

## NON-REGULARITY OF MULTIPLICATIONS FOR GENERAL MEASURE ALGEBRAS

J. LAALI\* AND M. ETTEFAGH

Communicated by Fereidoun Ghahramani

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  be the space of all finite regular Borel measures on  $X$ . A general measure algebra is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ , which is an  $L$ -space and has a multiplication preserving the probability measures. Let  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(X)$  be a general measure algebra on a locally compact space  $X$ . In this paper, we investigate the relation between Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{L}$  and the topology of  $X$ . We find conditions under which the Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{L}$  implies the compactness of  $X$ . We show that these conditions are necessary. We also present some examples in showing that the new conditions are different from Theorem 3.1 of [7].

### 1. Introduction

One of the questions in abstract harmonic analysis which has drawn a considerable amount of attention has been the question of which properties the measure algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(G)$  or  $\mathfrak{L}^1(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  can determine the topology of  $G$ . One of the papers dealing with this question is due to Young (see [9]) who proved that the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is Arens regular if and only if  $G$  is finite. In [3], Dales, Ghahramani and Helemskii have shown that  $\mathfrak{M}(G)$  is weakly amenable if and only if the group  $G$  is discrete.

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 43A10; Secondary: 46G12. 11Y50.

Keywords: Arens regular, second dual algebra, measure algebra.

Received: 30 April 2009, Accepted: 21 June 2010.

\*Corresponding author

© 2012 Iranian Mathematical Society.

We consider the concept of Arens regularity for general measure algebras.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $C_c(X), C_0(X)$  denote the normed spaces of all complex valued continuous functions on  $X$  with compact supports, vanishing at infinity, respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  be the space of all bounded regular Borel measures on  $X$  with its usual norm and let  $\mathfrak{M}_p(X)$  be the subset of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  consisting of all probability measures. The total variation  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$  is denoted by  $|\mu|$  and

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|(1) = \int_X d|\mu|.$$

We shall say that  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  has a *general measure multiplication* if there exists a bilinear associative map  $m : \mathfrak{M}(X) \times \mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X)$  such that if  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{M}_p(X)$  then  $m(\mu, \nu) \in \mathfrak{M}_p(X)$ . We shall write  $\mu\nu$  for  $m(\mu, \nu)$ . A general measure multiplication on  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  makes it a Banach algebra (see [7, Proposition 2.1]). A closed subspace  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  is an  $L$ -space if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $|\nu| \ll |\mu|$  implies that  $\nu \in \mathfrak{L}$ . A subalgebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  which is an  $L$ -space will be called a *general measure algebra* on  $X$ .

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ , the support of  $\mu$ , or  $\text{supp } \mu$ , is the largest closed set  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $f \in C_c^+(X)$ ,  $f(x) > 0$ , for some  $x \in F$ , implies

$$\int_X f d|\mu| = |\mu|(f) > 0.$$

Also, the support of  $\mathfrak{L}$  or  $\text{supp } \mathfrak{L}$  is defined by  $\text{supp } \mathfrak{L} = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathfrak{L}} \text{supp } \mu)$ .

Let  $A, B, C$  be normed spaces.  $A^*$  and  $A^{**}$  will be the first and second topological dual of  $A$ . The natural duality between  $A^*$  and  $A$  will be denoted by  $\langle a^*, a \rangle$  for  $a^* \in A^*$ ,  $a \in A$ .  $A$  is canonically embedded into  $A^{**}$

Let  $m : A \times B \rightarrow C$  be a continuous bilinear map. Then we can define two bounded bilinear extensions  $m_1, m_2 : A^{**} \times B^{**} \rightarrow C^{**}$  by using iterated limits as follows. For  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $a^{**} \in A^{**}$ ,  $b^{**} \in B^{**}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(a^{**}, b^{**}) &= w^* - \lim_{a \rightarrow a^{**}} (w^* - \lim_{b \rightarrow b^{**}} m(a, b)) \\ m_2(a^{**}, b^{**}) &= w^* - \lim_{b \rightarrow b^{**}} (w^* - \lim_{a \rightarrow a^{**}} m(a, b)) \end{aligned}$$

The bilinear map  $m$  is *Arens regular* (or briefly regular) if the equality

$$m_1(a^{**}, b^{**}) = m_2(a^{**}, b^{**}) \quad (a^{**} \in A^{**}, b^{**} \in B^{**})$$

holds. The details of the constructions may be found in many places, including the book [2] and the articles [4, 5, 6, 8].

Throughout this paper, the first (second) Arens multiplication is denoted by  $\square$  (respectively  $\diamond$ ). These multiplications can be defined on  $\mathfrak{M}(X)^{**}$  by

$$F \square G = w^* - \lim_n w^* - \lim_m (\mu_n \nu_m), \quad (F \diamond G = w^* - \lim_m w^* - \lim_n (\mu_n \nu_m)),$$

where  $(\mu_n), (\nu_m)$  are nets of elements of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow F$  and  $\nu_m \rightarrow G$  in the weak\* topology.

### 2. The iterated limits method in Arens regular

The usual criteria cited for Arens regularity involves the iterated limit conditions [See [6] and [7], Theorem 2.3]. In the following Theorem, we present a new condition for a general measure algebra which is different from the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 in [7].

In the rest of the paper, we adopt the notation  $\mathfrak{L}_p = \mathfrak{M}_p(X) \cap \mathfrak{L}$  where  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a general measure algebra.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a general measure algebra on a locally compact and non-compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Suppose that for each positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu \mathfrak{L}_p)$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and positive measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$ , there exist  $\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and  $\psi \in C_c(X)$  such that  $K \cap \text{supp } \psi = \emptyset$  and  $\mu_i \cdot \nu(\psi) \geq \|\mu_i\| - \varepsilon$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* By Urysohn's Lemma, we can find  $\varphi \in C_c(X)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  and  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \mathfrak{L}_p$ , we can take  $\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  such that

$$(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \nu(\varphi) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\nu$  and  $\mu_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are positive measures, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\|\mu_i \nu\| = (\mu_i \nu)(1) = \mu_i(1) \nu(1) = \mu_i(1) = \|\mu_i\|.$$

It follows that

$$\|(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \nu\| = ((\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \nu)(1) = \|\mu_1\| + \dots + \|\mu_n\|.$$

Now suppose that  $U = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) < 1\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \nu)(U) &\geq ((\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n) \nu)(1 - \varphi) \\ &> \|\mu_1\| + \dots + \|\mu_n\| - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose a compact subset  $H \subseteq U$  (so that  $H \cap K = \phi$ ) with

$$((\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n)\nu)(H) > \|\mu_1\| + \cdots + \|\mu_n\| - \varepsilon$$

Therefore, since  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are positive measures in  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_p$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_i\nu(H) \\ &= ((\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n)\nu)(H) - ((\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1} + \cdots + \mu_n)\nu)(H) \\ &> \|\mu_1\| + \cdots + \|\mu_n\| - \varepsilon - ((\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1} + \cdots + \mu_n)\nu)(1) \\ &= \|\mu_i\| - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $H$  and  $K$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $X$ , we can find disjoint compact open subsets  $V$  and  $W$  of  $X$  such that  $H \subset V$ ,  $K \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \bar{V} \cap W = \phi$ . We apply Urysohn's lemma to obtain  $\psi \in C_c(X)$  with  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi = 1$  on  $H$  and  $\psi = 0$  off  $V$ . Thus, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\mu_i\nu(\psi) \geq \mu_i\nu(H) > \|\mu_i\| - \varepsilon$$

and

$$(\text{supp } \psi) \cap K = \phi.$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a general measure algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  such that for each positive measure  $\mu$ ,  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu\mathfrak{L}_p)$  and  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mathfrak{L}_p\mu)$ . If  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  is Arens regular then  $X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is not compact, we must show that  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  is not Arens regular.

We construct a sequence of measures by induction.

*First step.* We start with any  $\mu_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and take a compact  $K$  such that  $\mu_1(K) > 1 - \frac{1}{2}$ . By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists  $\psi_1 \in C_c(X)$  with  $0 \leq \psi_1 \leq 1$  and  $\psi_1 = 1$  on  $K$ . So,

$$\mu_1(\psi_1) \geq \mu_1(K) > 1 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, if  $K_1 = \text{supp } \psi_1$ , there exist  $\mu_2 \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and  $\psi_2 \in C_c(X)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$K_1 \cap (\text{supp } \psi_2) = \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1\mu_2(\psi_2) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

*n<sup>th</sup> step.* Suppose that  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{2n-1} \in C_c(X)$  with  $(\text{supp } \psi_i) \cap (\text{supp } \psi_j) = \phi$ ,  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n-1$  and  $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$ , have

been chosen. Using Lemma 2.1, take  $\mu_{2n} \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and  $\psi_{2n} \in C_c(X)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_{2n} \leq 1$  and,

$$((\text{supp } \psi_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\text{supp } \psi_{2n-1})) \cap (\text{supp } \psi_{2n}) = \phi,$$

$$\mu_i \mu_{2n}(\psi_{2n}) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1.$$

Then, using Lemma 2.1 again (but with left and right interchanged) we can find  $\mu_{2n+1} \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  and  $\psi_{2n+1} \in C_c(X)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_{2n+1} \leq 1$ ,

$$((\text{supp } \psi_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\text{supp } \psi_{2n})) \cap (\text{supp } \psi_{2n+1}) = \phi,$$

$$\mu_{2n+1} \mu_i(\psi_{2n+1}) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2n.$$

We now write

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \psi_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \psi_i,$$

where  $(\psi_i)$  is a sequence of elements of  $C_c(X)$  with disjoint supports and  $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$  for each  $i$ . Therefore,

$$|\psi| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^i \psi_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i \leq 1.$$

Note that, for each  $n$ ,

$$|\psi - (-1)^n \psi_n| = \sum_{i=1, i \neq n}^{\infty} |(-1)^i \psi_i| = |\psi| - \psi_n \leq 1 - \psi_n.$$

We shall consider the iterated limits of the double sequence with terms

$$\mu_{2m-1} \mu_{2n}(\psi).$$

First consider the case where  $m > n$ , we have  $2m - 1 > 2n$  and

$$1 \geq \mu_{2m-1} \mu_{2n}(\psi_{2m-1}) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}}.$$

Hence

$$|\mu_{2m-1} \mu_{2n}((-1)^{2m-1} \psi_{2m-1}) - (-1)| = \mu_{2m-1} \mu_{2n}(1 - \psi_{2m-1}) < \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}}.$$

So, when  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi) - (-1)| &\leq |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi - (-1)^{2m-1}\psi_{2m-1})| \\ &\quad + |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(-\psi_{2m-1}) + 1| \\ &\leq \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(1 - \psi_{2m-1}) + \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(1 - \psi_{2m-1}) \\ &< 2 \times \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{2(m-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $n$ ,

$$\lim_m \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi) = -1,$$

or

$$\lim_n \lim_m \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi) = -1.$$

Similarly, when  $n > m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi) - 1| &\leq |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi - (-1)^{2n}\psi_{2n})| + |\mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi_{2n}) - 1| \\ &\leq \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(1 - \psi_{2n}) + \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(1 - \psi_{2n}) \\ &< 2 \times \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_m \lim_n \mu_{2m-1}\mu_{2n}(\psi) = 1.$$

We conclude that  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  is not Arens regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a general measure algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Suppose that for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and each positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$  we can find two positive measures  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that*

$$\text{supp}(\lambda\mu) \cap K = \phi = \text{supp}(\mu\nu) \cap K.$$

*If  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  is Arens regular then  $X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mathfrak{L}_p\mu)$  and then, by Theorem 2.2,  $X$  is compact.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0(X)$  and  $\mu$  is a positive measure in  $\mathfrak{L}$ , we can choose a compact set  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $|\varphi(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\mu\|}$ , whenever  $x \notin K$ . Taking  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  such that  $\text{supp}(\lambda\mu) \cap K = \phi$ . For  $K_1 = \text{supp}(\lambda\mu)$ , we have

$$|\lambda\mu(\varphi)| = \left| \int_{K_1} \varphi(t) d(\lambda\mu)(t) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\mu\|} \|\lambda\mu\| = \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mathfrak{L}_p\mu)$ .

Similarly, for each positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu\mathfrak{L}_p)$ , and then conclusion follows.  $\square$

### 3. Examples

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a general measure algebra and let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{L}$  be a positive measure. Next, we show that, in the Theorem 2.2, the conditions  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu\mathfrak{L}_p)$  and  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mathfrak{L}_p\mu)$  are necessary.

**Example 3.1.** *There exists a general measure algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $0 \notin w^* - cl(\mu\mathfrak{L}_p)$  (for a positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$ ) but  $\mathfrak{L}$  is Arens regular without  $X$  being compact.*

Construction. Let  $X$  be a locally compact and non-compact Hausdorff space. Define a multiplication on  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  by

$$\mu\nu = \nu(1)\mu \quad (\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)).$$

For  $\mu, \nu, \lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ , we have

$$\mu(\nu\lambda) = (\lambda(1)\nu)(1)\mu = \lambda(1)(\nu(1)\mu) = (\mu\nu)\lambda.$$

If  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{M}_p(X)$  then

$$(\mu\nu)(1) = \nu(1)\nu(1) = 1.$$

So the multiplication is associative and it maps a pair of probability measures to a probability measure. Let  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(X)$  be a general measure algebra and let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{L}$  be a positive measure. Take  $\varphi \in C_0(X)$  with

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{\|\mu\|} \quad (\text{on } \text{supp } \mu).$$

Now, if  $\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  then

$$(\mu\nu)(\varphi) = \nu(1)\mu(\varphi) = 1.$$

Therefore,  $0 \notin w^* - cl(\mu\mathfrak{L}_p)$ .

Now we prove that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is Arens regular. Let  $F, G \in \mathfrak{L}^{**}$ . Take two nets  $(\mu_\alpha)$  and  $(\nu_\beta)$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $F = w^* - \lim \hat{\mu}_\alpha$  and  $G = w^* - \lim \hat{\nu}_\beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F \square G &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta (\mu_\alpha \nu_\beta)^\wedge \\ &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta \nu_\beta(1) \hat{\mu}_\alpha \\ &= w^* - \lim_\beta -w^* - \lim_\alpha (\mu_\alpha \nu_\beta)^\wedge \\ &= F \diamond G, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $F \square G = F \diamond G$  which shows that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is Arens regular.

Note that a general measure algebra which has separately weak\* continuous multiplication and has an identity in its support is not Arens regular (See [7, Theorem 3.1]). But all the above properties are not necessary in general. Also, the following examples show that the Theorem 2.2 can not be derived as a consequence of [7, Theorem 3.1].

**Example 3.2.** *There exists a general measure algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  which is neither Arens regular nor have the following properties:*

- (i) *There is an identity for  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ .*
- (ii) *The multiplication in  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  is weak\* separately continuous.*

Construction. Start with the order set  $N = \{\dots, 3, 1, 2, 4, \dots\}$  of positive integers with discrete topology. Define an operation  $(n, m) \mapsto n \circ m$ , from  $N \times N$  into  $N$ , by the following conditions:

$$n \circ m = \begin{cases} \max\{n, m\} & (n = 2k, m = 2k') \\ \min\{n, m\} & (n = 2k + 1, m = 2k' + 1) \\ mon = n & (n = 2k, m = 2k' + 1) \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n, k, k' \in N$ . It is easy to see that the operation is associative, commutative and jointly continuous (by discreteness).

Take a sequence  $(x_n)$  of distinct points of real numbers such that  $x = \lim_n x_n$  exists. We put  $X = \{\dots, x_3, x_1, x, x_2, x_4, \dots\}$  with new topology such that  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is the only sequence in  $X$  which converges to  $x$ . A base of this topology is a collection of all subset  $B$  of  $X$  which  $B = \{x_n\}$  or  $B = \{x_{2n}, x_{2(n+1)}, \dots, x\}$ . Then  $\lim x_{2n} = x$  and

$$\mathfrak{M}(X) = \left\{ a_0 \delta_x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \delta_{x_n} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \right\}.$$

Define a multiplication (convolution) on  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  as follows:

$$\delta_y * \delta_z = \delta_z * \delta_y = \begin{cases} \delta_{x_{n \circ m}} & (y = x_n, z = x_m) \\ \delta_y & (y = x_{2n}, z = x) \text{ or } (y = x, z = x_{2m-1}) \\ \delta_x & (y = x = z) \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\delta_y * (\delta_z * \delta_t) = (\delta_y * \delta_z) * \delta_t \quad (y, z, t \in X).$$

So, the multiplication is commutative and associative and it a pair of maps probability measures to a probability measure. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  is a general measure algebra.



Set  $e_n = \delta_{x_{2n-1}}$ . It is easy to check that

$$\lim_n e_n * \mu = \mu = \lim_n \mu * e_n \quad (\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X)).$$

(i)  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  does not contain an identity. Since, if  $e = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \delta_{x_i}$  is an identity (the set  $I$  is index set) then for each  $n$ ,

$$e * \delta_{x_{2n-1}} = \delta_{x_{2n-1}}.$$

Hence, for each  $k \in I$ ,  $ko(2n + 1) = \min\{k, 2n + 1\} = 2n + 1$ . Thus,  $k \geq 2n - 1$  and it is impossible.

(ii) Since,  $w^* - \lim_n \delta_{x_{2n}} = \delta_x$ , then

$$w^* - \lim_n (\delta_{x_{2n}} * \delta_{x_2}) = w^* - \lim_n \delta_{x_{2n}} = \delta_x,$$

but  $\delta_x * \delta_{x_2} = \delta_{x_2}$ . Therefore,

$$w^* - \lim_n (\delta_{x_{2n}} * \delta_{x_2}) \neq (w^* - \lim_n \delta_{x_{2n}}) * \delta_{x_2}$$

Hence, the multiplication is not separately weak\* continuous.

Finally we show that  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  is not Arens regular. Set  $\mu_n = \delta_{x_n}$  write

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \chi_{\{x_{2n}\}}.$$

For each  $n, m$ , we have

$$\mu_{4n} * \mu_{4m+2}(\psi) = \begin{cases} \mu_{4m+2}(\psi) = -1 & m > n \\ \mu_{4n}(\psi) = 1 & m \leq n, \end{cases}$$

$$w^* - \lim_n \lim_m \mu_{4n} * \mu_{4m+2} \neq w^* - \lim_m \lim_n \mu_{4n} * \mu_{4m+2}.$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  is not Arens regular.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** By the same construction in the example 3.2, suppose that  $Y = X \setminus \{x\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}(Y)$  has not an identity, but  $0 \in w^* - cl(\mu \mathfrak{M}_p(Y))$  for each positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{M}(Y)$ . So, by Theorem 2.2,  $\mathfrak{M}(Y)$  is not Arens regular. It follows that the Theorem 2.2, can not be derived as a consequence of [7, Theorem 3.17].

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their thanks to Professor John Pym for suggesting this problem (in particular by Theorem 2.2) and his valuable advice.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951), 839–848.
- [2] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [3] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helemskii, The amenability of measure algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), no. 2, 213–226.
- [4] H. G. Dales, A. Rodriguez-Palacios and M. V. Velasco, The second transpose of a derivation, *J. London Math. Soc.* **64** (2001), no. 2, 707–721.
- [5] M. Eshaghi Gorgji and M. Filali, Arens regularity of module actions, *Studia Math.* **181** (2007), no. 3, 237–254.
- [6] J. Laali, Arens regularity of bilinear maps for Banach spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* **12** (2004), no. 1, 89–103.
- [7] J. Laali and J. Pym, Concepts of Arens regularity for general measure algebras, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **47** (1996), no. 2, 187–198.
- [8] S. Mohammadzadeh and H. R. E. Vishki, Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjointg of a derivation, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **77** (2008), 465–476.
- [9] N. J. Young, The irregularity of multiplication in group algebras, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* **24** (1973), 59–62.

**J. Laali**

Department of Mathematics, Kharazmi University, Tehran 15614 Tehran, Iran

Email: Laali@tmu.ac.ir

**M. Ettefagh**

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Tabriz, Iran

Email: etefagh@iaut.ac.ir, minaettefagh@gmail.com