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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the uniqueness of the difference monomials $f^{n}(z) f(z+c)$. Suppose that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order and $E_{k}\left(1, f^{n}(z) f(z+c)\right)=$ $E_{k}\left(1, g^{n}(z) g(z+c)\right)$. Then we prove that if one of the following holds (i) $n \geq 14$ and $k \geq 3$, (ii) $n \geq 16$ and $k=2$, (iii) $n \geq 22$ and $k=1$, then $f(\bar{z}) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$. We generalize some previous results of Qi et. al. Keywords: Meromorphic functions, difference equations, uniqueness, finite order. MSC(2010): Primary: 30D35; Secondary: 39B12


## 1. Introduction and main results

In this article, we assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of the Nevanlinna theory (see, e.g., $[8,18]$ ). Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions in the complex plane. By $S(r, f)$, we denote any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow$ $\infty$, possibly outside a set of finite logarithmic measure. Then the meromorphic function $\alpha$ is called a small function of $f(z)$, if $T(r, \alpha)=S(r, f)$. If $f(z)-\alpha$ and $g(z)-\alpha$ have same zeros, counting multiplicity (ingoring multiplicity), then we say $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the small function $\alpha$ CM (IM).

Let $a$ be a finite complex number, and $k$ be a positive integer. We denote by $N_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function for the zeros of $f(z)-a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\bar{N}_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the counting function for the zeros of $f(z)-a$ with multiplicity $\geq k$, and $\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the corresponding one for which multiplicity is

[^0]not counted. Moreover, we set $N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\cdots+$ $\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$. In the same way, we can define $N_{k}(r, f)$.

Currently, Many articles have focused on value distribution in difference analogues of meromorphic functions (see, e.g., $[1,2,5-7,9-17,19]$ ). In particular, there has been an increasing interest in studying the uniqueness problems related to meromorphic functions and their shifts or their difference operators (see, e.g., $[1,9,11-15]$ ). Our aim in this article is to investigate the uniqueness problems of difference monomials of meromorphic functions.

In 2010, Qi et al. [16] studied the uniqueness of the difference monomials and obtained the following result:

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions with finite order, $c$ a non-zero complex constant; and $n \geq 6$ an integer. If $E\left(1, f^{n}(z) f(z+\right.$ $c))=E\left(1, g^{n}(z) g(z+c)\right)$, then $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

In this paper, we consider the case of meromorphic functions of Theorem A. Our result can be stated as follows:

Lemma 1.2. Let $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order, and $n(\geq 14), k(\geq 3)$ be two positive integers. If $E_{k}\left(1, f^{n}(z) f(z+c)\right)=E_{k}\left(1, g^{n}(z) g(z+c)\right)$, then $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

In the previous theorem we considered the case $k \geq 3$. The following two theorems are about the case $k \leq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 16$ be an integer. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order. If $E_{2}\left(1, f^{n}(z) f(z+\right.$ $c))=E_{2}\left(1, g^{n}(z) g(z+c)\right)$, then $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 22$ be an integer. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with finite order. If $E_{1}\left(1, f^{n}(z) f(z+\right.$ $c))=E_{1}\left(1, g^{n}(z) g(z+c)\right)$, then $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Before proceeding to the actual proofs, we recall a few lemmas that play an important role in the reasoning.

Lemma 2.1. [3] Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions, and let $k$ be a positive integer. If $E_{k}(1, f)=E_{k}(1, g)$, then one of the following cases must occur:
1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, f)+T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}_{2}(r, f)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}_{2}(r, g)+\bar{N}_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{(b+1) g+(a-b-1)}{b g+(a-b)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants.
Lemma 2.2. [7] Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant finite order meromorphic function and let $c \neq 0$ be an arbitrary complex number. Then

$$
T(r, f(z+|c|))=T(r, f(z))+S(r, f)
$$

Remark 2.3. It is shown in [4, p. 66], that for $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
(1+o(1)) T(r-|c|, f(z)) \leq T(r, f(z+c)) \leq(1+o(1)) T(r+|c|, f(z))
$$

hold as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for a general meromorphic function. By this and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
T(r, f(z+c))=T(r, f(z))+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two meromorphic functions with finite order, $n \geq 8$ a positive integer, and let $F=f^{n}(z) f(z+c)$ and $G=g^{n}(z) g(z+c)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{(b+1) G+(a-b-1)}{b G+(a-b)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants, then $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Remark 2.3 yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, F)=T\left(r, f^{n}(z) f(z+c)\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq T\left(r, f^{n}(z)\right)+T(r, f(z+c))+S(r, f)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =(n+1) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, together the first main Theorem with Remark 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
n T(r, f) & =T\left(r, f^{n}(z)\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T\left(r, f^{n}(z) f(z+c)\right)+T\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =T(r, f(z))+T(r, F(z))+S(r, f) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \geq(n-1) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.4) and (2.6) yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r, F)=S(r, f) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, G) \geq(n-1) T(r, g)+S(r, g) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r, G)=S(r, g) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $I_{1}=\{r: T(r, g) \geq T(r, f)\} \subseteq(0, \infty)$, and $I_{2}=(0, \infty) \backslash I_{1}$. Then there is at least one $I_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that $I_{i}$ has infinite logarithmic measure. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $I_{1}$ has infinite logarithmic measure. We break the rest of the proof into three cases.

Case 1. $b \neq 0,-1$. If $a-b-1 \neq 0$, then we know from 2.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the first main theorem, the second main theorem with Remark $2.3,2.8$ and 2.12 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) T(r, g) & \leq T(r, G)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right)+S(r, G)+S(r, g) \\
& =\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g(z+c)}\right)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, g(z+c)) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+S(r, g) \\
(2.13) & \leq 4 T(r, g)+2 T(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
(2.14) & \leq 6 T(r, g)+S(r, g), \quad r \in I_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible, since $n \geq 8$. Hence, we obtain $a-b-1=0$, so

$$
F(z)=\frac{(b+1) G(z)}{b G(z)+1}
$$

Using the similar method as above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) T(r, g) & \leq T(r, G)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+\frac{1}{b}}\right)+S(r, G) \\
& =\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}(r, F)+S(r, G) \\
& \leq 6 T(r, g)+S(r, g), \quad r \in I_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, since $n \geq 8$.
Case 2. $b=-1, a \neq-1$. By 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{a}{a+1-G} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we get a contradiction, hence, we obtain $a=-1$. So, we get $F G=1$, that is $f^{n}(z) f(z+c) g^{n}(z) g(z+c)=1$. Set $H(z)=f(z) g(z)$. Suppose that $H(z)$ is not a constant. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n}(z) H(z+c)=1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3, the first main Theorem and 2.16 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n T(r, H(z))=T\left(r, H^{n}(z)\right)=T\left(r, \frac{1}{H(z+c)}\right)=T(r, H(z))+S(r, H) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $H(z)$ must be a nonzero constant, since $n \geq 8$. Set $H(z)=t_{1}$, by 2.16, we know $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$. Thus, $f(z) g(z)=t_{1}$, where $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$.

Case 3. $b=0, a \neq 1$. By 2.3, we obtain

$$
F=\frac{G+a-1}{a} .
$$

Similarly, we get a contradiction, hence we obtain $a=1$, so we get $F=G$, that is

$$
f^{n}(z) f(z+c)=g^{n}(z) g(z+c)
$$

Let $H(z)=\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$, using the similar method as above, we also obtain that $H(z)$ must be a nonzero constant. Thus, we have $f=t_{2} g$, where $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $F(z)=f^{n}(z) f(z+c)$ and $G(z)=g^{n}(z) g(z+c)$. Since $k \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& \quad+\bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq\left.\frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2.1 and 3.1 give that
$T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g)$.
Together the definition of $F$, the first main Theorem with Remark 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) & \leq 2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq 3 T(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq 3 T(r, g)+S(r, f),  \tag{3.4}\\
& N_{2}(r, F) \leq 3 T(r, f)+S(r, f),  \tag{3.5}\\
& N_{2}(r, G) \leq 3 T(r, g)+S(r, f) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 12(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (2.6), (2.8) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-13)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq S(r, f)+S(r, g), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction since $n \geq 14$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we have $F=$ $(b+1) G+\frac{(a-b-1)}{b G+a-b}$, where $a \neq 0, b$ are two constants. By Lemma 2.3, we get $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we obtain from 2.1 and 4.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\} \\
& +\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, combining the first main Theorem and Remark 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{N}(r, f(z))+\bar{N}(r, f(z+c))+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)\right] \\
& +S(r, f) \leq 2 T(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq 2 T(r, g)+S(r, f) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) & \leq 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right\} \\
& +\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(3}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have from 2.8, 2.10, 3.3-3.6 and 4.2-4.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) T(r, f)+(n-1) T(r, g) & \leq T(r, F)+T(r, G) \\
& \leq 14 T(r, f)+14 T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, since $n \geq 16$. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $F=(b+1) G+\frac{(a-b-1)}{b G+a-b}$, where $a \neq 0, b$ are two constants. By Lemma 2.3, we get $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq & 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the first main Theorem and Remark 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, f(z))+\bar{N}(r, f(z+c))+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) \\
& +S(r, f) \\
& \leq 4 T(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq 4 T(r, g)+S(r, f) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) & \leq 2\left\{N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)\right\}+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we obtain from 2.8, 2.10, 3.3-3.6 and 5.2-5.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) T(r, f)+(n-1) T(r, g) & \leq T(r, F)+T(r, G) \\
& \leq 20 T(r, f)+20 T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible, since $n \geq 22$. By Lemma 2.1 , we obtain that $F=$ $(b+1) G+\frac{(a-b-1)}{b G+a-b}$, where $a \neq 0, b$ are two constants. By Lemma 2.3, we get $f(z) \equiv t_{1} g(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t_{2}$, for some constants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that satisfy $t_{1}^{n+1}=1$ and $t_{2}^{n+1}=1$.
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