

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

**Bulletin of the**  
**Iranian Mathematical Society**

Vol. 41 (2015), No. 6, pp. 1423–1431

**Title:**

**Finite groups have even more centralizers**

**Author(s):**

**S. M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Amiri, H. Madadi and H. Rostami**

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society  
<http://bims.ims.ir>

## FINITE GROUPS HAVE EVEN MORE CENTRALIZERS

S. M. JAFARIAN AMIRI\*, M. AMIRI, H. MADADI AND H. ROSTAMI

(Communicated by Ali Reza Ashrafi)

**ABSTRACT.** For a finite group  $G$ , let  $Cent(G)$  denote the set of centralizers of single elements of  $G$ . In this note we prove that if  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|Cent(G)|$  and  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, then  $G \cong S_3, D_{10}$  or  $S_3 \times S_3$ . This result gives a partial and positive answer to a conjecture raised by A. R. Ashrafi [On finite groups with a given number of centralizers, *Algebra Colloq.* 7 (2000), no. 2, 139–146].

**Keywords:** Finite groups, Centralizer, involution.

**MSC(2010):** Primary: 20D60; Secondary: 20D10.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $G$  is a non-trivial finite group. Let  $Cent(G)$  denote the set of centralizers of single elements of  $G$  and let  $|Cent(G)|$  be its cardinality. The group  $G$  is called  $n$ -centralizer if  $|Cent(G)| = n$ . Starting with Belcastro and Sherman [4], many authors have studied the influence of  $|Cent(G)|$  on the structure of the group  $G$  (see [1–3] and [11]).

In [4], Belcastro and Sherman raised the question whether or not there exists a finite  $n$ -centralizer group  $G$  other than  $Q_8$  and  $D_{2p}$  ( $p > 2$  is a prime) such that  $|G| \leq 2n$ . Ashrafi in [2] showed that there are several groups satisfying the given properties. Then Ashrafi raised the following conjecture (conjecture 2.4 of [2]):

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is an  $n$ -centralizer group. If  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $S_3, S_3 \times S_3$  or  $D_{10}$ , the dihedral group of order 10.*

In [11], it is proved that if  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$  then  $G$  is solvable. In this paper first we confirm Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups have order at most 4 (see Propositions 2.6 and 3.7) and then we prove the following main result:

---

Article electronically published on December 15, 2015.

Received: 27 January 2014, Accepted: 3 September 2014.

\*Corresponding author.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, then  $G \cong S_3, D_{10}$  or  $S_3 \times S_3$ .*

Throughout this paper all groups mentioned are assumed to be finite.  $Z(G)$  denotes the center of the group  $G$  and  $D_{2n}$  denotes the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . Also  $C_G(x)$  is the centralizer of  $x$  in  $G$ , elements of order 2 are called involutions and  $I(G) = \{a \in G | a^2 = 1\} = \{a \in G | a = a^{-1}\}$ . We denote by  $o(x)$  the order of the element  $x$  in  $G$ .  $Cl(x)$  is the conjugacy class of  $x$  in  $G$ . Most notations are standard and they are taken mainly from [8–10].

## 2. Frobenius groups

The following lemma will be used in the proof of some results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes H$  be a Frobenius group with kernel  $K$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $Z(H) = 1$ , then  $|Cent(K)| + |K|(|Cent(H)| - 1) + 1 = |Cent(G)|$ .*
- (ii) *If  $Z(H) \neq 1$ , then  $|Cent(K)| + |K||Cent(H)| + 1 = |Cent(G)|$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial by definition of Frobenius groups.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $G$  is a nonabelian  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $Z(G) = 1$  and  $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$ . Also  $G$  is a solvable group of order  $2^r m$ ,  $r \geq 1$  and  $m$  is an odd integer greater than 1.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $n \leq \frac{|G|}{|Z(G)|}$ . Therefore  $n|Z(G)| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$  which implies that  $Z(G) = 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 of [11] that  $n \leq \frac{|G| + |I(G)|}{2}$ . Thus  $2n \leq |G| + |I(G)| \leq \frac{3n}{2} + |I(G)|$  which gives the result. Finally  $G$  is solvable by Theorem 2.6 of [11].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G = S \rtimes K$  be an  $n$ -centralizer Frobenius group with kernel  $K$  and  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . If  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $|S| \leq 4$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3}|K||S| &\leq |Cent(G)| \\ &\leq |Cent(K)| + |K||Cent(S)| + 1 \\ &\leq |K| - 1 + |K|\frac{|S|}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $|S| \leq 4$ , as wanted.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a dihedral group of order  $2m$  such that  $m$  is odd. If  $G$  is an  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}n$ , then  $m = 3$  or 5.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2.1(ii), we have  $|Cent(G)| = m + 2$ . Thus  $m = 3$  or  $m = 5$  by assumption.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a Frobenius group such that  $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$ . Then  $G \cong S_3, D_{10}, C_3 \rtimes C_4$  or  $(C_2 \times C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2) \rtimes C_3$ . In particular, if  $|Cent(G)| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|$ , then  $G \cong S_3$  or  $D_{10}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G = K \rtimes H$  is Frobenius with kernel  $K$  and  $|H|$  is of even order. Then by Theorem 6.3 of [9],  $K$  is abelian of odd order and  $H$  contains a unique element of order 2 and so  $G$  has exactly one conjugacy class of involutions. Therefore we have  $|I(G)| = |K| + 1 \geq \frac{|H||K|}{3}$ . So we obtain  $|H| \leq 4$  and  $|K| = 3$ . Thus  $G \cong S_3$  or  $C_3 \rtimes C_4$ .

Now suppose that  $|H|$  is of odd order. Then  $|K|$  is even. Since  $K$  is normal in  $G$ , we have  $I(G) = I(K)$ . Consequently  $\frac{|H||K|}{3} \leq |I(K)| \leq |K|$ . Hence  $|I(K)| = |K|$  and  $|H| = 3$ . Therefore  $K$  is elementary abelian and so we have the result.

If  $|Cent(G)| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|$ , then the result follows by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In what follows we confirm the Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups have order 2.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $2m$  where  $m$  is an odd integer. If  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $G \cong S_3$  or  $D_{10}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|G| \leq 12$ , then  $G \cong D_{10}$  or  $S_3$ . So we can assume that  $|G| > 12$ . Then  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $K$  of index 2 by hypothesis and so  $G = K\langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in G$  of order 2. Therefore  $G$  has only one conjugacy class of involutions. Since  $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$ , we have  $|\{a^g : g \in G\}| + 1 = |G : C_G(a)| + 1 \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$  which yields that  $|C_G(a)| \leq \frac{3|G|}{|G|-3} < 4$ . So  $|C_G(a)| = 2$ . Thus  $\langle a \rangle$  acts fixed point freely on  $K$  and so  $G$  is a Frobenius group with kernel  $K$ . The result follows by Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

### 3. Groups with Sylow 2-subgroups of order 4

Recall that a group  $G$  is a CA-group if  $C_G(x)$  is abelian for every  $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $2^r m$  such that  $r > 1$  and  $m$  is odd. If  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then  $G$  is not a CA-group.*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $G$  is a CA-group, we proceed by Theorem A of [5]. In the first case we assume that  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup of index  $p$  such as  $K$ . Then by Lemma 4.6 of [9],  $K = G'$ . Now by Theorem 2.3 of [3], we have  $|Cent(G)| = |K| + 2$ . Therefore  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}(|K| + 2) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{|G|}{p} + 2)$ . So  $2p|G| - 3|G| \leq 6p$  which yields that  $|G| \leq \frac{6p}{2p-3}$ . On the other hand  $6 < |G|$ . Consequently  $6p > 12p - 18$  and  $p = 2$  which is a contradiction

because in this case  $|G| \leq 12$ . Now by Theorem A of [5], Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 we have the result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *If  $G$  is a group of order  $2^r m$  such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|Cent(G)|$  and  $r > 1$ , then by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.6 of [3] we can reduce problem to groups with  $\frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{|I(G)|}{|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $4m$  such that  $m$  is an odd integer. If  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ , then Sylow 2-subgroups of  $G$  are not cyclic.*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is cyclic. Then  $|I(G)| \leq m + 1$  since the number of Sylow 2-subgroups of  $G$  is at most  $m$ . On the other hand by Lemma 2.2,  $|I(G)| \geq \frac{4m}{3}$  and so  $\frac{4m}{3} \leq m + 1$ . Therefore  $|G| \leq 12$  which is impossible.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a group of order  $2^r m$  such that  $m$  is odd,  $r \geq 1$  and  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq |I(G)|$ , then either  $N_G(S) = S$  or  $G \cong C_2^r \rtimes C_3$ . In particular, if  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|Cent(G)|$ , then  $N_G(S) = S$ .*

*Proof.* Since every involution of  $G$  lies in some Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ , we have  $I(G) \subseteq \cup_{x \in G} x^{-1} S x$ . But  $S$  has  $|G : N_G(S)|$  conjugates in  $G$  and so  $|I(G)| \leq |G : N_G(S)|(|S| - 1) + 1 \leq \frac{|G||S|}{|N_G(S)|}$ . Therefore  $|N_G(S)| \leq 3|S|$  by hypothesis. If  $N_G(S) \neq S$ , then  $k = |G : N_G(S)| = \frac{m}{3} < m$ . Also by Proposition 4.3 of [6] we have  $|I(G)| \leq (2^r - 1)k + 1$ . We conclude that  $k = 1$  and so  $S \triangleleft G$  and  $m = 3$ . But in this case we have  $2^r \leq |I(G)| = |I(S)| \leq 2^r$  which means that  $S$  is an elementary abelian group and hence  $G \cong C_2^r \rtimes C_3$ .

Now if  $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|Cent(G)|$ , then  $G \not\cong C_2^r \rtimes C_3$  by Proposition 2.5. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $4m$  such that  $m$  is an odd integer and  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If  $S = \{1, a, b, ab\}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  is 2-nilpotent and there exists  $1 \neq x \in S$  such that  $S = C_G(x)$ . Also if  $C_G(ab) = S$  then  $C_G(a)$  and  $C_G(b)$  are not equal to  $S$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $S \cong C_2 \times C_2$  and by Proposition 3.4, we deduce  $S = C_G(S) = N_G(S)$ . Therefore by Burnside's Theorem,  $S$  has a normal complement in  $G$  say  $K$  and so  $G = S \rtimes K$ . Now if  $S = C_G(b) = C_G(a) = C_G(ab)$ , then the action of  $S$  on  $K$  is Frobenius and we reach to a contradiction by Proposition 2.5. On the other hand if  $C_G(b)$ ,  $C_G(a)$  and  $C_G(ab)$  are not equal to  $S$ , then there are  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in K$  such that  $(ab)^{g_3} = ab, b^{g_2} = b, a^{g_1} = a$  where  $o(g_i) \geq 3$ . Therefore every involution of  $G$  is contained in at least three distinct conjugates of  $S$ . So  $G$  has at most  $\frac{3m}{3} = m$  involutions which contradicts to Lemma 2.2. So for at least one element of  $S$  say  $ab$  we have  $C_G(ab) = S$ . We claim that it is the only element of  $S$  with this property. For if  $C_G(a) = S$ ,

then  $Cl(a) \cup Cl(ab)$  has  $2m$  elements and so  $|I(G)| \geq 2m + 2 > \frac{4m}{2}$ , which is a contradiction by Remark 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $4m$ , where  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . Then  $|I(G)| \leq \frac{5m}{3} + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{1, a, b, ab\}$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemma 3.5, we can assume that  $C_G(ab) = S$ . On the other hand, the number of involutions of  $G$  is equal to  $|Cl(ab) \cup Cl(a) \cup Cl(b)|$ . So we have  $|I(G)| \leq m + \frac{m}{3} + \frac{m}{3} + 1 = \frac{5m}{3} + 1$ , as wanted.  $\square$

In what follows we confirm the Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups have order 4.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If  $|G| = 4m$ , where  $m$  is an odd integer, then  $G \cong S_3 \times S_3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{1, a, b, ab\}$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemma 3.5 we can assume that  $G = S \rtimes K$ ,  $C_G(ab) = S$  and  $S < C_G(a), C_G(b)$ . Now we define the automorphism  $\phi$  of  $K$  as  $\phi(g) = g^{ab}$ . It is clear that  $\phi$  is a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 2. Thus by Lemma 1.1 of [8],  $g^{ab} = g^{-1}$  for all  $g \in K$  which shows that  $K$  is abelian. Therefore  $C_G(g) = K, \langle K, b \rangle$  or  $\langle K, a \rangle$  for each  $1 \neq g \in K$ .

Now if  $x \in G - (I(G) \cup K)$ , then  $x^{-1} \in G - (I(G) \cup K)$  and  $C_G(x) = C_G(x^{-1})$  while  $x^{-1} \neq x$ . Therefore we have

$$|Cent(G)| \leq 3 + |I(G)| + \frac{3m - |I(G)|}{2} = 3 + \frac{3m}{2} + \frac{|I(G)|}{2}.$$

By Lemma 3.6 we have  $|Cent(G)| \leq 3 + \frac{3m}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5m}{3} + 1)$ . Hence  $\frac{8m}{3} \leq 3 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7m}{3}$  which yields that  $m \leq 10$  and  $|G| \leq 40$ . Now by considering groups of order at most 40 by GAP [7], we reach to  $S_3 \times S_3$  as only group which satisfies the hypotheses of the proposition.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the main result

The following lemma is very useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $2^r m$ , where  $m$  is odd,  $r > 2$  and let  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$  and  $G$  is 2-nilpotent. Then we have:*

- (i) *The normal Hall complement  $K$  of  $S$  in  $G$  is abelian.*
- (ii) *There exists exactly one involution in  $S$  such as  $u$  which  $C_G(u) \cap K = 1$  and for all  $x \in K$ ,  $x^u = x^{-1}$ .*
- (iii)  *$S$  is elementary abelian and there is  $u \in S$  such that  $C_G(u) = S$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $J(S) = \{x \in S \mid o(x) = 2\}$ ,  $T = \{u \in J(S) \mid C_G(u) \cap K = 1\}$  and  $t = |T|$ . Since  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , for every  $u \in J(S)$ , the map  $\varphi_u : K \rightarrow K$  with  $\varphi_u(x) = x^u$  is an automorphism of  $K$ . If  $u \in J(S) \setminus T$ , then there exists  $1 \neq x \in K$  such that  $u^x = u^{x^2} = u$ . We conclude that  $u \in S \cap S^x \cap S^{x^2}$ . Since  $x \in K$ , the order of  $x$  is odd and so  $S, S^x$  and  $S^{x^2}$  are distinct, by Proposition 3.4. Therefore we have  $\frac{2^r m}{3} \leq |I(G)| \leq 1 + mt + \frac{|J(S)|-t}{3}m$ , which is equivalent to

$$t \geq 2^{r-1} - \frac{|J(S)|}{2} - \frac{3}{2m}. \quad (*)$$

Since  $|J(S)| \leq 2^r - 1$ , we see that  $t \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2m}$ .

Now if  $m = 3$ , then  $K$  is abelian and  $t \geq 1$ . If  $m > 3$ , then  $t \geq 1$ , i.e, there exists  $u \in J(S)$  such that  $C_G(u) \cap K = 1$ . Therefore  $\varphi_u \in \text{Aut}(K)$  is a fixed-point-free and since  $\varphi_u^2 = 1$ ,  $K$  is abelian and  $\varphi_u(x) = x^{-1}$  for every  $x \in K$ , by Theorem 10.5.1(iv) of [10].

- (ii) As in the proof of the part(i), suppose that  $u$  is an involution of  $S$  such that  $C_G(u) \cap K = 1$ . Then for every  $x \in K$  we have that  $x^u = x^{-1}$ . Now if  $b$  is an another involution of  $S$  such that  $C_G(b) \cap K = 1$ , then we have for every  $x \in K$ ,  $x^b = x^u$  which follows that  $bu \in \text{Cor}_G(S)$ . On the other hand by definition of system normalizer and Theorem 9.2.8 of [10],  $\text{Cor}_G(S) = 1$  and so  $b = u$  which is a contradiction to our choice of  $b$  and  $u$ .
- (iii) By substituting  $t = 1$  in inequality  $(*)$ , we have  $|J(S)| \geq 2^r - 2 - \frac{3}{m}$ . If  $|S| \geq 16$ , then  $|I(S)| \geq 2^r - 1 - \frac{3}{m} > \frac{3}{4}|S|$  and so  $S$  is elementary abelian. Now Let  $|S| = 8$ . Then we conclude that  $|I(S)| \geq 6$  and so  $S = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ .

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group of order  $2^r m$  such that  $r > 2$ ,  $m$  be odd and  $|G| \leq \frac{3m}{2}$ . If  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, then there exists an involution such as  $t$  where  $|C_G(t)| = 3|S|$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $|C_G(t)| \geq 5 \cdot 2^r$  for every  $t \in S$  such that  $C_G(t) \neq S$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1(ii) that there exists only one element  $a$  in  $S$  such that  $C_G(a) = S$ . If  $S = \{t_1, \dots, t_{2^r}\}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|G|}{3} &\leq |I(G)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} |Cl(t_i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} |G : C_G(t_i)| \\ &\leq 1 + m + \frac{(2^r - 2)m}{5} \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $2^r \leq \frac{3 \times 5}{m(5-3)} + \frac{3 \times 5 - 6}{5-3} \leq 7$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, then the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  is not 8.*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $|G| = 8m$  such that  $(8, m) = 1$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Using arguments similar to that of Lemma 3.6, one may prove that  $|I(G)| \leq 1 + 3m$ . On the other hand there is an element  $b \in S$  such that  $C_G(b) = S$  and for every  $x \in K$  we have  $C_G(x) = HK$  where  $H \leq S$  and  $b \notin H$ . The number of such subgroups is 11, which means that if  $T = \{C_G(x) | x \in K\}$ , then  $|T| \leq 11$ . Also if  $y \in G - (I(G) \cup K)$ , then  $y^{-1} \in G - (I(G) \cup K)$  and  $C_G(y) = C_G(y^{-1})$  while  $y \neq y^{-1}$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2 \times 8m}{3} &\leq |Cent(G)| \\ &\leq |I(G)| + 11 + \frac{7m - |I(G)|}{2} \\ &= 11 + \frac{7m}{2} + \frac{|I(G)|}{2} \\ &\leq 11 + \frac{7m}{2} + \frac{1 + 3m}{2} \\ &= \frac{23}{2} + 5m \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\frac{16m}{3} \leq \frac{23}{2} + 5m$  and hence  $m \leq \frac{3}{2} \times 23$ . So we deduce that  $|G| \in \{264, 216, 168, 120, 72, 24\}$ . It can be checked by GAP [7] that none of these groups satisfy the hypothesis.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, then  $G \cong S_3, D_{10}$  or  $S_3 \times S_3$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $|G| = 2^r \cdot m$  such that  $m$  is odd. If  $r = 1$  or  $r = 2$ , then we have the result by Propositions 2.6 and 3.7 respectively. So assume that  $r > 2$  and  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G = S \rtimes K$  by hypothesis and  $S$  is elementary abelian by Lemma 4.1(iii). Also there is a unique element  $b \in S$  such that  $C_G(b) = S$  by Lemma 4.1. According to Lemma 4.2, there exist  $s_1 \in S$  and  $y_1 \in K$  such that  $C_G(s_1) = S\langle y_1 \rangle$  where  $o(y_1) = 3$  and so  $\langle y_1 \rangle \trianglelefteq C_G(s_1)$ . Therefore there is  $T_1 \leq S$  such that  $|T_1| = \frac{|S|}{2}$  and  $y_1 \in C_G(T_1)$ . Now we consider two following cases:

**Case(1):** Suppose that there exists  $s_2 \in S - T_1$  such that  $C_G(s_2) = S\langle y_2 \rangle$  and  $o(y_2) = 3$ . Then there is  $T_2 \leq S$  such that  $|T_2| = \frac{|S|}{2}$  and  $y_2 \in C_G(T_2)$ .

**Subcase(1):** Suppose that  $\langle y_1 \rangle = \langle y_2 \rangle$ . Then  $y_1 \in C_G(\langle T_1, s_2 \rangle)$  and since  $T_1$  is maximal in  $S$ , we have  $y_1 \in C_G(S)$  which is a contradiction.



**Subcase(2):** Suppose that  $\langle y_1 \rangle \neq \langle y_2 \rangle$ . Then we have  $|K| \geq 9$  since  $K$  is abelian by Lemma 4.1(i).

For each  $s \in T_1 \cap T_2 = R$  we have  $|C_G(s)| \geq 9|S|$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(G)| &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|C_G(b)|} + \sum_{1 \neq s \in R} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} + \sum_{s \in S - (R \cup \{b\})} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|S|} + \left| \frac{|S|}{4} - 1 \right| \times \frac{|G|}{9|S|} + \left( |S| - \frac{|S|}{4} - 1 \right) \frac{|G|}{3|S|} \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|S|} \left( 1 + \frac{|S| - 4}{36} + \frac{3|S| - 4}{12} \right) + 1 \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|S|} \left( \frac{20 + 10|S|}{36} \right) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq |I(G)|$ , we find that  $|S| \leq 10 + \frac{18|S|}{|G|}$ . It follows that  $|S| = 8$ , contrary to Lemma 4.3.

**Case 2:** For every  $b, 1 \neq s_2 \in S - T_1$  we have  $C_G(s_2) > 3|S|$ .

**Subcase(1):** Suppose that there exists  $s_2 \in S - T_1$  such that  $C_G(s_2) = S\langle y_2 \rangle$  and  $o(y_2) = 5$  or  $7$ . Then by the similar way, there exists  $T_2 \leq S$  such that  $|T_2| = \frac{|S|}{2}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in C_G(T_1 \cap T_2)$ . So  $|C_G(T_1 \cap T_2)| \geq 15|S|$ . Now if  $R = T_1 \cap T_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |I(G)| &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|C_G(b)|} + \sum_{1 \neq s \in R} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} + \sum_{s \in S - (R \cup \{b\})} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|S|} + \left| \frac{|S|}{4} - 1 \right| \times \frac{|G|}{15|S|} + \left( |S| - \frac{|S|}{4} - 1 \right) \frac{|G|}{3|S|} \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|S|} \left( 1 + \frac{|S| - 4}{60} + \frac{3|S| - 4}{12} \right) + 1 \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|S|} \left( \frac{9 + 4|S|}{15} \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq |I(G)|$ , we see that  $|S| \leq 9 + \frac{15|S|}{|G|} \leq 9 + \frac{15}{9}$ , a contradiction.

**Subcase(2):** Assume that  $C_G(s_2) \geq 9|S|$  for every  $b \neq s_2 \in S - T_1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(G)| &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|C_G(b)|} + \sum_{1 \neq s \in T_1} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} + \sum_{s \in S - (T_1 \cup \{b\})} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(s)|} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{|G|}{|S|} + \left| \frac{|S|}{2} - 1 \right| \frac{|G|}{3|S|} + \left| \frac{|S|}{2} - 1 \right| \frac{|G|}{9|S|} \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|S|} \left( \frac{4|S| + 10}{18} \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq |I(G)|$ , we find that  $|S| \leq 5 + \frac{9|S|}{|G|} \leq 5 + 1 = 6$ . This is our final contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -centralizer group such that  $|G| \leq \frac{3n}{2}$ . If a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is abelian, then  $G \cong S_3, D_{10}$  or  $S_3 \times S_3$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3.4 that  $N_G(S) = S$ . Since  $S$  is abelian,  $G$  is 2-nilpotent by Burnside's theorem and the result follows from Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for the careful reading and valuable comments.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdollahi, S. M. Jafarian Amiri and A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Groups with specific number of centralizers, *Houston J. Math.* **33** (2007), no. 1, 43–57.
- [2] A. R. Ashrafi, On finite groups with a given number of centralizers, *Algebra Colloq.* **7** (2000), no. 2, 139–146.
- [3] S. J. Baishya, On finite groups with specific number of centralizers, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **13** (2013) 53–62.
- [4] S. M. Belcastro and G. J. Sherman, Counting centralizers in finite groups, *Math. Mag.* **5** (1994), no. 5, 366–374.
- [5] S. Dolfi, M. Herzog and E. Jabara, Finite groups whose noncentral commuting elements have centralizers of equal size, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), no. 2, 293–304.
- [6] A. L. Edmonds, The partition problem for equifacetal simplices, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **50** (2009), no. 1, 195–213.
- [7] The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, version 4.4.10, 2007, (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [8] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Harper & Row, New York-London, 1968.
- [9] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite Group Theory*, Grad. Stud. Math, vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.
- [10] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, 1996.
- [11] M. Zarrin, On solubility of groups with finitely many centralizers, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **39** (2013), no. 3, 517–521.

(Seyyed Majid Jafarian Amiri) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ZANJAN, P.O. BOX 45371-38791, ZANJAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `sm_jafarian@znu.ac.ir`

(Mohsen Amiri) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ZANJAN, P.O. BOX 45371-38791, ZANJAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `m.amiri@znu.ac.ir`

(Halimeh Madadi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ZANJAN, P.O. BOX 45371-38791, ZANJAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `halime_madadi@yahoo.com`

(Hojjat Rostami) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ZANJAN, P.O. BOX 45371-38791, ZANJAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `h.rostami5991@gmail.com`