ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the

Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 40 (2014), No. 5, pp. 1263-1274

Title:

Baer's lower nilradical and classical prime submodules

Author(s):

E. Yılmaz and S. Kılıçarslan Cansu

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society http://bims.ims.ir

Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. Vol. 40 (2014), No. 5, pp. 1263–1274 Online ISSN: 1735-8515

BAER'S LOWER NILRADICAL AND CLASSICAL PRIME SUBMODULES

E. YILMAZ* AND S. KILIÇARSLAN CANSU

(Communicated by Shokrollah Salarian)

ABSTRACT. Let N be a submodule of a module M and a minimal primary decomposition of N is known. A formula to compute Baer's lower nilradical of N is given. The relations between classical prime submodules and their nilradicals are investigated. Some situations in which semiprime submodules can be written as finite intersection of classical prime submodule are stated.

Keywords: Envelopes, nilradical, classical prime submodules, semiprime submodules.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13E05; Secondary: 13E15, 13C99, 13P99.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring and M be an R-module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be primary if whenever $rm \in P$ where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ then $m \in P$ or $r^k M \subseteq N$ for some positive integer k.

Recall that $(P : M) = \{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq P\}$. If P is a primary submodule of M and $p = \sqrt{P : M}$, then P is called p-primary submodule (see [10]).

A primary decomposition of a submodule N of M is a representation of N as an intersection of finitely many primary submodules of M. Such a primary decomposition $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} Q_i$ with p_i -primary submodules Q_i

©2014 Iranian Mathematical Society

Article electronically published on October 27, 2014.

Received: 8 December 2012, Accepted: 20 September 2013.

^{*}Corresponding author.

¹²⁶³

is called minimal if p_i 's are pairwise distinct and $Q_j \not\supseteq \cap_{i \neq j} Q_i$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

If R is a Noetherian ring and M is a finitely generated module, then every proper submodule N has a minimal primary decomposition. The first uniqueness theorem states that for such a minimal primary decomposition the set of primes $\{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ is uniquely defined. These primes are called the associated primes of N. We denote this set by $\operatorname{Ass}(M/N)$. It is clear that for any $p \in \operatorname{Ass}(M/N)$, $(N:M) \subseteq p$.

The prime ideals in Ass(M/N) that are minimal with respect to inclusion are called the isolated primes of N, the remaining associated prime ideals are the embedded primes of N.

The second uniqueness theorem states that not only the primes but also the primary components corresponding to isolated primes, the isolated components of N in M, are uniquely defined. The other primary components, the embedded components of N in M, need not be defined uniquely. The concepts and theorems about the primary decomposition of modules can be found in chapter 9 of [12].

The radical \sqrt{I} of an ideal $I \subset R$ is characterized as the set of elements $a \in R$ such that $a^n \in I$ for some positive integer n. The concept of envelope of a submodule is the generalization of this characterization to the modules. If N is a submodule of an R-module M, then the envelope of N in M is defined to be the set

$$E_M(N) = \{ rm : r \in R, m \in M \text{ and } r^k m \in N \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}.$$

The submodule generated by the envelope is called (Baer's) lower nilradical and denoted by $\sqrt[nil]{N}$. Although some methods to compute radical of a submodule, which defined to be intersection of prime submodules containing N, are given in [9] and [11]. It seems there is no description for the computation of the lower nilradical of a submodule in the literature. In Section 1, we give a formula for the computation of $\sqrt[nil]{N}$ if a minimal primary decomposition of N is known. In this section, we use extensively the concepts and results from [8].

When M is a module, a proper submodule N of an R-module Mis called a classical prime submodule if for each $m \in M$ and $a, b \in R$; $abm \in N$ implies that $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. A proper submodule N of an R-module M is called a classical primary submodule if $abm \in N$ where $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, then either $bm \in N$ or $a^k m \in N$ for some $k \ge 1$. We remark that these two concept are sometimes referred to in the literature as weakly prime submodules and weakly primary submodules, respectively. This notion of classical (weakly) prime submodule was first introduced and studied in [4] and recently has received a good deal of attention from several authors; see for example [1, 2, 5]. Also, this notion of classical primary submodule was first introduced and studied in [3]. In Section 2, we investigated relations between classical prime submodules and their lower nilradicals. We also give an example to show a conjecture given in [3] is false.

2. Baer's lower nilradicals of submodules

Lemma 2.1. Let N be a submodule of a module M over a ring R. If $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$ is a minimal primary decomposition of N where $\sqrt{Q_i: M} = p_i$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., k. If $S = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and $\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S$, then

$$(\bigcap_{i\in T} p_i)(\bigcap_{i\in S\setminus T} Q_i) \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N}$$

Proof. Let $n \in (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i)(\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i)$. Then there exist $r_j \in \bigcap_{i \in T} p_i$ and $m_j \in \bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i$ such that

$$n = r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2 + \dots + r_s m_s$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Since $r_j \in \bigcap_{i \in T} p_i$, we have $r_j^{k_j} M \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in T} Q_i$ for some $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. In particular, $r_j^{k_j} m_j \in \bigcap_{i \in T} Q_i$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$. Since $m_j \in \bigcap_{i \in S-T} Q_i$, we have $r_j^{k_j} m_j \in \bigcap_{i \in S-T} Q_i$ for all j. Thus, we have $r_j^{k_j} m_j \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i = N$ which means that $r_j m_j \in E_M(N)$ for all j. Therefore, $n \in \stackrel{\text{nil}}{\longrightarrow} N$.

Before giving a formula for the nilradical of a submodule in terms of its associated primes and primary submodules in its primary decomposition, we need some technical prerequisites.

Definition 2.2. Let N be a submodule of a module M over a ring R. If I is an ideal of R, then the set

 $N: I^{\infty} = \{m \in M: I^k m \subseteq N \text{ for some positive integer } k\}$ is called the stable quotient of N by I in M.

Lemma 2.3. [8, Lemma 1] Let $P \subset M$ be a primary submodule of M and $f \in R$.

(i)
$$P: \langle f \rangle^{\infty} = M$$
 if $f \in \sqrt{P:M}$,

(*ii*)
$$P: \langle f \rangle^{\infty} = P$$
 if $f \notin \sqrt{P:M}$.

More generally, for arbitrary submodule N of M and its primary decomposition $N = \bigcap P_i$ into p_i -primary submodules P_i we get

$$(iii) \ N: \langle f \rangle^{\infty} = \bigcap_{f \notin p_i} P_i$$

and for arbitrary ideal I of R

$$(iv) \ N: I^{\infty} = \bigcap_{I \not \subset p_i} P_i.$$

We can easily show that.

Lemma 2.4. Let N be p-primary submodule of an R-module M. Then

(i)
$$N: h = N$$
, if $h \notin p$,
(ii) $N: h = M$, if $h \in (N:M)$.

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.5. With the notation in Lemma 2.1,

$$\sqrt[nil]{N} = N + (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa} p_i)M + \sum_{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S} (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i) (\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i).$$

Proof. Let $m \in \sqrt[n]{N}$. Then there exist $m_j \in M$, and $r_j \in R$ such that

$$m = r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2 + \dots + r_t m_t.$$

By the definition of $\sqrt[nil]{N}$, we have $m_j \in N : \langle r_j \rangle^{\infty}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

For each $r_j, r_j \in R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k p_i$, there is a maximal proper subset T of S such that $r_j \in \bigcap_{i \in T} p_i$ or $r_j \in p_i$ for all i.

Yılmaz and Cansu

If $r_j \in R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k p_i$, then $N : \langle r_j \rangle^{\infty} = N$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence $m_j \in N$ and so $r_j m_j \in N$. If $r_j \in \bigcap_{i \in T} p_i$, then $N : \langle r_j \rangle^{\infty} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k (Q_i : \langle r_j \rangle^{\infty}) = \bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i$

by Lemma 2.3. Hence,

$$r_j m_j \in (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i) (\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i).$$

If $r_j \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k p_i = \sqrt{N:M}$, then $r_j m_j \in \sqrt{N:M}M$. Thus, we can conclude that

$$\sqrt[nil]{N} \subseteq N + (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} p_i)M + \sum_{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S} (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i) (\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i).$$

For the other side of the inclusion, Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S}} (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i) (\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i) \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N}.$$

Moreover N and $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} p_i)M = \sqrt{N:M}M$ are clearly in $\sqrt[nit]{N}$.

Corollary 2.6. If N is a p-primary submodule, then

$$\sqrt[n^{il}]{N} = N + pM.$$

Now, we will give an application of Theorem 2.5. The computer algebra system SINGULAR was used for the computations (see [7]).

Example 2.7. Let $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$ and let $M = R \oplus R \oplus R$. Consider the submodule $N = \langle xz\mathbf{e}_3 - z\mathbf{e}_1, x^2\mathbf{e}_3, x^2y^3\mathbf{e}_1 + x^2y^2z\mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$.

Primary decomposition of N is $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ where

$$Q_{1} = \langle \mathbf{e}_{3}, z\mathbf{e}_{1}, y\mathbf{e}_{1} + z\mathbf{e}_{2}, z^{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle \text{ is } \langle z \rangle - primary,$$

$$Q_{2} = \langle \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{3}, y^{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle \text{ is } \langle y \rangle - primary \text{ and}$$

$$Q_{3} = \langle x\mathbf{e}_{1}, x\mathbf{e}_{3} - \mathbf{e}_{1}, x^{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle \text{ is } \langle x \rangle - primary.$$

By Theorem 2.5,

$$\sqrt[n^{nu}]{N} = N + (p_1 \cap p_2 \cap p_3)M + p_1(Q_2 \cap Q_3) + p_2(Q_1 \cap Q_3) + p_3(Q_1 \cap Q_2) + (p_1 \cap p_2)Q_3 + (p_1 \cap p_3)Q_2 + (p_2 \cap p_3)Q_1.$$

It is clear that $(p_1 \cap p_2 \cap p_3)M = \langle xyz\mathbf{e}_1, xyz\mathbf{e}_2, xyz\mathbf{e}_3 \rangle$. We also get

$$p_{1}(Q_{2} \cap Q_{3}) = \langle xz\mathbf{e}_{1}, xz\mathbf{e}_{3} - z\mathbf{e}_{1}, x^{2}y^{2}z\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

$$p_{2}(Q_{1} \cap Q_{3}) = \langle xyz\mathbf{e}_{3} - yz\mathbf{e}_{1}, x^{2}y\mathbf{e}_{3}, x^{2}y^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} + x^{2}yz\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

$$p_{3}(Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}) = \langle x\mathbf{e}_{3}, xz\mathbf{e}_{1}, xy^{3}\mathbf{e}_{1} + xy^{2}z\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

$$(p_{1} \cap p_{2})Q_{3} = \langle xyz\mathbf{e}_{1}, xyz\mathbf{e}_{3} - yz\mathbf{e}_{1}, x^{2}yz\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

$$(p_{1} \cap p_{3})Q_{2} = \langle xz\mathbf{e}_{1}, xz\mathbf{e}_{3}, xy^{2}z\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

$$(p_{2} \cap p_{3})Q_{1} = \langle xy\mathbf{e}_{3}, xyz\mathbf{e}_{1}, xy^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} + xyz\mathbf{e}_{2}, xyz^{2}\mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle$$

Thus

$$\sqrt[nil]{N} = \langle z\mathbf{e}_1, x\mathbf{e}_3, xyz\mathbf{e}_2, xy^2\mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$$

Corollary 2.8. If $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$, then each isolated component of primary decomposition of N must be prime.

Proof. Let $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ with Q_i 's are p_i -primary submodules. Let Q_k be one of the isolated components of N. If Q_k 's were not a prime submodule, then there would exist $x \in p_k \setminus (Q_k : M)$. Hence, there exists $m \in M$ such that $xm \notin Q_k$. Since p_k is an isolated prime, we can find an element $y \in (\bigcap_{j \neq k} p_j) \setminus p_k$. Then

$$xym \in (\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} p_j)M \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N} = N \subseteq Q_k.$$

Since Q_k is p_k -primary and $xm \notin Q_k, y \in p_k$ which is a contradiction.

3. Classical prime submodules

In this section we investigate the relations between classical prime submodules and their nilradicals.

Lemma 3.1. If N is a classical prime submodule, then $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$.

Proof. Let $x \in \sqrt[nil]{N}$. Then there exist elements $r_i \in R$ and $m_i \in M$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that

$$x = r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k$$
 with $r_i^{t_i} m_i \in N$

for some $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since N is classical prime, $r_i^{t_i}m_i \in N$ implies that $r_im_i \in N$ or $r_i^{t_i-1}m_i \in N$. If $r_im_i \in N$, then $x = r_1m_1 + \cdots + r_km_k \in N$. If $r_i^{t_i-1}m_i \in N$, then $r_im_i \in N$ or $r_i^{t_i-2}m_i \in N$. By the same process, $r_im_i \in N$ for all cases. Hence, $x \in N$, which means that $\sqrt[n_i!]{N} \subseteq N$. Other side of the inclusion is obvious. \Box

The classical quasi-primary submodules are introduced in [6]. We will take one of the equivalence definitions in Noetherian modules.

Definition 3.2. A proper submodule N of a Noetherian module M is called classical quasi-primary if $abm \in N$ where $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $a^k m \in N$ or $b^k m \in N$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.3. [6, Proposition 3.4] Let M be a Noetherian R-module and N is a proper submodule of M. Suppose that $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap$ Q_s where each Q_i is p_i -primary submodule. Then N is classical quasiprimary if and only if $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s\}$ is a chain of prime ideals.

Theorem 3.4. If N is a classical quasi-primary submodule and $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$, then N is classical prime submodule.

Proof. Suppose that $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_s$ where each Q_i is p_i -primary submodule with $p_1 \subset p_2 \subset \cdots \subset p_s$. Since $p_1 \subset p_2 \subset \cdots \subset p_s$, by the Theorem 2.5

$$N = \sqrt[nil]{N} = N + p_1 M + \sum_{i=2}^{s} p_i(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j).$$

Let $abm \in N$ with $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$. Let *i* be the first index for which $m \notin Q_i$. Since Q_i is p_i -primary, $ab \in p_i$ and so either $a \in p_i$ or $b \in p_i$. If i = 1, then since $p_1M \subset \sqrt[nil]{N} = N$, either $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. Let i > 1. Since $p_i(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j) \subset \sqrt[nil]{N} = N$, either $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. Hence N is a classical prime submodule. \Box

The following conjecture is stated in [3]: Let R be a ring and M be an R-module. Then for every classical primary submodule Q of M, $\sqrt[nil]{Q}$ is a classical prime submodule.

The next example shows that the conjecture is false.

Example 3.5. Let $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ and let $M = R \oplus R$. Consider the submodule $N = \langle x\mathbf{e}_1 + y^3\mathbf{e}_2, x^2\mathbf{e}_1, x\mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$. One can easily see that (N :

 $M) = \langle x^2 \rangle$ and N is $\langle x \rangle$ -primary submodule. Hence

 $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N + \langle x \rangle M = \langle x \mathbf{e}_1, x \mathbf{e}_2, y^3 \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$

Then $\sqrt[nil]{N}$ is not classical prime submodule since $y^2(0,y) = (0,y^3) \in \sqrt[nil]{N}$ but $y(0,y) = (0,y^2) \notin \sqrt[nil]{N}$.

If we weaken the conditions of the conjecture as follows, then we can obtain the desired result as a consequence of Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a finitely generated R-module. Then for every classical primary submodule Q of M; if ${}^{nil}\sqrt{Q} = Q$, then Q is classical prime.

We also have the following.

Corollary 3.7. Let $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ be a submodule of M where Q_i is p_i -primary. If $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$, then either Q_1 and Q_2 are both prime or N is classical prime.

Proof. We have two cases: $p_1 \not\subseteq p_2$ or $p_1 \subseteq p_2$. If $p_1 \not\subseteq p_2$, then both p_1 and p_2 are isolated primes. From Corollary 2.8, Q_1 and Q_2 are prime submodules. If $p_1 \subseteq p_2$, then Theorem 3.4 implies that N is classical prime. \Box

Definition 3.8. A proper submodule N of an R-module M is called semiprime if whenever $r^k m \in N$ for some $r \in R, m \in M$ and natural number k, then $rm \in N$.

The question when a semiprime submodule can be expressed as a finite intersection of classical prime submodules was discussed in [5]. We try to make some contributions to this discussion. First of all, the following lemma shows that semiprime submodules can be defined in terms of their lower nilradicals.

Lemma 3.9. A proper submodule N is semiprime if and only if $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$.

Proof. Suppose that N is semiprime. Let $x \in \sqrt[nil]{N}$. Then there exist elements $r_i \in R$, $m_i \in M$ $(1 \le i \le k)$ such that

$$x = r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k$$
 with $r_i^{t_i} m_i \in N$

for some $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since N is semiprime, $r_i m_i \in N$ for all *i*. Hence $x \in N$ and $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$. Let $r^k m \in N$ for some $r \in R, m \in M$ and natural number k. By definition of the envelope, $rm \in E_M(N) \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N} = N$. Hence, N is semiprime. \Box

Proposition 3.10. A finite intersection semiprime submodules is also semiprime.

Proof. Let $N = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_s$ where each N_i is semiprime. If $x \in \sqrt[nit]{N}$, then $x = r_1m_1 + r_2m_2 + \cdots + r_tm_t$ where $r_i^{k_i}m_i \in N$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore for each i and j, $r_i^{k_i}m_i \in N_j$. Since each N_j is semiprime, $r_im_i \in N_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$. Hence $x \in N$. By Lemma 3.9, N is semiprime. \Box

Definition 3.11. A submodule N is called a quasi-p-primary submodule in M, if N has a unique isolated prime p (and possibly embedded primes).

Definition 3.12. A quasi-p-primary submodule N is called simple quasip-primary if for any distinct associated primes p_i, p_j and p_k of N, $p_i \subset p_k$ and $p_j \subset p_k$ implies either $p_i \subset p_j$ or $p_j \subset p_i$.

In the language of graph theory, we can say N is a simple quasiprimary submodule, if Hasse diagram of associated primes of N with respect to set inclusion form a rooted tree.

Lemma 3.13. Let N be a simple quasi- p_1 -primary submodule for a prime ideal p_1 . If N is also semiprime, then N can be expressed as an intersection of finitely many classical prime submodules containing N.

Proof. Let $Ass(M/N) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ and $S = \{1, \ldots, s\}$. If N contains only one maximal associated prime with respect to inclusion, then its associated primes form a chain $p_1 \subset \cdots \subset p_s$. Hence, N is classical prime by Theorem 3.4.

Suppose that N has more than one maximal element. For each maximal p_j , we have a unique chain of associated primes $p_1 = p_{j_1} \subset p_{j_2} \subset$ $\cdots \subset p_{j_t} = p_j$. Let $N_j = Q_{j_1} \cap Q_{j_2} \cdots \cap Q_{j_t}$ where $Q_{j_1} = Q_1$ and $Q_{j_t} = Q_j$. From Theorem 2.5,

$$\sqrt[nit]{N} = N + p_1 M + \sum_{T \subset S} (\bigcap_{i \in T} p_i) (\bigcap_{i \in S \setminus T} Q_i)$$

and

$$\sqrt[n_{i}]{N_{j}} = N_{j} + p_{1}M + \sum_{i=2}^{t} p_{j_{i}}(\bigcap_{k=1}^{i-1} Q_{j_{k}}).$$

Our aim is to show that $\sqrt[nil]{N_j} = N_j$. Clearly $p_1 M \subset \sqrt[nil]{N} = N \subset N_j$. Let $B = \operatorname{Ass}(M/N) \setminus \operatorname{Ass}(M/N_j)$. Take $x \in p_{j_i}$ and $m \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{i-1} Q_{j_k}$. Since p_j is a maximal prime and N is simple quasi-primary, there exists $y \in (\bigcap_{p \in B} p) \setminus p_j$. Hence,

$$yxm \in (p_{j_i} \cap (\bigcap_{p \in B} p))(\bigcap_{k=1}^{i-1} Q_{j_k}) \subset \sqrt[nil]{N} = N \subset N_j \subset Q_{j_k}.$$

Since each Q_{j_k} is p_{j_k} -primary and $y \notin p_{j_k}$, $xm \in Q_{j_k}$. Hence, $xm \in N_j$. This implies $\sqrt[ni]{N_j} = N_j$ and N_j is classical prime by Theorem 3.4. Since $N = \bigcap N_j$, N is intersection of finitely many classical prime submodules. \Box

The following proposition is crucial for computing primary decompositions and is quite useful for our purpose.

Proposition 3.14. [8, Proposition 1] Assume that $L = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ are the isolated primes of N. For $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$ take $f_i \in R$ such that $f_i \in p_j$ if $i \neq j$, but $f_i \notin p_i$, $N_i = N : f_i^{\infty}$ and take integers e_i such that $f_i^{e_i} N_i \subset N$.

Then:

(i) N_i is a quasi- p_i -primary module in M.

(ii) The sets $A_i = \operatorname{Ass}(M/N_i) = \{p \in \operatorname{Ass}(M/N) : f_i \notin p\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

(iii) For $J := \langle e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k \rangle$ we have

$$N = (\bigcap N_i) \cap (N + JM)$$

This is a decomposition of N into quasi-primary components N_i and a component $N' := N + JM \subset M$ of lower (relative) dimension.

Theorem 3.15. Assume that $L = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ are the isolated primes of a semiprime submodule N and define N_i 's as in the previous proposition. If $N = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k$ and each N_i is simple quasi- p_i -primary, then $\sqrt[nil]{N_i} = N_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Hence N can be written as a finite intersection of classical prime submodules. Yılmaz and Cansu

Proof. For a fixed i, let $Ass(M/N_i) = \{p_{i_1} = p_i, p_{i_2}, \ldots, p_{i_{s_i}}\}$ and $p_i \subseteq$ p_{i_k} for every k and let $N_i = Q_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{i_{s_i}}$ where each Q_{i_k} is p_{i_k} -primary. By Theorem 2.5,

$$\sqrt[nil]{N} = N + \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa} p_i\right)M + \sum_{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S} \left(\bigcap_{j \in T} p_{i_j}\right) \left(\bigcap_{j \in S \setminus T} Q_{i_j}\right)$$

and

$$\sqrt[ni]{N_i} = N_i + p_i M + \sum_{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq S_i} \left(\bigcap_{r \in T} p_{i_r}\right) \left(\bigcap_{r \in S_i \setminus T} Q_{i_r}\right)$$

where $S_i = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s_i}\}$ and $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$. Let $x \in p_i$ and $m \in M$. Take $y \in (\bigcap_{j \neq i} p_j) \setminus (\bigcup_{t=2}^{s_i} p_{i_t})$. This is possible since associated primes of N_i 's are pairwise disjoint. Then

$$yxm \in \big(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} p_j\big)M \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N} \subseteq Q_{i_i}$$

for $t = 1, \ldots, s_i$. Since Q_{i_t} is primary and $y \notin p_{i_t}$, $xm \in Q_{i_t}$. Hence $xm \in N_i$.

Now, let $x \in \bigcap_{r \in T} p_{i_r}, m \in \bigcap_{S_i \setminus T} Q_{i_r}$ for some $T \subsetneq S_i$. Take

$$y \in \left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} p_j\right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{t=2}^{s_i} p_{i_t}\right)$$

Then

$$yxm \in \left[\left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} p_j\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{r \in T} p_{i_t}\right) \right] \left(\bigcap_{r \in S_i \setminus T} Q_{i_r}\right).$$

Since

$$\bigcap_{j \neq i} p_j = \bigcap_{j \neq i} \bigcap_{t=1}^{s_j} p_{j_t},$$

we have

$$\left[\left(\bigcap_{j\neq i} p_j\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{r\in T} p_{i_t}\right)\right] \left(\bigcap_{r\in S_i\setminus T} Q_{i_r}\right) \subseteq \sqrt[nil]{N} \subseteq N_i.$$

Thus $yxm \in Q_{i_t}$ for $t = 1, \ldots, s_i$. Since Q_{i_t} is primary and $y \notin p_{i_t}$, $xm \in Q_{i_t}$ and hence $xm \in N_i$. Therefore $\sqrt[nil]{N_i} = N_i$ and the conclusion easily follows.

We also have the following result.

Proposition 3.16. Let N be a classical primary submodule of M. Then N is semiprime if and only if N is classical prime.

Proof. Suppose N is semiprime. Then by Lemma 3.9, $\sqrt[nil]{N} = N$. Since N is classical primary, N is classical prime by Corollary 3.6.

References

- A. Azizi, Weakly prime submodules and prime submodules, *Glasg. Math. J.* 48 (2006), no. 2, 343–346.
- [2] A. Azizi, Radical formula and weakly prime submodules, *Glasg. Math. J.* 51 (2009), no. 2, 405–412.
- [3] M. Baziar and M. Behboodi, Classical primary submodules and decomposition theory of modules, J. Algebra Appl. 8 (2009), no. 3, 351–362.
- M. Behboodi and H. Koohy, Weakly prime modules, Vietnam J. Math. 32 (2004), no. 2, 185–195.
- [5] M. Behboodi, On weakly prime radical of modules and semi-compatible modules, Acta Math. Hungar. 113 (2008), no. 3, 243–254.
- [6] M. Behboodi, R. Jahani-Nezhad and M. H. Naderi, Classical quasi-primary submodules, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 37 (2011), no. 4, 51–71.
- [7] W. Decker, G. M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann, Singular- A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, http://www.singular.uni-kl.de, 2011.
- [8] G. G. Grabe, Minimal primary decomposition and factorized Grobner bases, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 8 (1997), no. 4, 265–278.
- [9] A. Marcelo and C. Rodriguez, Radical of submodules and symmetric algebra, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), no. 10, 4611–4617.
- [10] R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, Prime submodules, Comm. Algebra 20 (1992), no. 6, 1803–1817.
- [11] R. L. McCasland and P. F. Smith, Generalised associated primes and radicals of submodules, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* 4 (2008) 159–176.
- [12] R. Y. Sharp, Steps in Commutative Algebra, London Math. Soc. Student Texts, 51, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

(Erol Yilmaz) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ABANT IZZET BAYSAL UNIVER-SITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTERS, BOLU, TURKEY

E-mail address: yilmaz_e2@ibu.edu.tr

(Sibel Kılıçarslan Cansu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ABANT IZZET BAYSAL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTERS, BLOU, TURKEY

E-mail address: kilicarslan_s@ibu.edu.tr