


ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Special Issue of the
**Bulletin of the
Iranian Mathematical Society**

in Honor of Professor Heydar Radjavi's 80th Birthday

Vol. 41 (2015), No. 7, pp. 85–98

Title:

Additive maps on C^* -algebras commuting with $|\cdot|^k$ on normal elements

Author(s):

Y. Guan, C. Wang and J. Hou

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society
<http://bims.ims.ir>

ADDITIVE MAPS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS COMMUTING WITH $|\cdot|^k$ ON NORMAL ELEMENTS

Y. GUAN, C. WANG AND J. HOU*

(Communicated by Peter Rosenthal)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras. Assume that \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero and unital with unit I and $k > 0$ is a real number. It is shown that if $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map preserving $|\cdot|^k$ for all normal elements; that is, $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all normal elements $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi(I)$ is a projection, and there exists a positive number c such that $\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*$, then Φ is the sum of a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism and a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism. If, moreover, the map Φ commutes with $|\cdot|^k$ on \mathcal{A} , then Φ is the sum of a linear $*$ -homomorphism and a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism. In the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption $\Phi(I)$ being a projection can be deleted.

Keywords: C^* -algebras, additive maps, Jordan homomorphism, $*$ -homomorphism.

MSC(2010): Primary 47B49; Secondary: 46L05, 47L30.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' be algebras over a field \mathbb{F} . Recall that a map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is called multiplicative if $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; it is called a Jordan multiplicative map if $\Phi(AB + BA) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)\Phi(A)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; the mapping Φ is called a (Jordan) homomorphism if it is additive and (Jordan) multiplicative; moreover, if the algebras involved are $*$ -algebras and Φ is $*$ -preserving, then Φ is called a (Jordan) $*$ -homomorphism.

In the present paper we always assume that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras [9, 10]. Thus a linear (i.e., \mathbb{C} -linear) Jordan $*$ -homomorphism is exactly a C^* -homomorphism. For a real number $k > 0$, a map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be k 'th-power absolute value preserving if

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$$

Article electronically published on December 31, 2015.

Received: 8 September 2014, Accepted: 18 April 2015.

*Corresponding author.

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; that is, Φ commutes with $|\cdot|^k$ on \mathcal{A} . Note that we do not assume here that k is a positive integer.

Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces, and let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}(K)$ denote the algebras of all bounded linear operators on H and K , respectively. Assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ is an additive map with range containing all finite rank operators and $k > 0$ is a natural number. It was shown by Molnár in [12] that, if Φ satisfies (1.1), then there exists a positive real number c and an additive *-automorphism Ψ such that $\Phi = c\Psi$; moreover, if $k > 1$, then $\Phi = \Psi$. This result was generalized to the maps from a von Neumann algebra into $\mathcal{B}(H)$ by Bai and Hou in [2]. It was shown by Radjabalipour, Seddighi and Taghavi in [16] that if an additive map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ satisfies $\Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$ for all A in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, and if $\overline{\Phi(iI)K} \subset \phi(I)K$ and $\Phi(I)$ is a projection, then Φ is the sum of two *-homomorphisms, one of which is linear and the other is conjugate-linear. For the case that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, Radjabalipour proved in [17] that if $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ satisfies $|\varphi(A)| = \varphi(|A|)$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}$) and if \mathcal{A} contains no nonzero abelian central projection, then there exists a space decomposition $K = K_0 \oplus K_+ \oplus K_-$, *-homomorphism $\varphi_{\pm} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K_{\pm})$ with φ_+ linear and φ_- conjugate-linear, and positive operator $C_{\pm} \in \mathcal{B}(K_{\pm})$ such that $\varphi(A) = C_+\varphi_+(A) \oplus C_-\varphi_-(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Recently, Taghavi [19] considered further the question for additive maps between C*-algebras. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra and \mathcal{B} be a C*-algebra of real rank zero. It is shown in [19] that if $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive surjective map satisfying $\Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\Phi(I)$ is a projection, then Φ is a linear or conjugate-linear *-homomorphism.

The aim of this paper is to continue the studying of characterizing additive maps between C*-algebras satisfying (1.1). We generalize some known results mentioned above by two aspects. Firstly, for given $k > 0$ instead of positive integer, we consider the map Φ satisfying $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$, where Φ is an additive map between C*-algebras; secondly, we assume that $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ is satisfied only for normal elements A .

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C*-algebras. Denote by \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_N respectively the set of all self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} and the set of all normal elements in \mathcal{A} , that is, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_s \Leftrightarrow A = A^*$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_N \Leftrightarrow A^*A = AA^*$. Assume that \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero and unital with unit I , $k > 0$ is a real number. We show that if an additive map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\Phi(I)$ a projection satisfies $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all A in \mathcal{A}_N , and there exists a positive real number $c > 0$ such that $\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*$, then Φ is the sum of a linear Jordan *-homomorphism and a conjugate-linear Jordan *-homomorphism; if $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is the sum of a linear *-homomorphism and a conjugate-linear *-homomorphism. Moreover, if $k \neq 1$, the assumption that $\Phi(I)$ is a projection can be omitted.

2. Main results and corollaries

The following is our main result which states that, under some soft assumptions, every additive map from a C^* -algebra of real rank zero into a C^* -algebra which preserves the k 'th powers of the absolute values of normal operators is the sum of a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism and a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism.

For a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , recall that the real rank of \mathcal{A} is the smallest integer, $RR(\mathcal{A})$, such that for each n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) of self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} , with $n \leq RR(\mathcal{A}) + 1$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an n -tuple (y_1, \dots, y_n) in \mathcal{A}_s such that $\sum y_k^2$ is invertible and $\|\sum(x_k - y_k)^2\| < \varepsilon$. By definition $RR(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if and only if every self-adjoint element in \mathcal{A} can be approximated by an invertible self-adjoint element. It is well known that, if \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero, then the set of all elements that can be written as real linear combination of mutually orthogonal projections is dense in \mathcal{A}_s (Ref. [3]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras. Denote $\mathcal{A}_N = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : AA^* = A^*A\}$. Assume that \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero and unital with unit I , $k > 0$ is a positive number. If $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map satisfying*

(i) $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$;

(ii) $\Phi(I)$ is a projection;

(iii) there exists a positive real number $c > 0$ such that $\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*$,

then there exist projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $Q_1Q_2 = 0$, a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1$ and a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$ such that

$$\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, in the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption (ii) can be deleted.

Proof. We prove the theorem by firstly considering the case of $k = 1$ and secondly considering the case of $k \neq 1$.

Part I. The case of $k = 1$.

The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. Φ sends self-adjoint (resp, positive) elements of \mathcal{A} into self-adjoint (resp, positive) elements of \mathcal{B} . Moreover, $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}_s}$ is a continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map.

The idea is similar to that in [12, Proof of Theorem 1]. Indeed, if A is a positive element, then $\Phi(A) = \Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$ is also a positive operator. So Φ maps positive elements of \mathcal{A} into positive elements of \mathcal{B} . Since Φ is additive and every self-adjoint element in a C^* -algebra is the difference of two orthogonal positive ones, Φ preserves self-adjointness. It follows that Φ is order-preserving. Let $A \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed for a moment and consider arbitrary rational numbers r, s with $r < \lambda < s$. Since Φ is additive, it is \mathbb{Q} -linear. Consequently, we have $r\Phi(A) = \Phi(rA) \leq \Phi(\lambda A) \leq \Phi(sA) = s\Phi(A)$.

This gives that $\Phi(\lambda A) = \lambda\Phi(A)$. So Φ is a real linear map from \mathcal{A}_s into \mathcal{B}_s . Let us turn to the continuity of $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}_s}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$, by the inequality $\|A\| \leq \| |A| \| I = \|A\| I$, we get $\|\Phi(A)\| = \|\Phi(|A|)\| \leq \|A\| \|\Phi(I)\|$. Since the norm of a positive operator is equal to its numerical radius, we arrive at $\|\Phi(A)\| = \| |\Phi(A)| \| \leq \|A\| \|\Phi(I)\|$, which yields the continuity of Φ when restricted to the real linear Banach space \mathcal{A}_s . Recall that, for any $T \in \mathcal{B}$, the numerical range of T is the set $W(T) = \{f(T) : f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})\}$ and the numerical radius is $w(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T)\}$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$ is the set of all states of \mathcal{B} .

Step 2. For any pair of commuting self-adjoint operators $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_s$, we have

$$(1^\circ) \quad \Phi(iB)^* \Phi(A) = -\Phi(A) \Phi(iB) \text{ and}$$

$$(2^\circ) \quad \text{if } AB = 0, \text{ then } \Phi(A) \Phi(B) = 0$$

The proof is similar to the Step 2 of the proof in [17], we omit it here.

Step 3. Φ preserves projections and orthogonality. Moreover,

$$\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)\Phi(P)$$

holds for all projections $P \in \mathcal{A}$.

For every projection P , as Φ is order-preserving, we have $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(I)$. It follows from the assumption (ii) that $\Phi(I)$ is a projection and thus $\Phi(I)\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)$. Now, by the assertion (2 $^\circ$) of Step 2, we can conclude that Φ sends projections to projections and preserves orthogonality of projections since

$$\Phi(P)^2 = \Phi(P)\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)\Phi(P) = \Phi(P).$$

Step 4. The restriction of Φ to \mathcal{A}_s is a real linear Jordan homomorphism.

Note that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are C*-algebras, one only needs to check that $\Phi(A^2) = \Phi(A)^2$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Instead of using the spectral theorem in [16], here we use the assumption that the C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero. Suppose

$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{P_i\}$ are mutually orthogonal projections. Then

$\Phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)$, and $\Phi(A^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \Phi(P_i)$ as $A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 P_i$. Since $\{\Phi(P_i)\}$ are also mutually orthogonal projections, we have

$$\Phi(A)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \Phi(P_i).$$

So $\Phi(A^2) = \Phi(A)^2$. Now, as \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero, every element in \mathcal{A}_s can be approximated by elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ as above. Then, by the continuity of Φ , we arrive at $\Phi(A^2) = \Phi(A)^2$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Thus the restriction of Φ to \mathcal{A}_s is a Jordan homomorphism.

Step 5. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)\Phi(A)$.

We first prove that, for every self-adjoint $S \in \mathcal{A}_s$,

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(S) = \Phi(S)\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)\Phi(S).$$

As \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra of real rank zero and $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}_s}$ is continuous and real linear, Eq. (2.1) follows from Step 3.

Now let us turn to show that

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(iT) = \Phi(iT)\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)\Phi(iT)$$

holds for every self-adjoint operator T .

By the representation theorem of C^* -algebras, we may assume that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(K)$ for some complex Hilbert spaces H and K . Since, for any projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)^2 = |\Phi(iP)|^2 = \Phi(iP)^*\Phi(iP),$$

we see that $|\Phi(iP)| = \Phi(P)$ and, by [7, Theorem 1], we have

$$\text{ran}\Phi(iP)^* = \text{ran}\Phi(P) \subseteq \text{ran}\Phi(I).$$

So $\Phi(I)\Phi(iP)^* = \Phi(iP)^*$ as $\Phi(I)$ is a projection, which implies that

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(iP)\Phi(I) = \Phi(iP).$$

Similar to the argument in [16, Proof of Theorem 1], we let $\Phi(iI) = V\Phi(I)$ be the polar decomposition of $\Phi(iI)$, where $V \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ is a partial isometry with the initial space $\text{ran}\Phi(I)$ and the final space $\overline{\text{ran}\Phi(iI)}$. For any projection P in \mathcal{A} , write $\Phi(iP) = V_P\Phi(P)$ and $\Phi(i(I-P)) = V_{I-P}\Phi(I-P)$ the polar decompositions of $\Phi(iP)$ and $\Phi(i(I-P))$, respectively. Note that, as \mathcal{B} is a C^* -algebra, V, V_P, V_{I-P} may not be in \mathcal{B} . In fact, one of the task below is to show that $V \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly, we have

$$V_P\Phi(P) + V_{I-P}\Phi(I-P) = \Phi(iI) = V\Phi(P) + V\Phi(I-P).$$

Multiplying $\Phi(P)$ from the right of the above equations and applying the assertion of Step 1, one gets $V_P\Phi(P) = V\Phi(P)$. So,

$$\Phi(iP) = V\Phi(P)$$

for every projection P . Again, since \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero, we also get

$$\Phi(iS) = V\Phi(S)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Now, by the hypotheses (ii) and (iii), there exists a positive real number c such that

$$\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^* = c\Phi(I)^2 = c\Phi(I).$$

It follows from [7] that $\text{ran}\Phi(iI) \subseteq \text{ran}\Phi(I)$, and consequently, $\Phi(I)\Phi(iI) = \Phi(iI)$. Thus we get

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi(iI) = V\Phi(I) = V = \Phi(I)V$$

and

$$\Phi(iP) = V\Phi(P) = \Phi(iI)\Phi(P) \quad \text{for every projection } P \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence $V \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$\Phi(I)\Phi(iP) = \Phi(I)\Phi(iI)\Phi(P) = \Phi(iI)\Phi(P) = \Phi(iP),$$

which, together with (2.3), shows that

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(iP)\Phi(I) = \Phi(iP) = \Phi(I)\Phi(iP)$$

holds for every projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now using the assumption \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero, we conclude from (2.5) that

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi(iT)\Phi(I) = \Phi(iT) = \Phi(I)\Phi(iT)$$

holds for every self-adjoint element T in \mathcal{A} .

Finally, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we can write $A = S + iT$, where S and T are self-adjoint operators. Thus, by Eqs.(2.1) and (2.6), it is easily checked that

$$\Phi(A)\Phi(I) = \Phi(A) = \Phi(I)\Phi(A)$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, the assertion of Step 5 is true.

Step 6. Let V be the partial isometry as in Step 5. Then, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\Phi(iA) = V\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi(A)V = V\Phi(A)$.

It is clear that $\text{ran}V^* = \text{ran}\Phi(I)$ because the initial space of V is $\text{ran}\Phi(I)$.

On the other hand, substitute $A = B = I$ in (1°) of Step 2, one gets $\Phi(I)V\Phi(I) = -\Phi(I)V^*\Phi(I)$. Hence we must have

$$(2.7) \quad V = -V^*$$

and consequently,

$$\overline{\text{ran}\Phi(iI)} = \text{ran}V^* = \text{ran}V = \text{ran}\Phi(I).$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$(2.8) \quad -V^2 = V^*V = VV^* = \Phi(I).$$

For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, write $A = S + iT$, where $S, T \in \mathcal{A}_s$. By Step 5 and (2.8), one gets

$$\Phi(iA) = \Phi(iS - T) = V\Phi(S) - \Phi(T)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V\Phi(A) &= V(\Phi(S) + \Phi(iT)) = V\Phi(S) + V^2\Phi(T) \\ &= V\Phi(S) - \Phi(I)\Phi(T) = V\Phi(S) - \Phi(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi(iA) = V\Phi(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By substituting $B = I$ in (1°) of Step 2 and applying (2.9) we obtain

$$\Phi(A)V\Phi(I) = -\Phi(I)V^*\Phi(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}_s.$$

Thus, by (2.7), we have $\Phi(A)V\Phi(I) = \Phi(I)V\Phi(A)$, which, together with (2.9), implies that $\Phi(A)V = V\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi(iA)V = V\Phi(iA)$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$ as $\Phi(I)V = V\Phi(I) = V$. Now it is obvious that $\Phi(A)V = V\Phi(A)$ holds for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, completing the proof of Step 6.

Step 7. Φ is a real linear Jordan *-homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} .

By Step 4, Φ is a real linear Jordan homomorphism from \mathcal{A}_s into \mathcal{B}_s .

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, write $A = S + iT$, where $S, T \in \mathcal{A}_s$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\lambda A) &= \Phi(\lambda S) + \Phi(i(\lambda T)) = \lambda\Phi(S) + V\Phi(\lambda T) \\ &= \lambda(\Phi(S) + V\Phi(T)) = \lambda\Phi(A).\end{aligned}$$

So, Φ is real linear on \mathcal{A} .

For any $B \in \mathcal{A}_s$, iB is a skew self-adjoint element in \mathcal{A} , that is $(iB)^* = -iB$. By Step 5 and (1°) of Step 2 with $A = I$, one gets $\Phi(iB)^* = \Phi(iB)^*\Phi(I) = -\Phi(I)\Phi(iB) = -\Phi(iB) = \Phi((iB)^*)$. Then it follows from the additivity of Φ that

$$\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

that is, Φ is *-preserving.

Furthermore, for any self-adjoint operator $T \in \mathcal{A}$, by Steps 5-6 and (2.8), we have

$$\Phi((iT)^2) = -\Phi(T^2) = -\Phi(T)^2 = (V\Phi(T))^2 = \Phi(iT)^2.$$

Now, since

$$\Phi(A^2) = \Phi(S^2 + iST + iTS + (iT)^2) = \Phi(S^2) + V\Phi(ST + TS) + \Phi((iT)^2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(A)^2 &= \Phi(S)^2 + \Phi(S)\Phi(iT) + \Phi(iT)\Phi(S) + \Phi(iT)^2 \\ &= \Phi(S)^2 + \Phi(S)V\Phi(T) + V\Phi(T)\Phi(S) + \Phi(iT)^2 \\ &= \Phi(S)^2 + V\Phi(S)\Phi(T) + V\Phi(T)\Phi(S) + \Phi(iT)^2,\end{aligned}$$

one sees that

$$\Phi(A^2) = \Phi(A)^2$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, Φ is a real linear Jordan *-homomorphism.

Step 8. There exist two orthogonal projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and a linear Jordan *-homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1$, a conjugate-linear Jordan *-homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for all A in \mathcal{A} .

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \Phi(I)\mathcal{B}\Phi(I)$. Then, $I_1 = \Phi(I)$ is the unit of \mathcal{B}_1 and, by Step 5, it is easily seen that $\Phi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$. So, we can regard Φ as a unital real linear Jordan *-homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B}_1 .

Since $\Phi(iI)$ is skew self-adjoint, $V = \Phi(iI) = iW$ for some self-adjoint element $W \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Furthermore, $-W^2 = \Phi(iI)^2 = -\Phi(I) = -I_1$ gives $W^2 = I_1$. Then the spectrum of W as an element in \mathcal{B}_1 , $\sigma^{\mathcal{B}_1}(W) \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$, which implies that there exists a projection Q in \mathcal{B}_1 so that $W = 2Q - I_1$ and $\Phi(iI) = i(2Q - I_1)$.

It is clear by Step 6 that $iW\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)iW$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. So we have $W\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)W$ for all A , which entails that $Q\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)Q$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\Phi(A) = Q\Phi(A) + (I_1 - Q)\Phi(A)$$

holds for all A . Now let $Q_1 = Q$, $Q_2 = I_1 - Q$ and define Φ_1, Φ_2 by $\Phi_1(A) = Q_1\Phi(A)$, $\Phi_2(A) = Q_2\Phi(A)$. Then, $Q_1Q_2 = 0$, $\Phi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_i\mathcal{B}Q_i$ is real linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism, $i = 1, 2$. In addition, as $\Phi(iI) = Q_1\Phi(iI)Q_1 + Q_2\Phi(iI)Q_2$, we see that $\Phi_1(iI) = iQ_1$ and $\Phi_2(iI) = -iQ_2$. Hence Φ_1 is linear and Φ_2 is conjugate-linear. This completes the proof of the theorem for the case when $k = 1$.

Part II. The case when $k \neq 1$.

For any positive element $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a unique positive element $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A = B^k$. Thus $\Phi(A) = \Phi(B^k) = \Phi(|B|^k) = |\Phi(B)|^k \geq 0$. So $\Phi(A)$ is positive. Moreover, for any normal element A , we have $|\Phi(A)|^k = \Phi(|A|^k) = \Phi(|A|)^k$. As the positive k 'th root of a positive element is unique, we see that $|\Phi(A)| = \Phi(|A|)$. Thus, Φ satisfies the hypothesis (i).

Next we show that $\Phi(I)$ is automatically a projection and hence the assumption (ii) is superfluous.

If $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is a projection, then $\Phi(P)^k = |\Phi(P)|^k = \Phi(|P|^k) = \Phi(P^k) = \Phi(P)$. Let $\Phi(P) = \int_0^{\|\Phi(P)\|} t dE_t$ be the spectral resolution of $\Phi(P)$ regarded as a positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(K)$. Thus we have $\int_0^{\|\Phi(P)\|} t^k dE_t = \Phi(P)^k = \Phi(P) = \int_0^{\|\Phi(P)\|} t dE_t$, which implies that $t^k = t$ almost everywhere. As $k \neq 1$, the support of the spectral measure is a subset of $\{0, 1\}$. Then it follows that $t^2 = t$ a.e. and hence $\Phi(P)^2 = \Phi(P)$. Thus Φ is projection preserving. Particularly, $\Phi(I)^2 = \Phi(I)$. So, Φ meets all the assumptions of Part I, and then, has the form stated in the theorem, completing the proof. \square

If we strengthen the assumption on Φ by letting $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is the sum of a linear $*$ -homomorphism and a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism, as stated in the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras. Assume that \mathcal{A} is of real rank zero and unital with unit I , k is a positive number. Then $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map satisfying that*

(i) $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$;

(ii) $\Phi(I)$ is a projection;

(iii) there exists a positive real number $c > 0$ such that $\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*$,

if and only if there exist projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $Q_1Q_2 = 0$, a linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1$, a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, in the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption (ii) can be omitted.

Proof. The “if” part is obvious. In fact, if Φ has the form $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ as stated in Theorem 2.2, where Φ_1 is a linear *-homomorphism and Φ_2 is a conjugate-linear *-homomorphism with $\Phi_1(A)\Phi_2(A) = \Phi_2(A)\Phi_1(A) = 0$ for every A , then it is clear that $\Phi(I)$ is a projection and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* &= \Phi_1(iI)\Phi_1(iI)^* + \Phi_2(iI)\Phi_2(iI)^* \\ &= i\Phi_1(I)(i\Phi_1(I))^* - i\Phi_2(I)(-i\Phi_2(I))^* = \Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*,\end{aligned}$$

that is, Φ satisfies the conditions (ii) and (iii).

Obviously, $\Phi(S^k) = \Phi(S)^k$ for every positive element $S \geq 0$. So, for any element $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$|\Phi(A)|^k = (\Phi(A)^*\Phi(A))^{\frac{k}{2}} = \Phi(A^*A)^{\frac{k}{2}} = \Phi(|A|^k)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. So (i) also holds.

Next we check the “only if” part. By Theorem 2.1, there exist projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $Q_1Q_2 = 0$, a linear Jordan *-homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1$, a conjugate-linear Jordan *-homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We have to show that $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ holds for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similar to the argument in the Part II of the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is easily checked that

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)| \quad \text{holds for all } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

Since $\Phi(S)^2 = \Phi(S^2)$ for all self-adjoint elements $S \in \mathcal{A}_s$, by (2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \Phi(A^*A) = \Phi(|A|^2) = \Phi(|A|)^2 = |\Phi(A)|^2 = \Phi(A)^*\Phi(A)$$

holds for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing A in (2.11) once by $A+B$ and once by $A+iB$ shows that

$$\Phi(A^*B) = \Phi(A)^*\Phi(B)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, which entails that $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, Φ is a *-homomorphism and has the form stated in Theorem 2.2. \square

Remark 2.3. The assumption (iii) in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 can not be omitted. For example, let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra of real rank zero and consider the map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes M_2$ defined by $\Phi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Im}A \\ 0 & \text{Re}A \end{pmatrix}$. It is clear that Φ is additive and $\Phi(I)$ is a projection. Obviously, Φ breaks the condition (iii). For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$, write $A = S + iT$, where $S = \text{Re}A = \frac{A+A^*}{2}$ and $T = \text{Im}A = \frac{A-A^*}{2i}$. As A is normal, we have $ST = TS$ and $|A| = \sqrt{S^2 + T^2}$. Then it is easily checked that $|\Phi(A)| = \Phi(|A|)$. Thus Φ satisfies the conditions (i) of Theorem 2.1. But Φ is not of the form stated in Theorem 2.1. For an example for Theorem 2.2, let \mathcal{A} be a commutative algebra.

However, if the map is “surjective” in some sense, the assumption (iii) is superfluous.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra. Assume that k is a positive number and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map with $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{A}_N)$. If Φ satisfies that $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and if $\Phi(I)$ is a projection, then $\Phi(I) = I$, there exists a central projection $Q \in \mathcal{B}$, a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q\mathcal{B}$ and a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (I - Q)\mathcal{B}$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Particularly, if \mathcal{B} is a factor C^* -algebra, then Φ is either a linear or a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover, in the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption $\Phi(I)$ being a projection can be omitted.*

Proof. Note that, $\Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$ for all normal elements A and the assertions of Step 1-Step 4 in the proof of Theorem 2.1 are still true for Φ here. For any positive element $B \in \mathcal{B}$, as $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{A}_N)$, there is some $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ such that $B = \Phi(A)$. Thus $B = |B| = |\Phi(A)| = \Phi(|A|)$, which means every positive element is a Φ -image of a positive element, and consequently, $\mathcal{B}_s \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{A}_s)$. Since $\Phi(I)\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)\Phi(I) = \Phi(A)$ holds for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$, one has that $\Phi(I)B = B\Phi(I) = B$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_s$. Hence \mathcal{B} must be unital and $\Phi(I) = I$ is its unit. Thus Φ meets all conditions (i)-(iii) and has the form of Theorem 2.1 with $Q_1 + Q_2 = \Phi(I) = I$. It is clear that both Q_1 and Q_2 commute with all elements of \mathcal{B} . So, Q_1, Q_2 are central projections of \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{B} = Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1 + Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$. Thus, if \mathcal{B} is a factor, then either $Q_1 = I, Q_2 = 0$, in this case Φ is a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism; or $Q_1 = 0, Q_2 = I$, in this case Φ is a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism. \square

The following corollary is immediate by Theorem 2.2 and the proof of Corollary 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra. Assume that k is a positive number and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive surjective map with $\Phi(I)$ a projection. Then Φ satisfies that $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist a central projection $Q \in \mathcal{B}$, a linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q\mathcal{B}$ and a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (I - Q)\mathcal{B}$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Particularly, if \mathcal{B} is a factor, then Φ is either a linear or conjugate linear $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover, in the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption $\Phi(I)$ being a projection can be omitted.*

If the map is bijective, one can say more.

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra. Assume that k is a positive number and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive bijective map with $\Phi(I)$ a projection. Then Φ satisfies that $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist a central projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$, with $Q = \Phi(P)$ central projection in \mathcal{B} , a linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : PAP \rightarrow Q\mathcal{B}Q$, a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : (I - P)\mathcal{A}(I - P) \rightarrow (I - Q)\mathcal{B}(I - Q)$,*

such that $\Phi = \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$. Particularly, if \mathcal{B} is a factor, then Φ is either a linear or conjugate linear $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover, in the case when $k \neq 1$, the assumption $\Phi(I)$ being a projection can be omitted.

Proof. If $\Phi(|A|^k) = |\Phi(A)|^k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then it has the form stated in Corollary 2.5. Since Φ is injective, we have $\ker\Phi_1 \cap \ker\Phi_2 = \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \Phi^{-1}(Q\mathcal{B})$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \Phi^{-1}((I-Q)\mathcal{B})$. Since $Q\mathcal{B} + (I-Q)\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$, we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$. Also, $Q_1\mathcal{B} \cap Q_2\mathcal{B} = \{0\}$ entails that $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \{0\}$. For any $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, one has $\Phi(A_1A_2) = \Phi(A_1)\Phi(A_2) = Q\Phi_1(A_1)(I-Q)\Phi_2(A_2) = 0$, which implies that $A_1A_2 = A_2A_1 = 0$ by the injectivity of Φ . Let $P = \Phi^{-1}(Q) = \Phi_1^{-1}(Q)$. Clearly P is a projection. Write $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = I - P$; also $Q_1 = Q$ and $Q_2 = I - Q$. We claim that $\mathcal{A}_i = P_i\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}P_i$, $i = 1, 2$. As $\Phi(I) = I$, we have $P_2 = \Phi^{-1}(Q_2)$. Clearly, $P_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, and hence $P_i\mathcal{A}P_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$. Since $\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \ker\Phi_1$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \ker\Phi_2$, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\Phi(P_1AP_1) = \Phi_1(P_1A_1P_1) = \Phi_1(P_1)\Phi_1(A)\Phi_1(P_1) = Q_1\Phi_1(A) = \Phi_1(P_1A) = \Phi_1(A)Q_1 = \Phi_1(AP_1)$, which implies that $P_1AP_1 = P_1A = AP_1$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $P_1 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, the center of \mathcal{A} . So $\mathcal{A}_1 = \Phi^{-1}(Q\mathcal{B}) = P_1\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}P_1$, and P_1 is the unit of \mathcal{A}_1 . Similarly, $\mathcal{A}_2 = P_2\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}P_2$. Thus we may regard Φ_i as a bijective map from \mathcal{A}_i onto $\mathcal{B}_i = Q_i\mathcal{B}$ and $\Phi = \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$, where Φ_1 is a linear $*$ -homomorphism and Φ_2 is a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism. \square

As an application of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, in the following we characterize additive maps preserving absolute values of skew products.

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra. Assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map. If Φ satisfies that $\Phi(|A^*B|) = |\Phi(A)^*\Phi(B)|$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^*B = BA^*$, then there exist projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $Q_1Q_2 = 0$, a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1\mathcal{B}Q_1$, a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2\mathcal{B}Q_2$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ we have $\Phi(|A|^2) = \Phi(|A^*A|) = |\Phi(A)^*\Phi(A)| = |\Phi(A)|^2$. Thus Φ meets the condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 with $k = 2$. It follows that Φ is a \mathbb{R} -linear Jordan homomorphism from \mathcal{A}_s into \mathcal{B}_s and $\Phi(I)$ is a projection as proved in the Part II of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, $\Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$. By an argument similar to Step 2-Step 5 of Theorem 2.1, one obtains that

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi(iS)^*\Phi(A) = -\Phi(A)\Phi(iS)$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \Phi(iS)^*\Phi(iS) = |\Phi(iS)|^2 = \Phi(|S|)^2 = |\Phi(S)|^2 = \Phi(S)^2$$

hold for all commuting self-adjoint operator $A, S \in \mathcal{A}_s$, and

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi(A) = \Phi(I)\Phi(A) = \Phi(A)\Phi(I)$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_s$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ for some Hilbert space K . Thus, with respect to the space decomposition $K = K_0 \oplus K_0^\perp$ with $K_0 = \ker \Phi(I)$, we have $\Phi(I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Phi(S)$ has the form $\Phi(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(S) \end{pmatrix}$ if S is self-adjoint. Write $\Phi(iS) = \begin{pmatrix} C & \eta(iS) \\ D & \xi(iS) \end{pmatrix}$, where $S \in \mathcal{A}_s$. It follows from (2.12) by letting $A = I$ that $D = 0$. By (2.13) and (2.14), one gets $C = 0$. So there exist \mathbb{R} -linear continuous maps ξ and η from \mathcal{A} into respectively $B(K_0^\perp)$ and $B(K_0^\perp, K_0)$ such that

$$\Phi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta(A) \\ 0 & \xi(A) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover $\eta(S) = 0$ for any $S \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Particularly, $\Phi(iI) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta(iI) \\ 0 & \xi(iI) \end{pmatrix}$. Let $A = iI$, $B = iI$; by the assumption of Φ , one gets $|\Phi(iI)^* \Phi(iI)| = \Phi(I)$. That is to say $\eta(iI)^* \eta(iI) + \xi(iI)^* \xi(iI) = I_1$. Similarly, let $A = I$ and $B = iI$, we have $\xi(iI)^* \xi(iI) = I_1$. Then, it follows that $\eta(iI) = 0$. So we have $\Phi(iI) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(iI) \end{pmatrix}$, which entails that there exists a positive real number $c > 0$ such that $\Phi(iI)\Phi(iI)^* \leq c\Phi(I)\Phi(I)^*$. Thus Φ meets all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and has the desired form. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra. Assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive map. Then Φ satisfies that $\Phi(|A^*B|) = |\Phi(A)^* \Phi(B)|$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist projections $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $Q_1 Q_2 = 0$, a linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_1 \mathcal{B} Q_1$, a conjugate-linear $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q_2 \mathcal{B} Q_2$ such that $\Phi(A) = \Phi_1(A) + \Phi_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. The ‘‘if’’ part is clear. In fact, by Theorem 2.2, Φ is a ring $*$ -homomorphism and $|\Phi(A)| = \Phi(|A|)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $|\Phi(A)^* \Phi(B)| = |\Phi(A^*) \Phi(B)| = |\Phi(A^*B)| = \Phi(|A^*B|)$.

Next we check the ‘‘only if’’ part. By Corollary 2.7, Φ is the sum of a linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism and a conjugate-linear Jordan $*$ -homomorphism. So, we only need to show that Φ is multiplicative, that is, $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ holds for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

By the proof of Corollary 2.6, one has

$$(2.15) \quad \Phi(|A|) = |\Phi(A)|$$

$$(2.16) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Phi(S)^2 = \Phi(S^2)$$

for all self-adjoint elements $S \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Hence, by (2.15) (2.16), for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi(A^*A) = \Phi(|A|^2) = \Phi(|A|)^2 = |\Phi(A)|^2 = \Phi(A)^* \Phi(A).$$

Replacing A in (2.17) once by $A + B$ and once by $A + iB$ derives

$$\Phi(A^*B) = \Phi(A)^*\Phi(B)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, which entails that $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their thanks to the referees for suggesting many improvements of the original draft. This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171249, 11271217).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Aupetit and H. du Toit Mouton, Spectrum preserving linear mappings in Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **109** (1994), no. 1, 91–100.
- [2] Z. F. Bai and J. C. Hou, Additive map preserving orthogonality or commuting with $|\cdot|^k$, *Acta Math. Sin. Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)* **45** (2002), no. 5, 863–870.
- [3] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, C^* -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** (1991), no. 1, 131–149.
- [4] M. Brešar, Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving, mappings and Lie mappings, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **335** (1993), no. 2, 525–546.
- [5] G. H. Chan and M. H. Lim, Linear preservers on powers of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **162/164** (1992) 615–626.
- [6] M. D. Choi, A. A. Jafarian and H. Radjavi, Linear maps preserving commutativity, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **87** (1987) 227–241.
- [7] R. G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966) 413–415.
- [8] A. A. Jafarian and A. R. Sourour, Spectrum-preserving linear maps, *J. Funct. Anal.* **66** (1986), no. 2, 255–261.
- [9] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Elementary Theory, I*, Academic Press, New York, 1997.
- [10] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Advanced Theory, I*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1997.
- [11] C.-K. Li and N.-K. Tsing, Linear preserver problems: A brief introduction and some special techniques. Directions in matrix theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **162/164** (1992) 217–235.
- [12] L. Molnár, Two characterisations of additive $*$ -automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **53** (1996), no. 3, 391–400.
- [13] L. Molnár, Multiplicative Jordan triple isomorphisms on the selfadjoint elements of von Neumann algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **419** (2006) no. 2-3, 586–600.
- [14] M. Omladič, On operators preserving commutativity, *J. Funct. Anal.* **66** (1986), no. 1, 105–122.
- [15] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, Linear mappings that preserve potent operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), no. 4, 1069–1074.
- [16] M. Radjabalipour, K. Seddighi and Y. Taghavi, Additive mappings on operator algebras preserving absolute values, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **327** (2001), no. 1-3, 197–206.
- [17] M. Radjabalipour, Additive mappings on von Neumann algebras preserving absolute values, *Linear Algebras Appl.* **368** (2003) 229–241.
- [18] P. Šemrl, Linear mappings preserving square-zero matrices, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **48** (1993), no. 3, 365–370.

- [19] A. Taghavi, Additive mappings on C^* -algebras preserving absolute values, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*. **60** (2012), no. 1, 33–38.

(Yarong Guan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
TAIYUAN 030024, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: yarongguan721@163.com

(Cailian Wang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
TAIYUAN 030024, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: wangcailian1224@163.com

(Jinchuan Hou) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
TAIYUAN 030024, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: jinchuanhou@aliyun.com