Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 39 No. 6 (2013), pp 1053-1063.

## ANNIHILATOR-SMALL SUBMODULES

### T. AMOUZEGAR-KALATI AND D. KESKIN-TÜTÜNCÜ\*

Communicated by Bernhard Keller

ABSTRACT. Let  $M_R$  be a module with  $S = \text{End}(M_R)$ . We call a submodule K of  $M_R$  annihilator-small if K + T = M, T a submodule of  $M_R$ , implies that  $\ell_S(T) = 0$ , where  $\ell_S$  indicates the left annihilator of T over S. The sum  $A_R(M)$  of all such submodules of  $M_R$  contains the Jacobson radical Rad(M) and the left singular submodule  $Z_S(M)$ . If  $M_R$  is cyclic, then  $A_R(M)$  is the unique largest annihilator-small submodule of  $M_R$ . We study  $A_R(M)$  and  $K_S(M)$  in this paper. Conditions when  $A_R(M)$  is annihilator-small and  $K_S(M) = J(S) = \text{Tot}(M, M)$  are given.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and modules are unitary right modules. Let  $M_R$  be any module. The endomorphism ring End(M) of the right R-module M will be denoted by S. We abbreviate the Jacobson radical as Rad(M) for any right R-module M. The notations  $N \subseteq^{ess} M$  and  $N \subseteq^{max} M$  mean respectively that a submodule N of M is essential and maximal in the module  $M_R$ . The left annihilator of any submodule X of M is denoted by  $\ell_S(X)$  while the right annihilator of any endomorphism f of M, namely the kernel of f, is denoted by  $r_M(f)$ .

MSC(2010): Primary: 16D10; Secondary: 16D80.

Keywords: Small submodules, annihilators, annihilator-small submodules.

Received: 2 December 2011, Accepted: 25 September 2012.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>© 2013</sup> Iranian Mathematical Society.

<sup>1053</sup> 

In [3], Nicholson and Zhou defined annihilator-small right (left) ideals. In this work, inspired by this nice work we introduce annihilator-small submodules of any right *R*-module *M*. Let  $M_R$  be a module and  $K \subseteq M_R$  a submodule of  $M_R$ . We say that *K* is an *annihilator-small* submodule of  $M_R$  if K+X = M, *X* a submodule of  $M_R$ , implies that  $\ell_S(X) = 0$ . Clearly every small submodule is annihilator-small. In Proposition 2.2, we prove that the converse is true if  $M_R$  is a coretractable module. Let  $M_R$  be a semi-projective module and  $k \in S$ . Then we prove the following which generalizes [3, Lemma 4]:

The submodule k(M) is annihilator-small in  $M_R$  if and only if  $bk(M) \subsetneq b(M)$  for all  $0 \neq b \in S$  if and only if  $\ell_S(1_S - k_S) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  if and only if  $\ell_S(1_S - s_K) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  if and only if  $\ell_S(k - k_S k) = \ell_S(k)$  for all  $s \in S$  (see Lemma 2.7).

In this note our aim is to generalize the other results of [3] from the ring case to the module case in light of Lemma 2.7. For example, we examine when the equalities  $J(S) = K_S(M) = \text{Tot}(M, M)$  are satisfied. As we mentioned in the abstract we study  $A_R(M)$  which is the sum of all annihilator-small submodules of  $M_R$ . Relevant with it we prove Proposition 3.5 as a generalization of [3, Theorem 11].

### 2. Annihilator-small submodules

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a submodule K of a module  $M_R$  is annihilator-small (a-small) if K + X = M, X a submodule of  $M_R$ , implies that  $\ell_S(X) = 0$  where S = End(M). In this case, we write  $K \ll_a M$ .

It is clear that every small submodule is a-small, but the converse is not true in general (consider the submodule  $n\mathbb{Z}$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

An *R*-module  $M_R$  is called *coretractable* if, for any proper submodule K of M, there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $f: M \to M$  with f(K) = 0, that is, Hom  $(M/K, M) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $M_R$  be a coretractable module. If  $K \ll_a M$ , then  $K \ll M$ .

*Proof.* Let K + X = M for any submodule X of M. By hypothesis,  $\ell_S(X) = 0$ . But  $M_R$  is coretractable, thus X = M, and so  $K \ll M$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $M_R$  be a module. If  $N \subseteq K \ll_a M$ , where N is a submodule of M, then  $N \ll_a M$ .

Proof. Clear.

Let  $M_R$  be any module. We set  $Z_S(M) = \{m \in M \mid \ell_S(m) = \ell_S(mR) \subseteq S_S\}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** If K is an a-small submodule of a finitely generated module  $M_R$ , then so is  $K + Rad(M) + Z_S(M)$ .

Proof. Let  $(K + \operatorname{Rad}(M) + Z_S(M)) + X = M$  where X is a submodule of  $M_R$ . Since  $\operatorname{Rad}(M) \ll M$ ,  $K + Z_S(M) + X = M$ . Assume that  $M_R = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i R$ . Now,  $k_i + z_i + x_i = a_i$  where  $k_i \in K$ ,  $z_i \in Z_S(M)$ ,  $x_i \in X$ . Hence  $K + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i R + X = M$ . Thus  $0 = \ell_S(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i R + X) =$  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ell_S(z_i R)) \cap \ell_S(X)$  since  $K \ll_a M$ . As  $\ell_S(z_i) \subseteq^{ess} S$ , we have  $\ell_S(X) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** If T is a submodule of  $M_R$  and  $\ell_S(T) \subseteq^{ess} {}_{SS}$ , then  $r_M \ell_S(T) \ll_a M_R$ . In particular,  $T \ll_a M_R$ .

Proof. Let  $r_M \ell_S(T) + X = M$ . Then  $0 = \ell_S(M) = \ell_S r_M \ell_S(T) \cap \ell_S(X) = \ell_S(T) \cap \ell_S(X)$ , so  $\ell_S(X) = 0$  since  $\ell_S(T) \subseteq^{ess} S$ . The last observation is by Lemma 2.3 since  $T \subseteq r_M \ell_S(T)$  always holds.

Note that the converse of Lemma 2.5 is true if  $r_M[\ell_S(T) \cap Sb] = r_M\ell_S(T) + r_M(b)$  holds for all submodules T of  $M_R$  and all  $b \in S$ . To see this, let  $\ell_S(T) \cap Sb = 0$  for an element b of S. Then  $r_M\ell_S(T) + r_M(b) = M$ , so  $\ell_S r_M(b) = 0$  since  $r_M\ell_S(T) \ll_a M_R$ . Hence b = 0 because  $Sb \subseteq \ell_S r_M(b)$ , proving that  $\ell_S(T) \subseteq sS$ .

Following Wisbauer [5, p. 261], an *R*-module  $M_R$  is called *semi-injective* if for any  $f \in S$ ,

$$Sf = \ell_S(ker(f)) = \ell_S(r_M(f))$$

(equivalently, for any monomorphism  $f: N \to M$ , where N is a factor module of  $M_R$ , and for any homomorphism  $g: N \to M$ , there exists  $h: M \to M$  such that hf = g).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M_R$  be a coretractable semi-injective module and T a submodule of  $M_R$ . Then  $\ell_S(T) \subseteq {}^{ess} {}_{SS}$  if  $T \ll_a M$ .

*Proof.* This follows by Proposition 2.2 and [1, Proposition 4.5].

Recall that a module  $M_R$  is called *semi-projective* if for any epimorphism  $f: M \to N$ , where N is a submodule of  $M_R$ , and for any homomorphism  $g: M \to N$ , there exists  $h: M \to M$  such that fh = g.

**Lemma 2.7.** Consider the following conditions for a right *R*-module *M* and  $k \in S$ :

(1)  $k(M) \ll_a M_R$ . (2)  $bk(M) \subsetneqq b(M)$  for all  $0 \neq b \in S$ . (3)  $\ell_S(1_S - k_S) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . (4)  $\ell_S(1_S - sk) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . (5)  $\ell_S(k - k_Sk) = \ell_S(k)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5)$ . If  $M_R$  is semi-projective, then  $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume that  $b \in S$  and bk(M) = b(M). Let  $m \in M$ . Then b(m) = bk(m') for some  $m' \in M$ . Hence  $m - k(m') \in r_M(b)$ . Therefore  $m \in r_M(b) + k(M)$ . Namely,  $M = r_M(b) + k(M)$ . Since  $k(M) \ll_a M_R$ ,  $\ell_S r_M(b) = 0$ . As  $Sb \subseteq \ell_S r_M(b)$ , b = 0.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let  $s \in S$  and  $b \in \ell_S(1_S - k_S)$ . Then b = bks implies that  $b(M) = bks(M) \subseteq bk(M)$ . By (2), b = 0.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let  $s \in S$  and  $b \in \ell_S(1_S - sk)$ . Then  $b(1_S - sk) = 0$  implies that  $bs(1_S - ks) = b(s - sks) = b(1_S - sk)s = 0$ . Hence bs = 0 by (3), and so b = bsk = 0.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  Let  $s \in S$  and  $b \in \ell_S(k - ksk)$ . By (4), bk = 0. Hence  $b \in \ell_S(k)$ . The other inclusion always holds.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$  Assume that  $M_R$  is semi-projective. Let M = k(M) + Xfor a submodule X of  $M_R$ . Let  $b \in \ell_S(X)$  and  $m \in M$ . Then there exist  $m' \in M$  and  $x \in X$  such that m = k(m') + x. Now b(m) = bk(m'), and so b(M) = bk(M). Since  $M_R$  is semi-projective, there exists a homomorphism  $s \in S$  such that bks = b. Note that b(k - ksk) = 0. Hence  $b \in \ell_S(k - ksk) = \ell_S(k)$ . Therefore bk = 0, and hence b = 0.  $\Box$ 

Note that condition 2 in Lemma 2.7 implies that if  $k(M) \ll_a M_R$  and  $k \in S$  is not nilpotent, then  $k(M) \supseteq k^2(M) \supseteq k^3(M) \supseteq \cdots$  is strictly decreasing.

**Corollary 2.8.** (See [3, Lemma 4]) If R is a ring, then the following are equivalent for  $k \in R$ :

- (1)  $kR \ll_a R_R$ , namely if R = kR + X, X a right ideal of R, then  $\ell_R(X) = 0$ .
- (2)  $bR \supseteq bkR$  for all  $0 \neq b \in R$ .
- (3)  $\ell_R(1-kr) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ .
- (4)  $\ell_R(1-rk) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ .
- (5)  $\ell_R(k krk) = \ell_R(k)$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Let us define  $K_S(M) = \{s \in S \mid s(M) \ll_a M_R\}$  for any module  $M_R$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $M_R$  be a module and  $k \in K_S(M)$ . Then  $kS \subseteq K_S(M)$ . If  $M_R$  is semi-projective, then  $Sk \subseteq K_S(M)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3,  $kS \subseteq K_S(M)$ . Now assume that  $M_R$  is semiprojective. Let  $s \in S$ . We show that  $sk(M) \ll_a M_R$ . Let  $g \in S$ . Then  $\ell_S(1_S - gsk) = 0$  since  $k(M) \ll_a M_R$ , by Lemma 2.7(4). Again by Lemma 2.7(4),  $sk(M) \ll_a M_R$ . Hence  $Sk \subseteq K_S(M)$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** We have  $K_S(M) \subseteq r_S(Soc(S_S))$ . Moreover,  $J(S) \subseteq K_S(M)$  provided that  $M_R$  is semi-projective.

Proof. Let  $s \in K_S(M)$ . We need to show that  $\operatorname{Soc}(S_S)s = 0$ . Let  $0 \neq t \in \operatorname{Soc}(S_S)$ . Then  $t \in S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_n$ , where  $S_1, \cdots, S_n$  are the simple right ideals of S. Assume  $ts \neq 0$  and  $t = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_n$  where  $t_i \in S_i$ . Then  $t_i s \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ . Since  $S_i$  is simple,  $t_i s S = S_i$ . Now,  $t_i = t_i s \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in S$ . Then  $t_i(1_S - s\alpha) = 0$ , namely  $t_i \in \ell_S(1_S - s\alpha)$ . Since  $s(M) \ll_a M$ ,  $\ell_S(1_S - s\alpha) = 0$  by Lemma 2.7, hence  $t_i = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus ts = 0. So we proved that  $\operatorname{Soc}(S_S)K_S(M) = 0$ , hence  $K_S(M) \subseteq r_S(\operatorname{Soc}(S_S))$ .

Now let  $k \in J(S)$ . We show that  $k \in K_S(M)$ . Let  $s \in S$ . Take  $\alpha \in \ell_S(1_S - k_S)$ . Then  $\alpha(1_S - k_S) = 0$ . Since  $1_S - k_S$  is invertible,  $\alpha = 0$ . Thus  $\ell_S(1_S - k_S) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $k \in K_S(M)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $M_R$  be a quasi-projective module. Then  $K_S(M) = J(S) = \nabla(M)$ , where  $\nabla(M) = \{\phi \in S \mid Im\phi \ll M\}$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in K_S(M)$ . We show that  $fS \ll S_S$ . Let I + fS = Sfor a right ideal  $I \subseteq S$ . Then 1 = fs + g for some  $s \in S, g \in I$ and  $M = fs(M) + g(M) \subseteq f(M) + g(M)$ . Then the composition  $M \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{\rho} M/g(M)$  is an epimorphism and there exists  $\lambda \in S$  with  $\rho = \rho f \lambda$ . This means that  $\rho(1 - f\lambda) = 0$ . Since  $f(M) \ll_a M$ , by Lemma 2.7,  $\ell_S(1 - f\lambda) = 0$ . Thus  $\rho = 0$ , namely g(M) = M. As  $M_R$ is quasi-projective, there exists  $h \in S$  with 1 = gh which means I = S. Now we have the equalities by using Corollary 2.10 and [2, 4.25].  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.12.** Let  $M_R$  be a module and  $f \in S$ . If  $f(M) \ll_a M_R$ , then  $fS \ll_a S_S$ . The converse is true if  $M_R$  is semi-projective.

*Proof.* First, assume that  $f(M) \ll_a M$ . Let S = fS + I where I is a right ideal of S. Then  $1_S = fs + x$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $x \in I$ . Hence M = fs(M) + x(M) = f(M) + x(M). Since  $f(M) \ll_a M$ ,  $\ell_S(x(M)) = 0$ . Thus  $\ell_S(IM) = 0$ , and so  $\ell_S(I) = 0$ . Therefore  $fS \ll_a S_S$ . Conversely, let  $fS \ll_a S_S$ . By Corollary 2.8,  $\ell_S(f - fsf) = \ell_S(f)$  for all  $s \in S$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $f(M) \ll_a M_R$ . 

**Corollary 2.13.** Let  $M_R$  be any module. If  $f^2 = f \in K_S(M)$ , then f = 0.

*Proof.* Observe that by Lemma 2.7 (4)  $f(M) \ll_a M_R$  implies  $\ell_S(1_S - M_R)$ f = 0. Since  $f \in \ell_S(1_S - f), f = 0.$  $\square$ 

**Corollary 2.14.** Let  $M_R$  be any module. The following are equivalent for a maximal left ideal I of S = End(M):

- (1)  $r_M(I) \ll_a M_R.$ (2)  $I \subseteq^{ess} {}_SS.$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $r_M(I) \ll_a M_R$ . Assume that I is not essential in  $_{SS}$ . Then there exists a nonzero left ideal J of S such that  $I \cap J = 0$ . Since I is a maximal left ideal of S, then I is a direct summand of SS. So, there exists an idempotent  $e \in S$  such that I = Se. Hence  $r_M(I) = (1-e)(M) \ll_a M$ . Then  $1-e \in K_S(M)$ . By Corollary 2.13, e = 1, a contradiction.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $I \subseteq ^{ess} _{SS}$ . Let  $M = r_M(I) + X$  for a submodule X of  $M_R$ . Then  $\ell_S(M) = 0 = \ell_S r_M(I) \cap \ell_S(X)$  implies that  $I \cap \ell_S(X) = 0$ . Since I is essential in  ${}_{S}S$ ,  $\ell_{S}(X) = 0$ . 

Let f be an element in S. Then f is said to be partially invertible if, fS (equivalently, Sf) contains a nonzero idempotent.

For an *R*-module  $M_R$ , the total of  $M_R$  is defined as

 $Tot(S) = Tot(M, M) = \{ f \in S \mid f \text{ is not partially invertible} \}.$ 

The total may not be closed under addition. In fact, if 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in S, then total of  $M_R$  is the set of non-isomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.15.** If  $M_R$  is a module, then  $K_S(M) \subseteq Tot(M, M)$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \in K_S(M)$  but  $f \notin Tot(M, M)$ , then f is partially invertible. So, there exists  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in fS$ . By Corollary 2.9,  $e \in K_S(M)$ , which contradicts Corollary 2.13. 

If I is a subset of a ring R, then R is said to be *I-semipotent* if every right (equivalently, left) ideal not contained in I contains a nonzero idempotent, equivalently if every element  $a \notin I$  has a partial inverse. A ring R is called *semipotent* if R is J(R)-semipotent.

**Lemma 2.16.** Let I be a subset of  $S = End(M_R)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(1) S is I-semipotent. (2)  $Tot(M, M) \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* See [3, Lemma 20].

Let U be a submodule of an R-module  $M_R$ . The module  $M_R$  is called U-semipotent if, for every submodule A of M such that  $A \not\subseteq U$ , there exists a nonzero idempotent  $e : M \to M$  such that  $e(M) \subseteq A$  and  $e(M) \not\subseteq U$ . Clearly R is a semipotent ring if and only if  $R_R$  is J(R)-semipotent (see [4, Definition 2.5]).

**Lemma 2.17.** Let U be a submodule of a semi-projective module  $M_R$ . If M is U-semipotent, then  $Tot(M, M)M \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \text{Tot}(M, M)$ . If  $a(M) \notin U$ , then by hypothesis, there exists a nonzero idempotent  $e : M \to M$  such that  $e(M) \subseteq a(M)$  and  $e(M) \notin U$ . Since  $M_R$  is semi-projective, there exists  $f : M \to M$  such that af = e, it is a contradiction. Therefore  $a(M) \subseteq U$ , hence  $\text{Tot}(M, M)M \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition 2.18.** Let  $S = End(M_R)$  for any module  $M_R$ . Then S is semipotent if and only if J(S) = Tot(M, M).

*Proof.* See [3, Theorem 21].

**Proposition 2.19.** Let  $S = End(M_R)$  for any semi-projective module  $M_R$ . Then  $J(S) = K_S(M) = Tot(M, M)$  if S is semipotent.

Proof. By Corollary 2.10,  $J(S) \subseteq K_S(M)$ . Let  $s \in K_S(M)$ . If  $s \notin J(S)$ , then since S is J(S)-semipotent,  $K_S(M)$  have a nonzero idempotent, which is a contradiction (see Corollary 2.13). Thus  $J(S) = K_S(M)$ . By Proposition 2.15,  $K_S(M) \subseteq \text{Tot}(M, M)$ . On the other hand, S is  $K_S(M)$ -semipotent since  $J(S) = K_S(M)$ . So by Lemma 2.16,  $\text{Tot}(M, M) \subseteq K_S(M)$  (also see Proposition 2.18).

**Proposition 2.20.** Let  $S = End(M_R)$  for any semi-projective module  $M_R$  in which  $\ell_S(a) = 0$ ,  $a \in S$ , implies aS = S. Then  $K_S(M) = J(S)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $J(S) \subseteq K_S(M)$  by Corollary 2.10. Let  $k \in K_S(M)$ . Then  $k(M) \ll_a M$ , so  $\ell_S(1_S - k_S) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  by Lemma 2.7. Hence  $(1_S - k_S)S = S$  by hypothesis. Thus  $k \in J(S)$ .

A ring R is called right Kasch if each simple right R-module embeds in R; equivalently, if  $\ell_R(T) \neq 0$  for every (maximal) right ideal T of R. Call R left principally injective if every R-linear map  $Ra \to R$ ,  $a \in R$ ,

extends to  $R \to R$ ; equivalently if aR is a right annihilator in R for each  $a \in R$ . Finally, call R a left  $C_2$  ring if every left ideal that is isomorphic to a direct summand of RR is itself a direct summand of RR.

**Example 2.21.** In each of the following cases we have  $J(S) = K_S(M)$  for a semi-projective module  $M_R$ :

- (1) S is semipotent.
- (2) S is right Kasch.
- (3) S is left principally injective.
- (4) S is a left  $C_2$  ring.

*Proof.* (1) Follows by Proposition 2.19.

(2) Let  $a \in S$  and  $\ell_S(a) = 0$ . If  $aS \neq S$ , then  $\ell_S(aS) \neq 0$  by (2); that is,  $\ell_S(a) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus by Proposition 2.20,  $J(S) = K_S(M)$ .

(3) Let  $a \in S$  and  $\ell_S(a) = 0$ . By (3),  $aS = r_S(X)$ . Then  $X \subseteq \ell_S(a) = 0$ , so  $aS = r_S(X) = S$ . Thus by Proposition 2.20,  $J(S) = K_S(M)$ .

(4) Let  $a \in S$  and  $\ell_S(a) = 0$ . Then  $Sa \cong S$ . By (4), Sa is a direct summand of S. Then a = aba for some element b of S. But then  $0 = \ell_S(a) = \ell_S(ab) = S(1_S - ab)$ . Now  $ab = 1_S$  and  $S = (ab)S \oplus (1_S - ab)S$  imply that S = aS. By Proposition 2.20,  $K_S(M) = J(S)$ .

# 3. The submodule $A_R(M)$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let M = mR, where  $m \in M$ , be a cyclic *R*-module. Then the following are equivalent for  $k \in M$ :

- (1)  $kR \ll_a M$ .
- (2)  $f(kR) \subseteq f(M)$  for all  $0 \neq f \in S$ .
- (3)  $\ell_S(m-kr) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If f(kR) = f(M), then f(m) = f(kr) for some  $r \in R$ . Thus  $f \in \ell_S(m - kr)$ . But kR + (m - kr)R = mR = M. So, by (1),  $\ell_S(m - kr) = 0$ . Thus f = 0.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  If  $f \in \ell_S(m - kr)$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $f(m) = f(kr) \subseteq f(kR)$ . By (2), f = 0.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If kR + X = M, where X is a submodule of  $M_R$ , then m = kr + x,  $r \in R$ ,  $x \in X$ . If  $f \in \ell_S(X)$ , then f(m) = f(kr). So  $f \in \ell_S(m - kr)$ . Hence f = 0 by (3).

Let  $M_R$  be a module. An element  $k \in M$  is called *a-small* if  $kR \ll_a M$ . For convenience, define

$$K_R(M) = \{k \in M \mid k \text{ is a - small in } M\} = \{k \in M \mid kR \ll_a M\}.$$

Note that  $K_R(M)$  may not be closed under addition: for example, consider -2 and 3 in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let M = mR be a cyclic *R*-module and *K* any submodule of  $M_R$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) K is a-small in M.
- (2)  $K \subseteq K_R(M)$ .
- (3)  $\ell_S(m-k) = 0$  for every  $k \in K$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) By Lemma 2.3.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Lemma 3.1.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let K + X = M, where X is a submodule of  $M_R$ . If  $m = k + x, k \in K, x \in X$ , then  $\ell_S(X) \subseteq \ell_S(m - k) = 0$  by (3). Hence  $K \ll_a M$ .

The sum of a-small submodules need not be a-small: for example, consider  $3\mathbb{Z} + (-2)\mathbb{Z}$  in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $M_R$  be a module. We define

$$A_R(M) = \sum \{ K \le M_R \mid K \ll_a M \}.$$

Clearly,  $K_R(M) \subseteq A_R(M)$  in every right *R*-module  $M_R$ , but this may not be equality (consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $M_R$  be a module. Then:

- (1)  $A_R(M) = \{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \mid x_i \in K_R(M) \text{ for each } i, n \ge 1\}.$
- (2)  $A_R(M) = K_R(M)R$ .
- (3)  $Rad(M) \subseteq K_R(M)$  and  $Z_S(M) \subseteq K_R(M)$ .

Proof. (1) Set  $X = \{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \mid x_i \in K_R(M) \text{ for each } i, n \geq 1\}$ . If  $x \in A_R(M)$ , then  $x \in X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  where  $X_i \ll_a M_R$  for each i. If  $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ ,  $x_i \in X_i$ , then  $x_i R \ll_a M_R$  by Lemma 2.3. Hence  $x_i \in K_R(M)$  for each i. Thus  $A_R(M) \subseteq X$ . It is easy to see that  $X \subseteq A_R(M)$ .

(2) Follows by (1) and the fact that  $K_R(M) \subseteq A_R(M)$ .

(3) Let  $x \in \operatorname{Rad}(M)$ . Then  $xR \ll M$  and hence  $xR \ll_a M$ . So  $x \in K_R(M)$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Rad}(M) \subseteq K_R(M)$ . Now let  $y \in Z_S(M)$ . Then  $\ell_S(y) = \ell_S(yR) \subseteq^{ess} S$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $yR \ll_a M$ . So  $y \in K_R(M)$ . Therefore  $Z_S(M) \subseteq K_R(M)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $M_R$  be a coretractable module. Then  $Rad(M) = A_R(M) = K_R(M)$ . Moreover, if  $M_R$  is semi-injective, then  $Rad(M) = A_R(M) = K_R(M) = r_M(Soc(_SS)) = Z_S(M)$ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.2,  $\operatorname{Rad}(M) = A_R(M) = K_R(M)$ . Now suppose that  $M_R$  is semi-injective. Then by [1, Corollary 4.7],  $\operatorname{Rad}(M) = A_R(M) = K_R(M) = r_M(\operatorname{Soc}(_SS))$ . Now, let  $x \in K_R(M)$ . Then  $xR \ll_a M$ . By Proposition 2.6,  $\ell_S(xR) \subseteq^{ess} _SS$ . Thus  $x \in Z_S(M)$ . Hence  $Z_S(M) = K_R(M)$  by Proposition 3.3(3).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $M_R$  be a module. Consider the following conditions:

(1) If  $K \ll_a M$  and  $L \ll_a M$ , then  $K + L \ll_a M$ .

(2)  $K_R(M)$  is closed under addition.

- (3)  $A_R(M) = K_R(M)$ .
- (4)  $A_R(M) \ll_a M$ .

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$  and  $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  hold. If M is cyclic, then  $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  holds.

Moreover, if M = mR, where  $m \in M$ , and one of the above conditions holds, then we have:

- (a)  $A_R(M)$  is the unique largest a-small submodule of M.
- (b)  $A_R(M) = \{k \in M \mid \ell_S(m kr) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$
- (c)  $A_R(M) = \bigcap \{ U \subseteq^{max} M \mid A_R(M) \subseteq U \}.$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Since  $(k+l)R \subseteq kR + lR$ ,  $K_R(M)$  is closed under addition by Lemma 2.3.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  It is clear that  $K_R(M) \subseteq A_R(M)$ . By (2) and Proposition 3.3(1),  $A_R(M) \subseteq K_R(M)$ .

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $K \ll_a M$  and  $L \ll_a M$ . Then  $K \subseteq A_R(M)$  and  $L \subseteq A_R(M)$ , so  $K + L \subseteq A_R(M)$ . Thus, by (4) and Lemma 2.3,  $K + L \ll_a M$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let M = mR for some  $m \in M$  and  $A_R(M) + X = M$  for a submodule X of  $M_R$ . So  $K_R(M) + X = M$  by (3). If m = k + xwith  $k \in K_R(M)$  and  $x \in X$ , then M = kR + X and  $kR \ll_a M$ . Hence  $\ell_S(X) = 0$ , so  $A_R(M) \ll_a M$ .

Finally, (a) is clear by (4), and (b) follows from (3) and Lemma 3.1. As to (c): If  $a \notin A_R(M)$ , then aR is not a-small by (3), so aR + X = Mfor some submodule X of  $M_R$  with  $\ell_S(X) \neq 0$ . As  $A_R(M) \ll_a M$  by (4), we have  $A_R(M) + X \neq M$ . If  $A_R(M) + X \subseteq U \subseteq^{max} M$ , then  $a \notin U$ , this proves (c).

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $M_R$  be a cyclic module. If  $K_R(M)$  is closed under addition, then  $Rad(M/A_R(M)) = Rad(M/K_R(M)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* This follows by part (c) of Proposition 3.5.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $M_R$  be a finitely generated module. If  $A_R(M) \subseteq Rad(M) + Z_S(M)$ , then the sum of any two a-small submodules is assmall.

*Proof.* Let  $K \ll_a M_R$  and  $L \ll_a M_R$ . Then  $K + L \subseteq A_R(M)$ . By Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.3,  $K + L \ll_a M_R$ .

# Acknowledgments

This work has been done during the first author's visit to the Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University in 2011. She wishes to thank the department for kind hospitality. The first author also would like to thank the Ministry of Science of Iran for the support.

# References

- B. Amini, M. Ershad and H. Sharif, Coretractable modules, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 86 (2009), no. 3, 289–304.
- [2] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, Lifting Modules, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [3] W. K. Nicholson and Y. Zhou, Annihilator-small right ideals, Algebra Colloq. 18 (2011), Special no. 1, 785–800.
- [4] A. Ç. Özcan and P. Aydoğdu, A generalization of semiregular and semiperfect modules, *Algebra Collog.* 15 (2008), no. 4, 667–680.
- [5] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991.

#### Tayyebeh Amouzegar-Kalati

Department of Mathematics, Quchan University of Advanced Technologies Engineering, Quchan, Iran

Email: t.amoozegar@yahoo.com

#### Derya Keskin-Tütüncü

Department of Mathematics, University of Hacettepe, P.O. Box 06800, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

Email: keskin@hacettepe.edu.tr