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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{N}_{G}$ denote the set of all proper normal subgroups of a group $G$ and $A$ be an element of $\mathcal{N}_{G}$. We use the notation $n c c(A)$ to denote the number of distinct $G$-conjugacy classes contained in $A$ and also $\mathcal{K}_{G}$ for the set $\left\{n c c(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{N}_{G}\right\}$. Let $X$ be a non-empty set of positive integers. A group $G$ is said to be $X$-decomposable, if $\mathcal{K}_{G}=X$. In this paper we give a classification of finite $X$-decomposable groups for $X=\{1,2,3,4\}$. Keywords: $n$-decomposable, $X$-decomposable, $G$-conjugacy classes. MSC(2010): Primary: 20D10; Secondary: 20D20.


## 1. Introduction

All groups in this paper are finite. The relation between the structure of a group and the cardinality of its conjugacy classes has already been extensively studied (see, e.g., $[7-9,12,19]$ ). Let $G$ be a group and $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then $N$ is a union of $G$-conjugacy classes contained in $N$, and some authors hope to investigate the structure of a normal subgroup if it is a union of a small number of $G$-conjugacy classes (see, e.g., $[1,13,16]$ ). Furthermore, some authors hope to determine the structure of a group if every non-trivial normal subgroup is a union of a given number of $G$-conjugacy classes (see, e.g., $[2,3,10]$ ).

[^0]Let $n$ be a positive integer. Recall that a normal subgroup $N$ of a group $G$ is called $n$-decomposable if it is a union of $n$ distinct $G$-conjugacy classes, and a group $G$ is called an $n$-decomposable group if it is not simple and its every non-trivial normal subgroup is $n$-decomposable. Up to now, 2-, 3-, 7 -, 8-, 9- and 10-decomposable normal subgroups have been investigated (see [5, 6, 17] and [18]) and the authors in [2] give some properties for finite $n$-decomposable groups. Furthermore, they classify finite $n$-decomposable groups for $n=2,3,4$ in the same paper.

Let $G$ be a group. For convenience, we use $\mathcal{N}_{G}$ to denote the set of all proper normal subgroups of $G$. If $A$ is an element of $\mathcal{N}_{G}$, then we use $n c c(A)$ to denote the number of distinct $G$-conjugacy classes contained in $A$. Furthermore, suppose that $X$ is a non-empty set of positive integers and $\mathcal{K}_{G}=\left\{n c c(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{N}_{G}\right\}$. A group $G$ is said to be $X$-decomposable if $\mathcal{K}_{G}=X$. A. R. Ashrafi in [3] raised the following question:
Question. [3, Question 2.7] Suppose that $X$ is a finite subset of positive integers containing 1. Is there a finite $X$-decomposable group $G$ ?

Now $X$-decomposable groups have been classified for $X=\{1,2,3\}$, $\{1,3,4\}$ and $\{1,2,4\}$. They are as follows:
Theorem A. [4] Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $\{1,2,3\}$-decomposable group. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $Z_{6}, D_{8}, Q_{8}, S_{4}, \operatorname{SmallGroup}(20,3)$ or SmallGroup(24, 3).
Theorem B. [3] Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $\{1,3,4\}$-decomposable group. Then $G$ is isomorphic to SmallGroup (36, 9), a metabelian group of order $2^{n}\left(2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}-1\right)$, in which $n$ is an odd positive integer and $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}-1$ is a Mersenne prime or a metabelian group of order $2^{n}\left(2^{\frac{n}{3}}-1\right)$ where $3 \mid n$ and $2^{\frac{n}{3}}-1$ is a Mersenne prime.
Theorem C. [10] Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $\{1,2,4\}$-decomposable group. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $Q_{12}, Z_{2} \times A_{4}$ or $G=\langle a, b, c| a^{11}=$ $\left.b^{5}=c^{2}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{4}, c^{-1} a c=a^{-1}, c^{-1} b c=b^{-1}\right\rangle$.

We note here that $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(n, i)$ in Theorem A and Theorem B is the $i^{\text {th }}$ group of order $n$ in the small group library of GAP (see [15]).

In this paper, we continue to study the above question for the case $X=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and give the classification of non-perfect $\{1,2,3,4\}$ decomposable groups. Our main result is as follows.
Main Theorem. Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $\{1,2,3,4\}$-decomposable group. Then $G$ is one of the following groups:
(1) $|G|=216$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{3}=f^{3}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=c d, c^{a}=b c, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=f^{2}, e^{b}=e^{2} f, e^{c}=f^{2}, e^{d}=e^{2}, f^{a}=$ $\left.e f^{2}, f^{b}=e f, f^{c}=e, f^{d}=f^{2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $|G|=600$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{5}=f^{5}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=b c, c^{a}=b, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=e f^{3}, e^{b}=e^{3} f^{3}, e^{c}=e^{3}, e^{d}=$ $\left.e^{4}, f^{a}=e^{4} f^{3}, f^{b}=f^{2}, f^{c}=e^{4} f^{2}, f^{d}=f^{4}\right\rangle$.
(3) $|G|=42$ and $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{7}=b^{6}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{5}\right\rangle$.
(4) $G=D_{12}$.

Let $G$ be a finite group. Throughout this paper, $G^{\prime}, \Phi(G), Z(G)$ and $\exp (G)$ denotes the derived subgroup, the Frattini subgroup, the center and the exponent of $G$, respectively. A group $G$ is said to be nonperfect if $G^{\prime} \neq G$. If $x$ is an element in $G$, then $x^{G}=\left\{x^{g} \mid g \in G\right\}$ is the $G$-conjugacy class containing $x$. Furthermore, $Z_{n}$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n, E\left(p^{n}\right)$ denotes the elementary abelian group of order $p^{n}$ and $d(n)$ denotes the set of all positive divisors of $n$. We always assume that $X=\{1,2,3,4\}$ in the next two sections.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some fundamental facts which are useful in the sequel.
Example 2.1. [3, Example 2.5] Let $G=\langle a, b| a^{6}=b^{2}=1, b^{-1} a b=$ $\left.a^{-1}\right\rangle$ be the dihedral group of order 12. Then $\mathcal{N}_{G}=\left\{1, H=\left\langle a^{2}, b\right\rangle, K=\right.$ $\left.\left\langle a^{2}, a b\right\rangle,\langle a\rangle,\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle a^{3}\right\rangle\right\}$. It is easy to see that $\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle a^{3}\right\rangle$ are 2-decomposable, $H$ and $K$ are 3-decomposable and $\langle a\rangle$ is 4-decomposable. Therefore, $G$ is $X$-decomposable.

Lemma 2.2. [10, Example 2.1] Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ and $Y=d(n)-\{n\}$. Then $G$ is $Y$-decomposable.

Corollary 2.3. There is no finite abelian $X$-decomposable group.
Lemma 2.4. There is no finite $X$-decomposable group of prime power order.

Proof. Suppose that there is a prime $p$ such that $G$ is a $p$-group. Then $p=2$ by [17, Theorem 1(3)]. Assume that $|G|=2^{n}$ for some integer $n$. There is a chief series

$$
1=G_{0}<G_{1}<\cdots<G_{n-1}<G_{n}=G
$$

in $G$ such that $\left|G_{i}\right|=2^{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. As $G$ is $X$-decomposable, we have $n=4$.

Since $Z(G) \neq 1$ and $G$ is non-abelian by Corollary $2.3, Z(G)$ can not be 4 -decomposable in $G$. Furthermore, if $Z(G)$ is 3-decomposable
in $G$, then $|Z(G)|=3$, contradicting that $G$ is a 2-group. Therefore, $Z(G)$ is 2-decomposable in $G$, and thus $|Z(G)|=2$. Let $H$ be a 3decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. As $Z(G) \cap H \neq 1$ and $Z(G)$ is a minimal subgroup of $G$, we have that $Z(G)<H$ and $|H|=4$. Suppose that $H=Z(G) \cup x^{G}$. Then $\left|x^{G}\right|=2$ and thus $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=8$. So $C_{G}(x)$ is normal in $G$. Since $\langle Z(G), x\rangle \leq Z\left(C_{G}(x)\right), C_{G}(x)$ is abelian. Therefore,

$$
1<Z(G)<H<C_{G}(x)<G
$$

is a chief series of $G$. Let $C_{G}(x)=H \cup y^{G}$. Then $\left|y^{G}\right|=\left|C_{G}(x)\right|-|H|=$ 4, and thus $\left|C_{G}(y)\right|=4$, which contradicts the fact that $C_{G}(x)$ is abelian and $y \in C_{G}(x)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G$ be a finite $X$-decomposable group such that $G^{\prime}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is 4-decomposable in $G$ and that $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is of order 2. Then $Z(G)$ is contained in every non-trivial normal subgroup of $G$.

Proof. As $G^{\prime}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$, then it is solvable.
Let $N$ be a non-trivial proper normal subgroup of $G$. We claim that $N \leq G^{\prime}$. In fact, by the hypothesis, one can see that $G^{\prime}$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$. If $N \not \leq G^{\prime}$, then $G=G^{\prime} N$ and thus $(G / N)^{\prime}=G / N$, which contradicts that $G / N$ is solvable.

Now, it is easy to see that $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq N$ since $N \cap Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$ and $\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$.

Lemma 2.6. [10, Lemma 2.1] Suppose that $p$ and $q$ are primes and $n$ is a positive integer such that $p^{n}=1+3 q$. Then $p=7, n=1$, and $q=2$ or $p=2, n=4$, and $q=5$.

Lemma 2.7. If $n$ is a positive integer and $n \geq 2$, then there is no odd prime $q$ such that $q^{2}=2^{n}-1$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an odd prime $q$ such that $q^{2}=2^{n}-$ 1. Then there exist positive integers $l$ and $t$ such that $q=2^{l} \cdot t+1$. Therefore, $2^{n}=q^{2}+1=\left(2^{l} \cdot t+1\right)^{2}+1=2^{2 l} \cdot t^{2}+2^{l+1} \cdot t+2$. It follows that $2^{2 l-1} \cdot t^{2}+2^{l} \cdot t+1=2^{n-1}$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.8. [10, Lemma 2.2] There is no prime $p$ such that $2 p+1$ is also a prime and that $2 p^{2}+p+1=2^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we will give the proof of our main theorem. We have shown in Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 that $G$ is neither an abelian group nor a group of prime power order if $G$ is an $X$-decomposable group. Also an $X$-decomposable group must be of even order by [17, Theorem 1(3)], and we will use these facts frequently in the proofs.

We first give the following three theorems.
Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $X$-decomposable group. If $G^{\prime}$ is 4 -decomposable in $G$, then $G$ is one of the following two groups:
(1) $|G|=216$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{3}=f^{3}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=c d, c^{a}=b c, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=f^{2}, e^{b}=e^{2} f, e^{c}=f^{2}, e^{d}=e^{2}, f^{a}=$ $\left.e f^{2}, f^{b}=e f, f^{c}=e, f^{d}=f^{2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $|G|=600$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{5}=f^{5}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=b c, c^{a}=b, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=e f^{3}, e^{b}=e^{3} f^{3}, e^{c}=e^{3}, e^{d}=$ $\left.e^{4}, f^{a}=e^{4} f^{3}, f^{b}=f^{2}, f^{c}=e^{4} f^{2}, f^{d}=f^{4}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Since $G^{\prime}$ is 4-decomposable in $G, G^{\prime}$ must be one of the following groups by [13, Theorem 1] and [13, Theorem 2]:

1) $G^{\prime} \cong A_{5}$, the alternating group of degree 5 , and $G / C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{5}$.
2) $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group for some prime $p$ and $G^{\prime \prime \prime}=1$.
3) $G^{\prime}$ is a group of order $p^{n} q^{b}$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes, and $n$ and $b$ are positive integers.

Furthermore, if $G^{\prime}$ is of type 3), then $G^{\prime}$ has the following three possibilities.
(A) $G^{\prime}$ is the direct product of its elementary abelian Sylow $p$ - and $q$-subgroups.
(B) $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $N$ and $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q}$ or $Z_{q^{2}}$ or $Q_{8}$, where $N$ is 2-decomposable in $G$.
(C) $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $N$ and $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q}$, where $N$ is 3-decomposable in $G$.

Case 1. $G^{\prime} \cong A_{5}$ and $G / C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{5}$.
As $A_{5}$ is centerless, $G^{\prime} \cap C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong Z\left(A_{5}\right)=1$. If $C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$, then $G=G^{\prime} C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ since $G^{\prime}$ is a maximal normal subgroup of $G$. Therefore, $S_{5} \cong G / C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong G^{\prime} \cong A_{5}$, which is a contradiction. If $C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=$ 1, then $G \cong S_{5}$. However, $S_{5}$ does not contain a 2-decomposable normal subgroup. Hence this case is impossible.

Case 2. $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group for some prime $p$ and $G^{\prime \prime \prime}=1$.

Assume that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$. As $G^{\prime}$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ and $G$ is not of prime power order, there is a prime $q \neq p$ such that $|G|=p^{n} q$. It follows that $G$ is solvable. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we see that every proper normal subgroup of $G$ is contained in $G^{\prime}$. Recall that $G$ is non-abelian, $Z(G)$ can not be maximal in $G$, so we conclude that $Z(G)<G^{\prime}$.

If $G^{\prime}$ is abelian, then $G^{\prime} \leq C_{G}(x)$ for every $x \in G^{\prime}$, and so $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $q$. It follows that $p^{n}=1+1+1+q$ or $p^{n}=1+1+q+q$ or $p^{n}=1+q+q+q$. If $p^{n}=1+1+1+q$, then $|Z(G)|=3$ and $p=3$. Therefore, $q=2$ as $G$ is of even order, and thus $3^{n}=5$, which is impossible. If $p^{n}=1+1+q+q$, then $|Z(G)|=2$ and so $p=2$. Let $Q$ be a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$. Then $Q$ acts on the abelian group $G^{\prime}$, and thus $G^{\prime}=Z(G) \times\left[G^{\prime}, Q\right]$. It is clear that $\left[G^{\prime}, Q\right] Q$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and so $G=Z(G) \times\left[G^{\prime}, Q\right] Q$. It follows that $G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, Q\right]$, leading that $Z(G)=1$, which is a contradiction. If $p^{n}=1+q+q+q$, then $p=7, n=1$, and $q=2$ or $p=2, n=4$, and $q=5$ by Lemma 2.6. If $p=7, n=1$ and $q=2$, then $|G|=14$. It is easy to see that $G$ has no 2 -decomposable normal subgroup. If $p=2, n=4$ and $q=5$, then we can choose $H$ to be a 2-decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. As $H \leq G^{\prime}$, we may assume that $|H|=2^{t}$, then $2^{t}=1+q=6$, which is impossible. Consequently, we conclude that $G^{\prime}$ is non-abelian.

If $1<G^{\prime \prime}<Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)<G^{\prime}$, then there exist positive integers $1<s<t$ such that $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|=p^{s}$ and $\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=p^{t}$. Let $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime} \cup x^{G}$. Then $G^{\prime}=C_{G}(x)$, and thus $\left|x^{G}\right|=q$. It follows that $p^{t}=p^{s}+q$, which gives the contradiction that $p$ divides $q$.

If $1<Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)<G^{\prime \prime}<G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime \prime}=\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and there exist positive integers $1<s<t$ such that $\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=p^{s}$ and $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|=p^{t}$. Let $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=$ $1 \cup x^{G}, G^{\prime \prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup y^{G}, G^{\prime}=G^{\prime \prime} \cup z^{G}$. Assume that $Z(G)=1$. If $p=2$, then $\left|x^{G}\right|=2^{s}-1=q$ and $\left|z^{G}\right|=2^{t}\left(2^{n-t}-1\right)$. As $G^{\prime}$ is non-abelian and $\left|G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=2^{n-t}$, we have $n-t>1$. Therefore, $q=2^{n-t}-1$ and $n-t=s$. It follows that $\left|C_{G}(z)\right|=2^{n-t}=2^{s}$, which is contrary to that $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\left\langle Z\left(G^{\prime}\right), z\right\rangle \leq C_{G}(z)$. Hence $q=2$. Then $p^{s}=1+\left|x^{G}\right|=3,\left|y^{G}\right|=3\left(3^{t-1}-1\right)$ and $\left|z^{G}\right|=3^{t}\left(3^{n-t}-1\right)$. Therefore, $t=2, n=3$ and $|G|=54$. Recall that $G^{\prime}=G^{\prime \prime} \cup z^{G}$, then $\left|C_{G}(z)\right|=3$, which contradicts $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. So $Z(G) \neq 1$. Note that $Z(G) \leq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, implies $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is 2-decomposable in $G$, and thus $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is of order 2 . Now consider the factor group $\bar{G}=G / Z(G)$. It is easy to see that $\bar{G}$ is $\{1,2,3\}$-decomposable. Then $|G|=40$ or 48 by Theorem A, Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. First, suppose that
$|G|=40$, then $\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime}$. It follows that $\left|G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$, which implies the contradiction that $G^{\prime}$ is abelian. Suppose that $|G|=48$. By arguing similarly as in the former case, we see that $\left|G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}\right| \neq 2$, hence $\left|G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}\right|=4$. Suppose that $G^{\prime \prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup u^{G}$. Then $\left|u^{G}\right|=2$, and thus $C_{G}(u) \unlhd G$. It follows that $G^{\prime} \leq C_{G}(u)$, which contradicts that $u \notin Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

From the above two paragraphs we conclude that if $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq G^{\prime \prime}$, then $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) G^{\prime \prime}$ is a 4-decomposable normal subgroup of $G$, and thus $G^{\prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) G^{\prime \prime}$, leading the contradiction that $G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime \prime \prime}=1$. Hence $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime}$.

If $|Z(G)|=3$, then $p=3, q=2$ and $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $T$ be a 2-decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Keeping in mind that $T \leq G^{\prime}$, we have $T \cap Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. However, as we have seen that $\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=3$, then $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq T$, which is a contradiction.

If $|Z(G)|=2$, then $p=2$. If $Z(G) \neq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime}$ is 3-decomposable in $G$. Set $\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=2^{s}$. Then $2+q=2^{s}$ and therefore $q=2=p$, which is a contradiction. So $Z(G)=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime}$ and thus $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime \prime}=\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, $G^{\prime}$ is an extraspecial 2-group and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n}=2^{2 m+1}$ for some positive integer $m$. Consider the factor group $\bar{G}=G / Z(G)$. Then $\bar{G}$ is $\{1,2,3\}$-decomposable and $|G|=40$ or 48 by Theorem A, Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. If $|G|=40$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=8$. Let $K$ be a 3-decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Then $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq K \leq G^{\prime}$. Suppose $K=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup u^{G}$. Then $\left|u^{G}\right|=2$ and thus $G^{\prime} \leq C_{G}(u)$, which contradicts that $u \notin Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. If $|G|=48$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=16=2^{4}=2^{2 m+1}$, another contradiction.

Therefore, $Z(G)=1$. Suppose $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|=p^{s}$ for some positive integer $s$. If $G^{\prime \prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is 3-decomposable in $G$, then $1+2 q=p^{s}$. Recall that $p=2$ or $q=2$, we have $q=2$ and $p^{s}=5$. Since $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-\left|Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=5^{n}-5$ divides $|G|=5^{n} \cdot 2$, we see that $5^{n-1}-1$ divides 2 , which is impossible. Hence, $G^{\prime \prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is 2 -decomposable in $G$. Let $1 \neq g_{1} \in G^{\prime \prime}$. Then $C_{G}\left(g_{1}\right)=G^{\prime}$, and so $q=p^{s}-1$. Suppose that $G^{\prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup g_{2}^{G} \cup g_{3}^{G}$. Then $C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right) \geq\left\langle g_{i}, Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ for $i=2$ and 3 . Therefore, $\left|C_{G}\left(g_{2}\right)\right|=p^{s+t_{1}} \geq p^{s+1}$ and $\left|C_{G}\left(g_{3}\right)\right|=p^{s+t_{2}} \geq p^{s+1}$. Hence, $p^{n}-p^{s}=\frac{p^{n} q}{p^{s+t_{1}}}+\frac{p^{n} q}{p^{s+t_{2}}}$. It follows that

$$
p^{s}\left(p^{n-s}-1\right)=q\left(p^{n-s-t_{1}}+p^{n-s-t_{2}}\right) .
$$

As $G / G^{\prime \prime}$ is non-abelian, the length of $G / G^{\prime \prime}$-conjugacy classes of each non-trivial element in $G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}$ is $q$. Hence $q+q=p^{n-s}-1$ or $q=p^{n-s}-1$. If $q+q=p^{n-s}-1$, then by $p^{s}\left(p^{n-s}-1\right)=q\left(p^{n-s-t_{1}}+p^{n-s-t_{2}}\right)$, we have $2 p^{s} q=q\left(p^{n-s-t_{1}}+p^{n-s-t_{2}}\right)$. Therefore, $p^{n-2 s-t_{1}}+p^{n-2 s-t_{2}}=2$, whence
$p^{n-2 s-t_{1}}=p^{n-2 s-t_{2}}=1$. It follows that $t_{1}=t_{2}$ and $n=2 s+t_{1}$. Since $q+q$ is even, we see that $p \neq 2$, and thus $q=2, p=5$ and $n-s=1$. Therefore, $s+t_{1}=n-s=1$, which is a contradiction. If $q=p^{n-s}-1$, then $n=2 s$. It follows from $p^{s}\left(p^{n-s}-1\right)=q\left(p^{n-s-t_{1}}+p^{n-s-t_{2}}\right)$ that $p^{n-2 s-t_{1}}+p^{n-2 s-t_{2}}=1$. Hence $p=2$ and $t_{1}=t_{2}=1$. In this case, we have $2^{n}=\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{s}+2^{s+1}+2^{s+1}=2^{s} \cdot 5$, which is impossible.

Case 3. $G^{\prime}$ is a group of order $p^{n} q^{b}$.
In this case, there exists a prime $r$ such that $|G|=p^{n} q^{b} r$. It follows that $G$ is solvable as $G^{\prime}$ is solvable. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we have that every proper normal of $G$ is contained in $G^{\prime}$. We discuss the three possibilities (A), (B) and (C) described in the beginning of the proof of this theorem.
(A) $G^{\prime}=P_{1} \times Q_{1}$ with $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ its elementary abelian Sylow $p$ and $q$-subgroups, respectively.

If $r=p$, then $Z(P) \cap P_{1} \neq 1$ for every Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$. Write $K=Z(P) \cap P_{1}$. Then $K \leq Z(G)$ and thus $K \unlhd G$. If $P_{1}$ or $Q_{1}$ is 3-decomposable in $G$, then $P_{1} \times Q_{1}$ has at least $5 G$-conjugacy classes, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $P_{1}$ is 2-decomposable in $G$, and thus $P_{1}=K \leq Z(G)$. So $\left|P_{1}\right|=2$ and $|G|=4 q^{b}$. It follows that $G / Q_{1}$ is of order 4 and thus it is abelian, which implies the contradiction that $G^{\prime} \leq$ $Q_{1}$. By arguing similarly, we have $r \neq q$. Therefore, $q \neq r \neq p$. If $P_{1}$ is 3 -decomposable in $G$, then there are more that $4 G$-conjugacy classes in $P_{1} \times Q_{1}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $P_{1}$ is 2-decomposable in $G$. Similarly, we have that $Q_{1}$ is 2-decomposable in $G$. If $P_{1} \leq Z(G)$, then $\left|P_{1}\right|=2$ and $|G|=2 q^{b} r$. Let $K$ be a subgroup of $G$ with order $q^{b} r$. Then $K \unlhd G$ and thus $G^{\prime} \leq K$ since $G / K$ is abelian of order 2, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $P_{1} \nsubseteq Z(G)$. Similarly, we have that $Q_{1} \not \leq Z(G)$. Therefore, $p^{n}-1=r=q^{b}-1$, and thus $p=q$, another contradiction.
(B) $G^{\prime}=N \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $N$ and $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q}$ or $Z_{q^{2}}$ or $Q_{8}$, where $N$ is 2-decomposable in $G$.
(i) $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q^{2}}$.

If $r=p$, then $|G|=p^{n+1} q^{2}$. Let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and $N=\{1\} \cup x^{G}$. As $Z(P) \cap N \neq 1$, without loss of generality we may assume that $x \in Z(P)$. Therefore, $C_{G}(x)=P$ and $q^{2}=p^{n}-1$. Since, $p=2$ or $q=2$, we have $q=2$ and $p^{n}=5$ by Lemma 2.7. It follows that $|G|=100$. By Sylow's Theorem, a Sylow 5 -subgroup of $G$ is normal in $G$, so $P \unlhd G$. Since $G / P$
is abelian of order 4 , we have that $G^{\prime} \leq P$, which is a contradiction by order consideration.

If $r=q$, then $|G|=p^{n} q^{3}$. As $|G / N|=q^{3}$, we may choose $K / N$ to be a normal subgroup of $G / N$ such that $|K / N|=q$. Then $|K|=p^{n} q$ and $|G / K|=q^{2}$. It follows that $G / K$ is abelian, and thus $G^{\prime} \leq K$, which is again a contradiction by order consideration.

Now, we conclude that $p \neq r \neq q$. By the fact that $N$ is 2-decomposable in $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group, we have $|N|-1=p^{n}-1=q^{2}$ or $q^{2} r$. If $p^{n}-1=q^{2}$, then $p=2$ or $q=2$. By Lemma 2.7, we have $q=2$ and $p^{n}=5$. Therefore, $|G|=5 \cdot 2 \cdot r$. As $r \neq 2$, there exists a normal subgroup $K$ of $G$ of order $5 r$. It follows that $G / K$ is abelian of order 2 , leading to the contradiction that $G^{\prime} \leq K$. Now, suppose that $p^{n}-1=q^{2} r$. Let $K$ be a 3-decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Since $K \leq G^{\prime}, N \leq K$ by [14, Exercise 8.5.7]. It follows that $|K|=p^{n} q$. If $q \neq 2$, then $q=3$ and $r=2$ as $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-|K|=p^{n} q(q-1)$ divides $p^{n} q^{2} r$. Therefore, $|G|=342$. Let $G^{\prime}=K \cup w^{G}$. Then $\left|w^{G}\right|=114$ and thus $\left|C_{G}(w)\right|=3$. The fact that $G^{\prime}$ has abelian Sylow 3 -subgroups gives $\left|C_{G^{\prime}}(w)\right| \geq 9$, which is a contradiction. If $q=2$, then $r \neq 2$ and $|G / K|=2 r$. Let $T / K$ be a subgroup of $G / K$ of order $r$. Then $T$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ of index 2 , and thus $G^{\prime} \leq T$, contrary to that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n} 2^{b}$ and $|T|=p^{n} 2^{b-1} r$.
(ii) $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q}$.

Let $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{q}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then $G=G^{\prime} N_{G}(Q)=N N_{G}(Q)$ by the Frattini's argument. As $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group, $N \cap N_{G}(Q)=1$. Therefore, $G / N \cong N_{G}(Q)$ and $N_{G}(Q)$ is non-abelian. Hence $r \neq q$. If $r=p$ and $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$, then $N \leq P$ since $N$ is a normal $p$-subgroup of $G$. It follows that $P=P \cap G=P \cap N N_{G}(Q)=N\left(P \cap N_{G}(Q)\right)$ and thus $\left|P \cap N_{G}(Q)\right|=p$. If $1 \neq x \in Z(P) \cap G^{\prime}$, then $C_{G}(x)=P$ since $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group and thus $\left|G: C_{G}(x)\right|=q$. If $N \not \not Z Z(P)$, then there exists $y \in N-Z(P)$. In this case, $\left|G: C_{G}(y)\right|>q$, and therefore $x$ and $y$ are not conjugate in $G$, which contradicts the fact that $N$ is 2-decomposable in $G$. Hence $N \leq Z(P)$ and $P$ is abelian. Since $N$ is 2-decomposable in $G, Q$ acts transitively on $N-\{1\}$. So $p^{n}-1=q$. For every $1 \neq x \in Q$, we have $C_{G}(x)=Q$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{n+1}$. As $G^{\prime}$ is 4-decomposable, $p^{n+1}+p^{n+1}=q p^{n}-p^{n}$. It follows that $q=2 p+1$. Therefore, $p^{n}=2(p+1)$, and it is easy to see that $p=2$ and $2^{n}=6$, which is impossible. Hence, $q \neq r \neq p$.

Let $R \in \operatorname{Syl}_{r}(G)$. If $R$ acts trivially on $G^{\prime}$, then $R \leq Z(G) \leq G^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. If $R$ acts on $N$ trivially, then $p^{n}-1=q$ and $p^{n} r+p^{n} r=q p^{n}-p^{n}$. It follows that $p=2, q=2^{n}-1$ and $r=2^{n-1}-1$.

If $n \geq 4$, then either $n$ or $n-1$ is even. Without loss of generality we may assume that $n=2 k$ for some positive integer $k>1$. Then $q=2^{n}-1=\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left(2^{k}+1\right)$, which is a contradiction since neither $2^{k}-1$ nor $2^{k}+1$ is equal to 1 . Therefore, $n \leq 3$. It follows that $q=7$ and $r=3$. So $\left|C_{G}(N)\right|=24$ and $G / C_{G}(N)$ is abelian. It follows that $G^{\prime} \leq C_{G}(N)$ and $N \leq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $R$ does not act trivially on $N$. As $N$ is 2 -decomposable in $G$, we have $p^{n}-1=q r$. By arguing similarly we have that $p^{n} r+p^{n} r=q p^{n}-p^{n}$. In this case, $q=2 r+1$ and $p^{n}=2 r^{2}+r+1$. If $r \neq 2$, then $p=2$. However, the equation $2^{n}=2 r^{2}+r+1$ does not have any solution by Lemma 2.8. So $r=2$. In this case, $q=5, p=11, n=1$ and $|G|=110$. Let $H$ be a 3 -decomposable subgroup of $G$. As $H \leq G^{\prime}$, we have $N \leq H$ by [14, Exercise 8.5.7], which contradicts the fact that $N$ is a maximal subgroup of $G^{\prime}$.
(iii) $G^{\prime} / N \cong Q_{8}$

In this case, $N<G^{\prime \prime}$ by [14, Exercise 8.5.7]. Therefore, $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=8 p^{n}$ and $\left|G^{\prime \prime} / N\right|=\left|Q_{8}^{\prime}\right|=2$, and thus $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|=2 p^{n}$. Notice that $1<N<G^{\prime \prime}<$ $G^{\prime}<G$, then both $|N|-1=p^{n}-1$ and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|=6 p^{n}$ divide $|G|=$ $8 p^{n} r$. It follows that $r=3$ and that $p^{n}-1$ divides 24 . Recall that $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group, we have $p \neq 2$ and write $2 \nmid\left|C_{G}(x)\right|$ for every $1 \neq x \in$ $N$. Consequently, $|G|=600$ or 216. In both cases, we write $\bar{G}=G / N$. Then $\bar{G}$ is a $\{1,2,3\}$-decomposable group. It follows from Theorem A that $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic $S_{4}$ or $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(24,3)$. Since the derived subgroup of $S_{4}$ has index 2 in $S_{4}, \bar{G}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(24,3)$. Noticing that $N=F(G)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G$ and that $G$ is solvable, we have $\Phi(G)<F(G)$, whence $\Phi(G)=1$. So there exists $H \leq G$ such that $G=N H$ and $N \cap H=1$. Therefore, $H \cong G / N$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(24,3)$. If $|G|=216$, then we may assume that $H=\left\langle a, b, c, d \mid a^{3}=d^{2}=1, b^{2}=c^{2}=d, b^{a}=c d, c^{a}=b c, c^{b}=c d\right\rangle$ and that $N=\left\langle e, f \mid e^{3}=f^{3}=1,[e, f]=1\right\rangle$. As $N$ is normal in $G$, we may assume that $e^{a}=e^{i} f^{j}, f^{a}=e^{k} f^{l}, e^{b}=e^{s} f^{t}, f^{b}=e^{m} f^{n}, e^{c}=$ $e^{u} f^{v}, f^{c}=e^{w} f^{x}$, where $i, j, k, l, s, t, m, n, u, v, w, x \in\{0,1,2\}$. Then $e^{a^{3}}=e^{b^{4}}=e^{c^{4}}=e, f^{a^{3}}=f^{b^{4}}=f^{c^{4}}=f, e^{b^{2}}=e^{c^{2}}=e^{d} \neq e, f^{b^{2}}=$ $f^{c^{2}}=f^{d} \neq f, e^{b a}=e^{a c d}, f^{b a}=f^{a c d}, e^{c a}=e^{a b c}, f^{c a}=f^{a b c}, e^{c b}=e^{b c d}$ and $f^{c b}=f^{b c d}$. Therefore, the integers $i, j, k, l, s, t, m, n, u, v, w, x$ must satisfy all of the following congruence equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{2}+m t-u^{2}-v w \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& s t+t n-u v-v x \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& s m+m n-u w-w x \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t m+n^{2}-w v-x^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& i^{3}+2 i j k+j k l \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& i j k+2 j k l+l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& i^{2} j+k j^{2}+i j l+l^{2} j \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& i^{2} k+i k l+k l^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& s^{4}+2 s t m n+m^{2} t^{2}+m n^{2} t \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& s^{2} t m+2 s m n t+t^{2} m^{2}+n^{4} \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& u^{4}+2 u v w x+v^{2} w^{2}+w x^{2} v \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& u^{2} v w+2 u v w x+v^{2} w^{2}+x^{4} \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
& u s i+u m j+w t i+w n j-i u-k v \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& v s i+v m j+x t i+x n j-j u-l v \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& u s i+u m j+w t i+w n j-i w-k x \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& v s i+v m j+x t i+x n j-j w-l x \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& u^{3} v+2 u v^{2} w+2 v^{2} w x+u^{2} x v+u v x^{2}+x^{3} v \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& u^{3} w+u^{2} w x+2 u v w^{2}+u w x^{2}+2 v w^{2} x+w x^{3} \equiv 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{3} t+2 s t^{2} m+2 t^{2} m n+s^{2} n t+s t n^{2}+n^{3} t \equiv 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{3} m+s^{2} m n+2 s t m^{2}+s m n^{2}+2 t m^{2} n+m n^{3} \equiv 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{3} u+s^{2} w t+m t u s+m w t^{2}+s^{2} m v+s m x t+m n v s+m n s t-s u-m v \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{2} u m+s^{2} w n+t u m^{2}+m t w n+s m^{2} v+s m x n+m^{2} v n+m x n^{2}-s w-m x \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s t u m+s t w n+t n u m+t w n^{2}+t v m^{2}+t m x n+n^{2} v m+x n^{3}-t w-n x \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{2} u t+s w t^{2}+t n u s+t^{2} w n+t m v s+m x t^{2}+n^{2} v s+n^{2} x t-t u-n v \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{2} u i+s^{2} w j+m t u i+m t w j+s m v i+s m x j+m n v i+m n x j-i s-l t \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s t u i+s t w j+t n u i+t n w j+t m v i+t m x j+n^{2} v j+n^{2} x j-j s-l t \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s^{2} u k+s^{2} w l+m t u k+m t w l+s m v k+s m x l+m n v k+m n x l-i m-k n \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) \\
& s t u k+s t w l+t n u k+t n w l+t m v k+t m x l+n^{2} v k+n^{2} x l-j m-l n \equiv \\
& 0(m o d 3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $e^{b^{2}} \neq e$ and $f^{b^{2}} \neq f$, we have neither

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
s^{2}+m t & \equiv 1(\bmod 3)  \tag{3.1}\\
s t+t n & \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

nor

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
s m+m n & \equiv 0(\bmod 3)  \tag{3.2}\\
t m+n^{2} & \equiv 1(\bmod 3)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

happens.
According to the calculation, we have the following 8 solutions for the above conditions:

1. $i=0, j=1, k=2, l=2, s=0, t=2, m=1, n=0, u=1, v=$ $2, w=2, x=2$,
2. $i=0, j=2, k=1, l=2, s=0, t=1, m=2, n=0, u=1, v=$ $1, w=1, x=2$,
3. $i=2, j=2, k=1, l=0, s=1, t=1, m=1, n=2, u=2, v=$ $1, w=1, x=1$,
4. $i=2, j=1, k=2, l=0, s=1, t=1, m=1, n=2, u=0, v=$ $1, w=2, x=0$,
5. $i=2, j=2, k=1, l=0, s=1, t=2, m=2, n=2, u=0, v=$ $2, w=1, x=0$,
6. $i=0, j=1, k=2, l=2, s=2, t=1, m=1, n=1, u=1, v=$ $1, w=1, x=2$,
7. $i=0, j=2, k=1, l=2, s=2, t=1, m=1, n=1, u=0, v=$ $2, w=1, x=0$,
8. $i=2, j=2, k=1, l=0, s=0, t=1, m=2, n=0, u=2, v=$ $2, w=2, x=1$.

Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}, G_{6}, G_{7}, G_{8}$ be the groups corresponding to the above solutions, respectively. In group $G_{2}$, we may replace $f$ by $f^{2}$, then $G_{2}$ has the same representation as $G_{1}$, and thus $G_{2} \cong G_{1}$. Similarly, in groups $G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}, G_{6}, G_{7}, G_{8}$, we may replace $e, f$ by $e^{2}$, ef, replace $e$ by $e f^{2}$, replace $e, f$ by $e f, f^{2}$, replace $e, f$ by $f^{2}, e f$, replace $e, f$ by $e f^{2}, e^{2}$, replace $e, f$ by $f, e$, respectively, then all of them are isomorphic to $G_{1}$. Therefore, we may define $G$ as in (1) of this Theorem. If $|G|=$ 600, with the help of Program 1 in the Appendix, we have that $G \cong$ $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(600,150)$, and thus we may assume that $G$ is defined as in (2) of this Theorem.
(C) $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $N$ and $G^{\prime} / N \cong Z_{q}$, where $N$ is 3 -decomposable in $G$.

As $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-|N|=p^{n} q-p^{n}$ divides $p^{n} q r$, we see that $q-1$ divides $q r$. So $q=2$, and $r \neq 2$ or $q=3$, and $r=2$.

Suppose $r \neq p$. If $q=2$ and $r \neq 2$, then $|G|=2 p^{n} r$. We can choose $T$ to be a subgroup of $G$ of order $p^{n} r$. Then $T \unlhd G$ and $G^{\prime} \leq T$ as $G / T$
is abelian of order 2 , which is a contradiction by order consideration. If $q=3$ and $r=2$, then $|G|=6 p^{n}$. Suppose that $N$ is abelian. Then $p^{n}=1+2 q r$ or $p^{n}=1+2 q$ or $p^{n}=1+q r+q$. It follows that $|G|=78$ or 42 . Since every normal subgroup of $G$ is contained in $G^{\prime}$, it is easy to see that there is no 2-decomposable normal subgroup in $G$ in each case. Therefore, $N$ is non-abelian, and thus $Z(N)$ is 2-decomposable in $G$. Suppose $|Z(N)|=p^{t}$ for some integer $t$. Then $p^{n}=p^{t}+p^{s} q r$ or $p^{n}=p^{t}+p^{s} q$ for some integer $s$. If $p^{n}=p^{t}+p^{s} q r$, then $p=7$ and $n-t=1$. Note that $Z(N)$ is 2-decomposable, we have $p^{t}=1+q r$. Therefore, $t=1, n=2$ and $|G|=294$. Suppose $N=Z(N) \cup u^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(u)\right|=7$, which is contrary to the fact that $Z(N) \neq 1$. If $p^{n}=p^{t}+p^{s} q$, then $p=2=r$, contrary to our assumption.

Therefore, $r=p$. In this case, we have $|G|=p^{n+1} q$. If $q=2$, we can choose $T$ to be a subgroup of $G$ of order $p^{n+1}$. Then $G / T$ is abelian and thus $G^{\prime} \leq T$, which is a contradiction. If $p=2$, then $q=3$ and $|G|=2^{n+1} \cdot 3$. Let $K$ be a 2 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Then $K \leq G^{\prime}$, and thus $K \leq N$ by [14, Exercise 8.5.7]. If $t=1$, then $K=Z(G)$, which gives $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$, and this contradicts the fact that $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group. Since both $|K|-1=2^{t}-1$ and $|N|-|K|=2^{n}-2^{t}$ divide $|G|=2^{n+1} \cdot 3$, we have $t=2$, and $n=3$ or $n=4$. Therefore, $|G|=48$ or 96 . First suppose that $|G|=48$. Then $\bar{G}=G / K$ is $\{1,2,3\}$-decomposable and $|\bar{G}|=12$, which contradicts Theorem A. Now, suppose that $|G|=96$. Then $|N|=16$. It is easy to see that $N^{\prime}=Z(N)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order 4 and that $\exp (N)=4$. However, by investigating the structures of non-abelian 2groups of order 16 with exponent 4, we find that there does not exist a group satisfying this condition. Therefore, there is no $X$-decomposable group in this case.

Theorem 3.2. There is no finite non-perfect $X$-decomposable group $G$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is 3-decomposable in $G$.

Proof. Since $G^{\prime}$ is 3-decomposable, $G^{\prime}$ must be one of the following groups by [16]:

1) $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some prime $p$ and some integer $n$ and $G^{\prime}$ is metabelian.
2) $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some prime $p$ and some integer $n$ and $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian.
3) $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group and $G^{\prime}=\{1\} \cup g^{G} \cup h^{G}$, with $h^{-1} \in h^{G}$ and $(|h|,|g|)=1$.

Furthermore, if $G^{\prime}$ is of type 3 ), then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n} p$, where $p=2^{n}-1$ is a prime by [2, Lemma 1$]$.

We see that in all cases, $G$ is solvable as $G^{\prime}$ is solvable. Let $N$ be an arbitrary normal subgroup of $G$. We claim that $G^{\prime} \leq N$ or $N \leq G^{\prime}$. For otherwise, since $G$ is $X$-decomposable and $G^{\prime}$ is 3 -decomposable in $G$, there are more than $4 G$-conjugacy classes in $G^{\prime} N$. It follows that $G=G^{\prime} N$, and thus $(G / N)^{\prime}=G / N$, which is a contradiction.

Case A. $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some prime $p$ and some integer $n$ and $G^{\prime}$ is metabelian.

If $Z(G) \not \leq G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime}<Z(G)$ by the above paragraph, which gives the contradiction that $G$ is abelian. Therefore, $Z(G) \leq G^{\prime}$.
(i) If $G$ has at least two distinct 4-decomposable normal subgroups $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, then $K_{1} \cap K_{2}=G^{\prime}$ and $G=K_{1} K_{2}$. Furthermore, there exist primes $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that $\left|G / K_{1}\right|=\left|K_{2} / G^{\prime}\right|=r_{1}$ and $\left|G / K_{2}\right|=$ $\left|K_{1} / G^{\prime}\right|=r_{2}$, and thus $|G|=p^{n} r_{1} r_{2}$. On the other hand, since $\left|K_{1}\right|-\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|K_{2}\right|-\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ divide $|G|$, we have that both $r_{1}-1$ and $r_{2}-1$ divide $r_{1} r_{2}$. It is easy to see that $|G|=4 p^{n}$ or $|G|=6 p^{n}$.

Suppose $|G|=4 p^{n}$. Then $p \neq 2$. Let $K$ be a 2 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Then $K \leq G^{\prime}$, and so there exists a positive integer $t<n$ such that $|K|=p^{t}$. Then both $|K|-1=p^{t}-1$ and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-|K|=p^{n}-p^{t}$ divide $4 p^{n}$. It is easy to see that $p^{n}=9$ or 25 . Suppose that $p^{n}=9$ and that $G^{\prime}=K \cup x^{G}$ for some $x \in G^{\prime}$. Then $\left|x^{G}\right|=9-3=6$ and $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=6$. On the other hand, we have that $G^{\prime} \leq C_{G}(x)$ as $G^{\prime}$ is abelian, and thus $\left|C_{G}(x)\right| \geq 9$, which is a contradiction. If $p^{n}=25$, by arguing similarly as for $p^{n}=9$, we can get a contradiction.

Now, suppose $|G|=6 p^{n}$. Let $H$ be a 2 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Then $H \leq G^{\prime}$. If $H \leq Z(G)$, then $|H|=2$ and $p=2$. Therefore, $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-|H|=2^{n}-2$ divides $2^{n}$. 6. It follows that $|G|=24$ or 48. First suppose $|G|=24$. If $G$ has normal Sylow 3 -subgroup $Q$, then $Z(G) \times Q$ is 4-decomposable in $G$, and thus $G^{\prime} \leq Z(G) \times Q$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, a Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G$ is not normal and $G / Z(G) \cong A_{4}$ by [11, Theorem 4.3.4], which is a contradiction. Now, suppose $|G|=48$. Then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=8$ and we can choose a 4 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$, say $K_{1}$, such that $\left|K_{1}\right|=24$. If $K_{1}=G^{\prime} \cup w^{G}$, then $\left|w^{G}\right|=16$ and thus $\left|C_{G}(w)\right|=3$, contrary to that $Z(G) \neq 1$.

Therefore, $H \not \leq Z(G)$. Recall that $H \leq G^{\prime}$, so there exists a positive integer $i$ such that $|H|=p^{i}$. Then both $|H|-1=p^{i}-1$ and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-$ $|H|=p^{n}-p^{i}$ divides $6 p^{n}$. Note that $|H| \neq 2$ as $H \not 又 Z(G)$. We
conclude that $|G|=48,54,96$ or 294 . If $|G|=48$. Let $K_{1}$ be a 4 decomposable normal subgroup such that $\left|K_{1}\right|=24$ and $K_{1}=G^{\prime} \cup w^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(w)\right|=3$. On the other hand, write $G^{\prime}=H \cup v^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(v)\right|=12$, which is a contradiction. If $|G|=54$, then we can choose $K_{1}$ to be a 4 -decomposable normal subgroup such that $\left|K_{1}\right|=27$ and that $K_{1}=G^{\prime} \cup u^{G}$. It follows that $K_{1}$ is a Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G$ and $Z\left(K_{1}\right) \neq 1$, which contradicts the fact that $\left|C_{G}(u)\right|=3$. If $|G|=96$. We can choose $K_{2}$ to be a 4-decomposable subgroup of $G$ such that $\left|K_{2}\right|=32$ and $K_{2}=G^{\prime} \cup k^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(k)\right|=6$, which contradicts that $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. Finally, suppose $|G|=294$. Let $G^{\prime}=H \cup h^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(h)\right|=7$, contrary to that $G^{\prime}$ is abelian.
(ii) There is exactly one 4 -decomposable normal subgroup in $G$. Then there exists a prime $q \neq p$ such that $G / G^{\prime}$ is a cyclic group and $\left|G / G^{\prime}\right|=$ $q^{2}$. Let $H / G^{\prime}$ be a normal subgroup of $G / G^{\prime}$ of order $q$. Then $|H|=p^{n} q$ and $H$ is 4 -decomposable in $G$. Therefore, $|H|-\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}(q-1)$ divides $|G|=p^{n} q$. It follows that $q=2$ and $|G|=4 p^{n}$. By arguing similarly as in (i), we conclude that there is no $X$-decomposable group in this case.

Case B. $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some prime $p$ and some integer $n$ and $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian.

We can similarly have $Z(G) \leq G^{\prime}$ as in Case A.
(i) There are at least two distinct 4-decomposable normal subgroups in $G$. By arguing similarly as in Case $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{i})$, we have $|G|=4 p^{n}$ or $|G|=6 p^{n}$.

Suppose $|G|=4 p^{n}$. Then $p \neq 2$. If $Z(G) \neq 1$, then $|Z(G)|=3$ and $G^{\prime}=Z(G)$ as $G^{\prime}$ is 3-decomposable in $G$. It follows that $G$ is abelian, which is a contradiction. Therefore $Z(G)=1$, and thus $G^{\prime}$ is the only minimal normal subgroup of $G$ by $[2$, Theorem 1(i)], so $G$ does not have a 2-decomposable normal subgroup, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose $|G|=6 p^{n}$. If $Z(G)=1$, by arguing similarly as in the above paragraph, we can get a contradiction. Therefore, $Z(G) \neq 1$. If $|Z(G)|=2$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-|Z(G)|=2^{n}-2$ divides $|G|=6 \cdot 2^{n}$. It follows that $|G|=24$ or 48. If $|G|=24$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=4$. Let $K_{1}$ be a 4 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$ such that $\left|K_{1}\right|=12$ and let $K_{1}=G^{\prime} \cup x^{G}$. Then $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=3$, which contradicts the fact that $Z(G) \neq 1$. If $|G|=48$, by arguing similarly as for $|G|=24$, we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, $Z(G)=G^{\prime}$ is of order 3. Consequently, we conclude that $|G|=18$ and $G / Z(G)=G / G^{\prime}$ is a cyclic group of order 6 , which gives the contradiction that $G$ is abelian.
(ii) There is exactly one 4 -decomposable normal subgroup in $G$. By arguing similarly as in Case A(ii), we have that $|G|=4 p^{n}$. By (i) of this case, we see that there is no $X$-decomposable group in this case.

Case C. $G^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group of order $2^{n} p$, where $p=2^{n}-1$ is a prime and $G^{\prime}=\{1\} \cup g^{G} \cup h^{G}$, with $h^{-1} \in h^{G}$ and $(|h|,|g|)=1$.

Let $H$ be a 4 -decomposable normal subgroup of $G$. Then $G^{\prime} \leq H$ by the beginning of this theorem. We see that $H$ is a Frobenius group by [13, Theorem 2]. Let $M$ be the Frobenius kernel of $H$. Then $M$ is nilpotent, and thus $M \leq G^{\prime}$ by [14, Exercise 8.5.7]. It follows that $M$ is the Frobenius kernel of $G^{\prime}$. So $|H|=2^{n} p^{b}$ and $|G|=2^{n} p^{b} r$ for some prime $r$. As $|H|-\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n}\left(p^{b}-p\right)$ divides $2^{n} p^{b} r$, we have that $p^{b-1}-1$ divides $r$. Therefore, $p^{b-1}-1=r$ since $p=2^{n}-1$. It is easy to see that $r=2, p=3, b=2$ and $n=2$. Let $H=G^{\prime} \cup w^{G}$. Then $\left|w^{G}\right|=24$ and thus $\left|C_{G}(w)\right|=3$, which contradicts the fact that $G$ has abelian Sylow 3 -subgroups.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $X$-decomposable group. If $G^{\prime}$ is 2-decomposable in $G$, then $G$ is one of the following two groups:
(1) $|G|=42$ and $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{7}=b^{6}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{5}\right\rangle$.
(2) $G=D_{12}$.

Proof. As $G^{\prime}$ is 2-decomposable in $G$, there is a prime $p$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group by [17, Theorem 1]. Suppose $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$.

If $G^{\prime} \leq \Phi(G)$, then $G$ is nilpotent. As $Z(G)$ can not be maximal in $G, Z(G)$ is 2- or 3-decomposable in $G$. However, $|Z(G)|$ is divided by at least two primes since $G$ is not of prime power order, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G^{\prime} \not \leq \Phi(G)$. In this case, there exists a maximal subgroup $M$ of $G$ such that $G^{\prime} \not \leq M$. So $G=G^{\prime} M$ and $G^{\prime} \cap M=1$. Moreover, $M \cong G / G^{\prime}$ is abelian. For $1 \neq x \in M$, the maximality of $M$ implies that $C_{G}(x)=M$ or $C_{G}(x)=G$.

If $C_{G}(x)=M$ for every $1 \neq x \in M$, then $G$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $G^{\prime}$ and a complement $M$. By the structure of the Frobenius complements, $M$ is a cyclic group. Take $K$ to be an arbitrary non-trivial subgroup of $M$. Then $G^{\prime} K \unlhd G$ and so $G^{\prime} K$ is 3- or 4-decomposable in $G$. For every $1 \neq y \in G^{\prime} K \backslash G^{\prime}, y$ must be a $p^{\prime}$-element and there exists a Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup $M_{1}$ of $G$ such that $y \in M_{1}$. Noticing that $M_{1}$ and $M$ are conjugate, we conclude that $M_{1}$ is also abelian and thus $\left|y^{G}\right|=\frac{|G|}{\left|M_{1}\right|}=\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. If $G^{\prime} K$ is 3-decomposable in $G$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right||K|=$
$\left|G^{\prime} K\right|=2\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ and $|K|=2$. If $G^{\prime} K$ is 4-decomposable in $G$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right||K|=\left|G^{\prime} K\right|=3\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ and $|K|=3$. Therefore, $M$ is a cyclic group of order 6 . On the other hand, $M$ acts transitively and fixed-point freely on $G^{\prime} \backslash\{1\}$, so $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-1=p^{n}-1=6$. It follows that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=7$ and there exists $i \in\{2,3,4,5,6\}$ such that $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{7}=b^{6}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{i}\right\rangle$. It is easy to see that $i=5$ and $G$ is the first group described in this theorem.

Now, suppose that there exists $1 \neq x \in M$ such that $C_{G}(x)=G$. Then $Z(G) \neq 1$. If $G^{\prime} \leq Z(G)$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2$ and $|G: M|=\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2$. So $G^{\prime} \leq M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G^{\prime} \not \leq Z(G)$. The minimality of $G^{\prime}$ implies that $G^{\prime} \cap Z(G)=1$. Let $H=G^{\prime} \times Z(G)$. Then $H$ is abelian and thus $H<G$. In this case, $Z(G)$ must be 2decomposable and so $H$ is 4-decomposable in $G$. Therefore, there exists a prime $q$ such that $|G / H|=q$. Since $|H|=2 p^{n}$, we have $|G|=2 p^{n} q$. If $p=2$, then $q \neq 2$ as $G$ is not a 2 -group. As $G^{\prime}$ is 2 -decomposable and $H \leq C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, we have that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|-1=2^{n}-1=q$. Let $Q$ be a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$ and $K=G^{\prime} Q$. Then $K$ is normal in $G$ and $|K|=2^{n} q$. If $K$ is 3 -decomposable in $G$, then $|K|-\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n}(q-1)$ divides $|G|=2^{n+1} q$. It follows that $q=3, n=2$ and $|G|=24$. Since $Q \nexists G$ and $Z(G) \neq 1, G / Z(G) \cong A_{4}$ by [11, Theorem 4.3.4], which is a contradiction. If $K$ is 4 -decomposable in $G$, then $K$ is a Frobenius group by [13, Theorem 2]. So all elements of order $q$ in $K$ form two $G$ conjugacy classes. Let $y \in K$ be an element of order $q$. We can see that $\left|C_{G}(y)\right|=2 q$, and thus $\left|y^{G}\right|=2^{n}$. Now we have $2^{n} q=|K|=2^{n}+2^{n}+2^{n}$ and thus $q=3, n=2$ and $|K|=12$. It is easy to see that $K \cong A_{4}$ and $K \cap Z(G)=1$. Therefore, $G \cong A_{4} \times Z_{2}$. However, $G$ is $\{1,2,4\}$ decomposable by Theorem C, which is a contradiction. If $p \neq 2$, then there exist elements in $H$ of order $2, p$ and $2 p$. So all elements of order $p$ in $H$ form one $G$-conjugacy class. Noticing that $H$ is abelian, we conclude that $p^{n}-1=q$ and thus $p^{n}=3$ and $q=2$. In this case, $|G|=12$ and $G$ is an extension of a cyclic group $H$ of order 6 by a cyclic group of order 2. Suppose that $H=\langle a\rangle$ and let $1 \neq b \in G \backslash H$. Then $b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}$ since $b^{-1} a b \neq a$. On the other hand, $b^{2} \in H$ since $|G / H|=2$. If $b^{2}=a^{2}$ or $b^{2}=a^{4}$, then $b$ is of order 6 . It is easy to see that $|\langle a\rangle \cap\langle b\rangle|=3$, and thus $|Z(G)| \geq 3$, which contradicts to that $|Z(G)|=2$. If $b^{2}=a^{3}$, then $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{6}=1, b^{2}=a^{3}, b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}\right\rangle \cong$ $Q_{12}$. However, $G$ is $\{1,2,4\}$-decomposable by Theorem C. Therefore, $b^{2}=1$ and $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{6}=b^{2}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}\right\rangle \cong D_{12}$, and $G$ is $X$-decomposable by Example 2.1.

Now, from the above three theorems, we come to our main theorem.
Theorem 3.4 (Main theorem). Let $G$ be a finite non-perfect $X$ decomposable group. Then $G$ is one of the following groups:
(1) $|G|=216$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{3}=f^{3}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=c d, c^{a}=b c, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=f^{2}, e^{b}=e^{2} f, e^{c}=f^{2}, e^{d}=e^{2}, f^{a}=$ $\left.e f^{2}, f^{b}=e f, f^{c}=e, f^{d}=f^{2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $|G|=600$ and $G=\langle a, b, c, d, e, f| a^{3}=d^{2}=e^{5}=f^{5}=1, b^{2}=$ $c^{2}=d, b^{a}=b c, c^{a}=b, c^{b}=c d, e^{a}=e f^{3}, e^{b}=e^{3} f^{3}, e^{c}=e^{3}, e^{d}=$ $\left.e^{4}, f^{a}=e^{4} f^{3}, f^{b}=f^{2}, f^{c}=e^{4} f^{2}, f^{d}=f^{4}\right\rangle$.
(3) $|G|=42$ and $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{7}=b^{6}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{5}\right\rangle$.
(4) $G=D_{12}$.

## Appendix

## Program 1 : A Magma Program

SetLogFile("nnn..txt");
P:=SmallGroupProcess(600);
repeat
$\mathrm{G}:=\operatorname{Current}(\mathrm{P})$;
".

```
                group ";
```

CurrentLabel(P);
$\mathrm{M}:=\operatorname{NormalSubgroups}(\mathrm{G}) ; \mathrm{m}:=0$;
for j in $[1 . . \sharp \mathrm{M}]$ do
$\mathrm{N}:=\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{j}]$ 'subgroup;
$\mathrm{S}:=[\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{n}$ in $\mathrm{N}-\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{n})$ ge 1];
while $\sharp$ S gt 1 do
$\mathrm{h}:=1 ; \mathrm{X}:=\mathrm{S}[1] ; \operatorname{Remove}(\mathrm{S}, 1)$;
for k in $[\sharp \mathrm{S} . .1$ by -1] do
if IsConjugate(G, X, S[k]) then
$\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{h}+1 ; \operatorname{Remove}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{k})$;
end if;
end for;
"c,",h;
end while; "c,",\#S; N;m:=m+1; "......................................." ,m;
if $\sharp \mathrm{N}$ eq 1 then " 1 "; end if;
end for;
". $\qquad$
Advance( P);
until IsEmpty (P);
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