

PROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF PRO-2-GROUPS OF FIXED CO-CLASSES

A. ARJOMANDFAR* AND H. DOOSTIE

Communicated by Jamshid Moori

ABSTRACT. Among the six classes of pro-2-groups of finite and fixed co-classes and trivial Schur Multiplier which studied by Abdolzadeh and Eick in 2009, there are two classes

$$S_5 = \langle a, b \mid [b, a^2] = 1, a^2 = [b, a]^2, (b^2)^{[b, a]} b^2 = 1 \rangle$$

and

$$S_6 = \langle a, t, b \mid a^2 = b^2, [b, a]^2 = 1, t^a = t^{-1}[b, a], b^t = aba \rangle$$

that have been conjectured to have deficiency zero presentations. In this paper we prove these conjectures. This completes the efficiency of all six classes of pro-2-groups of fixed co-classes.

1. Introduction

For detailed information on pro- p -groups one may see [6, 7, 8]. The pro-2-groups of fixed co-classes were first investigated in [1] and the Schur Multiplier is used to get the appropriate presentations for such classes of groups. For a useful and prolific information on the Schur Multiplier of a group one may consult [10]. Briefly, for a finitely presented finite group $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ the Schur Multiplier of G is defined to be the group $M(G) = \frac{F' \cap \overline{R}}{[F, \overline{R}]}$, where $F = F(X)$ is the free group

MSC(2000): Primary: 20D15; Secondary: 20F05.

Keywords: Pro-2-groups, modified Todd-Coxeter algorithm.

Received: 9 December 2009, Accepted: 1 May 2010.

*Corresponding author

© 2011 Iranian Mathematical Society.

of rank $|X|$ and \overline{R} is the normal closure of R ($R \subseteq F$). More detailed consideration about the relationship between the Schur Multiplier and the deficiency of a presentation of a finitely presented group may be found in [9]. It is a classical fact that the groups with a deficiency zero presentation will have the trivial Schur Multiplier. However, looking for a deficiency zero presentation of a group which has the trivial Schur Multiplier, is a long-standing question and many attempts have been made during the years on finite p -groups and even in infinite groups. Our notations are merely standard, we use $|G : H|$ for the index of a subgroup H in a group G , $[a, b]$ is used for the commutator $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ and we will use the Modified Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm in the form as given in [2] to get a presentation for subgroups. More application of this algorithm may be found in [3, 4, 5]. Following [1] and consider the groups:

$$S_5 = \langle a, b \mid [b, a^2] = 1, a^2 = [b, a]^2, (b^2)^{[b, a]}b^2 = 1 \rangle$$

and

$$S_6 = \langle a, t, b \mid a^2 = b^2, [a, b]^2 = 1, t^a = t^{-1}[b, a], b^t = aba \rangle.$$

Just proved in [1], these groups have trivial Schur Multiplier and the above presentations are the simplified presentations for them (see the Lemmas 13 and 14 of [1]). We now recall the conjectures 14 and 16 of [1] as the following propositions:

Proposition 1.1. *The group S_5 has a deficiency zero presentation isomorphic to*

$$\langle a, b \mid a^2 = [b, a]^2, (b^2)^{[b, a]}b^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Proposition 1.2. *The group S_6 has a deficiency zero presentation isomorphic to*

$$\langle a, t, b \mid a^2 = b^2, t^a = t^{-1}[b, a], b^t = aba \rangle.$$

2. The proofs

We give a suitable generating set for the derived subgroups of S_5 and S_6 . Then, by using the Modified Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm we get a presentation for the derived subgroups. Note that, using GAP [11] we are able to get a presentation for the derived subgroup in each case and then finding the image of the word $[b, a^2]$ in the derived

subgroup of the group S_5 and the image of the word $[a, b]^2$ in the derived subgroup of S_6 are possible, however, checking that this image is the identity element of the group (in each case) is not possible every time, i.e; we checked it for S_5 and it was not possible for S_6 . For this reason we are interested in to use the combinatorial method of Modified Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm to give a clear and exact proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = [b, a]^2, (b^2)^{[b, a]}b^2 = 1 \rangle$. Then, G' , the derived subgroup of G , has a presentation isomorphic to*

$$G' = \langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \mid r_1, \dots, r_{12} \rangle$$

where, the relations r_1, \dots, r_{12} are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 : a_1 a_3^{-2} &= 1, & r_2 : (a_1 a_6^{-1})^2 a_1^{-1} a_4 &= 1, \\ r_3 : a_1 a_5^{-2} &= 1, & r_4 : (a_6 a_2)^2 a_5^{-1} a_1 a_3^{-1} &= 1, \\ r_5 : a_2 a_3 a_2 a_3^{-1} &= 1, & r_6 : a_2 a_6 a_2 a_6^{-1} &= 1, \\ r_7 : a_3^2 a_6 a_3^2 a_6^{-1} &= 1, & r_8 : (a_1 a_6^{-1})^2 a_2 a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 &= 1, \\ r_9 : a_4 a_3^2 a_2^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_3 a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_1^{-1} &= 1, \\ r_{10} : (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1}) a_5 (a_2^{-1} a_3)^2 a_2^{-1} (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1}) a_4^{-1} (a_1 a_3 a_2^{-1}) &= 1, \\ r_{11} : a_1^{-1} a_4 a_1 a_6^{-1} a_2 a_3^{-1} a_2 a_5^{-1} a_1 a_6^{-1} &= 1, \\ r_{12} : (a_4^{-1} a_1) a_3 a_2^{-1} a_6^{-1} (a_3 a_1^{-1} a_5) a_1 a_6^{-1} a_2 a_3^{-1} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\frac{G}{G'} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4$. We now consider the subgroup

$$K = \langle a^2, b^4, [b, a], [a^{-2}, b^{-1}], [a^{-1}, b], [b^{-1}, a] \rangle$$

of G and define eight cosets as

$$1 = K, \quad ib = i + 1, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad 1a = 5, \quad 4a^{-1} = 6, \quad 2a^{-1} = 7, \quad 3a^{-1} = 8$$

to see that K is of index 8 in G . Since $a^2, b^4 \in G'$ then $K \subseteq G'$, which together with $|G : H| = 8$ proves that $K = G'$.

We let

$$a_1 = a^2, \quad a_2 = b^4, \quad a_3 = [b, a], \quad a_4 = [a^{-2}, b^{-1}], \quad a_5 = [a^{-1}, b], \quad a_6 = [b^{-1}, a]$$

and we use the Modified Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm to get a presentation for K . In this way we have to adopt the name of

a coset and its representative to get the following table of coset representatives:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
1a = 5, & 1b = 2, \\
2a = a_4^{-1}a_1.7, & 2b = 3, \\
3a = a_3a_2^{-1}a_3a_2^{-1}.8, & 3b = 4, \\
4a = a_2a_5^{-1}a_1a_3^{-1}a_2^{-1}.6, & 4b = a_2.1, \\
5a = a_1.1, & 5b = a_1a_6^{-1}.7, \\
6a = 4, & 6b = a_2a_3a_1^{-1}.5, \\
7a = 2, & 7b = a_3a_2^{-1}.8, \\
8a = 3, & 8b = a_6^{-1}a_2^{-1}.6 .
\end{array}$$

These coset representatives have been obtained by using the eight defined cosets as $1a = 5, 1b = 2, 2b = 3, 3b = 4, 4a^{-1} = 6, 2a^{-1} = 7, 3a^{-1} = 8$, and by using the subgroup tables of the coset enumeration algorithm. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
1a^2 = a_1.1 & \Rightarrow 5a = a_1.1, \\
1b^4 = a_2.1 & \Rightarrow 4b = a_2.1, \\
1[b, a] = a_3.1 & \Rightarrow 6b = a_2a_3a_1^{-1}.5, \\
1[a^{-1}, b] = a_5.1 & \Rightarrow 4a = a_2a_5^{-1}a_1a_3^{-1}a_2^{-1}.6, \\
1[a^{-2}, b^{-1}] = a_4.1 & \Rightarrow 2a = a_4^{-1}a_1.7, \\
1[b^{-1}, a] = a_6.1 & \Rightarrow 5b = a_1a_6^{-1}.7, \\
1R_2 = 1 & \Rightarrow 7b = a_3a_2^{-1}.8, \\
2R_2 = 2 & \Rightarrow 8b = a_6^{-1}a_2^{-1}.6, \\
3R_1 = 3 & \Rightarrow 3a = (a_3a_2^{-1})^2.8.
\end{array}$$

where, $R_1 = a^2[a, b]^2$ and $R_2 = (b^2)^{[b, a]}b^2$.

To get a presentation for K we examine all of the equations:

$$iR_1 = i, \quad (i = 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8),$$

and

$$iR_2 = i, \quad (i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8).$$

Many of them give us complicated relations, however, by using the new results in each step we will simplify the relations to get the desired

presentation for K . A comprehensive and detailed computation may be given as follows:

The equation $1R_1 = 1$ gives us $r_1 : a_1 a_3^{-2} = 1$, and the equation $2R_2 = 2$ yields the trivial relation. The equation $7R_1 = 7$ yields the relation $r_2 : (a_1 a_6^{-1})^2 a_1^{-1} a_4 = 1$.

The equations $5R_1 = 5$, $4R_1 = 4$, $3R_2 = 3$ and $4R_2 = 4$ yield the relations:

$$r_3 : a_1 a_5^{-2} = 1,$$

$$r_4 : (a_6 a_2)^2 a_5^{-1} a_1 a_3^{-1} = 1,$$

$$r_5 : a_2 a_3 a_2 a_3^{-1} = 1,$$

$$r_6 : a_2 a_6 a_2 a_6^{-1} = 1,$$

respectively. The preliminary relation $[(a_2^{-1} a_3)^2 a_2^{-1} a_6^{-1}]^2 a_3 a_1^{-1} a_5 = 1$ is a result of the equation $6R_1 = 6$, and using the relations r_4 and r_5 this becomes to:

$$r_7 : a_3^2 a_6 a_3^2 a_6^{-1} = 1.$$

The equation $8R_1 = 8$ gives us the relation $a_4^{-1} a_1 a_2 a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_1 a_3 a_2^{-1} = 1$ that becomes to the simpler relation

$$r_8 : (a_1 a_6^{-1})^2 a_2 a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 = 1$$

by using the relations r_2 and r_5 . The equation $5R_2 = 5$ gives the relation

$$a_4 (a_3 a_2^{-1})^2 a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_1 a_6^{-1} a_1^{-1} = 1$$

that becomes to $r_9 : a_4 a_3^2 a_2^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_3 a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_1^{-1} = 1$ by using the relations r_3 and r_5 . Finally, the equations $6R_2 = 6$, $7R_2 = 7$ and $8R_2 = 8$ will give us the relations r_{10} , r_{11} and r_{12} , respectively. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.1. By considering the presentation of K , we prove that $a_4 = 1$ holds in K . This will tend to establishing the relation $[a^2, b] = 1$ in G and so, the group S_5 has a deficiency zero presentation.

Proving $a_4 = 1$ is by using the relation r_{10} of K and we proceed as follows. First, we use the relations r_5 to get $[a_3^2, a_2] = 1$ and then the relations r_3, r_4 and r_7 to simplify the relations r_{10} , i.e;

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1}) a_5 (a_2^{-1} a_3 a_2^{-1} a_3) a_2^{-1} (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1}) a_4^{-1} (a_1 a_3 a_2^{-1}) = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_5 a_1^{-1}) \cdot a_3^2 a_2^{-1} \cdot (a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1}) \cdot a_4^{-1} a_1 \cdot a_3 a_2^{-1} = 1, \text{ (by } r_3), \\ \Rightarrow & (a_6^{-1} a_6 a_2 a_6 a_2) \cdot a_3^2 a_2^{-1} \cdot a_6^{-1} a_3 a_1^{-1} \cdot (a_4^{-1} a_1) \cdot a_3 a_2^{-1} = 1, \text{ (by } r_4 d), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow a_6(a_2a_3^2a_2^{-1}).a_6^{-1}a_3a_1^{-1}.(a_4^{-1}a_1)a_3 = 1, \\
&\quad (a_6a_3^2a_6^{-1}a_3)a_1^{-1}.(a_4^{-1}a_1)a_3 = 1, \text{ (by } [a_3^2, a_2] = 1\text{)}, \\
&\Rightarrow a_3^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_4^{-1}a_1a_3 = 1, \text{ (by } r_7\text{)}, \\
&\Rightarrow a_4 = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = \langle a, t, b \mid a^2 = b^2, t^a = t^{-1}[b, a], b^t = aba \rangle$. Then, $G' = \langle A, B, C \rangle$, where $A = a^2, B = t^2$ and $C = [a, b]$. Moreover, $C^2 = 1$ holds in G' .*

Proof. Obviously, $\frac{G}{G'} \cong Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ and letting $K = \langle A, B, C \rangle$ and defining eight cosets as

$$K = 1, \quad 1a = 2, \quad 1t = 3, \quad 1b = 4, \quad 5b = 2, \quad 3b = 6, \quad 3a = 7, \quad 6a = 8$$

shows that $|G : H| = 8$. Since $a^2, t^2 \in G'$ then $K \subseteq G'$, so, $G' \cong K$. To prove the equation $C^2 = 1$ we use the Modified Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm as well as in Lemma 2.1 and get the coset representatives as,

$$\begin{array}{lll}
1a = 2, & 1b = 4, & 1t = 3, \\
2a = A.1, & 2b = A.5, & 2t = CB^{-1}.7, \\
3a = 7, & 3b = 6, & 3t = B.1, \\
4a = AC^{-1}.5, & 4b = A.1, & 4t = BC^{-1}AB^{-1}.6, \\
5a = C.4, & 5b = 2, & 5t = C^{-1}A^{-6}B^{-1}.8, \\
6a = 8, & 6b = BA^3B^{-1}.3, & 6t = BAC.4, \\
7a = BA^3B^{-1}.3, & 7b = BC^{-1}A^{-2}B^{-1}.8, & 7t = BA^3B^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}.2, \\
8a = BA^3B^{-1}.6, & 8b = BA^5CB^{-1}.7, & 8t = BA^5B^{-1}AC^{-2}.5.
\end{array}$$

To get a presentation for G' we have to consider all of the equations

$$iR_j = i, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8, \quad j = 1, 2, 3)$$

where, $R_1 = a^2b^{-2}$, $R_2 = t^a[a, b]t$ and $R_3 = bta^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1}t^{-1}$. To prove the relation $C^2 = 1$ we do not need to calculate all of the relations of G' we just examine the suitable equation $5R_3 = 5$ to get the result. Indeed, by using the above coset representatives we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
5bta^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1}t^{-1} = 5 &\Rightarrow CB^{-1}.BA^{-3}B^{-1}.BA^{-3}B^{-1}.BA^6C = 1 \\
&\Rightarrow C^2 = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Using the result of Lemma 2.2 and substituting for C will conclude the validity of the relation $[a, b]^2 = 1$ in the group G .

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to offer their thanks to professor B. Eick for her useful comments during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abdolzadeh and B. Eick, On the Efficient presentations for finite families of 2-groups with fixed co-class, *Algebra Colloquium* (2010) (to appear).
- [2] M. J. Beetham and C. M. Campbell, A note on the Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration algorithm, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **20** (1976) 73-79.
- [3] C. M. Campbell and E. F. Robertson, Deficiency zero groups involving Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **81** (1978) 273-286.
- [4] C. M. Campbell, E. F. Robertson and R. M. Thomas, Finite groups of deficiency zero involving the Lucas numbers, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **33** (1990) 1-10.
- [5] H. Doostie and A. R. Jamali, A class of deficiency zero soluble groups of derived length 4, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh sect. (A)* **121** (1992) 163-168.
- [6] B. Eick, Schur Multipliers of infinite pro- p -groups with finite coclass, *Israel J. Math.* **166** (2008) 147-156.
- [7] B. Eick, Schur Multipliers of finite p -groups with fixed coclass, *Israel J. Math.* **166** (2008) 157-166.
- [8] B. Eick and C. Leedham-Green, On the classification of prime-power groups by coclass, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **40**(2) (2008) 247-288.
- [9] D. L. Johnson, *Presentation of Groups*, Second edition, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 15, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [10] G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur Multiplier*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, 2, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.
- [11] The GAP Group. GAP-Groups, Algorithms and programming, Version 4.4 Available from <http://www.gap-system.org>, 2005.

A. Arjomandfar

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University
 P.O. Box 14515/ 1775, Tehran, Iran
 Email: ab.arj44@gmail.com

H. Doostie

Mathematics Department, Tarbiat Moallem University, 49 Mofateh Ave., Tehran
15614, Iran

Email: doostih@saba.tmu.ac.ir