

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the
Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 42 (2016), No. 4, pp. 1015–1024

Title:

**On certain semigroups of transformations
that preserve double direction equivalence**

Author(s):

L. Deng

ON CERTAIN SEMIGROUPS OF TRANSFORMATIONS THAT PRESERVE DOUBLE DIRECTION EQUIVALENCE

L. DENG

(Communicated by Mohammad Reza Darafsheh)

ABSTRACT. Let T_X be the full transformation semigroups on the set X . For an equivalence E on X , let $T_{E^*}(X) = \{\alpha \in T_X : \forall(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}$. It is known that $T_{E^*}(X)$ is a subsemigroup of T_X . In this paper, we discuss the Green's $*$ -relations, certain $*$ -ideal and certain Rees quotient semigroup for $T_{E^*}(X)$.

Keywords: Transformation semigroups, Equivalence, Green's $*$ -relations, $*$ -Ideal, Rees quotient semigroup.

MSC(2010): Primary: 20M20; Secondary: 54H15.

1. Introduction

The relations \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* on a semigroup S are generalization of the familiar Green's relations \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} . Two elements a and b in S are said to be \mathcal{L}^* -related if and only if they are \mathcal{L} -related in some oversemigroup of S . The relation \mathcal{R}^* can be defined dually. The join of the equivalence relations \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* is denoted by \mathcal{D}^* and their intersection is denoted by \mathcal{H}^* . A semigroup S is called abundant if any \mathcal{L}^* -class and any \mathcal{R}^* -class contains an idempotent of S . It is known that a regular semigroup is abundant but the converse is not true. For example, Umar [6] showed that the semigroup of order-decreasing finite full transformations is abundant but not regular.

The \mathcal{L}^* -class containing the element a of the semigroup S will be denoted by L_a^* . The corresponding notation will be used for the classes of the other relations. A left (right) $*$ -ideal of a semigroup S is defined to be a left(right) ideal I of S such that $L_a^* \subseteq I$ ($R_a^* \subseteq I$) for all $a \in I$. A subset I of S is a $*$ -ideal of S if it is both a left $*$ -ideal and a right $*$ -ideal. A principal $*$ -ideal $J^*(a)$ generated by the element a of S is the intersection of all $*$ -ideals of S to

Article electronically published on August 20, 2016.
Received: 22 February 2014, Accepted: 25 May 2015.
*Corresponding author.

which a belongs. The relation \mathcal{J}^* is defined by the rule that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{J}^*$ if and only if $J^*(a) = J^*(b)$.

In the theory of abundant semigroups, the relations \mathcal{L}^* , \mathcal{R}^* , \mathcal{H}^* , and \mathcal{D}^* together with the relation \mathcal{J}^* play a role which is to some extent analogous to that of Green's relations in the theory of regular semigroups.

Let T_X be the full transformation semigroups on a set X and E be an equivalence on X . Denote

$$T_{E^*}(X) = \{\alpha \in T_X : \forall(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Then $T_{E^*}(X)$ is a subsemigroup of $T_E(X)$, it's Green's relations and regularity are investigated in [1].

2. Preliminaries

We denote composition of two mappings by juxtaposition and adopt a right mapping convention: $\alpha\beta$ denotes the mapping obtained by performing first α and then β .

Denote by X/E the quotient set. The symbol $\pi(\alpha)$ denotes the decomposition of X induced by the map α , namely

$$\pi(\alpha) = \{x\alpha^{-1} : x \in X\alpha\}.$$

Then $\pi(\alpha) = X/\ker(\alpha)$, where $\ker(\alpha) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x\alpha = y\alpha\}$.

Denote by I the identical equivalence on X , i.e.:

$$I = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_X$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$.
- (2) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.
- (3) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$.
- (4) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$.

Lemma 2.2. [2] *Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}^*$.
- (2) For all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ if and only if $bx = by$.

Dually, we have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}^*$.
- (2) For all $x, y \in S^1$, $xa = ya$ if and only if $xb = yb$.

3. Green's *-relations

In this section, we focus our attention on Green's *-relations for the semigroup $T_{E^*}(X)$, beginning with \mathcal{L}^* .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$.*

Proof. If $X\alpha = X\beta$, then by Lemma 2.1, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ in T_X . Hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, by Lemma 2.2, for all $\delta, \gamma \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $\alpha\delta = \alpha\gamma$ if and only if $\beta\delta = \beta\gamma$. If $X\alpha \neq X\beta$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $X\beta \setminus X\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $a \in X\beta \setminus X\alpha$ and $b\beta = a$ for some $b \in X$. There are two cases to consider: (Denote by 1_X the identity mapping on X)

Case 1. $a \in A \in X/E$ and $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Define $\delta : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$a\delta = c, \quad x\delta = x(x \neq a), \quad \text{where } c \in A \text{ and } c \neq a.$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta \in T_{E^*}(X)$ and $\alpha\delta = \alpha \cdot 1_X$. However, $b\beta\delta = a\delta = c \neq a = b\beta = b(\beta \cdot 1_X)$. This contradicts with $\beta\delta = \beta \cdot 1_X$.

Case 2. $a \in A \in X/E$ and $A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset$.

Define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$\text{for } x \in A, \quad x\gamma = a; \quad \text{otherwise, } x\gamma = x\alpha.$$

It is easy to verify that $\gamma \in T_{E^*}(X)$ and $\alpha^2 = \alpha\gamma$. However, $b\beta\alpha = a\alpha \neq a = a\gamma = b\beta\gamma$. This contradicts with $\beta\alpha = \beta\gamma$.

Consequently, we have $X\alpha = X\beta$. □

Next we consider the relation \mathcal{R}^* .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.*

Proof. If $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$ in T_X . Hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, by Lemma 2.3, for all $\delta, \gamma \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $\delta\alpha = \gamma\alpha$ if and only if $\delta\beta = \gamma\beta$. Define $\delta : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\delta = a, \quad \text{where } x \in y\alpha^{-1}, \quad y \in X\alpha, \quad a \text{ is a fixed element and } a \in y\alpha^{-1}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $\pi(\delta) = \pi(\alpha)$ and $\delta\alpha = 1_X \cdot \alpha$. Then $\delta\beta = 1_X \cdot \beta = \beta$ which implies that $\pi(\delta)$ refines $\pi(\beta)$. That is to say, $\pi(\alpha)$ refines $\pi(\beta)$. Dually, $\pi(\beta)$ refines $\pi(\alpha)$. Consequently, we have $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$. □

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorems we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.*

Now we consider Green's $*$ -relation \mathcal{D}^* . Let Y, Z be two subsets of X and φ be a mapping from Y into Z . φ is said to be E -preserving if for any $x, y \in Y, (x, y) \in E$ implies $(x\varphi, y\varphi) \in E$. φ is said to be E^* -preserving if for any $x, y \in Y, (x, y) \in E$ if and only if $(x\varphi, y\varphi) \in E$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ if and only if there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$.*

Proof. We define the relation \mathcal{K} on $T_{E^*}(X)$ by the rule:

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{K} \text{ if and only if there exists an } E^*\text{-preserving bijection:}$$

$$\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta.$$

Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, then $X\alpha = X\beta$. Clearly $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{K}$ and so $\mathcal{L}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Next, we suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, then $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$. Clearly $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$. Define $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ by:

$$x\rho = x\alpha^{-1}\beta.$$

It is easy to verify that $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ is an E^* -preserving bijection. Further, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{K}$ and so $\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{K}$, then there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. Define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\gamma = a\rho, \text{ where } x \in a\alpha^{-1} \text{ and } a \in X\alpha.$$

It is easy to verify that $\gamma \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $\pi(\gamma) = \pi(\alpha)$ and $X\gamma = X\beta$. So that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Thus $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and so $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$.

Consequently, we have $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{K}$. □

The discussion of the last relation \mathcal{J}^* is more complicated than the others. We first observe a necessary condition for two elements of $T_{E^*}(X)$ to be \mathcal{J}^* -related.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$ then $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $J^*(\alpha) = J^*(\beta)$. Let

$$I(X, \beta) = \{\gamma \in T_{E^*}(X) : |X\gamma| \leq |X\beta|\}.$$

It is easy to verify that $I(X, \beta)$ is a $*$ -ideal of $T_{E^*}(X)$ to which β belongs. Since $\alpha \in J^*(\alpha) = J^*(\beta) \subseteq I(X, \beta)$, then $|X\alpha| \leq |X\beta|$. Dually, we obtain the same result for β . Hence $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$. □

Next we characterize Green's $*$ -relation \mathcal{D}^* and \mathcal{J}^* when X is a finite set.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a finite set, then on the semigroup $T_{E^*}(X)$, $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $J^*(\alpha) = J^*(\beta)$. Let

$$I(X, \beta) = \{\gamma \in T_{E^*}(X) : |X\gamma| < |X\beta|\} \\ \cup \{\gamma \in T_{E^*}(X) : \text{there exists an } E^*\text{-preserving bijection } \rho : X\gamma \rightarrow X\beta\}.$$

It is easy to verify that $I(X, \beta)$ is a $*$ -ideal of $T_{E^*}(X)$ to which β belongs. Since $\alpha \in J^*(\alpha) = J^*(\beta) \subseteq I(X, \beta)$, then $|X\alpha| < |X\beta|$, or there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$.

Dually, we obtain the same results for β . Hence there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$. By Theorem 3.4, so that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$. Further, $\mathcal{J}^* \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$. Consequently, we have $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$. \square

4. Abundant semigroups

In this section we investigate some conditions under which the monoid $T_{E^*}(X)$ is abundant.

Theorem 4.1. *For each $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, there exists an idempotent $e \in T_{E^*}(X)$ such that $\pi(e) = \pi(\alpha)$. Consequently, each \mathcal{R}^* -class of $T_{E^*}(X)$ contains an idempotent.*

Proof. Define $e : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$xe = a \in x\alpha\alpha^{-1}, \text{ where } a \text{ is a fixed element.}$$

It's easy to verify that $e \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $e^2 = e$ and $\pi(e) = \pi(\alpha)$. By Theorem 3.2, we have $(e, \alpha) \in \mathcal{R}^*$. \square

However, the conclusion is not true for \mathcal{L}^* -classes. In other words, there may be no idempotent in \mathcal{L}^* -classes.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $E = I$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that there is not idempotent $e \in T_{E^*}(X)$ such that $Xe = X\alpha$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, L_α^* contains an idempotent if and only if $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in X/E$.*

Proof. If $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in X/E$, then we define $e : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$xe = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in X\alpha \\ a & \text{if } x \in A \setminus X\alpha. \end{cases} \quad \text{where } a \in A \cap X\alpha.$$

It is easy to verify that $e \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $e^2 = e$ and $Xe = X\alpha$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $(e, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $(e, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ and $e^2 = e$, then for all $A \in X/E$, $Ae \subseteq A$. Hence $A \cap Xe \neq \emptyset$. So by Theorem 3.1, $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 4.4. $T_{E^*}(X)$ is abundant if and only if $|X/E|$ is finite.

Proof. If $|X/E|$ is infinite, without loss of generality, we may assume that $X/E = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\}$. Define $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$\text{for } x \in A_i, x\alpha = a_{i+1}, \text{ where } a_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is clear that $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$ and $A_1 \cap X\alpha = \emptyset$. So by Theorem 4.3, there is no idempotent in L_α^* . Hence $T_{E^*}(X)$ is not abundant which contradicts with $T_{E^*}(X)$ is abundant. Consequently, $|X/E|$ is finite.

Conversely, suppose that $|X/E|$ is finite. It is clear that $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for any $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $A \in X/E$. So by Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 4.3), any \mathcal{L}^* -class (\mathcal{R}^* -class) contain an idempotent. Thus $T_{E^*}(X)$ is abundant. \square

The following lemma has been proved in [1].

Lemma 4.5. $T_{E^*}(X)$ is regular if and only if $|X/E|$ is finite.

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorems we the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. $T_{E^*}(X)$ is abundant if and only if $T_{E^*}(X)$ is regular.

5. *-Ideal

Throughout this section X/E is an infinite set. Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, we put

$$Z(\alpha) = \{A \in X/E : A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset\}.$$

For a given nonnegative integer ξ , let

$$K^*(X, \xi) = \{\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X) : \xi \leq |Z(\alpha)| < +\infty\}.$$

One can easily prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. (1) If $\xi = 0$, then $K^*(X, \xi)$ is a *-ideal of $T_{E^*}(X)$.
(2) If $\xi > 0$, then $K^*(X, \xi)$ is a left *-ideal of $T_{E^*}(X)$.

However, if $\xi > 0$, $K^*(X, \xi)$ is not a right *-ideal of $T_{E^*}(X)$. In other words, there may exist $\alpha \in K^*(X, \xi)$ such that $R_\alpha^* \not\subseteq K^*(X, \xi)$.

Example 5.2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $E = I$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that $\alpha \in K^*(X, 1)$ and $(1_X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{R}^*$. But $1_X \notin K^*(X, 1)$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$, then $|Z(\alpha\beta)| = |Z(\alpha)| + |Z(\beta)|$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$Z(\alpha) = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \text{ and } Z(\beta) = \{B_1, \dots, B_l\}.$$

By $\beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$, so that for $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists $C_i \in X/E$ such that $A_i\beta \subseteq C_i$. Thus

$$Z(\alpha\beta) = \{C_1, \dots, C_k, B_1, \dots, B_l\}.$$

Consequently, we have $|Z(\alpha\beta)| = |Z(\alpha)| + |Z(\beta)|$. □

By Lemma 5.3, we can obtain following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *If $\xi > 0$, then all the Green's relations are trivial in $K^*(X, \xi)$.*

Next, we study the Green's $*$ -relations for $K^*(X, \xi)(\xi > 0)$. Denote by E_α the restriction of the equivalence E on $X\alpha$.

$$E_\alpha = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \in X\alpha\}.$$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\xi > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K^*(X, \xi)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$.*

Proof. If $X\alpha = X\beta$, then by Lemma 2.1, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ in T_X . Hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, by Lemma 2.2, for all $\delta, \gamma \in K^*(X, \xi)$, $\alpha\delta = \alpha\gamma$ if and only if $\beta\delta = \beta\gamma$. If $X\alpha \neq X\beta$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $X\beta \setminus X\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $a \in X\beta \setminus X\alpha$ and $b\beta = a$ for some $b \in X$. There are two cases to consider:

Case 1. $a \in A \in X/E$ and $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $c \in A \cap X\alpha$. By $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, $|X/E| = |X\alpha/E_\alpha|$. Further, there exists an E^* -preserving mapping:

$$\rho : X \setminus A \rightarrow X\alpha \setminus A.$$

Define $\delta : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\delta = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\rho & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x = a \\ x & \text{if } x \in A \setminus \{a\} \\ x\rho & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta, \gamma \in K^*(X, \xi)$ and $\alpha\delta = \alpha\gamma$. However,

$$b\beta\delta = a\delta = a \neq c = a\gamma = b\beta\gamma.$$

This contradicts with $\beta\delta = \beta\gamma$.

Case 2. $a \in A \in X/E$ and $A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset$.

The proof is identical to that of Case 2 of Theorem 3.1.

Consequently, we have $X\alpha = X\beta$. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\xi > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K^*(X, \xi)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.*

Proof. If $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$ in T_X . Hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$, by Lemma 2.3, for all $\delta, \gamma \in K^*(X, \xi)$, $\delta\alpha = \gamma\alpha$ if and only if $\delta\beta = \gamma\beta$. If $\pi(\alpha) \neq \pi(\beta)$, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist $x_1, x_2 \in A \in X/E$ such that

$$x_1 \neq x_2, x_1\alpha\alpha^{-1} = x_2\alpha\alpha^{-1} \text{ and } x_1\beta\beta^{-1} \neq x_2\beta\beta^{-1}.$$

There are two cases to consider:

Case 1. $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Since $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, then $|X/E| = |X\alpha/E_\alpha|$. Further, there exists an E^* -preserving mapping:

$$\rho : X \setminus A \rightarrow X\alpha \setminus A.$$

Define $\delta : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\delta = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\rho & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} x_2 & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\rho & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta, \gamma \in K^*(X, \xi)$ and $\delta\alpha = \gamma\alpha$. However,

$$A\delta\beta = x_1\beta \neq x_2\beta = A\gamma\beta.$$

This contradicts with $\delta\beta = \gamma\beta$.

Case 2. $A \cap X\alpha = \emptyset$.

Define $\delta : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\delta = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\alpha & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} x_2 & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\alpha & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\delta, \gamma \in K^*(X, \xi)$ and $\delta\alpha = \gamma\alpha$. However,

$$A\delta\beta = x_1\beta \neq x_2\beta = A\gamma\beta.$$

This contradicts with $\delta\beta = \gamma\beta$.

Consequently, we have $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$. □

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorems we have the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\xi > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K^*(X, \xi)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.*

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\xi > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K^*(X, \xi)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ if and only if there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Theorem 3.4. □

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\xi > 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in K^*(X, \xi)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$. Then $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Theorem 3.5. □

6. Rees quotient semigroup

Throughout this section X/E is an infinite set. For a given nonnegative integer ξ , let

$$P^*(X, \xi) = K^*(X, \xi)/K^*(X, \xi + 1)$$

be the Rees quotient semigroup whose non-zero element α may be thought of as the element of $T_{E^*}(X)$ with $|Z(\alpha)| = \xi$. The product of two elements α, β of $P^*(X, \xi)$, is 0 whenever their product in $T_{E^*}(X)$ is of $|Z(\alpha\beta)| \geq \xi + 1$.

We begin our investigation on the properties of $P^*(X, \xi)$ by first characterizing the Green's relations.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in P^*(X, 0)$. Then*

- (1) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$.
- (2) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.
- (3) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.
- (4) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if there exists $\delta \in T_{E^*}(X)$ such that $\delta|_{X\alpha} : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$ is a bijection.

(5) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$ and there exist $\rho, \tau \in T_{E^*}(X)$, for any $A \in X/E$, we have $A\alpha = B\beta\rho$ and $A\beta = C\alpha\tau$ for some $B, C \in X/E$.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of $T_{E^*}(X)$ in [1]. □

By Lemma 5.3, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\xi > 0$, then all the Green's relations in $P^*(X, \xi)$ are trivial.*

Next, we study the Green's $*$ -relations for $P^*(X, \xi)$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in P^*(X, \xi)$. Then the following statements are hold.*

- (1) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$.
- (2) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.
- (3) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ if and only if $X\alpha = X\beta$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$.
- (4) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ if and only if there exists an E^* -preserving bijection $\rho : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$.
- (5) If $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}^*$, then $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$.

Proof. If $\xi = 0$, the proof is similar to that of $T_{E^*}(X)$. If $\xi > 0$, the proof is similar to that of $K^*(X, \xi)$. \square

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants Nos.61562012, 11461014.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Z. Deng, J. W. Zeng and B. Xu, Green's relations and regularity for semigroups of transformations that preserve double direction equivalence, *Semigroup Forum* **80** (2010), no. 3, 416–425.
- [2] J. B. Fountain, Abundant semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **44** (1982), no. 1, 103–129.
- [3] J. A. Green, On the structure of semigroups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **54** (1951) 136–172.
- [4] H. S. Pei and H. J. Zhou, Abundant semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation, *Algebra Colloq.* **18** (2011), no. 1, 77–82.
- [5] A. Umar, On certain infinite semigroups of order-decreasing transformations, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997), no. 9, 2987–2999.
- [6] A. Umar, On the semigroups of order-decreasing finite full transformations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **120** (1992), no. 1-2, 129–142.

(Lunzhi Deng) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, GUIZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUIYANG 550001, CHINA.

E-mail address: denglunzhi@163.com