

## SOME RESULTS ON $p$ -BEST APPROXIMATION IN VECTOR SPACES

H. MAZAHERI\* AND S. M. MOSHTAGHIOUN

Communicated by Fereidoun Ghahramani

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and to discuss the concept of  $p$ -approximation and  $p$ -orthogonality in vector spaces, and to obtain some results on  $p$ -orthogonality in vector spaces similar to some well known results on the orthogonality in normed spaces. We also discuss the concept of  $p$ -extension of linear functionals on a vector space, and give a characterization of linear functionals on a subspace having a unique  $p$ -extension Hahn-Banach to the whole vector space.

### 1. Introduction

Here, all normed spaces under consideration are real. A seminorm is a function  $p : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  and  $p(\alpha x) = |\alpha|p(x)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that for every seminorm  $p$ ,  $p(0) = 0$ . Also, the seminorm  $p$  is a norm, if  $p(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Many authors have introduced the concept of orthogonality in different ways (see [1-4], [6]). In [1], Birkhoff modified the concept of orthogonality. By his definition, if  $X$  is a normed linear space and  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x$  is said to be orthogonal to  $y$  and is denoted by  $x \perp y$  if and only if

---

MSC(2000): Primary: 46A55, 15A03; Secondary: 41A52, 41A65.

Keywords:  $p$ -orthogonality, seminorm,  $p$ -best approximation,  $p$ -extension.

Received: 2 January 2008. Accepted: 23 July 2008.

\*Corresponding author

© 2008 Iranian Mathematical Society.

$\|x\| \leq \|x + \alpha y\|$ , for all scalars  $\alpha$ . Note that this orthogonality is not symmetric in general [2].

Let  $X$  be such a vector space,  $x, y \in X$  and  $p$  be a fixed seminorm. We say that  $x$  is  $p$ -orthogonal to  $y$  if  $x = 0$  or else,

$$p(x) \neq 0, p(x) = \inf_{\alpha} p(x + \alpha y),$$

in which case we write  $x \perp^p y$ . If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are subsets of  $X$ , then we say that  $M_1$  is  $p$ -orthogonal to  $M_2$  if  $g_1 \perp^p g_2$ , for all  $g_1 \in M_1, g_2 \in M_2$ . If  $M_1$  is  $p$ -orthogonal to  $M_2$ , then we write  $M_1 \perp^p M_2$ .

First we state the following lemma of Hahn- Banach which is needed in the proof of the main results.

**Lemma 1.1.** [5] *Let  $M$  be a subspace of a vector space  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ , and let  $f$  be a linear functional on  $M$  such that*

$$|f(x)| \leq p(x) \quad (x \in M).$$

*Then,  $f$  extends to a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  which satisfies:*

$$|\Lambda(x)| \leq p(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Suppose  $p$  is a seminorm on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , let

$$M_x^p = \{\Lambda : X \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbb{R} : \Lambda(x) = p(x), |\Lambda(z)| \leq p(z), \forall z \in X\}.$$

For  $x \in X$ , if we let  $M = \langle x \rangle$  ( $\langle x \rangle$  is the subspace of  $X$  generated by  $x$ ) and define  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha p(x)$ , then by Lemma 1.1, the linear functional  $f$  extends to a linear functional  $\Lambda \in M_x^p$ . Therefore,  $M_x^p$  is nonempty.

Here, we are concerned with the concepts of  $p$ -best approximation and  $p$ -orthogonality in a vector space. The concept of approximation in normed linear spaces was defined by I. Singer [6].

## 2. Orthogonality in vector spaces

In this section, we state and prove our main results for vector spaces. Also, we obtain results related to  $p$ -orthogonality on vector spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $x \in X \setminus G$  and  $p(x) \neq 0$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

a)  $x \perp^p G$ .

b) *There is a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda \in M_x^p$  and  $\Lambda|_G = 0$ .*

**Proof.** *a)  $\Rightarrow$  b).* Suppose  $x \perp^p G$ . Consider  $M = \langle x \rangle \oplus G$ . We define a linear functional  $f$  on  $M$  by  $f(\alpha x + y) = \alpha p(x)$ , where  $y \in G$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that  $f(y) = 0$ , for every  $y \in G$ , and  $f(x) = p(x)$ . Now, suppose  $z = \alpha x + y \in M$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= |f(\alpha x + y)| \\ &= |\alpha p(x)| \\ &\leq |\alpha| p(x + \frac{1}{\alpha} y) \\ &= p(\alpha x + y) \\ &= p(z). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1.1, there exists a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that

$$\Lambda(x) = p(x), \quad \Lambda|_G = 0, \quad |\Lambda(z)| \leq p(z) \text{ for all } z \in X.$$

*b)  $\Rightarrow$  a).* Suppose that there exists a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda \in M_x^p$  and  $\Lambda|_G = 0$ . For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in G$ , we have,

$$p(x + \alpha y) \geq |\Lambda(x + \alpha y)| = |\Lambda(x)| = p(x).$$

Therefore,  $\inf_{\alpha} p(x + \alpha y) = p(x)$ , and hence  $x \perp^p y$ . Thus,  $x \perp^p G$ .  $\square$

Now, we shall obtain from Theorem 2.1 various corollaries on  $p$ -orthogonality.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x \perp^p y$ , then  $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle = \{0\}$ .*

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$  such that  $p(y) \neq 0$ , for all  $y \in A$  and  $x \in X \setminus \langle A \rangle$ . Then, the following two statements are equivalent:*

a)  $A \perp^p x$ .

b) *For every  $y \in A$ , there exists a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  with  $\Lambda \in M_y^p$  and  $\Lambda(x) = 0$ .*

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . The element  $x \in X$  is called a  $p$ -normal element if there exists only one linear functional  $\Lambda_x$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda_x \in M_x^p$ ; i.e.,  $M_x^p$  is a singleton.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a linear subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$  and  $p(x) \neq 0$ . If  $x \in X$  is a  $p$ -normal element associated with  $p$  on  $X$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- a)  $x \perp^p G$ .
- b) *There exists a unique linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda \in M^p_x$  and  $\Lambda|_G = 0$ .*

Let  $G$  be a subspace of the space  $X$  equipped with a seminorm  $p$ . Define,

$$\hat{G}_p = \{x \in X : x \perp^p G\},$$

and

$$\check{G}_p = \{x \in X : G \perp^p x\}.$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$  and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . Then,*

- a)  $G \cap \hat{G}_p = \{0\}$
- b)  $G \cap \check{G}_p = \{0\}$ .
- c)  $\alpha x \in \hat{G}_p$ , if  $x \in \hat{G}_p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- d)  $\alpha x \in \check{G}_p$ , if  $x \in \check{G}_p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** The statements (c) and (d) are consequences of the definition of  $p$ -orthogonality. Suppose  $x \in G \cap \hat{G}_p$  (resp.  $x \in G \cap \check{G}_p$ ). Then,  $x \perp G$  w.r.t.  $p$  (resp.  $G \perp x$  w.r.t.  $p$ ) and  $x \in G$ . Therefore,  $x \perp^p x$ , and from Corollary 2.2,  $x = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. $p$ -Best approximation and linear functional

Here, we shall introduce and discuss the concept of  $p$ -extension of linear functionals on a vector space, and show that a linear functional on a subspace has a unique  $p$ -extension to the whole vector space if and only if  $G^\perp$  has some properties.

Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . A point  $g_0 \in G$  is said to be a  $p$ -best approximation for  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x - g_0) \neq 0$  and for all  $g \in G$ ,  $p(x - g_0) \leq p(x - g)$ . The set of all  $p$ -best approximations of  $x \in X$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $P_G^p(x)$ . In other words,

$$P_G^p(x) = \{g_0 \in G : p(x - g_0) \neq 0, p(x - g_0) \leq p(x - g) \forall g \in G\}.$$

If  $P_G^p(x)$  is non-empty for every  $x \in X$ , then  $G$  is called a  $p$ -proximal set. The set  $G$  is  $p$ -Chebyshev if  $P_G^p(x)$  is a singleton for every  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $g_0 \in G$ ,  $x \in X \setminus G$  and  $p(x - g_0) \neq 0$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- a)  $g_0 \in P_G^p(x)$
- b) *There exists a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda \in M_{x-g_0}^p$  and  $\Lambda|_G = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We know that  $g_0 \in P_G^p(x)$  if and only if  $x - g_0 \perp^p G$ . Now, Apply Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$  and  $G$  be a  $p$ -proximal subspace of  $X$ . If  $G_p$  is a convex set, then  $G$  is  $p$ -Chebyshev.*

**Proof.** If  $x \in X$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in P_G^p(x)$ , then  $x - g_1, x - g_2 \in \hat{G}_p$ . Since  $\hat{G}_p$  is convex, then it follows that  $\frac{1}{2}(g_1 - g_2) \in \hat{G}_p$ . Since  $\frac{1}{2}(g_1 - g_2) \in G$ , then Lemma 2.6 shows that  $g_1 = g_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $g_0 \in G$ , and  $x \in X \setminus G$   $p(x - g_0) \neq 0$ . Then,*

$$g_0 \in P_G^p(x) \Leftrightarrow p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp}) = p(x - g_0),$$

where,

$$p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp}) = \sup\{|\Lambda(x - g_0)| : \Lambda \in G^\perp, |\Lambda(z)| \leq p(z), \forall z \in X\},$$

and the annihilator of  $G$  is the set,

$$G^\perp = \{f : X \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbf{R} : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in G\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $g_0 \in P_G^p(x)$ . It follows from  $p(x - g_0) \neq 0$  and Theorem 3.1 that there exists a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  such that for all  $z \in X$ ,  $|\Lambda(z)| \leq p(z)$ ,  $\Lambda(x - g_0) = p(x - g_0)$  and  $\Lambda|_G = 0$ . Therefore,  $p(x - g_0) = |\Lambda(x - g_0)| \leq p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp})$ . Now, suppose  $\Lambda \in G^\perp$  and  $|\Lambda(z)| \leq p(z)$  for all  $z \in X$ . Then,  $|\Lambda(x - g_0)| \leq p(x - g_0)$ , and thus  $p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp}) \leq p(x - g_0)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp}) = p(x - g_0)$ . Since  $p((x - g)|_{G^\perp}) \leq p(x - g)$ , then similarly we have,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x - g_0) &= p((x - g_0)|_{G^\perp}) \\ &= p((x - g)|_{G^\perp}) \\ &\leq p(x - g). \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $g_0 \in P_G^p(x)$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . The dual space of  $X$  with respect to  $p$  is denoted by:

$$X_p^* = \{\Lambda : X \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbf{R} : p'(\Lambda) < \infty\},$$

where,

$$p'(\Lambda) = \sup\{|\Lambda(x)| : p(x) \leq 1, x \in X\}.$$

It is clear that  $p'$  is a seminorm on  $X_p^*$ . Similarly, we can define  $p''$  on  $X_p^{**}$  and  $p'''$  on  $X_p^{***}$  (see [5]).

It is clear that if  $X$  is a vector,  $p$  is a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $\Lambda \in X_p^*$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $p(x) \neq 0$ , then,

$$p(x) = \sup\{|\Lambda(x)| : p'(\Lambda) \leq 1, \Lambda \in X_p^*\}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space, and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . For each  $x \in X$ , define the linear functional  $\hat{x}$  on  $X_p^*$  by  $\hat{x}(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ , for  $\varphi \in X_p^*$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,*

$$p''(\hat{x}) = p(x).$$

**Proof.** We have,

$$\begin{aligned} p''(\hat{x}) &= \sup\{|\hat{x}(\varphi)| : p'(\varphi) \leq 1, \varphi \in X_p^*\} \\ &= \sup\{|\varphi(x)| : p'(\varphi) \leq 1, \varphi \in X_p^*\} \\ &= p(x). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $\Lambda \in X_p^*$  and  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ .  $\Lambda$  is called a  $p$ -extension of the linear functional  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , if  $\Lambda|_G = f$  and  $p'(\Lambda) = p'(f)$ .  $p'(\Lambda)$  and  $p'(f)$  are computed relative to the domains of  $\Lambda$  and  $f$ , explicitly as:

$$p'(\Lambda) = \sup\{|\Lambda(x)| : p(x) \leq 1, x \in X\}$$

and

$$p'(f) = \sup\{|f(x)| : p(x) \leq 1, x \in G\}.$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$  and  $f \in X_p^* \setminus G^\perp$ . Then, there is an one-to-one correspondence between the set  $P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(f)$  and the set of all  $g \in X_p^*$  such that  $\widehat{g}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ , given by  $g \mapsto f - g$ .*

**Proof.** If  $g \in P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(f)$ , then it is clear that  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}} = \widehat{f - g}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ , and from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} p'''(\widehat{f - g}) &= p'(f - g) \\ &= p'((f - g)|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) \\ &= p'''(\widehat{(f - g)}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) \\ &= p'''(\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\widehat{f - g}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ . We show that this map is onto. Suppose  $\widehat{h}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ . Let  $g = f - h$ . We show that  $g \in P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(f)$ . For this, since  $\widehat{f - g}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ , then  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}} = \widehat{f - g}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$  and  $p'''(\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) = p'''(\widehat{f - g}|_{G^{\perp\perp}})$ . Now, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} p'((f - g)|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) &= p'''(\widehat{(f - g)}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) \\ &= p'''(\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) \\ &= p'''(\widehat{f - g}) \\ &= p'(f - g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.2,  $g \in P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(f)$ . □

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ ,  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ ,  $f \in G_p^*$  and let  $\widetilde{f} \in X_p^*$  be a  $p$ -extension of  $f$ . Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all  $p$ -extensions of  $f$  to  $X$  and the set  $P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(\widetilde{f})$ , given by  $g \mapsto \widetilde{f} - g$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $g \in X_p^*$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $f$ . Then,  $g|_G = f$  and  $p'(f) = p'(g)$ . For all  $\varphi \in G^{\perp\perp}$ ,

$$\widehat{g}(\varphi) = \varphi(g) = \varphi(\widetilde{f}) = \widehat{\widetilde{f}}(\varphi),$$

since  $g|_G = \tilde{f}|_G$ . Also  $\tilde{f}|_G = f$ , and thus  $\widehat{\tilde{f}}|_{G^{\perp\perp}} = \widehat{f}$  and

$$p'''(\widehat{g}) = p'(g) = p'(f) = p'''(\widehat{f}) = p'''(\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}).$$

So by Theorem 3.6,  $\tilde{f} - g \in P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(\tilde{f})$ .

Now, suppose that  $g \in P_{G^\perp}^{p'}(\tilde{f})$ . Then,  $\widehat{\tilde{f} - g}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{\tilde{f}}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ . We know,

$$(\tilde{f} - g)(x) = f(x),$$

for all  $x \in G$ , and

$$p'(\tilde{f} - g) = p'''(\widehat{\tilde{f} - g}) = p'''(\widehat{\tilde{f}}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}) = p'''(\widehat{f}) = p'(f).$$

That is,  $\tilde{f} - g$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $f$ . □

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ , and  $p$  be a seminorm on  $X$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- a) *Every non-zero  $f \in G_p^*$  has a unique  $p$ -extension of  $X$ .*
- b) *For each  $f \in G_p^* \setminus G^\perp$ , there is a unique  $g \in X_p^*$  such that  $\widehat{g}$  is a  $p$ -extension of  $\widehat{f}|_{G^{\perp\perp}}$ .*
- c)  *$G^\perp$  is a  $p'$ -Chebyshev subspace of  $X_p^*$ .*

**Example 3.9.** Let  $f$  be a linear functional on a real vector space  $X$ . Then,  $p(x) = |f(x)|$  gives a seminorm on  $X$ . If  $f$  is nonzero and  $\dim(X) > 1$ , then  $p$  is seminorm that is not a norm. Now, let  $G_1 = \ker f$  and  $G_2 = X \setminus \ker f$ . Then,  $G_1$  is a subspace of  $X$ . For  $y \in G_1$ , we have  $f(y) = 0$ , and therefore for all  $x \in X \setminus G_1$ ,  $x \perp^p G_1$ . Also, since for every  $y \in G_2$ ,  $f(y) \neq 0$ , then,

$$\inf_{\alpha} p(x + \alpha y) = |f(x) + (-\frac{f(x)}{f(y)})f(y)| = 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $x \in X \setminus G_2$ ,  $x \perp^p G_2$ .

**Example 3.10.** The elements of  $X = L^2$  are the real Lebesgue measurable functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ . We can define a seminorm  $p$  on  $X$  by:

$$p(f) = \left\{ \int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad f \in X.$$

It is clear that  $p$  is a seminorm on  $X$  and is not a norm. Now, we can apply Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.6 to this example.



### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the research council of Yazd University for their financial support.

### REFERENCES

- [1] G.C. Birkhoff, Orthogonality in linear metric space, *Duke Math. J.* **1** (1935) 169-172.
- [2] J. Chmielinski, Remarks on orthogonality preserving mapping in normed spaces and some stability problems, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **1** (1) (2007) 117-124.
- [3] R.C. James, Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **61** (1947) 265-292.
- [4] H. Mazaheri, F.M. Maalek Ghaini, Quasi-orthogonality of the best approximant sets, *Nonlinear Analysis*. **65** (3) (2006) 534-537.
- [5] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [6] I. Singer, *Best approximation in normal linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer, New York, 1970.

**H. Mazaheri and S. M. Moshtaghioun**

Department of Mathematics, University of Yazd, P.O. Box 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

Email: [hmazaheri@yazduni.ac.ir](mailto:hmazaheri@yazduni.ac.ir)

Email: [moshtagh@yazduni.ac.ir](mailto:moshtagh@yazduni.ac.ir)