**ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)** 



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

## Bulletin of the

# Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 42 (2016), No. 4, pp. 881-889

Title:

Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions

Author(s):

A. Zireh and E. Analouei Audegani

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society http://bims.ims.ir

Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. Vol. 42 (2016), No. 4, pp. 881–889 Online ISSN: 1735-8515

### COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC AND BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

A. ZIREH\* AND E. ANALOUEI AUDEGANI

(Communicated by Ali Abkar)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and investigate a subclass  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  of analytic and bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk U. Upper bounds for the second and third coefficients of functions in this subclass are founded. Our results generalize and improve over the existing results in the literature.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, coefficient estimates, starlike functions, Koebe one-quarter theorem. **MSC(2010):** Primary: 30C45; Secondary: 30C50.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , of the form

(1.1) 
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

We also denote by S the class of functions  $f \in A$  which are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ . The Koebe one-quarter theorem [3] ensures that the image of  $\mathbb{U}$  under every univalent function  $f \in S$  contains a disk of radius  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Hence every function  $f \in S$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$ , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \left( |w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

©2016 Iranian Mathematical Society

Article electronically published on August 20, 2016.

Received: 17 April 2014, Accepted: 27 May 2015.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  if both f and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . The class consisting of bi-univalent functions are denoted by  $\Sigma$ .

Determination of the bounds for the coefficients  $a_n$  is an important problem in geometric function theory as they give information about the geometric properties of these functions. For example, the bound for the second coefficient  $a_2$  of functions  $f \in S$  gives the growth and distortion bounds as well as covering theorems.

Lewin [9] investigated the class  $\Sigma$  of bi-univalent functions and showed that  $|a_2| < 1.51$  for the functions belonging to  $\Sigma$ . Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that  $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ . Kedzierawski [8] proved this conjecture for a special case when the function f and  $f^{-1}$  are starlike functions. Tan [12] obtained the bound for  $|a_2|$  namely  $|a_2| \leq 1.485$  which is the best known estimate for functions in the class  $\Sigma$ . Recently there interest to study the bi-univalent functions class  $\Sigma$  (see [4, 5, 13, 14]) and obtain non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$ . The coefficient estimate problem i.e. bound of  $|a_n|$   $(n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1, 2\})$  for each  $f \in \Sigma$  given by [1] is still an open problem.

Recently, Frasin [6] introduced two subclasses of class  $\Sigma$  and obtained estimates on the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in these subclasses as follow.

**Definition 1.1** ([6]). A function f(z) given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma \ and \ |arg(f'(z) + \beta z f''(z))| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \ (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

$$|arg(g'(w) + \beta wg''(w))| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \ (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $2(1 - \alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\beta m+1} \leq 1$ , and the function g is the extension of  $f^{-1}$  to  $\mathbb{U}$ , which

(1.2)  $g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$ 

**Theorem 1.2** ([6]). Let f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\beta > 0, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \ 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\beta m+1} \leq 1.$  Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha+2) + 4\beta(\alpha+\beta+2-\alpha\beta)}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{3(1+2\beta)}$$

**Definition 1.3** ([6]). A function f(z) given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \beta)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f\in \Sigma \ and \ \Re \mathfrak{e}(f'(z)+\beta z f''(z))>\gamma \ (z\in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{Re}(g'(w) + \beta w g''(w)) > \gamma \ (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where,  $\beta > 0, \ 0 \le \gamma < 1, \ 2(1-\gamma) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\beta m+1} \le 1$ , and g is given by (1.2).

**Theorem 1.4** ([6]). Let f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\gamma,\beta)$  where  $\beta > 0, \ 0 \le \gamma < 1, \ 2(1-\gamma) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{\beta m+1} \le 1.$  Then

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)}.$$

The purpose of our study is to obtain estimates of coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in subclasses  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  which improve Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4.

#### 2. Coefficient Estimates

In this section, we introduce and investigate the general subclass  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  where  $\beta \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $h, p : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic functions and

$$\min\{\Re e(h(z)), \Re e(p(z))\} > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \ and \ h(0) = p(0) = 1.$$

A univalent function  $f \in S$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

(2.1) 
$$f \in \Sigma \text{ and } f'(z) + \beta z f''(z) \in h(\mathbb{U}) \ (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

(2.2) 
$$g'(w) + \beta w g''(w) \in p(\mathbb{U}) \ (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where,  $\beta \ge 0$  and the function g is given by (1.2).

**Remark 2.2.** There are many choices of h, p and  $\beta$  which would provide interesting subclasses of class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$ . For example,

(1) For  $\beta > 0$  and  $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$  where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , it can be directly verified that the functions h(z) and p(z) satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1. Now if  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  then

$$|\arg(f'(z) + \beta z f''(z))| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \text{ and } |\arg(g'(w) + \beta w g''(w))| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$$

Therefore in this case, the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  reduces to class  $H_{\Sigma}(\alpha,\beta)$  in Definition 1.1.

(2) For  $\beta > 0$  and  $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z}$ , where  $0 \le \gamma < 1$ , the functions h(z) and p(z) satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1. Now if  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$ , then

$$\mathfrak{Re}(f'(z) + \beta z f''(z)) > \gamma \text{ and } \mathfrak{Re}(g'(w) + \beta w g''(w)) > \gamma.$$

This means that the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  reduces to class  $H_{\Sigma}(\gamma,\beta)$  in Definition 1.3.

- (3) For  $\beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$  where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(0)$  reduces to the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}$  which is defined by Srivastava et al. [10, Definition 1].
- (4) For  $\beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 2\gamma)z}{1 z}$ , where  $0 \le \gamma < 1$ , the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(0)$  reduces to the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\gamma)$  which is defined by Srivastava et al. [10, Definition 2].

Now, we derive the estimates of the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $f \in H^{h,p}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$  where  $\beta \ge 0$ , then

(2.3) 
$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{8(1+\beta)^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{12(1+2\beta)}}\right\},\$$

and

(2.4) 
$$|a_3| \le \min\left\{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{8(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{12(1+2\beta)}, \frac{|h''(0)|}{6(1+2\beta)}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in H^{h,p}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ , from relations (2.1) and (2.2) we have,

(2.5) 
$$f'(z) + \beta z f''(z) = h(z) \ (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

(2.6) 
$$g'(w) + \beta w g''(w) = p(w) \ (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

respectively, where functions h and p satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1. Also, functions h and p have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions:

(2.7) 
$$h(z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \cdots$$

and

(2.8) 
$$p(w) = 1 + p_1 w + p_2 w^2 + p_3 w^2 + \cdots$$

Now, by substituting (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.5) and (2.6), respectively, and equating the coefficients, we get

(2.9) 
$$2(1+\beta)a_2 = h_1,$$

$$(2.10) 3(1+2\beta)a_3 = h_2$$

(2.11) 
$$-2(1+\beta)a_2 = p_1,$$

and

(2.12) 
$$6(1+2\beta)a_2^2 - 3(1+2\beta)a_3 = p_2.$$

From (2.9) and (2.11), it yields

(2.13) 
$$h_1 = -p_1,$$

and

(2.14) 
$$8(1+\beta)^2 a_2^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2.$$

Adding (2.10) and (2.12), gives

(2.15) 
$$6(1+2\beta)a_2^2 = p_2 + h_2.$$

Consequently, from (2.14) and (2.15), we have that

(2.16) 
$$a_2^2 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{8(1+\beta)^2},$$

and

(2.17) 
$$a_2^2 = \frac{p_2 + h_2}{6(1 + 2\beta)}$$

respectively. Therefore, we find from the equations (2.16) and (2.17), that

$$|a_2|^2 \le \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{8(1+\beta)^2},$$

and

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{12(1+2\beta)}.$$

Thus, the desired estimate on the coefficient  $|a_2|$  as asserted in (2.3).

Next, in order to find the bound of the coefficient  $|a_3|$ , by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we get

(2.18) 
$$6(1+2\beta)a_3 - 6(1+2\beta)a_2^2 = h_2 - p_2.$$

Upon substituting the value of  $a_2^2$  from (2.16) into (2.18), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{8(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{h_2 - p_2}{6(1+2\beta)},$$

Therefore, we obtain

(2.19) 
$$|a_3| \le \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{8(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{12(1+2\beta)}.$$

On the other hand, by substituting the value of  $a_2^2$  from (2.17) into (2.18), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{p_2 + h_2}{6(1 + 2\beta)} + \frac{h_2 - p_2}{6(1 + 2\beta)} = \frac{h_2}{3(1 + 2\beta)}.$$

Hence

$$(2.20) |a_3| \le \frac{|h''(0)|}{6(1+2\beta)}$$

The desired estimate of the coefficient  $|a_3|$  as asserted in (2.4) will be obtained from (2.19) and (2.20).

By choosing

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \ (0 < \alpha \le 1, \ z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

in Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  where  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1+2\beta)}}\right\},\$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2\alpha^2}{3(1+2\beta)}.$$

**Remark 2.5.** Corollary 2.4 is an improvement of estimates obtained by Frasin [6] in Theorem 1.2. To see this, for the coefficient  $|a_2|$ , we have that

(i) If 
$$\beta \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$
, then  $\min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1+2\beta)}}\right\} = \frac{\alpha}{1+\beta}$ , and  
 $\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha+2) + 4\beta(\alpha+\beta+2-\alpha\beta)}}$ .  
(ii) If  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ , then  $\min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1+2\beta)}}\right\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1+2\beta)}}$ , and  
 $\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1+2\beta)}} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha+2) + 4\beta(\alpha+\beta+2-\alpha\beta)}}$ .

Also for the coefficient  $|a_3|$ , it can be concluded that

$$\frac{2\alpha^2}{3(1+2\beta)} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{3(1+2\beta)}$$

Therefore the bounds obtained in Corollary 2.4 is a refinement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.2.

If we take  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 2.3, then we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(1)$ . Then

(2.21) 
$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{32}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{36}}\right\},\$$

and

$$(2.22) |a_3| \le \min\left\{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{32} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{36}, \frac{|h''(0)|}{18}\right\}.$$

By taking

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \ (0 < \alpha \le 1, \ z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

in Corollary 2.6, the following result will be concluded.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(1)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{3}\right\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{3}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2\alpha^2}{9}$$

By setting  $\beta = 0$  in Corollary 2.4, we obtain the following consequence which is an improvement of the estimates obtained by Srivastava et al. in [10, Theorem 1].

**Corollary 2.8.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2\alpha^2}{3}.$$

By letting

$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \ (0 \le \gamma < 1, \ z \in \mathbb{U})$$

in Theorem 2.3, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\beta)$  where  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then,

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\beta}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)}}\right\},\,$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)}.$$

**Remark 2.10.** Corollary 2.9 is an improvement of the estimates obtained by Frasin [6] in Theorem 1.4. To see this,

for the coefficient  $|a_2|$ , if  $\beta > \frac{3\delta - 2 + \sqrt{3\delta(3\delta - 2)}}{2}$  and  $\delta > \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $\min\left\{\frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \beta}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{3(1 + 2\beta)}}\right\} < \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{3(1 + 2\beta)}}.$ 

Also for the coefficient  $|a_3|$ , we have

$$\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)} < \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2\beta)}.$$

Therefore the bounds obtained in Corollary 2.9 is a refinement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.4.

If we take  $\beta = 1$  and

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \ (0 < \alpha \le 1, \ z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

in Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.11.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(1)$ . Then,

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\gamma)}}{3}\right\},\$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{9}.$$

By setting  $\beta = 0$  in Corollary 2.9, we obtain the following result which is an improvement of the estimates obtained by Srivastava et al. [10, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 2.12.** Let the function f be given by (1.1) in the class  $H_{\Sigma}(\gamma)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{1-\gamma, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3}}
ight\},$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3}.$$

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments.

#### References

- R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Subramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), no. 3, 344–351.
- [2] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie (Eds.), Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Academic Press, New York-London, 1980.
- [3] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1983.
- [4] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, Panamer. Math. J. 22 (2012), no. 4, 15–26.
- [5] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), no. 9, 1569–1573.
- [6] B. A. Frasin, Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 43 (2014), no. 3, 383–389.
- [7] C. Y. Gao and S. Q. Zhou, Certain subclass of starlike functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 187 (2007), no. 1, 176–182.
- [8] A. W. Kedzierawski, Some remarks on bi-univalent functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A. 39 (1985) 77–81.
- [9] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967) 63–68.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [11] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in |z| < 1, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **32** (1969) 100–112.
- [12] D. L. Tan, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser.* A. 5 (1984), no. 5, 559–568.
- [13] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), no. 6, 990–994.
- [14] Q. H. Xu, H. G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012), no. 23, 11461–11465.
- [15] D. G. Yang and J. L. Liu, A class of analytic functions with missing coefficients, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2011, Article ID 456729, 16 pages.

(Ahmad Zireh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. Box 316-36155, SHAHROOD, IRAN.

*E-mail address*: azireh@shahroodut.ac.ir; azireh@gmail.com

(Ebrahim Analouei Audegani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOBARAKEH BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, MOBARAKEH, P.O. BOX 84819-97817, ISFAHAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: e\_analoei@ymail.com