

## GENERALIZED NUMERICAL RANGES OF MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

GH. AGHAMOLLAEI\*, N. AVIZEH AND Y. JAHANSHAH

Communicated by Abbas Salemi Parizi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of  $C$ -numerical range and  $C$ -spectrum of matrix polynomials. Some algebraic and geometrical properties are investigated. We also study the relationship between the  $C$ -numerical range of a matrix polynomial and the joint  $C$ -numerical range of its coefficients.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $M_n$  be the algebra of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Suppose that

$$(1.1) \quad P(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1 \lambda + A_0$$

is a matrix polynomial, where  $A_i \in M_n$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ),  $A_m \neq 0$  and  $\lambda$  is a complex variable. The numbers  $m$  and  $n$  are referred to as the *degree* and the *order* of  $P(\lambda)$ , respectively. Matrix polynomials arise in many applications and their spectral analysis is very important to study linear systems of ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients [8]. The matrix polynomial  $P(\lambda)$ , as in (1.1), is called a *monic matrix polynomial* if  $A_m = I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. It is said to be a *self-adjoint* matrix polynomial if all the coefficients  $A_i$  are Hermitian matrices. Also,  $P(\lambda)$  is a *diagonal matrix polynomial* if all

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 15A60; Secondary: 15A18, 15A22, 47A56.

Keywords: Matrix polynomial,  $C$ -numerical range, joint  $C$ -numerical range,  $C$ -spectrum.

Received: 01 October 2011, Accepted: 10 June 2012.

\*Corresponding author

© 2013 Iranian Mathematical Society.

the coefficients  $A_i$  are diagonal matrices. A scalar  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  is an *eigenvalue* of  $P(\lambda)$  if the system  $P(\lambda_0)x = 0$  has a nonzero solution  $x_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . The solution  $x_0$  is known as an *eigenvector* of  $P(\lambda)$  corresponding to  $\lambda_0$ , and the set of all eigenvalues of  $P(\lambda)$  is said to be the *spectrum* of  $P(\lambda)$ , that is,  $\sigma[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : \det(P(\mu)) = 0\}$ . The (*classical*) *numerical range* of  $P(\lambda)$ , as in (1.1), is defined as:

$$W[P(\lambda)] := \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : x^*P(\mu)x = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } x \in \mathbf{C}^n\},$$

which is closed and contains  $\sigma[P(\lambda)]$ ; see [15] for more information. The numerical range of matrix polynomials plays an important role in the study of overdamped vibration systems with a finite number of degrees of freedom, and it is also related to the stability theory; see e.g., [8] and [15]. Notice that the notion of  $W[P(\lambda)]$  is a generalization of the *classical numerical range* of a matrix  $A \in M_n$ , namely:

$$W[\lambda I - A] = W(A) := \{x^*Ax : x \in \mathbf{C}^n, x^*x = 1\},$$

which has been studied extensively for many decades. It is useful in the study and to understand the matrices and operators, see [11, 12], and has many applications in numerical analysis, differential equations, system theory, etc; see e.g., [3, 7, 10, 22].

Another generalization of the classical numerical range of matrices, due to Goldberg and Straus [9], is the notion of  $C$ -numerical range of matrices. Let  $A, C \in M_n$ , and  $\mathcal{U}_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices. The  $C$ -numerical range, the  $C$ -numerical radius and the *inner*  $C$ -numerical radius of  $A$  are defined, respectively, as:

$$W_C(A) = \{tr(CU^*AU) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}, \quad r_C(A) = \max_{z \in W_C(A)} |z|,$$

and  $\tilde{r}_C(A) = \min_{z \in W_C(A)} |z|$ , where  $tr(X)$  denotes the *trace* of  $X \in M_n$ . The  $C$ -numerical range and the  $C$ -numerical radius of matrices are related to optimization problems, and have important applications in quantum control and quantum information; see e.g., [6, 21] and their references. Let  $C$  and  $A$  have eigenvalues  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ , and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , respectively. The  $C$ -spectrum of  $A$  is defined as:

$$\sigma_C(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \alpha_{i_j} : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{ is a permutation of } \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \right\}.$$

The concept of  $C$ -spectrum of  $A$  is very useful in the study of  $W_C(A)$ . For a comprehensive survey of  $W_C(A)$ ,  $r_C(A)$  and  $\sigma_C(A)$ , see [13]. In the last few years, the generalization of the numerical range of matrix

polynomials has attracted much attention, many interesting results have been obtained; see e.g., [1, 5, 17, 19, 20]. In section 2 of this paper, we introduce  $C$ -spectrum and  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials as a new generalization of the spectrum, and the numerical range of matrix polynomials and  $C$ -numerical range of matrices, respectively. We also study the boundedness, boundary points and some other geometric properties of the notion. In section 3, we consider the joint  $C$ -numerical range of a matrix polynomial as the joint  $C$ -numerical range of its coefficients, and we study some algebraic properties of this set.

At the end of this section, we list some properties of the  $C$ -numerical range and the  $C$ -spectrum of matrices which is useful in our discussin. For more details, see [4] and [13].

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $A, C \in M_n$ . Then the following assertions are true:*

- (i)  $W_C(A)$  is a compact and connected set in  $\mathbf{C}$  which contains  $\sigma_C(A)$ ;
- (ii) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ , then  $W_C(\alpha A + \beta I) = \alpha W_C(A) + \beta \text{tr}(C)$  and  $\sigma_C(\alpha A + \beta I) = \alpha \sigma_C(A) + \beta \text{tr}(C)$ ;
- (iii)  $W_{V^*CV}(U^*AU) = W_C(A) = W_A(C)$ , where  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ;
- (iv)  $W_{\overline{C}}(\overline{A}) = \overline{W_C(A)}$ ;
- (v) If  $C = qE_{11} + \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}E_{12}$ , where  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$  and  $E_{ij} \in M_n$  has 1 in  $(i, j)$ -position and 0 elsewhere, then  $W_C(A) = W_q(A) := \{x^*Ay : x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n, x^*x = y^*y = 1, x^*y = q\}$  and  $\sigma_C(A) = q\sigma(A)$ ;
- (vi)  $W_C(A)$  is star-shaped with respect to star-center  $\frac{\text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(C)}{n}$ , here a nonempty subset  $S$  of a real linear space is said to be star-shaped with respect to star-center  $s \in S$  if  $[s, x] \subseteq S$ , whenever  $x \in S$ , where  $[s, x]$  denotes the line segment  $\{(1 - t)s + tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ .

The set  $W_q(A)$  in Proposition 1.1(v), is called the  $q$ -numerical range of  $A \in M_n$ . It is a generalization of the classical numerical range of  $A$ ; for more information, see [14].

## 2. Definitions and general properties

We begin by introducing the notions of  $C$ -spectrum and  $C$ -numerical range of a matrix polynomial.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1), and  $C \in M_n$  have eigenvalues  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ . The  $C$ -spectrum of  $P(\lambda)$  is defined as

$$\sigma_C[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} \quad : \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \alpha_{i_j}^{(\mu)} = 0 \text{ for some permutation} \\ (i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{ of } \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \},$$

where, for  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\alpha_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(\mu)}$  are eigenvalues of the matrix  $P(\mu) \in M_n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). For a given matrix  $C \in M_n$ , the  $C$ -numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$  is defined and denoted by

$$W_C[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} \quad : \quad \text{tr}(CU^*P(\mu)U) = 0 \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Clearly for any fixed  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $P(\mu) \in M_n$ . Hence, the  $C$ -spectrum and the  $C$ -numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$  satisfy, respectively, the following relations:

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma_C[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} \quad : \quad 0 \in \sigma_C(P(\mu))\},$$

$$(2.2) \quad W_C[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} \quad : \quad 0 \in W_C(P(\mu))\}.$$

If  $\text{tr}(C) = 0$ , then, by Proposition 1.1(vi),  $W_C(P(\mu))$  is star-shaped with respect to star-center  $0 = \frac{\text{tr}(P(\mu)) \text{tr}(C)}{n}$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ . So, by (2.2),  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = \mathbf{C}$ . Hence, to avoid trivial consideration, we shall assume that  $\text{tr}(C) \neq 0$  in this paper.

In view of relations (2.1) and (2.2), and Proposition 1.1(ii), for the special case  $P(\lambda) = \lambda I - \text{tr}(C)A$ , where  $A \in M_n$ , we have  $\sigma_C[P(\lambda)] = \sigma_C(A)$  and  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = W_C(A)$ , and so, the notions of  $C$ -spectrum and  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials are generalizations of  $C$ -spectrum and  $C$ -numerical range of matrices, respectively.

Let  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$ . Assume that  $P(\lambda)$  is a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). The  $q$ -numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$  is defined, see [19], as

$$W_q[P(\lambda)] = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} \quad : \quad x^*P(\mu)y = 0 \text{ for some nonzero vectors} \\ x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n \text{ with } x^*y = q\},$$

which is a generalization of  $W[P(\lambda)]$ , namely,  $W_1[P(\lambda)] = W[P(\lambda)]$ . Now, set  $C = qE_{11} + \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}E_{12} \in M_n$ , where  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $|q| \leq 1$ . Then, by (2.2) and Proposition 1.1(v), we have  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = W_q[P(\lambda)]$ ,

and so, the  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials is a new generalization of the  $q$ -numerical range (consequently, the numerical range) of matrix polynomials. Also, by (2.1) and Proposition 1.1(v), in the case  $q = 0$ ,  $\sigma_C[P(\lambda)] = \mathbf{C}$ , and for  $q \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma_C[P(\lambda)] = \sigma[P(\lambda)]$ . In the following theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [15] and Proposition 1.1 in [19], we state some basic properties of the  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). Then the following assertions are true:*

- (i)  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  is a closed set in  $\mathbf{C}$  which contains  $\sigma_C[P(\lambda)]$ ;
- (ii)  $W_C[P(\lambda + \alpha)] = W_C[P(\lambda)] - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ ;
- (iii)  $W_C[\alpha P(\lambda)] = W_C[P(\lambda)] = W_{\alpha C}[P(\lambda)]$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$  is nonzero;
- (iv)  $W_C[V^*P(\lambda)V] = W_{V^*CV}[P(\lambda)] = W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , where  $V \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ; and
- (v) If  $Q(\lambda) = \lambda^m P(\lambda^{-1}) := A_0\lambda^m + A_1\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_{m-1}\lambda + A_m$ , then

$$W_C[Q(\lambda)] \setminus \{0\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu} : \mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)], \mu \neq 0 \right\};$$

- (vi) If all the powers of  $\lambda$  in  $P(\lambda)$  are even (or all of them are odd), then  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  is symmetric with respect to the origin;
- (vii) If all entries of the matrices  $C, A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$  lie on a line in the complex plain passing through origin, then  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

*Proof.* (i); Let  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , and  $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By Definition 2.2, there exists a sequence  $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $tr(CU_k^*P(\mu_k)U_k) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We know that  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is a compact set in  $M_n$ . So, to avoid reindexing, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $U_k \rightarrow U$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . Since the functions  $tr(\cdot)$  and  $P(\cdot)$  are continuous,  $tr(CU^*P(\mu)U) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , and hence the result holds. Using relations (2.1), (2.2), and Proposition 1.1(i), we have  $\sigma_C[P(\lambda)] \subseteq W_C[P(\lambda)]$ .

By (2.2) and Proposition 1.1, the results in parts (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be easily verified.

(vi); Clearly that  $P(\lambda) = P(-\lambda)$  in the case that all the powers of  $\lambda$  in  $P(\lambda)$  are even, and  $P(\lambda) = -P(-\lambda)$  in the other case. So, the result follows from (2.2) and Proposition 1.1(ii).

(vii); By hypothesis, there exists a  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $e^{i\theta}C$  and all the coefficients of the matrix polynomial  $e^{i\theta}P(\lambda)$  are real matrices. By part (iii), we have  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = W_C[e^{i\theta}P(\lambda)]$ . Then, we assume, without

loss of generality, that all matrices  $C, A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$  are real. Now, the result can be easily follows from (2.2) and Proposition 1.1(iv).  $\square$

Clearly  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  need not be bounded; see e.g., [15, Example 1] for  $C = E_{11} \in M_n$ . Here, for the boundedness of the  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials, we state the following theorem. It is a generalization of the sufficient part of Theorem 1.2 in [19].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). If  $0 \notin W_C(A_m)$ , then  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $0 \notin W_C(A_m)$ ,  $\tilde{r}_C(A_m) = \min_{z \in W_C(A_m)} |z| > 0$ . Assume that  $N = \max\{r_C(A_0), r_C(A_1), \dots, r_C(A_{m-1})\}$ . By setting  $M = \frac{N}{\tilde{r}_C(A_m)} + 1$ , we will show that:

$$W_C[P(\lambda)] \subseteq \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : |\mu| \leq M\}.$$

Let  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , since  $M \geq 1$ , it is enough to assume that  $|\mu| > 1$ . By Definition 2.2, there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that

$$\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_mU)\mu^m + \operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_{m-1}U)\mu^{m-1} + \dots + \operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_0U) = 0.$$

We know that  $\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_mU) \neq 0$ . So, the above equation implies that  $-\mu^m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_jU)}{\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_mU)} \mu^j$ , and hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|^m &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_jU)|}{|\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_mU)|} |\mu|^j \\ &\leq \frac{N}{\tilde{r}_C(A_m)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |\mu|^j \\ &= \frac{N}{\tilde{r}_C(A_m)} \left( \frac{|\mu|^m - 1}{|\mu| - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|\mu| - 1 \leq \frac{N}{\tilde{r}_C(A_m)} \left( \frac{|\mu|^m - 1}{|\mu|^m} \right) \leq \frac{N}{\tilde{r}_C(A_m)}$ , and hence  $|\mu| \leq M$ .  $\square$

For the case  $C = qE_{11} + \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}E_{12} \in M_n$ , where  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $|q| \leq 1$ , the converse of Theorem 2.4 holds; see [19]. But, in general, the converse is not true; which is illustrated in the following example.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $C = I$ , and  $P(\lambda) = A_m\lambda^m + A_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1\lambda + A_0$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). Assume that  $\text{tr}(A_m) = 0$ , and there exists a  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$  such that  $\text{tr}(A_j) \neq 0$ . By Definition 2.2,  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  has at most  $m - 1$  elements, and hence is bounded. However,  $W_C(A_m) = \{\text{tr}(A_m)\} = \{0\}$ .

Now, we are going to study the boundary points. For this, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** [13, Section 3] Let  $C \in M_n$ . Then  $W_C(A)$  is convex for all  $A \in M_n$  if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) There exists  $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $C - \beta I$  has rank one;
- (b) There exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$  such that  $\alpha C + \beta I$  is Hermitian, that is,  $C$  is essentially Hermitian;
- (c) There exists  $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $C - \beta I$  is similar to  $[C_{ij}]$  unitarily in block form, where the diagonal blocks  $C_{ii}$  are square matrices and  $C_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j + 1$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). Suppose that  $C \in M_n$  satisfies one of the conditions in Lemma 2.6. If  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  is a boundary point of  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , then the origin is a boundary point of  $W_C(P(\mu))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$  is a closed set in  $\mathbf{C}$  (Theorem 2.3(i)) and  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  is a boundary point of  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$ ,  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$  and  $\mu \notin \text{Int}(W_C[P(\lambda)])$ , where  $\text{Int}(S)$  denotes the set of interior points of  $S \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ . Hence, by (2.2),  $0 \in W_C(P(\mu))$ , and in view of Proposition 1.1(i), it is enough to show that  $0 \notin \text{Int}(W_C(P(\mu)))$ .

If  $0 \in \text{Int}(W_C(P(\mu)))$ , then there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(0, \varepsilon) := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq W_C(P(\mu)).$$

Now, let  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  be three distinct points of  $B(0, \varepsilon)$  such that  $0 \in \text{Int}(\text{Conv}(\{z_1, z_2, z_3\})) \subseteq W_C(P(\mu))$ , where  $\text{Conv}(S)$  denotes the convex hull of  $S \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ . Thus, there exist  $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that

$$\text{tr}(CU_i^*P(\mu)U_i) = z_i ; i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since  $\mu \notin \text{Int}(W_C[P(\lambda)])$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\mu_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$  of points in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus W_C[P(\lambda)]$  converging to  $\mu$ . We know that  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  and  $P(\cdot)$  are continuous functions. So,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(CU_i^*P(\mu_t)U_i) = z_i ; i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, by taking an small enough neighborhood  $B_i$  of  $z_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , there exists a  $N > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(CU_i^*P(\mu_N)U_i) &\in B_i; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and} \\ 0 &\in \operatorname{Conv}(\{\operatorname{tr}(CU_i^*P(\mu_N)U_i) : i = 1, 2, 3\}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,  $W_C(P(\mu_N))$  is convex. Hence, the last relation implies that  $0 \in W_C(P(\mu_N))$ . Consequently,  $\mu_N \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$  be given. It is clear that the matrix  $C = qE_{11} + \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}E_{12} \in M_n$  satisfies the condition (a) of Lemma 2.6. So, Theorem 2.7 is a generalization of Theorem 2.2 in [19].

Since  $0 \notin W_C(I)$ , by Theorem 2.4, the  $C$ -numerical range of a monic matrix polynomial is bounded, and so, at the end of this section, we investigate a circular annulus for the location and an inclusion-exclusion methodology for the estimation of the  $C$ -numerical range of monic matrix polynomials. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.4 in [19].

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$ , as in (1.1), be a monic matrix polynomial. Then

$$W_C[P(\lambda)] \subseteq \{z \in \mathbf{C} : r_1 \leq |z| \leq 1 + r_2\},$$

where  $r_1 = \frac{\tilde{r}_C(A_0)}{\tilde{r}_C(A_0) + \max_{k=1,2,\dots,m} r_C(A_k)}$  and  $r_2 = \max_{k=0,1,\dots,m-1} \frac{r_C(A_k)}{|\operatorname{tr}(C)|}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$ . Then, by Definition 2.2, there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{tr}(C)\mu^m + \operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_{m-1}U)\mu^{m-1} + \dots + \operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_1U)\mu + \operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_0U) = 0.$$

We will show that  $r_1 \leq |\mu| \leq 1 + r_2$ .

For the left inequality, since  $r_1 \leq 1$ , it is enough to consider the case  $|\mu| < 1$ . Note that  $r_C(A_m) = |\operatorname{tr}(C)|$ . So, in view of (2.3), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_C(A_0) &\leq |\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_0U)| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|\mu|}{1 - |\mu|}\right) \left(\max_{k=1,2,\dots,m} r_C(A_k)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{r}_C(A_0) \leq |\mu| \tilde{r}_C(A_0) + |\mu| \max_{k=1,2,\dots,m} r_C(A_k)$ , and so, the result holds.



For the right inequality, it is enough to consider the case  $|\mu| > 1$ . By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|^m &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\operatorname{tr}(CU^*A_kU)|}{|\operatorname{tr}(C)|} |\mu|^k \\ &\leq r_2\left(\frac{|\mu|^m - 1}{|\mu| - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result holds. □

For a given matrix  $C \in M_n$ , the  $C$ -spectral norm of  $A \in M_n$  is defined as

$$\|A\|_C = \max\{ |\operatorname{tr}(CUAV)| : U, V \in \mathcal{U}_n \}.$$

It is known, see e.g. [13] and its references, that the set  $\{ |\operatorname{tr}(CUAV)| : U, V \in \mathcal{U}_n \}$  is a circular disk at the origin with radius  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i(C)s_i(A)$ , where  $s_1(C) \geq s_2(C) \geq \dots \geq s_n(C)$  and  $s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A)$  are the singular values of  $C$  and  $A$ , respectively. So,  $\|A\|_C = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(C)s_i(A)$ . It is clear that  $\|\cdot\|_C$  is a unitarily invariant norm on  $M_n$ , and  $r_C(A) \leq \|A\|_C$ . For the case  $C = E_{11} \in M_n$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_C$  coincides with the spectral matrix norm,  $\|\cdot\|_2$  (i.e. the matrix norm subordinate to the Euclidean vector norm).

Now, we are ready to state the following theorem which is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [16]. Note that, the open circular disk with center at  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  and radius  $\rho > 0$  is denoted by  $S(\mu, \rho) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - \mu| < \rho\}$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$ , as in (1.1), be a monic matrix polynomial. If  $\mu \notin W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , then  $S(\mu, \rho_\mu) \cap W_C[P(\lambda)] = \emptyset$ , where*

$$\rho_\mu = \frac{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu))}{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu)) + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,m} \|\frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu)\|_C}.$$

*Proof.* Note that the relation  $\mu \notin W_C[P(\lambda)]$  implies that  $\rho_\mu > 0$ . By setting  $Q(\lambda) = P(\lambda + \mu) = B_m\lambda^m + B_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + B_1\lambda + B_0$ , we have  $B_j = \frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu)$ ;  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Now, let  $z \in W_C[Q(\lambda)]$  be given. Since  $Q(\lambda)$  is a monic matrix polynomial, Theorem 2.9 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |z| &\geq \frac{\tilde{r}_C(B_0)}{\tilde{r}_C(B_0) + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,m} r_C(B_j)} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu))}{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu)) + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,m} r_C(\frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r_C(\frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu)) \leq \|\frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu)\|_C$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , the above inequality implies that  $|z| \geq \rho_\mu$ . Therefore,  $W_C[Q(\lambda)] \cap S(0, \rho_\mu) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.3(ii),  $W_C[Q(\lambda)] = W_C[P(\lambda)] - \mu$ , and hence the result holds.  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$ , as in (1.1), be a monic matrix polynomial. Since  $r_C(A_j) \leq \|A_j\|_C$ ;  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , Theorem 2.9 implies that

$$W_C[P(\lambda)] \subseteq S(0, 1 + \max_{j=0,1,\dots,m-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n s_i(C)s_i(A_j)}{|\text{tr}(C)|}) =: \Omega.$$

By, using Theorem 2.10, we can give the following algorithm to approximate the shape of  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$ .

Algorithm:

Step i: construct a gride  $G_\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ ;

Step ii: For every gride point  $\mu \in G_\Omega$ , repeat the following:

- (a) If  $\mu \notin W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , or equivalently, if  $0 \notin W_C(P(\mu))$ , then compute  $\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu))$  and the matrices  $B_j = \frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu)$ ;  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$
- (b) construct the open circular disk  $S(\mu, \rho_\mu)$  with radius

$$\rho_\mu = \frac{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu))}{\tilde{r}_C(P(\mu)) + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,m} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(C)s_i(\frac{1}{j!}P^{(j)}(\mu))};$$

Step iii: The set  $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \in G_\Omega, 0 \notin W_C(P(\mu))} S(\mu, \rho_\mu)$  is an approximation for the shape of  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$ .

### 3. Joint $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials

Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda) = A_m\lambda^m + A_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1\lambda + A_0$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). The joint  $C$ -numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$  is defined as the joint  $C$ -numerical range of  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$ , namely [2],

$$\begin{aligned} JW_C[P(\lambda)] &:= W_C(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m) \\ &= \{ ( \text{tr}(CU^*A_0U), \dots, \text{tr}(CU^*A_mU) ) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $JW_C[P(\lambda)]$  can be viewed as the range of the continuous function

$$U \longmapsto ( \text{tr}(CU^*A_0U), \text{tr}(CU^*A_1U), \dots, \text{tr}(CU^*A_mU) )$$

from the compact connected set  $\mathcal{U}_n$  to  $\mathbf{C}^{m+1}$ , one easily gets that  $JW_C[P(\lambda)]$  is a compact and connected set in  $\mathbf{C}^{m+1}$ . Also, for the case

$C = E_{11} \in M_n$ , we have

$$JW_C[P(\lambda)] = \{ (x^* A_0 x, \dots, x^* A_m x) : x \in \mathbf{C}^n, x^* x = 1 \},$$

which is the joint numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$ ; see [18] for more information. So, the joint  $C$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials is a generalization of the joint numerical range.

In the following theorem, the relationship between the  $C$ -numerical range of  $P(\lambda)$  and the joint  $C$ -numerical range of its coefficients is stated. Also, using the  $C$ -numerical range of diagonal matrix polynomials, we can approximate the shape of the  $C$ -numerical range of any matrix polynomial. For the case  $C = E_{11} \in M_n$ , see [18].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). Then the following assertions are true:*

- (i)  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = \{ \mu \in \mathbf{C} : a_m \mu^m + \dots + a_1 \mu + a_0 = 0, (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in W_C(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m) \}$ ;
- (ii)  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = \bigcup W_C[D(\lambda)]$ , where the union is taken over all diagonal matrix polynomials  $D(\lambda)$  of degree  $m$  and order  $n$  such that  $JW_C[D(\lambda)] \subseteq JW_C[P(\lambda)]$ .

*Proof.* The result in part (i) follows easily from Definition 2.2 and the definition of joint  $C$ -numerical range of  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$ .

To prove (ii), by (i),  $\supseteq$  is clear. Let now  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$  be given. By (i), there exists a  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in JW_C[P(\lambda)]$  such that  $a_m \mu^m + \dots + a_1 \mu + a_0 = 0$ . Let  $D(\lambda) = \frac{a_m}{\text{tr}(C)} I \lambda^m + \dots + \frac{a_1}{\text{tr}(C)} I \lambda + \frac{a_0}{\text{tr}(C)} I$ , then we have  $JW_C[D(\lambda)] = \{ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \} \subseteq JW_C[P(\lambda)]$ , and  $\mu \in W_C[D(\lambda)]$ . Hence, the proof of  $\subseteq$  is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). If  $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in JW_C[P(\lambda)]$ , then  $W_C[P(\lambda)] = \mathbf{C}$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). Suppose that  $C \in M_n$  satisfies one of the conditions in Lemma 2.6. Then*

$$W_C[P(\lambda)] = \{ \mu \in \mathbf{C} : a_m \mu^m + \dots + a_1 \mu + a_0 = 0, (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \text{Conv}(W_C(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m)) \},$$

where  $\text{Conv}(\cdot)$  denotes the convex hull.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1(i),  $\subseteq$  is clear.

For the opposite inclusion, let  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $a_m \mu^m + \dots + a_1 \mu + a_0 = 0$  for some  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \text{Conv}(W_C(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m))$ . So, there

are nonnegative real numbers  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  summing to 1, and unitary matrices  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{j=1}^k t_j (\operatorname{tr}(CU_j^* A_0 U_j), \dots, \operatorname{tr}(CU_j^* A_m U_j)).$$

So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \mu^i &= \sum_{i=0}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^k t_j \operatorname{tr}(CU_j^* A_i U_j) \right) \mu^i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k t_j \left( \sum_{i=0}^m \operatorname{tr}(CU_j^* A_i U_j) \mu^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k t_j \operatorname{tr}(CU_j^* P(\mu) U_j) \\ &\in \operatorname{Conv}(W_C(P(\mu))). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,  $W_C(P(\mu))$  is convex, and hence  $\operatorname{Conv}(W_C(P(\mu))) = W_C(P(\mu))$ . Thus, the above relations show that  $0 \in W_C(P(\mu))$ . Therefore,  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Finally, we show that every interior point of  $JW_C[P(\lambda)]$  produces an interior point of  $W_C[P(\lambda)]$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $C \in M_n$ , and  $P(\lambda)$  be a matrix polynomial as in (1.1). If  $a_m \mu^m + \dots + a_1 \mu + a_0 = 0$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \operatorname{Int}(JW_C[P(\lambda)])$ , then  $\mu \in \operatorname{Int}(W_C[P(\lambda)])$ . Here,  $\operatorname{Int}(S)$  denotes the set of all interior points of  $S \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis and Theorem 3.1(i),  $\mu \in W_C[P(\lambda)]$ . Also, there exist complex numbers  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}$  such that for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_m \lambda^m + \dots + a_1 \lambda + a_0 &= (\lambda - \mu)(b_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 \lambda + b_0) \\ &= b_{m-1} \lambda^m + (b_{m-2} - \mu b_{m-1}) \lambda^{m-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + (b_0 - \mu b_1) \lambda + (-b_0 \mu) \\ &= c_m(\mu) \lambda^m + c_{m-1}(\mu) \lambda^{m-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + c_1(\mu) \lambda + c_0(\mu), \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

where by setting  $b_{-1} = b_m = 0$ ,  $c_j(\mu) := b_{j-1} - \mu b_j = a_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

Now, we will show that  $\mu \in \text{Int}(W_C[P(\lambda)])$ .

If  $\mu \notin \text{Int}(W_C[P(\lambda)])$ , then there exists a sequence

$$\{\mu_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{C} \setminus W_C[P(\lambda)],$$

such that  $\mu_t \rightarrow \mu$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (c_0(\mu_t), \dots, c_m(\mu_t)) = (a_0, \dots, a_m). \quad (**)$$

In view of (\*), we have

$$c_m(\mu_t)\lambda^m + \dots + c_1(\mu_t)\lambda + c_0(\mu_t) = (\lambda - \mu_t)(b_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + b_1\lambda + b_0),$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{N}$ . So,

$$c_m(\mu_t)\mu_t^m + c_{m-1}(\mu_t)\mu_t^{m-1} + \dots + c_1(\mu_t)\mu_t + c_0(\mu_t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Since  $\mu_t \notin W_C[P(\lambda)]$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{N}$ , by Theorem 3.1(i),

$$(c_0(\mu_t), \dots, c_m(\mu_t)) \notin JW_C[P(\lambda)] \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Therefore, relation (\*\*) shows that  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \notin \text{Int}(JW_C[P(\lambda)])$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The first author has been supported by the Center of Excellence in Linear Algebra and Optimization, and Mahani Mathematical Research Center of Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran. The authors wish to express their gratitude to anonymous referees for useful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Gh. Aghamollaei and A. Salemi, Polynomial numerical hulls of matrix polynomials, II, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **59** (2011), no. 3, 291–302.
- [2] Y. H. Au-Yeung and N. K. Tsing, Some theorems on the generalized numerical ranges, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **15** (1984), no. 1, 3–11.
- [3] C. A. Beattie, M. Embree and D. C. Sorenson, Convergence of polynomial restart Krylov methods for eigenvalue computations, *SIAM Rev.* **47** (2003), no. 3, 492–515.
- [4] W. S. Cheung and N. K. Tsing, The  $C$ -numerical range of matrices is star-shaped, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **41** (1996), no. 3, 245–250.
- [5] M. T. Chien, H. Nakazato and P. Psarrakos, On the  $q$ -numerical range of matrices and matrix polynomials, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **53** (2005), no. 5, 357–374.

- [6] G. Dirr, U. Helmke and M. Kleinstaubler, Schulte-Herbruggen, Relative  $C$ -numerical ranges for applications in quantum control and quantum information, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **56** (2008), no. 1-2, 27–51.
- [7] M. Eiermann, Field of values and iterative methods, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **180** (1993) 167–197.
- [8] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, Matrix Polynomials, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1982.
- [9] M. Goldberg and E. G. Straus, Elementary inclusion relations for generalized numerical ranges, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **18** (1977), no. 1, 1–24.
- [10] M. Goldberg and E. Tadmor, On the numerical radius and its applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **42** (1982) 263–284.
- [11] K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, Numerical Range: The Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices, Springer-Verlage, New York, 1997.
- [12] R. Horn and C. Johnson, Topics in Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [13] C. K. Li,  $C$ -numerical ranges and  $C$ -numerical radii, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **37** (1994), no. 1-3, 51–82.
- [14] C. K. Li, P. P. Mehta and L. Rodman, A generalized numerical range: The range of a constrained sesquilinear form, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **37** (1994), no. 1-3, 24–49.
- [15] C. K. Li and L. Rodman, Numerical range of matrix polynomials, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **15** (1994), no. 4, 1256–1265.
- [16] P. Psarrakos, On the estimation of the  $q$ -numerical range of monic matrix polynomials, *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.* **17** (2004) 1–10.
- [17] P. Psarrakos, The  $q$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials, II, *Bull. Greek Math. Soc.* **45** (2001) 3–15.
- [18] P. Psarrakos and M. J. Tsatsomeros, On the relation between the numerical range and the joint numerical range of matrix polynomials, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **6** (2000) 20–30.
- [19] P. Psarrakos and P. Vlamos, The  $q$ -numerical range of matrix polynomials, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **47** (2000), no. 1, 1–9.
- [20] A. Salemi and Gh. Aghamollaei, Polynomial numerical hulls of matrix polynomials, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **55** (2007), no. 3, 219–228.
- [21] T. Schulte-Herbruggen, T. Dirr, H. Gunther, G. Uwe and J. Steffen, The significance of the  $C$ -numerical range and the local  $C$ -numerical range in quantum control and quantum information, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **56** (2008), no. 1-2, 3–26.
- [22] L. N. Trefethen and M. Embree, Spectra and Pseudospectra, The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.

### Gholamreza Aghamollaei

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, P.O. Box 76169-14111, Kerman, Iran

E-mail: aghamollaei@uk.ac.ir, gh\_ghamollaei@yahoo.com

**Narjes Avizeh**

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, P.O. Box 76169-14111, Kerman, Iran

E-mail: [avizeh.narjes@yahoo.com](mailto:avizeh.narjes@yahoo.com)

**Yaser Jahanshahi**

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, P.O. Box 76169-14111, Kerman, Iran

E-mail: [yaser\\_j1986@yahoo.com](mailto:yaser_j1986@yahoo.com)