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#### Abstract

For a finite group $G$, let $\operatorname{Cent}(G)$ denote the set of centralizers of single elements of $G$. In this note we prove that if $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$ and $G$ is 2-nilpotent, then $G \cong S_{3}, D_{10}$ or $S_{3} \times S_{3}$. This result gives a partial and positive answer to a conjecture raised by A. R. Ashrafi [On finite groups with a given number of centralizers, Algebra Colloq. 7 (2000), no. 2, 139-146]. Keywords: Finite groups, Centralizer, involution. MSC(2010): Primary: 20D60; Secondary: 20D10.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $G$ is a non-trivial finite group. Let $\operatorname{Cent}(G)$ denote the set of centralizers of single elements of $G$ and let $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$ be its cardinality. The group $G$ is called $n$-centralizer if $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|=n$. Starting with Belcastro and Sherman [4], many authors have studied the influence of $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$ on the structure of the group $G$ (see [1-3] and [11]).

In [4], Belcastro and Sherman raised the question whether or not there exists a finite $n$-centralizer group $G$ other than $Q_{8}$ and $D_{2 p}$ ( $p>2$ is a prime) such that $|G| \leq 2 n$. Ashrafi in [2] showed that there are several groups satisfying the given properties. Then Ashrafi raised the following conjecture (conjecture 2.4 of [2]):

Conjecture 1.1. Suppose that $G$ is an n-centralizer group. If $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then $G$ is isomorphic to $S_{3}, S_{3} \times S_{3}$ or $D_{10}$, the dihedral group of order 10 .

In [11], it is proved that if $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$ then $G$ is solvable. In this paper first we confirm Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2 -subgroups have order at most 4 (see Propositions 2.6 and 3.7) and then we prove the following main result:

[^0]Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $G$ is a $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $G$ is 2-nilpotent, then $G \cong S_{3}, D_{10}$ or $S_{3} \times S_{3}$.

Throughout this paper all groups mentioned are assumed to be finite. $Z(G)$ denotes the center of the group $G$ and $D_{2 n}$ denotes the dihedral group of order $2 n$. Also $C_{G}(x)$ is the centralizer of $x$ in $G$, elements of order 2 are called involutions and $I(G)=\left\{a \in G \mid a^{2}=1\right\}=\left\{a \in G \mid a=a^{-1}\right\}$. We denote by $o(x)$ the order of the element $x$ in $G . C l(x)$ is the conjugacy class of $x$ in $G$. Most notations are standard and they are taken mainly from [8-10].

## 2. Frobenious groups

The following lemma will be used in the proof of some results.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G=K \rtimes H$ be a Frobenius group with kernel $K$. Then
(i) If $Z(H)=1$, then $|\operatorname{Cent}(K)|+|K|(|\operatorname{Cent}(H)|-1)+1=|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$.
(ii) If $Z(H) \neq 1$, then $|\operatorname{Cent}(K)|+|K||\operatorname{Cent}(H)|+1=|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$.

Proof. The proof is trivial by definition of Frobenius groups.
Lemma 2.2. If $G$ is a nonabelian $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then $Z(G)=1$ and $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$. Also $G$ is a solvable group of order $2^{r} m, r \geq 1$ and $m$ is an odd integer greater than 1.
Proof. It is easy to see that $n \leq\left|\frac{G}{Z(G)}\right|$. Therefore $n|Z(G)| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$ which implies that $Z(G)=1$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 of [11] that $n \leq \frac{|G|+|I(G)|}{2}$. Thus $2 n \leq|G|+|I(G)| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}+|I(G)|$ which gives the result. Finally $G$ is solvable by Theorem 2.6 of [11].

Lemma 2.3. Let $G=S \ltimes K$ be an $n$-centralizer Frobenius group with kernel $K$ and $S$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. If $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then $|S| \leq 4$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{3}|K||S| & \leq|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \\
& \leq|\operatorname{Cent}(K)|+|K||\operatorname{Cent}(S)|+1 \\
& \leq|K|-1+|K| \frac{|S|}{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $|S| \leq 4$, as wanted.
Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a dihedral group of order $2 m$ such that $m$ is odd. If $G$ is an $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2} n$, then $m=3$ or 5 .

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1(ii), we have $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|=m+2$. Thus $m=3$ or $m=5$ by assumption.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G$ be a Frobenius group such that $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$. Then $G \cong S_{3}, D_{10}, C_{3} \rtimes C_{4}$ or $\left(C_{2} \times C_{2} \times \cdots \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}$. In particular, if $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \geq$ $\frac{2}{3}|G|$, then $G \cong S_{3}$ or $D_{10}$.
Proof. Suppose that $G=K \rtimes H$ is Frobenius with kernel $K$ and $|H|$ is of even order. Then by Theorem 6.3 of [9], $K$ is abelian of odd order and $H$ contains a unique element of order 2 and so $G$ has exactly one conjugacy class of involutions. Therefore we have $|I(G)|=|K|+1 \geq \frac{|H||K|}{3}$. So we obtain $|H| \leq 4$ and $|K|=3$. Thus $G \cong S_{3}$ or $C_{3} \rtimes C_{4}$.

Now suppose that $|H|$ is of odd order. Then $|K|$ is even. Since $K$ is normal in $G$, we have $I(G)=I(K)$. Consequently $\frac{|H||K|}{3} \leq|I(K)| \leq|K|$. Hence $|I(K)|=|K|$ and $|H|=3$. Therefore $K$ is elementary abelian and so we have the result.

If $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|$, then the result follows by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.

In what follows we confirm the Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2subgroups have order 2.

Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be an n-centralizer group of order $2 m$ where $m$ is an odd integer. If $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then $G \cong S_{3}$ or $D_{10}$.

Proof. If $|G| \leq 12$, then $G \cong D_{10}$ or $S_{3}$. So we can assume that $|G|>12$. Then $G$ has a normal subgroup $K$ of index 2 by hypothesis and so $G=K\langle a\rangle$ for some $a \in G$ of order 2. Therefore $G$ has only one conjugacy class of involutions. Since $|I(G)| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$, we have $\left|\left\{a^{g}: g \in G\right\}\right|+1=\left|G: C_{G}(a)\right|+1 \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$ which yields that $\left|C_{G}(a)\right| \leq \frac{3|G|}{|G|-3}<4$. So $\left|C_{G}(a)\right|=2$. Thus $\langle a\rangle$ acts fixed point freely on $K$ and so $G$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $K$. The result follows by Proposition 2.5.

## 3. Groups with Sylow 2-subgroups of order 4

Recall that a group G is a CA-group if $C_{G}(x)$ is abelian for every $x \in$ $G \backslash Z(G)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group of order $2^{r} m$ such that $r>1$ and $m$ is odd. If $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then $G$ is not a $C A$ - group.
Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $G$ is a CA- group, we proceed by Theorem $A$ of [5]. In the first case we assume that $G$ has an abelian normal subgroup of index $p$ such as $K$. Then by Lemma 4.6 of [9], $K=G^{\prime}$. Now by Theorem 2.3 of [3], we have $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|=|K|+2$. Therefore $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}(|K|+2)=$ $\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{|G|}{p}+2\right)$. So $2 p|G|-3|G| \leq 6 p$ which yields that $|G| \leq \frac{6 p}{2 p-3}$. On the other hand $6<|G|$. Consequently $6 p>12 p-18$ and $p=2$ which is a contradiction
because in this case $|G| \leq 12$. Now by Theorem $A$ of [5], Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 we have the result.

Remark 3.2. If $G$ is a group of order $2^{r} m$ such that $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$ and $r>1$, then by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.6 of [3] we can reduce problem to groups with $\frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{|I(G)|}{|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $G$ be an n-centralizer group of order $4 m$ such that $m$ is an odd integer. If $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$, then Sylow 2-subgroups of $G$ are not cyclic.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that a Sylow 2-subgroup $S$ of G is cyclic. Then $|I(G)| \leq m+1$ since the number of Sylow 2-subgroups of $G$ is at most $m$. On the other hand by Lemma $2.2,|I(G)| \geq \frac{4 m}{3}$ and so $\frac{4 m}{3} \leq m+1$. Therefore $|G| \leq 12$ which is impossible.

Proposition 3.4. Let $G$ be a group of order $2^{r} m$ such that $m$ is odd, $r \geq 1$ and $S$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. If $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq|I(G)|$, then either $N_{G}(S)=S$ or $G \cong C_{2}^{r} \rtimes C_{3}$. In particular, if $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$, then $N_{G}(S)=S$.

Proof. Since every involution of $G$ lies in some Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$, we have $I(G) \subseteq \cup_{x \in G} x^{-1} S x$. But $S$ has $\left|G: N_{G}(S)\right|$ conjugates in $G$ and so $|I(G)| \leq\left|G: N_{G}(S)\right|(|S|-1)+1 \leq \frac{|G||S|}{\left|N_{G}(S)\right|}$. Therefore $\left|N_{G}(S)\right| \leq 3|S|$ by hypothesis. If $N_{G}(S) \neq S$, then $k=\left|G: N_{G}(S)\right|=\frac{m}{3}<m$. Also by Proposition 4.3 of $[6]$ we have $|I(G)| \leq\left(2^{r}-1\right) k+1$. We conclude that $k=1$ and so $S \triangleleft G$ and $m=3$. But in this case we have $2^{r} \leq|I(G)|=|I(S)| \leq 2^{r}$ which means that $S$ is an elementary abelian group and hence $G \cong C_{2}^{r} \rtimes C_{3}$.

Now if $|G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\operatorname{Cent}(G)|$, then $G \nsupseteq C_{2}^{r} \rtimes C_{3}$ by Proposition 2.5. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.5. Let $G$ be an n-centralizer group of order $4 m$ such that $m$ is an odd integer and $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $S=\{1, a, b, a b\}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$, then $G$ is 2-nilpotent and there exists $1 \neq x \in S$ such that $S=C_{G}(x)$. Also if $C_{G}(a b)=S$ then $C_{G}(a)$ and $C_{G}(b)$ are not equal to $S$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $S \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$ and by Proposition 3.4, we deduce $S=C_{G}(S)=N_{G}(S)$. Therefore by Burnside's Theorem, $S$ has a normal complement in $G$ say $K$ and so $G=S \ltimes K$. Now if $S=C_{G}(b)=C_{G}(a)=$ $C_{G}(a b)$, then the action of $S$ on $K$ is Frobenius and we reach to a contradiction by Proposition 2.5. On the other hand if $C_{G}(b), C_{G}(a)$ and $C_{G}(a b)$ are not equal to $S$, then there are $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3} \in K$ such that $(a b)^{g_{3}}=a b, b^{g_{2}}=b, a^{g_{1}}=a$ where $o\left(g_{i}\right) \geq 3$. Therefore every involution of $G$ is contained in at least three distinct conjugates of $S$. So $G$ has at most $\frac{3 m}{3}=m$ involutions which contradicts to Lemma 2.2. So for at least one element of $S$ say $a b$ we have $C_{G}(a b)=S$. We claim that it is the only element of $S$ with this property. For if $C_{G}(a)=S$,
then $C l(a) \cup C l(a b)$ has $2 m$ elements and so $|I(G)| \geq 2 m+2>\frac{4 m}{2}$, which is a contradiction by Remark 3.2.

Lemma 3.6. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group of order $4 m$, where $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. Then $|I(G)| \leq \frac{5 m}{3}+1$.
Proof. Let $S=\{1, a, b, a b\}$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. By Lemma 3.5, we can assume that $C_{G}(a b)=S$. On the other hand, the number of involutions of $G$ is equal to $|C l(a b) \bigcup C l(a) \bigcup C l(b)|$. So we have $|I(G)| \leq m+\frac{m}{3}+\frac{m}{3}+1=\frac{5 m}{3}+1$, as wanted.

In what follows we confirm the Conjecture 1.1 for groups whose Sylow 2subgroups have order 4.

Proposition 3.7. Let $G$ be an n-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $|G|=4 m$, where $m$ is an odd integer, then $G \cong S_{3} \times S_{3}$.

Proof. Let $S=\{1, a, b, a b\}$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. By Lemma 3.5 we can assume that $G=S \ltimes K, C_{G}(a b)=S$ and $S<C_{G}(a), C_{G}(b)$. Now we define the automorphism $\phi$ of $K$ as $\phi(g)=g^{a b}$. It is clear that $\phi$ is a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 2. Thus by Lemma 1.1 of $[8], g^{a b}=g^{-1}$ for all $g \in K$ which shows that $K$ is abelian. Therefore $C_{G}(g)=K,\langle K, b\rangle$ or $\langle K, a\rangle$ for each $1 \neq g \in K$.

Now if $x \in G-(I(G) \bigcup K)$, then $x^{-1} \in G-(I(G) \bigcup K)$ and $C_{G}(x)=$ $C_{G}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ while $x^{-1} \neq x$. Therefore we have

$$
|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \leq 3+|I(G)|+\frac{3 m-|I(G)|}{2}=3+\frac{3 m}{2}+\frac{|I(G)|}{2}
$$

By Lemma 3.6 we have $|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \leq 3+\frac{3 m}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5 m}{3}+1\right)$. Hence $\frac{8 m}{3} \leq 3+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7 m}{3}$ which yields that $m \leq 10$ and $|G| \leq 40$. Now by considering groups of order at most 40 by GAP [7], we reach to $S_{3} \times S_{3}$ as only group which satisfies the hypotheses of the proposition.

## 4. Proof of the main result

The following lemma is very useful in the sequel.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group of order $2^{r} m$, where $m$ is odd, $r>2$ and let $S$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Suppose that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$ and $G$ is 2-nilpotent. Then we have:
(i) The normal Hall complement $K$ of $S$ in $G$ is abelian.
(ii) There exits exactly one involution in $S$ such as $u$ which $C_{G}(u) \bigcap K=1$ and for all $x \in K, x^{u}=x^{-1}$.
(iii) $S$ is elementary abelian and there is $u \in S$ such that $C_{G}(u)=S$.

Proof.
(i) Let $J(S)=\{x \in S \mid o(x)=2\}, T=\left\{u \in J(S) \mid C_{G}(u) \cap K=1\right\}$ and $t=|T|$. Since $K$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, for every $u \in J(S)$, the map $\varphi_{u}: K \longrightarrow K$ with $\varphi_{u}(x)=x^{u}$ is an automorphism of $K$. If $u \in J(S) \backslash T$, then there exists $1 \neq x \in K$ such that $u^{x}=u^{x^{2}}=u$. We conclude that $u \in S \cap S^{x} \cap S^{x^{2}}$. Since $x \in K$, the order of $x$ is odd and so $S, S^{x}$ and $S^{x^{2}}$ are distinct, by Proposition 3.4. Therefore we have $\frac{2^{r} m}{3} \leq|I(G)| \leq 1+m t+\frac{|J(S)|-t}{3} m$, which is equivalent to

$$
t \geq 2^{r-1}-\frac{|J(S)|}{2}-\frac{3}{2 m} .(*)
$$

Since $|J(S)| \leq 2^{r}-1$, we see that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2 m}$.
Now if $m=3$, then $K$ is abelian and $t \geq 1$. If $m>3$, then $t \geq 1$, i.e, there exists $u \in J(S)$ such that $C_{G}(u) \bigcap K=1$. Therefore $\varphi_{u} \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is a fixed-point-free and since $\varphi_{u}^{2}=1, K$ is abelian and $\varphi_{u}(x)=x^{-1}$ for every $x \in K$, by Theorem 10.5.1(iv) of [10].
(ii) As in the proof of the part(i), suppose that $u$ is an involution of $S$ such that $C_{G}(u) \bigcap K=1$. Then for every $x \in K$ we have that $x^{u}=x^{-1}$. Now if $b$ is an another involution of $S$ such that $C_{G}(b) \bigcap K=1$, then we have for every $x \in K, x^{b}=x^{u}$ which follows that $b u \in \operatorname{Cor}_{G}(S)$. On the other hand by definition of system normalizer and Theorem 9.2 .8 of [10], $\operatorname{Cor}_{G}(S)=1$ and so $b=u$ which is a contradiction to our choice of $b$ and $u$.
(iii) By substituting $t=1$ in inequality $\left(^{*}\right)$, we have $|J(S)| \geq 2^{r}-2-\frac{3}{m}$. If $|S| \geq 16$, then $|I(S)| \geq 2^{r}-1-\frac{3}{m}>\frac{3}{4}|S|$ and so $S$ is elementary abelian. Now Let $|S|=8$. Then we conclude that $|I(S)| \geq 6$ and so $S=C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group of order $2^{r} m$ such that $r>2$, $m$ be odd and $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $G$ is 2-nilpotent, then there exists an involution such as $t$ where $\left|C_{G}(t)\right|=3|S|$.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\left|C_{G}(t)\right| \geq 5.2^{r}$ for every $t \in S$ such that $C_{G}(t) \neq S$. It follows from Lemma 4.1(ii) that there exists only one element $a$ in $S$ such that $C_{G}(a)=S$. If $S=\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{2^{r}}\right\}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|G|}{3} & \leq|I(G)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{r}}\left|C l\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2^{r}}\left|G: C_{G}\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 1+m+\frac{\left(2^{r}-2\right) m}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $2^{r} \leq \frac{3 \times 5}{m(5-3)}+\frac{3 \times 5-6}{5-3} \leq 7$, a contradiction.
Lemma 4.3. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $G$ is 2 -nilpotent, then the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ is not 8 .
Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $|G|=8 m$ such that $(8, m)=1$. Suppose that $S$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Using arguments similar to that of Lemma 3.6, one may to prove that $|I(G)| \leq 1+3 \mathrm{~m}$. On the other hand there is an element $b \in S$ such that $C_{G}(b)=S$ and for every $x \in K$ we have $C_{G}(x)=H K$ where $H \leq S$ and $b \notin H$. The number of such subgroups is 11, which means that if $T=\left\{C_{G}(x) \mid x \in K\right\}$, then $|T| \leq 11$. Also if $y \in G-(I(G) \bigcup K)$, then $y^{-1} \in G-(I(G) \bigcup K)$ and $C_{G}(y)=C_{G}\left(y^{-1}\right)$ while $y \neq y^{-1}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \times 8 m}{3} & \leq|\operatorname{Cent}(G)| \\
& \leq|I(G)|+11+\frac{7 m-|I(G)|}{2} \\
& =11+\frac{7 m}{2}+\frac{|I(G)|}{2} \\
& \leq 11+\frac{7 m}{2}+\frac{1+3 m}{2} \\
& =\frac{23}{2}+5 m
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\frac{16 m}{3} \leq \frac{23}{2}+5 m$ and hence $m \leq \frac{3}{2} \times 23$. So we deduce that $|G| \in$ $\{264,216,168,120,72,24\}$. It can be checked by GAP [7] that none of these groups satisfy the hypothesis.

Now we are ready to prove the main result.
Theorem 4.4. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If $G$ is 2-nilpotent, then $G \cong S_{3}, D_{10}$ or $S_{3} \times S_{3}$.
Proof. Suppose that $|G|=2^{r}$. $m$ such that $m$ is odd. If $r=1$ or $r=2$, then we have the result by Propositions 2.6 and 3.7 respectively. So assume that $r>2$ and $S$ is a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $G$. Then $G=S \ltimes K$ by hypothesis and $S$ is elementary abelian by Lemma 4.1(iii). Also there is a unique element $b \in S$ such that $C_{G}(b)=S$ by Lemma 4.1. According to Lemma 4.2, there exist $s_{1} \in S$ and $y_{1} \in K$ such that $C_{G}\left(s_{1}\right)=S\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle$ where $o\left(y_{1}\right)=3$ and so $\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle \unlhd C_{G}\left(s_{1}\right)$. Therefore there is $T_{1} \leq S$ such that $\left|T_{1}\right|=\frac{|S|}{2}$ and $y_{1} \in C_{G}\left(T_{1}\right)$. Now we consider two following cases:

Case(1): Suppose that there exists $s_{2} \in S-T_{1}$ such that $C_{G}\left(s_{2}\right)=S\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle$ and $o\left(y_{2}\right)=3$. Then there is $T_{2} \leq S$ such that $\left|T_{2}\right|=\frac{|S|}{2}$ and $y_{2} \in C_{G}\left(T_{2}\right)$.

Subcase(1): Suppose that $\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle$. Then $y_{1} \in C_{G}\left(\left\langle T_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle\right)$ and since $T_{1}$ is maximal in $S$, we have $y_{1} \in C_{G}(S)$ which is a contradiction.

Subcase(2): Suppose that $\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle$. Then we have $|K| \geq 9$ since $K$ is abelian by Lemma 4.1(i).

For each $s \in T_{1} \bigcap T_{2}=R$ we have $\left|C_{G}(s)\right| \geq 9|S|$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(G)| & \leq 1+\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(b)\right|}+\sum_{1 \neq s \in R} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|}+\sum_{s \in S-(R \cup\{b\})} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{|G|}{|S|}+\left|\frac{|S|}{4}-1\right| \times \frac{|G|}{9|S|}+\left(|S|-\frac{|S|}{4}-1\right) \frac{|G|}{3|S|} \\
& =\frac{|G|}{|S|}\left(1+\frac{|S|-4}{36}+\frac{3|S|-4}{12}\right)+1 \\
& =\frac{|G|}{|S|}\left(\frac{20+10|S|}{36}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq|I(G)|$, we find that $|S| \leq 10+\frac{18|S|}{|G|}$. It follows that $|S|=8$, contrary to Lemma 4.3.

Case 2: For every $b, 1 \neq s_{2} \in S-T_{1}$ we have $C_{G}\left(s_{2}\right)>3|S|$.
Subcase(1): Suppose that there exists $s_{2} \in S-T_{1}$ such that $C_{G}\left(s_{2}\right)=$ $S\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle$ and $o\left(y_{2}\right)=5$ or 7 . Then by the similar way, there exists $T_{2} \leq S$ such that $\left|T_{2}\right|=\frac{|S|}{2}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in C_{G}\left(T_{1} \bigcap T_{2}\right)$. So $\left|C_{G}\left(T_{1} \bigcap T_{2}\right)\right| \geq 15|S|$. Now if $R=T_{1} \bigcap T_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(G)| & \leq 1+\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(b)\right|}+\sum_{1 \neq s \in R} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|}+\sum_{s \in S-(R \cup\{b\})} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|} \\
& \leq 1+\left|\frac{G}{S}\right|+\left|\frac{|S|}{4}-1\right| \times \frac{|G|}{15|S|}+\left(|S|-\frac{|S|}{4}-1\right) \frac{|G|}{3|S|} \\
& =\frac{|G|}{|S|}\left(1+\frac{|S|-4}{60}+\frac{3|S|-4}{12}\right)+1 \\
& =\frac{|G|}{|S|}\left(\frac{9+4|S|}{15}\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq|I(G)|$, we see that $|S| \leq 9+\frac{15|S|}{|G|} \leq 9+\frac{15}{9}$, a contradiction.
Subcase(2): Assume that $C_{G}\left(s_{2}\right) \geq 9|S|$ for every $b \neq s_{2} \in S-T_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(G)| & \leq 1+\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(b)\right|}+\sum_{1 \neq s \in T_{1}} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|}+\sum_{s \in S-\left(T_{1} \cup\{b\}\right)} \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}(s)\right|} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{|G|}{|S|}+\left|\frac{|S|}{2}-1\right| \frac{|G|}{3|S|}+\left|\frac{|S|}{2}-1\right| \frac{|G|}{9|S|} \\
& =\frac{|G|}{|S|}\left(\frac{4|S|+10}{18}\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{|G|}{3} \leq|I(G)|$, we find that $|S| \leq 5+\frac{9|S|}{|G|} \leq 5+1=6$. This is our final contradiction.

Corollary 4.5. Let $G$ be an $n$-centralizer group such that $|G| \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$. If a Sylow 2-subgroup $S$ of $G$ is abelian, then $G \cong S_{3}, D_{10}$ or $S_{3} \times S_{3}$.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $N_{G}(S)=S$. Since $S$ is abelian, $G$ is 2-nilpotent by Burnside's theorem and the result follows from Theorem 4.4.
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