begin our study and the development of the product integration method from an equivalent system of Volterra integral equations. To start, suppose that a system of Volterra integral equations is given by

$$(1.1) \quad U(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t K(t, \tau, U(\tau))d\tau, \quad t \in [0, b],$$

---

MSC(2000): Primary: 35R35.

Keywords: Product integration technique, system of singular Volterra integral equations, heat equation.

Received: 28 June 2009, Accepted: 2 February 2010.

\*Corresponding author

© 2011 Iranian Mathematical Society.

where,  $b > 0$  and

$$(1.2) \quad U(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_d(t))^T, \quad F(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_d(t))^T,$$

$$(1.3) \quad K(t, \tau, U(\tau)) := (k_1(t, \tau, U(\tau)), \dots, k_d(t, \tau, U(\tau)))^T,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^t K(t, \tau, U(\tau)) d\tau := \left( \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau, U(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t k_d(t, \tau, U(\tau)) d\tau \right)^T,$$

$u_i(t), f_i(t), k_i(t, \tau, U(\tau))$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ , are real valued functions, and each  $k_i$  can be written as:

$$(1.5) \quad k_i(t, \tau, U(\tau)) = p_i(t, \tau) \tilde{k}_i(t, \tau, U(\tau)),$$

where, every  $\tilde{k}_i$  is continuous and every  $p_i$  has one of the following form:

$$(1.6) \quad p(t, \tau) = |t - \tau|^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

or

$$(1.7) \quad p(t, \tau) = \log |t - \tau|.$$

A special case is  $p \equiv 1$ , whence there is no singularity.

## 2. Product Integration Technique

For simplicity suppose that all the  $p_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , are the same, and consider the following form:

$$(2.1) \quad U(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau, U(\tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, b].$$

Further, suppose that all components of  $K$  are continuous. We introduce  $N + 1$  grid points  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N \leq b$  in  $t$ . Our goal is to compute  $U(t)$  at the grid points and the numerical approximation to  $U(t_n)$  is written as  $U_N^n$ . The basic point in the product integration technique are:

(i) Sample the system of Volterra integral equations at points  $t_n$  in the grid:

$$(2.2) \quad U(t_n) = F(t_n) + \int_0^{t_n} p(t_n, \tau) K(t_n, \tau, U(\tau)) d\tau.$$

(ii) Use the Lagrange interpolation polynomial

$$(2.3) \quad L_N(K, t_n; \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^N l_{N,j}(\tau) K(t_n, t_j, U(t_j)),$$

to approximate  $K(t_n, \tau, U(\tau))$  and obtain the following algorithm:

$$(2.4) \quad U_N^{(n)} = F(t_n) + \sum_{j=0}^N \omega_j(t_n) K(t_n, t_j, U_N^{(j)}),$$

where,

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_j(t) = \int_0^t p(t, \tau) l_{N,j}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Solving the system (2.4), we obtain  $U_N(t)$  as a Nystrom approximation for  $U(t)$ :

$$(2.6) \quad U_N(t) = F(t) + \sum_{j=0}^N \omega_j(t) K(t, t_j, U_N^{(j)}).$$

Note that  $U_N^{(n)} = U_N(t_n)$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

### 3. Convergence of Product Integration Technique

For convergence analysis, we examine the following linear test problem:

$$(3.1) \quad U(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau) U(\tau) d\tau,$$

where,  $K$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix with continuous components  $k_{i,j}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , and  $U(t)$  is the unknown vector, all components of vector  $F(t)$  are continuous and  $p(t, \tau)$  is defined as in (1.6).

For an arbitrary  $t \in [0, b]$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) - U_N(t) &= \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \left[ K(t, \tau) U(\tau) - \sum_{j=0}^N K(t, t_j) l_{N,j}(\tau) U_N^{(j)} \right] d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^N (K(t, \tau) U(\tau) - K(t, t_j) U(t_j)) l_{N,j}(\tau) \right] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^N K(t, t_j) l_{N,j}(\tau) \left( U(t_j) - U_N^{(j)} \right) \right] d\tau \\
(3.2) \quad & = t_N(K, U, t) + A_N(U - U_N)(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Such that

$$(3.3) \quad t_N(K, U, t) := \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^N (K(t, \tau)U(\tau) - K(t, t_j)U(t_j)) l_{N,j}(\tau) \right] d\tau,$$

$$(3.4) \quad A_N(U - U_N)(t) := \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^N K(t, t_j) l_{N,j}(\tau) \left( U(t_j) - U_N^{(j)} \right) \right] d\tau.$$

We shall show  $(I - A_N)^{-1}$  exists and so (3.2) is equivalent to:

$$(3.5) \quad (U - U_N)(t) = (I - A_N)^{-1} t_N(K, U, t).$$

Hence, if we show

$$(3.6) \quad \exists c > 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|(I - A_N)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq c,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|t_N\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the following uniform convergence holds:

$$(3.8) \quad \|U - U_N\|_\infty \leq \|(I - A_N)^{-1}\|_\infty \|t_N\|_\infty \leq c \|t_N\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials on  $[-1, 1]$  with weight the function  $\omega(x)$ . Then, there is a sequence  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of orthogonal polynomials on  $[a, b]$  with weight function  $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ , where,*

$$(3.9) \quad q_i(t) = p_i\left(\frac{2}{b-a}\left[t - \frac{b+a}{2}\right]\right), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{\omega}(t) = \omega\left(\frac{2}{b-a}\left[t - \frac{b+a}{2}\right]\right), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

*Proof.* Put  $x = \frac{2}{b-a}\left[t - \frac{b+a}{2}\right]$ . Then, for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\int_a^b q_i(t) q_j(t) \tilde{\omega}(t) dt = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_{-1}^1 p_i(x) p_j(x) \omega(x) dx = 0.$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^N$  be the zeros of the  $(N+1)$ st degree member of a set of polynomials that are orthogonal on  $[0, b]$  with the weight function  $\omega(t)$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad \omega(t) = u\left(\frac{2t}{b} - 1\right)\left(2 - \frac{2t}{b}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{2t}{b}\right)^\beta, \quad -1 < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}, \beta > -\frac{1}{2},$$

where,  $u(t)$  is positive and continuous in  $[0, b]$  and the modulus of continuity  $\varphi$  of  $u$  satisfies  $\int_0^1 \varphi(u, \delta) \frac{d\delta}{\delta} < \infty$ .

Let  $L_N(f; \tau)$  denote the interpolating polynomial of degree  $\leq N$  that coincides with the function  $f$  at the nodes  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^N$ . Then, for every function  $f$  containing only endpoint singularity of the type  $\tau^\sigma, \sigma > -1$  (not an integer), and in particular for every function  $f \in C[0, b]$ , the following holds:

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t p(t, \tau)[f(\tau) - L_N(f; \tau)]d\tau \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

In particular, we have the bound

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| t_N(|t - \tau|^{-\bar{\alpha}}, f, t) \right\|_\infty = O\{(N + 1)^{-2-2\sigma+2\bar{\alpha}} \log(N + 1)\}, 0 < \bar{\alpha} < 1.$$

*Proof.* See Theorem 1 of [6], Theorem 5 of [3] and Lemma 3.1. □

Indeed, from (3.12) we show that the maximum norm of every component of  $t_N$  tends to zero, and hence (3.7) is valid. Using the following theorem, we prove (3.6) is valid.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $A : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a compact linear operator over a Banach space  $Y$  such that  $I - A$  is injective, and assume that the sequence  $A_N : Y \rightarrow Y$  of linear operators is collectively compact and pointwise convergent, i.e.,  $A_N U \rightarrow AU$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $U \in Y$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $N$ , the inverse operator  $(I - A_N)^{-1} : Y \rightarrow Y$  exists and is uniformly bounded. This means that (3.6) is satisfied.

*Proof.* See theorem 12.10 in [5].

By definition,  $A : X^d \rightarrow X^d, X := C[0, b]$ , and

$$(3.14) \quad AU(t) = \int_0^t p(t, \tau)K(t, \tau)U(\tau)d\tau.$$

We establish that  $A$  is a compact linear operator over a Banach space  $X^d$  such that  $I - A$  is injective, and the sequence  $A_N$ , by definition (3.4), is collectively compact and pointwise convergent.  $\square$

**3.1. The operator  $A$  is compact.** Consider the sequence of operators

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} A_{ij} : X \rightarrow X & X := C[0, b], \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \\ A_{ij}u(t) = \int_0^t p(t, \tau)k_{ij}(t, \tau)u(\tau)d\tau, & u \in X. \end{cases}$$

where, every  $A_{ij}$  is compact (see [1], page 75). We show that  $A$  is a compact operator on a Banach space  $Y := X^d$ , with the following norm:

$$(3.16) \quad \|U\|_\infty = \max\{\|u_1\|_\infty, \dots, \|u_d\|_\infty\}, \quad U = (u_1, \dots, u_d)^T.$$

Suppose  $\{U^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence in  $Y$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\{AU^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a subsequence converging to a point of  $Y$ . Boundedness of  $\{U^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  implies that

$$(3.17) \quad \exists C > 0 \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|U^{(n)}\|_\infty \leq C.$$

Then, with  $U^{(n)} = (u_1^{(n)}, \dots, u_d^{(n)})^T$  we have

$$(3.18) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_i^{(n)}\|_\infty \leq C \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

This means that  $\{u_i^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , is a bounded sequence in  $X = C[0, b]$ . The  $i$ th component of  $AU^{(n)}(t)$  is:

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[ AU^{(n)}(t) \right]_i &= \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \sum_{j=1}^d k_{ij}(t, \tau) u_j^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij} u_j^{(n)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $A_{ij}u_j^{(n)}$  has a convergence subsequence ( $A_{ij}$  is compact). Without loss of generality, again we denote this subsequence by  $A_{ij}u_j^{(n)}$ . Hence, there is a function  $u_j \in X = C[0, b]$  such that

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{ij}u_j^{(n)} = A_{ij}u_j.$$

And from (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AU^{(n)} &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij}u_j, \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij}u_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij}u_j \right)^T \\ &= AU, \quad U := (u_1, \dots, u_d)^T. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $A$  is compact, as required.

**3.2. The operator  $I - A$  is one-to-one.** The operator  $A$  is compact. Then, from the Fredholm alternative theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $(I - A)U = 0$  has only a trivial solution. It is equivalent to show that  $AU = U$  has only a trivial solution  $U = 0$ . But,  $U = 0$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . To show that  $A$  has one and only one fixed point, it is sufficient to show

$$(3.22) \quad \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|A^m(U) - A^m(W)\|_\infty \leq \theta \|U - W\|_\infty, \quad U, W \in X^d.$$

where,  $0 \leq \theta < 1$  is called contraction factor and  $X = C[0, b]$ . Now, we define some notation. For  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define

$$(3.23) \quad |a| := (|a_1|, \dots, |a_d|)^T,$$

$$(3.24) \quad |a|_i := \text{the } i\text{th component of } |a| = |a_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$

and write  $(a_1, \dots, a_d)^T \leq (b_1, \dots, b_d)$ , if and only if  $a_1 \leq b_1, \dots, a_d \leq b_d$ . Every  $k_{ij}(t, \tau)$ ,  $t \in [0, b]$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq t$ , is continuous, and hence

$$(3.25) \quad M := \max\{|k_{ij}(t, \tau)| : t \in [0, b], 0 \leq \tau \leq t, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}\} < \infty.$$

For arbitrary  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,  $U, W \in X^d$  we, have

$$\begin{aligned} |AU(t)|_i &: = \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t p(t, \tau) k_{ij}(t, \tau) u_j(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq Md \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} |u_j(\tau)| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write

$$(3.26) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |AU(t)|_i \leq Md \int_0^t p(t, \tau) \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} |u_j(\tau)| d\tau.$$

In our analysis, we let  $p(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^\alpha}$ . Then, (3.20) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |(AU - AW)(t)|_i &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A(U - W)(t)|_i \text{ (} A \text{ is linear)} \\
 &\leq Md \int_0^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^\alpha} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A(U - W)(\tau)|_i d\tau \\
 (3.27) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{Md}{1-\alpha} t^{1-\alpha} \|U - W\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Application of (3.26) and (3.27) yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |(A^2U - A^2W)(t)|_i &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A(A(U - W))(t)|_i \text{ (} A^2 \text{ is linear)} \\
 &\leq Md \int_0^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^\alpha} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A(U - W)(\tau)|_i d\tau \\
 (3.28) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{(Md)^2}{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(3-2\alpha)} t^{2-2\alpha} \|U - W\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show by induction that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |(A^nU - A^nW)(t)|_i &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A(A^{n-1}(U - W))(t)|_i \text{ (} A^n \text{ is linear)} \\
 (3.29) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(Mdb^{1-\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha) + 1)} \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \|U - W\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$(3.30) \qquad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(Mdb^{1-\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha) + 1)} = 0.$$

Then, for sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\theta \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\|A^mU - A^mW\|_\infty \leq \theta \|U - W\|_\infty$ . This means that  $A$  has one and only one fixed point (see, [1] exercise 4.1.2)

**3.3. The sequence  $A_n : Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by (3.4) is collectively compact and pointwise convergent.** This is a special case of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem [4].

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose  $S \subseteq X^d, X = C[a, b]$ . Then,  $S$  is relatively sequentially compact if and only if it is bounded and equicontinuous; i.e., if there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.31) \qquad \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |u_i(x)| \leq C,$$



for all  $x \in C[a, b]$  and all  $U = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in S$ , and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(3.32) \quad \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |u_i(x) - u_i(y)| < \epsilon,$$

for all  $x, y \in C[a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  and all  $U = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in S$ .

*Proof.* See [4].

For collectively compactness of  $A_n$ , it is sufficient to show that, for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.33) \quad S := \{A_n U : U \in X^d, \|U\|_\infty \leq 1, n \geq N\}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4. Let  $U = (u_1, \dots, u_d)^T \in X^d, \|U\|_\infty \leq 1, t \in [0, b], i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . In (3.12), for  $f(\tau) \equiv i$ th component of  $K(t, \tau)U(\tau)$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$(3.34) \quad \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) (L_n(K(t, \cdot)U(\cdot); \tau) - K(t, \tau)U(\tau)) d\tau \right|_i < 1.$$

Hence,

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} |A_n U(t)|_i &= \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) L_n(K(t, \cdot)U(\cdot); \tau) d\tau \right|_i \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau) U(\tau) d\tau \right|_i \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) (L_n(K(t, \cdot)U(\cdot); \tau) - K(t, \tau)U(\tau)) d\tau \right|_i \\ &\leq Md \int_0^t p(t, \tau) d\tau + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where,  $M$  is defined in (3.25). Thus,

$$(3.36) \quad \|A_n\|_\infty \leq Md \int_0^b p(b, \tau) d\tau + 1 < \infty.$$

This proves the first assertion of Theorem 3.4. For the second condition, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Similar to (3.34), there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq N$ , and  $\hat{t} \in [0, b]$ ,

$$(3.37) \quad \left| \int_0^{\hat{t}} p(\hat{t}, \tau) (L_n(K(\hat{t}, \cdot)U(\cdot); \tau) - K(\hat{t}, \tau)U(\tau)) d\tau \right|_i < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Note that  $k_{ij}(t, \tau), i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , are uniformly continuous on  $t \in [0, b], 0 \leq \tau \leq t$ . Then, there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that, for every  $t, \tilde{t} \in [0, b], 0 \leq \tau \leq \tilde{t} \leq t, |t - \tilde{t}| < \delta_1$ , we have

$$(3.38) \quad |k_{ij}(t, \tau) - k_{ij}(\tilde{t}, \tau)| < \frac{\epsilon}{8dP(b)},$$

where,  $P(t) := \int_0^t p(t, \tau) d\tau$ . For all  $t \in [0, b], \lim_{\tilde{t} \rightarrow t} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} |p(t, \tau) - p(\tilde{t}, \tau)| d\tau = 0$ . Then, there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that, for every  $t, \tilde{t} \in [0, b], |t - \tilde{t}| < \delta_2$ , we have

$$(3.39) \quad \int_0^{\tilde{t}} |p(t, \tau) - p(\tilde{t}, \tau)| d\tau < \frac{\epsilon}{8dM}.$$

For all  $t \in [0, b], \lim_{\tilde{t} \rightarrow t} \int_{\tilde{t}}^t p(t, \tau) d\tau = 0$ . then, there exists  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that for every  $t, \tilde{t} \in [0, b], |t - \tilde{t}| < \delta_3$ , we have

$$(3.40) \quad \int_{\tilde{t}}^t p(t, \tau) d\tau < \frac{\epsilon}{4dM}.$$

Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ . Then, for every  $t, \tilde{t} \in [0, b], \tilde{t} < t < \tilde{t} + \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.41) \quad & |A_n U(t) - A_n U(\tilde{t})|_i = \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) L_n(K(t, \cdot) U(\cdot); \tau) d\tau \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^{\tilde{t}} p(\tilde{t}, \tau) L_n(K(\tilde{t}, \cdot) U(\cdot); \tau) d\tau \right|_i \\
 & \leq \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) [L_n(K(t, \cdot) U(\cdot); \tau) - K(t, \tau) U(\tau)] d\tau \right|_i \\
 & + \left| \int_0^{\tilde{t}} p(\tilde{t}, \tau) [L_n(K(\tilde{t}, \cdot) U(\cdot); \tau) - K(\tilde{t}, \tau) U(\tau)] d\tau \right|_i \\
 & + \left| \int_0^t p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau) U(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^{\tilde{t}} p(\tilde{t}, \tau) K(\tilde{t}, \tau) U(\tau) d\tau \right|_i \\
 (3.42) \quad & < \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \left| \int_0^{\tilde{t}} [p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau) - p(\tilde{t}, \tau) K(\tilde{t}, \tau)] U(\tau) d\tau \right|_i \\
 & + \left| \int_{\tilde{t}}^t p(t, \tau) K(t, \tau) U(\tau) d\tau \right|_i \\
 (3.43) \quad & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + Md \int_0^{\tilde{t}} |p(t, \tau) - p(\tilde{t}, \tau)| d\tau \\
 & + \left| \int_0^{\tilde{t}} p(\tilde{t}, \tau) [K(t, \tau) - K(\tilde{t}, \tau)] U(\tau) d\tau \right|_i + dM \int_{\tilde{t}}^t p(t, \tau) d\tau \\
 & < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + Md \frac{\epsilon}{8Md} + \int_0^{\tilde{t}} p(\tilde{t}, \tau) \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\epsilon}{8dP(b)} d\tau + Md \frac{\epsilon}{4dM} \leq \epsilon. \\
 (3.44)
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $S$  is collectively compact. Similar arguments gives that  $A_n U \rightarrow AU$ ; i.e.,  $A_n U$  is pointwise convergent.  $\square$

#### 4. Numerical Results

Consider the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For piecewise-continuous  $f, g$  and  $h$ , the solution  $u$  of*

$$(4.1) \quad u_t = u_{xx}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t,$$

$$(4.2) \quad u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

$$(4.3) \quad u(0, t) = g(t), \quad 0 < t,$$

$$(4.4) \quad u_x(1, t) = h(t), \quad 0 < t,$$

has the form

$$(4.5) \quad u(x, t) = v(x, t) - 2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(x, t - \tau) \phi_1(\tau) d\tau + 2 \int_0^t G(x - 1, t - \tau) \phi_2(\tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad v(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x - \xi, t) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$(4.7) \quad G(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4t}\right\},$$

$G$  is called the fundamental solution of heat equation and  $f$  here is a smooth, bounded extension of the  $f$  above, if and only if  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are piecewise continuous solutions of

$$(4.8) \quad g(t) = v(0, t) + \phi_1(t) + 2 \int_0^t G(-1, t - \tau) \phi_2(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$(4.9) \quad h(t) = v_x(1, t) + \phi_2(t) - 2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2}(1, t - \tau) \phi_1(\tau) d\tau.$$

*Proof.* see [2]. □

For  $f(x) = 1$ ,  $g(t) = \text{Erf}(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}})$ , and  $h(t) = \text{Exp}(-\frac{1}{t})/\sqrt{\pi t}$ , the exact solution of (4.1) – (4.4) is  $u(x, t) = \text{Erf}(\frac{x+1}{2\sqrt{t}})$ , and the exact solutions of (4.8) – (4.9) are:

$$(4.10) \quad \phi_1(t) = -\text{Erfc}(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}), \quad \phi_2(t) = 0.$$

Table 1 shows relative errors of  $\phi_1$  at  $t = 0.01i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 10$  with  $b = 0.1$ ,  $\phi_1$  is exact solution and  $\tilde{\phi}_1$  is evaluated by the product integration technique. Absolute error of  $\phi_2$  is negligible, and since  $\phi_2 = 0$  then the relative error of  $\phi_2$  is not computable.

TABLE 1.

$i$	$ \frac{\phi_1 - \widetilde{\phi}_1}{\phi_1} _{t=0.01i}$
1	0.0000149272
2	$3.54138 \times 10^{-11}$
3	$4.63845 \times 10^{-13}$
4	$1.47064 \times 10^{-13}$
5	$8.07575 \times 10^{-14}$
6	$8.13343 \times 10^{-15}$
7	$3.99896 \times 10^{-14}$
8	$6.6627 \times 10^{-14}$
9	$1.85675 \times 10^{-12}$
10	$6.51736 \times 10^{-12}$

### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the presentation.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Atkinson and W. Han, *Theoretical Numerical Analysis: A Functional Analysis Framework*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [2] J. R. Cannon, *The One-dimensional Heat Equation*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1984.
- [3] G. Criscuolo, G. Mastroianni and G. Monegato, Convergence properties of a class of product formulas for weakly singular integral equations, *Math. Comp.* **55** (1990) 213-230.
- [4] R. F. Curtain and A. J. Pritchard, *Functional Analysis in Modern Applied Mathematics*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 132. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1977.
- [5] R. Kress, *Numerical Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [6] A. P. Orsi, Product integration for Volterra integral equations of the second kind with weakly singular kernels, *Math. Comp.* **65**(215) (1996) 1201-1212.

**B. Babayar-Razlighi**

Department of Mathematics , University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, and  
Research Center for Industrial Mathematics of University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran  
Email: [Bbabayar@gmail.com](mailto:Bbabayar@gmail.com)

**K. Ivaz**

Department of Mathematics , University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, and  
Research Center for Industrial Mathematics of University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran  
Email: [Ivaz@tabrizu.ac.ir](mailto:Ivaz@tabrizu.ac.ir)

**M. R. Mokhtarzadeh**

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM), Tehran, Iran  
Email: [MrMokhtarzadeh@gmail.com](mailto:MrMokhtarzadeh@gmail.com)