

## HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A COMPOSITE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN VARIOUS NORMED SPACES

H. AZADI KENARY

Communicated by Gholam Hossein Esslamzadeh

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam (or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias) stability of the following composite functional equation

$$f(f(x) - f(y)) + f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y),$$

in various normed spaces.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\Gamma^+$  denote the set of all probability distribution functions  $F : \mathbb{R} \cup [-\infty, +\infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $F$  is left-continuous and nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $F(0) = 0, F(+\infty) = 1$ . It is clear that the set  $D^+ = \{F \in \Gamma^+ : l^-F(-\infty) = 1\}$ , where  $l^-f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x^-} f(t)$ , is a subset of  $\Gamma^+$ . The set  $\Gamma^+$  is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, that is,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(t) \leq G(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $a \geq 0$ , the element  $H_a(t)$  of  $D^+$  is defined by  $H_a(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq a, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > a \end{cases}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a *continuous triangular norm* (briefly, a *t-norm*) if  $T$  satisfies the following conditions:

(a)  $T$  is commutative and associative;

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 39B82; Secondary: 39B52.

Keywords: Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, random normed space, non-Archimedean normed spaces, fixed point method.

Received: 24 July 2011, Accepted: 12 April 2012.

© 2013 Iranian Mathematical Society.

- (b)  $T$  is continuous;
- (c)  $T(x, 1) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (d)  $T(x, y) \leq T(z, w)$  whenever  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq w$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A *random normed space* (briefly, *RN-space*) is a triple  $(X, \mu, T)$ , where  $X$  is a vector space,  $T$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $\mu : X \rightarrow D^+$  is a mapping such that the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $\mu_x(t) = H_0(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\mu_{\alpha x}(t) = \mu_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (c)  $\mu_{x+y}(t+s) \geq T(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(s))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t, s \geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.3.** By a non-Archimedean field we mean a field  $\mathbb{K}$  equipped with a function (valuation)  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ , the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $|r| = 0$  if and only if  $r = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $|rs| = |r||s|$ ;
- (iii)  $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Clearly  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $X$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$  ( $r \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X$ );
- (iii) The strong triangle inequality (ultrametric); namely  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ ,  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a non-Archimedean space.

**Definition 1.6.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  converges to zero in a non-Archimedean space. By a complete non-Archimedean space we mean one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

The most important examples of non-Archimedean spaces are  $p$ -adic numbers. A key property of  $p$ -adic numbers is that they do not satisfy the Archimedean axiom: “for  $x, y > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x < ny$ ”.

**Example 1.7.** Fix a prime number  $p$ . For any nonzero rational number  $x$ , there exists a unique integer  $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = \frac{a}{b}p^{n_x}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers not divisible by  $p$ . Then  $|x|_p := p^{-n_x}$  defines a non-Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the metric

$d(x, y) = |x - y|_p$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  which is called the  $p$ -adic number field. In fact,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the set of all formal series  $x = \sum_{k \geq n_x} a_k p^k$  where  $|a_k| \leq p - 1$  are integers. The addition and multiplication between any two elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are defined naturally. The norm  $|\sum_{k \geq n_x} a_k p^k|_p = p^{-n_x}$  is a non-Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and it makes  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  a locally compact field.

Arriola and Beyer [1] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of approximate additive functions  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . They showed that if  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function for which there exists a fixed  $\epsilon$ :

$$|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then there exists a unique additive function  $T : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

However, the following example shows that similar result is not true in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

**Example 1.8.** Let  $p > 2$  and let  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  be defined by  $f(x) = 2$ . Then for  $\epsilon = 1$ ,

$$|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| = 1 \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . However, the sequences  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\left\{ 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are not Cauchy. In fact, by using the fact that  $|2| = 1$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+1} x)}{2^{n+1}} \right| = |2^{-n} \cdot 2 - 2^{-(n+1)} \cdot 2| = |2^{-n}| = 1$$

and

$$\left| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right| = |2^n \cdot 2 - 2^{(n+1)} \cdot 2| = |2^{n+1}| = 1$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence these sequences are not convergent in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $X$  be a set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a generalized metric on  $X$  if  $d$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (b)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (c)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $J : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ , either*

$$(1.1) \quad d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

*for all nonnegative integers  $n$ , or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that*

- (a)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;
- (b) the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $J$ ;
- (c)  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
- (d)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: “When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation must be close to an exact solution of the equation?”. If the problem admits a solution, we say that the equation is *stable*. The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [31] in 1940. In the following year, Hyers [10] gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [19] proved a generalization of Hyers’ theorem for additive mappings. The result of Rassias has provided a significant influence during the last three decades in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as generalized Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. Furthermore, in 1994, a generalization of Rassias’s theorem was obtained by Găvruta [8] by replacing the bound  $\epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$ . In 1897, Hensel [9] introduced a normed space which does not have the Archimedean property. It turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many nice applications [11, 12].

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem ([2]- [8], [14]- [29]).

In Sections 2 and 3, we adopt the usual terminology, notions and conventions of the theory of random normed spaces as in [30].

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad f(f(x) - f(y)) + f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y)$$

in random and non-Archimedean normed spaces.

## 2. Random stability of the functional equation (1.2): a direct method

In this section, using a direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2) in random normed spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a real linear space,  $(Z, \mu', \min)$  an RN-space and  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$  a function such that there exists  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  with*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2})}(t) \geq \mu'_{\alpha\varphi(x,y)}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n})} \left( \frac{t}{2^n} \right) = 1$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $(Y, \mu, \min)$  be a complete RN-space. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_{f(f(x)-f(y))-f(x+y)-f(x-y)+f(x)+f(y)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,y)}(t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Then the limit

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right)$$

exists for all  $x \in X$  and defines a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that and

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)} \left( \frac{(1-2\alpha)t}{\alpha} \right).$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $y = x$  in (2.2), we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_{f(2x)-2f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}(t).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \mu_{2f(\frac{x}{2})-f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2})}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (2.5) and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\mu_{2^{n+1}f(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}})-2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}})}\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{t}{2^n \alpha^{n+1}}\right)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(x)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1} t\right) &= \mu_{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k+1} f(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}})-2^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1} t\right) \\ &\geq T_{M_{k=0}}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{2^{k+1} f(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}})-2^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})}(2^k \alpha^{k+1} t)\right) \\ &\geq T_{M_{k=0}}^{n-1}\left(\mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}(t)\right) = \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \mu_{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1}}\right).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^p}$  in (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{2^{n+p} f(\frac{x}{2^{n+p}})-2^p f(\frac{x}{2^p})}(t) &\geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=p}^{n+p-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1}}\right) \\ &\rightarrow 1 \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in a complete RN-space  $(Y, \mu, \min)$  and so there exists a point  $A(x) \in Y$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = A(x).$$

Fix  $x \in X$  and put  $p = 0$  in (2.7). Then we obtain

$$\mu_{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1}}\right)$$

and so, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{A(x)-f(x)}(t + \delta) &\geq T\left(\mu_{A(x)-2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})}(\delta), \mu_{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(x)}(t)\right) \\ &\geq T\left(\mu_{A(x)-2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})}(\delta), \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \alpha^{k+1}}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \mu_{A(x)-f(x)}(t + \delta) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{(1 - 2\alpha)t}{\alpha}\right).$$

Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary, by taking  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (2.9), we get

$$\mu_{A(x)-f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{(1 - 2\alpha)t}{\alpha}\right).$$

Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  and  $\frac{y}{2^n}$  in (2.2), respectively, we get

$$\mu_{2^n[f(f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(\frac{y}{2^n}))-f(\frac{x+y}{2^n})-f(\frac{x-y}{2^n})+f(\frac{x}{2^n})+f(\frac{y}{2^n})]}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n})}\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n})}\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right) = 1$ , we conclude that  $A$  satisfies (1.2). On the other hand,

$$2A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0.$$

This implies that  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is an additive mapping. To prove the uniqueness of the additive mapping  $A$ , assume that there exists another additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies (2.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A(x)-L(x)}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{2^n A(\frac{x}{2^n})-2^n L(\frac{x}{2^n})}(t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \mu_{2^n A(\frac{x}{2^n})-2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \mu_{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})-2^n L(\frac{x}{2^n})}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{x}{2^n})}\left(\frac{(1 - 2\alpha)t}{2^{n+1}\alpha}\right) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{(1 - 2\alpha)t}{2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-2\alpha)t}{2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}} = \infty$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(x,x)}\left(\frac{(1-2\alpha)t}{2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}}\right) = 1$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\mu_{A(x)-L(x)}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  and so  $A(x) = L(x)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a real normed linear space,  $(Z, \mu', \min)$  an RN-space and  $(Y, \mu, \min)$  a complete RN-space. Let  $r$  be a positive real number with  $r > 1$ ,  $z_0 \in Z$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping satisfying*

$$(2.10) \quad \mu_{f(f(x)-f(y))-f(x+y)-f(x-y)+f(x)+f(y)}(t) \geq \mu'_{(\|x\|^r+\|y\|^r)z_0}(t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and defines a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\|x\|^p z_0}\left(\frac{(2^r - 2)t}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = 2^{-r}$  and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$  be a mapping defined by  $\varphi(x, y) = (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0$ . Then, from Theorem 2.1, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a real linear space,  $(Z, \mu', \min)$  an RN-space and  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$  a function such that there exists  $0 < \alpha < 2$  such that  $\mu'_{\varphi(2x, 2y)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x, y)}(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y)}(2^n t) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $(Y, \mu, \min)$  be a complete RN-space. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping satisfying (2.2). Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and defines a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that and*

$$(2.11) \quad \mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x, x)}((2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $y = x$  in (2.2), we see that

$$(2.12) \quad \mu_{\frac{f(2x)}{2}-f(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(x, x)}(2t).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $2^n x$  in (2.12), we obtain that

$$(2.13) \quad \mu_{\frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}}-\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}}(t) \geq \mu'_{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x)}(2^{n+1}t) \geq \mu_{\varphi(x, x)}\left(\frac{2^{n+1}t}{\alpha^n}\right).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a real normed linear space,  $(Z, \mu', \min)$  an RN-space and  $(Y, \mu, \min)$  a complete RN-space. Let  $r$  be a positive real number with  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $z_0 \in Z$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping satisfying (2.10). Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and defines a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \mu'_{\|x\|^r z_0}\left(\frac{(2 - 2^r)t}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = 2^r$  and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$  be a mapping defined by  $\varphi(x, y) = (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0$ . Then, from Theorem 2.3, the conclusion follows.  $\square$



### 3. Random stability of the functional equation (1.2): a fixed point method

Throughout this section, using a fixed point method, we prove Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equation (1.2) in RN-spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a linear space,  $(Y, \mu, T_M)$  a complete RN-space and  $\Phi$  a mapping from  $X^2$  to  $D^+$  such that there exists  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_{2x,2y}(t) \leq \Phi_{x,y}(\alpha t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  ( $\Phi(x, y)$  is denoted by  $\Phi_{x,y}$ ). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_{f(f(x)-f(y))-f(x+y)-f(x-y)+f(x)+f(y)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x,y}(t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

exists and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{(1-2\alpha)t}{\alpha}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $y = x$  in (3.2) and replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_{2f(\frac{x}{2})-f(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{2},\frac{x}{2}}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Consider the set  $S := \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$  and the generalized metric  $d$  in  $S$  defined by

$$(3.5) \quad d(f, g) = \inf_{u \in (0, \infty)} \{ \mu_{g(x)-h(x)}(ut) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t), \forall x \in X, t > 0 \},$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(S, d)$  is complete (see [14], Lemma 2.1). Now, we consider a linear mapping  $J : (S, d) \rightarrow (S, d)$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad Jh(x) := 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

First, we prove that  $J$  is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant  $2\alpha$ . In fact, let  $g, h \in S$  be such that  $d(g, h) < \epsilon$ . Then we have

$$\mu_{g(x)-h(x)}(\epsilon t) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Jg(x)-Jh(x)}(2\alpha\epsilon t) &= \mu_{2g(\frac{x}{2})-2h(\frac{x}{2})}(2\alpha\epsilon t) = \mu_{g(\frac{x}{2})-h(\frac{x}{2})}(\alpha\epsilon t) \\ &\geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}}(\alpha\epsilon t) \\ &\geq \Phi_{x,x}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Thus  $d(g, h) < \epsilon$  implies that  $d(Jg, Jh) < 2\alpha\epsilon$ . This means that  $d(Jg, Jh) \leq 2\alpha d(g, h)$  for all  $g, h \in S$ . It follows from (3.4) that

$$d(f, Jf) \leq \alpha.$$

By Theorem 1.10, there exists a mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $A$  is a fixed point of  $J$ , that is,

$$(3.7) \quad A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The mapping  $A$  is a unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$ . This implies that  $A$  is a unique mapping satisfying (3.7) such that there exists  $u \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(ut) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

(2)  $d(J^n f, A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

(3)  $d(f, A) \leq \frac{d(f, Jf)}{1-2\alpha}$  with  $f \in \Omega$ , which implies the inequality

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2\alpha}$$

and so

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}\left(\frac{\alpha t}{1-2\alpha}\right) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . This implies that the inequality (3.3) holds. On the other hand, replacing  $x, y$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  and  $\frac{y}{2^n}$ , respectively, in (3.2), we have

$$\mu_{2^n[f(f(\frac{x}{2^n})-f(\frac{y}{2^n})) - f(\frac{x+y}{2^n}) - f(\frac{x-y}{2^n}) + f(\frac{x}{2^n}) + f(\frac{y}{2^n})]}(t) \geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}}\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$  and so, from (3.1), it follows that

$$\Phi_{\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}}\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right) \geq \Phi_{x,y}\left(\frac{t}{2^n\alpha^n}\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Therefore

$$\mu_{A(A(x)-A(y))-A(x+y)-A(x-y)+A(x)+A(y)}(t) = 1$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Thus the mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (1.2). Furthermore, since for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(2x) - 2A(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \\ &= 2 \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is additive. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a real normed space,  $\theta \geq 0$  and let  $r$  be a real number with  $r > 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$(3.8) \quad \mu_{f(f(x)-f(y))-f(x+y)-f(x-y)+f(x)+f(y)}(t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Then  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \frac{(2^r - 2)t}{(2^r - 2)t + 2\theta\|x\|^r}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 if we take

$$\Phi_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . In fact, if we choose  $\alpha = 2^{-r}$ , then we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a linear space,  $(Y, \mu, T_M)$  a complete RN-space and  $\Phi$  a mapping from  $X^2$  to  $D^+$  such that for some  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\Phi_{\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}}(t) \leq \Phi_{x,y}(\alpha t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (3.2). Then the limit  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x,x}((2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $y = x$  in (3.2), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \mu_{\frac{f(2x)}{2}-f(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(2t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $(S, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Now, we consider a linear mapping  $J : (S, d) \rightarrow (S, d)$  such that  $Jh(x) := \frac{1}{2}h(2x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (3.10) that

$$d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Theorem 1.10, there exists a mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $A$  is a fixed point of  $J$ , that is,

$$(3.11) \quad A(2x) = 2A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The mapping  $A$  is a unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$ . This implies that  $A$  is a unique mapping satisfying (3.11) such that there exists  $u \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(ut) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

- (2)  $d(J^n f, A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

- (3)  $d(f, A) \leq \frac{d(f, Jf)}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  with  $f \in \Omega$ , which implies the inequality

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}\left(\frac{t}{2-\alpha}\right) \geq \Phi_{x,x}(t)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . This implies that the inequality (3.9) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a real normed space,  $\theta \geq 0$  and let  $r$  be a real number with  $0 < r < 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (3.8). Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that*

$$\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \frac{(2-2^r)t}{(2-2^r)t + 2\theta\|x\|^r}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 if we take

$$\Phi_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . In fact, if we choose  $\alpha = 2^r$ , then we get the desired result.  $\square$

#### 4. Non-Archimedean stability of functional equation (1.2): a fixed point method

In this section, using a fixed point approach, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equation (1.2) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

Throughout this section,  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed spaces and that  $Y$  is a complete non-Archimedean normed spaces. Also we assume that  $|2| \neq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\zeta : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists  $L < 1$  with*

$$(4.1) \quad |2|\zeta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq L\zeta(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| f(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) + f(x) + f(y) \right\| \leq \zeta(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there is a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L\zeta(x, x)}{|2| - |2|L}.$$

*Proof.* Putting  $y = x$  in (4.2), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| f(2x) - 2f(x) \right\| \leq \zeta(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (4.4), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Consider the set  $S^* := \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$  and the generalized metric  $d^*$  in  $S^*$  defined by

$$(4.6) \quad d^*(f, g) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \mu\zeta(x, x), \forall x \in X \right\},$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(S^*, d^*)$  is complete (see [14], Lemma 2.1). Now, we consider a linear mapping  $J^* : S^* \rightarrow S^*$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad J^*h(x) := 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $g, h \in S^*$  be such that  $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$ . Then we have  $\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \epsilon\zeta(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^*g(x) - J^*h(x)\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq |2|\epsilon\zeta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \\ &\leq |2|\epsilon\frac{L}{|2|}\zeta(x, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$  implies that  $d^*(J^*g, J^*h) \leq L\epsilon$ . This means that  $d^*(J^*g, J^*h) \leq Ld^*(g, h)$  for all  $g, h \in S^*$ . It follows from (4.5) that

$$(4.8) \quad d^*(f, J^*f) \leq \frac{L}{|2|}.$$

By Theorem 1.10, there exists a mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $A$  is a fixed point of  $J^*$ , that is,

$$(4.9) \quad A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The mapping  $A$  is a unique fixed point of  $J^*$  in the set  $\Omega = \{h \in S^* : d^*(g, h) < \infty\}$ . This implies that  $A$  is a unique mapping satisfying (4.9) such that there exists  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \mu\zeta(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(2)  $d^*(J^{*n}f, A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

(3)  $d^*(f, A) \leq \frac{d^*(f, J^*f)}{1-L}$  with  $f \in \Omega$ , which implies the inequality

$$(4.10) \quad d^*(f, A) \leq \frac{L}{|2| - |2|L}.$$

This implies that the inequality (4.3) holds. By (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^n \left[ f\left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\| \\ \leq |2|^n \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \leq |2|^n \cdot \frac{L^n}{|2|^n} \zeta(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $n \geq 1$  and so  $\|f(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) + f(x) + f(y)\| = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . On the other hand

$$2A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, the mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is additive. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\theta \geq 0$  and let  $p$  be a real number with  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

(4.11)

$$\|f(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) + f(x) + f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{2|2|\theta\|x\|^p}{|2|^{p+1} - |2|^2}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 if we take  $\zeta(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . In fact, if we choose  $L = |2|^{1-p}$ , then we get the desired result.  $\square$

Similarly, we have the following results for which we sketch the proofs.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\zeta : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $L < 1$  with  $\zeta(2x, 2y) \leq |2|L\zeta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (4.2). Then there is a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\zeta(x, x)}{|2| - |2|L}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (4.4) that

$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{2}\right\| \leq \frac{\zeta(x, x)}{|2|}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\theta \geq 0$  and let  $p$  be a real number with  $p > 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (4.11). Then the limit  $A(x) =$*

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta\|x\|^p}{|2| - |2|^p}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.3 if we take  $\zeta(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . In fact, if we choose  $L = |2|^{p-1}$ , then we get the desired result.  $\square$

### 5. Non-Archimedean stability of functional equation (1.2): a direct method

In this section, using a direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2) in non-Archimedean space. Throughout this section,  $G$  is an additive semigroup and  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\zeta : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Suppose that, for any  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$(5.2) \quad \Psi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{k+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) : 0 \leq k < n \right\}$$

exists and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$(5.3) \quad \left\| f(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) + f(x) + f(y) \right\| \leq \zeta(x, y).$$

Then, for all  $x \in G$ ,  $T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$  exists and satisfies the inequality

$$(5.4) \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \Psi(x).$$

Moreover, if

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{k+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) : j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0,$$

then  $T$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying (5.4).



*Proof.* By (4.5), we get

$$(5.6) \quad \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (5.6), we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \left\| 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \leq |2|^n \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right).$$

Thus, it follows from (5.1) and (5.7) that the sequence  $\left\{ 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, it follows that  $\left\{ 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. Set  $T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$ . By induction, one can show that

$$(5.8) \quad \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\max\{|2|^{k+1}\zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) : 0 \leq k < n\}}{|2|}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in G$ . By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.8) and using (5.2), one obtains (5.4). By (5.1) and (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| T(T(x) - T(y)) - T(x + y) - T(x - y) + T(x) + T(y) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^n \left[ f\left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)\right) - f\left(\frac{x + y}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x - y}{2^n}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Therefore, the mapping  $T : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies (1.2). To prove the uniqueness property of  $T$ , let  $S$  be another mapping satisfying (5.4). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T(x) - S(x) \right\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^j \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - S\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^j \max \left\{ \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right\|, \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - S\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|2|} \max \left\{ |2|^{k+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) : j \leq k < n + j \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore,  $T = S$ . This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying*

$$\xi\left(\frac{t}{|2|}\right) \leq \xi\left(\frac{1}{|2|}\right)\xi(t), \quad \xi\left(\frac{1}{|2|}\right) < \frac{1}{|2|}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $\kappa > 0$  and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$(5.9) \quad \left\| f(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x+y) - f(x-y) + f(x) + f(y) \right\| \leq \kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|))$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq 2\kappa \frac{\xi(|x|)}{|2|}.$$

*Proof.* If we define  $\zeta : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\zeta(x, y) := \kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|))$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|2|\xi\left(\frac{1}{|2|}\right)\right)^n [\kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|))] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . On the other hand, for all  $x \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{k+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) : 0 \leq k < n \right\} \\ &= |2|\zeta\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) = 2\kappa\xi(|x|) \end{aligned}$$

exists. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{k+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right); j \leq k < n+j \right\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{j+1} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Theorem 5.1, we have the conclusion. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\zeta : G \times G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta(2^n x, 2^n y)}{|2|^n} = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Suppose that, for every  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$(5.11) \quad \Psi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\zeta(2^k x, 2^k x)}{|2|^k} : 0 \leq k < n \right\}$$

exists and let  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying (5.3), then, the limit  $T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in G$  and satisfies the inequality

$$(5.12) \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \Psi(x).$$

Moreover, if

$$(5.13) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\zeta(2^k x, 2^k x)}{|2|^k}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0,$$

then  $T$  is the unique mapping satisfying (5.12).

*Proof.* By (4.4), we have

$$(5.14) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{\zeta(x, x)}{|2|}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $2^n x$  in (5.14), we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+1} x)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| \leq \frac{\zeta(2^n x, 2^n x)}{|2|^{n+1}}.$$

Thus it follows from (5.10) and (5.15) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. Set  $T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$ . On the other hand, it follows from (5.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^p x)}{2^p} - \frac{f(2^q x)}{2^q} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=p}^{q-1} \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^{k+1} x)}{2^{k+1}} \right\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^{k+1} x)}{2^{k+1}} \right\| : p \leq k < q \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|2|} \max \left\{ \frac{\zeta(2^k x, 2^k x)}{|2|^k} : p \leq k < q \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and all integers  $p, q \geq 0$  with  $q > p \geq 0$ . Letting  $p = 0$ , taking  $q \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality and using (5.11), we obtain (5.12).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$\xi(|2|t) \leq \xi(|2|)\xi(t), \quad \xi(|2|) < |2|$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $\kappa > 0$  and let  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying (5.9). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\kappa\xi(|x|)}{|2|}.$$

*Proof.* If we define  $\zeta : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\zeta(x, y) := \kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|))$  and apply Theorem 5.3, then we get the conclusion.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. M. Arriola and W. A. Beyer, Stability of the Cauchy functional equation over  $p$ -adic fields, *Real Anal. Exchange* **31** (2005), no. 1, 125–132.
- [2] H. Azadi Kenary, Stability of a Pexiderial functional equation in random normed spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **60** (2011), no. 1-2, 59–68.
- [3] H. Azadi Kenary, Non-Archimedean stability of Cauchy-Jensen type functional equation, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **1** (2010), no.2, 1–10.
- [4] M. Eshaghi-Gordji, S. Abbaszadeh and C. Park, On the stability of a generalized quadratic and quartic type functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009** (2009), Article ID 153084, 26 pages.
- [5] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Bavand Savadkouhi, Stability of mixed type cubic and quartic functional equations in random normed spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009** (2009), Article ID 527462, 9 pages.
- [6] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Bavand Savadkouhi and Choonkil Park, Quadratic-quartic functional equations in RN-spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009** (2009), Article ID 868423, 14 pages.
- [7] W. Fechner, Stability of a composite functional equation related to idempotent mappings, *J. Approx. Theory* **163** (2010), no. 3, 328–335.
- [8] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [9] K. Hensel, Über eine neue begründung der theorie der algebraischen zahlen, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein* **6** (1897), 83–88.
- [10] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **27** (1941) 222–224.
- [11] A. K. Katsaras and A. Beoyiannis, Tensor products of non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions, *Georgian Math. J.* **6** (1999), no. 1, 33–44.
- [12] A. Khrennikov, Non-Archimedean Analysis: quantum paradoxes, dynamical systems and biological models, Mathematics and its Applications, 427, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [13] Z. Kominek, On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation, *Demonstratio Math.* **22** (1989), no. 2, 499–507.
- [14] D. Mihet and V. Radu, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** (2008), no. 1, 567–572.
- [15] C. Park, Fuzzy stability of a functional equation associated with inner product spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160** (2009), no. 11, 1632–1642.

- [16] C. Park, Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of  $n$ -sesquilinear-quadratic mappings on Banach modules over  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **180** (2005), no. 2, 279–291.
- [17] C. Park, Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2007), Article ID 50175, 15 pages.
- [18] C. Park, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2008) Art. ID 493751, 9 pages.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [20] Th. M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias, Problem 16;2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations, *Aequationes Math.* **39** (1990), 292–293.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **43** (1998), no. 3, 89–124.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias, The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **246** (2000), no. 2, 352–378.
- [24] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), no. 1, 264–284.
- [25] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), no. 4, 989–993.
- [26] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173** (1993) 325–338.
- [27] R. Saadati and C. Park, Non-Archimedean  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy normed spaces and stability of functional equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60** (2010), no. 8, 2488–2496.
- [28] R. Saadati, M. Vaezpour and Y. J. Cho, A note to paper “On the stability of cubic mappings and quartic mappings in random normed spaces”, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2009), Article ID 214530, 6 pages.
- [29] Reza Saadati, M. M. Zohdi, and S. M. Vaezpour, Nonlinear L-Random Stability of an ACQ Functional Equation, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2011) Article ID 194394, 23 pages.
- [30] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1983.
- [31] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.

**Hassan Azadi Kenary**

Department of Mathematics, Beyza Branch, Islamic Azad University, Beyza, Iran

Email: [azadi@mail.yu.ac.ir](mailto:azadi@mail.yu.ac.ir)