

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

**Bulletin of the**  
**Iranian Mathematical Society**

Vol. 42 (2016), No. 5, pp. 1097–1106

**Title:**

**Which elements of a finite group are non-vanishing?**

**Author(s):**

**M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri**

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society  
<http://bims.ims.ir>

## WHICH ELEMENTS OF A FINITE GROUP ARE NON-VANISHING?

M. AREZOOMAND AND B. TAERI\*

(Communicated by Jamshid Moori)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. An element  $g \in G$  is called non-vanishing, if for every irreducible complex character  $\chi$  of  $G$ ,  $\chi(g) \neq 0$ . The bi-Cayley graph  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$  of  $G$  with respect to a subset  $T \subseteq G$ , is an undirected graph with vertex set  $G \times \{1, 2\}$  and edge set  $\{(x, 1), (tx, 2) \mid x \in G, t \in T\}$ . Let  $\text{nv}(G)$  be the set of all non-vanishing elements of a finite group  $G$ . We show that  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if the adjacency matrix of  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$ , where  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  is the conjugacy class of  $g$ , is non-singular. We prove that if the commutator subgroup of  $G$  has prime order  $p$ , then

- (1)  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $|\text{Cl}(g)| < p$ ,
- (2) if  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ , then  $\text{nv}(G) = Z(G)$ .

Also we show that

- (a) if  $\text{Cl}(g) = \{g, h\}$ , then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $gh^{-1}$  has odd order,
- (b) if  $|\text{Cl}(g)| \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $(o(g), 6) = 1$ , then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ .

**Keywords:** Non-vanishing element, character, conjugacy class, Bi-Cayley graph.

**MSC(2010):** Primary: 20C15; Secondary: 05C25, 05C50.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\text{Irr}(G)$  be the full set of complex irreducible characters of  $G$ . A classical theorem of W. Burnside states that every non-linear  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  vanishes on some element of  $G$ . This is equivalent to say that in the character table of  $G$ , the rows which do not contain the value 0 are precisely those corresponding to linear characters.

The dual question: Which columns of a character table can fail to contain zero? posed by M. Issacs, G. Navarro and T. Wolf [7] in 1999. To investigate the question they introduced the concept of non-vanishing element of a finite group  $G$ : an element  $x \in G$  is called non-vanishing if  $\chi(x) \neq 0$  for every

---

Article electronically published on October 31, 2016.

Received: 4 January 2014, Accepted: 2 July 2015.

\*Corresponding author.

$\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Violating the standard duality between characters and conjugacy classes, it is in general not true that the columns not containing the value 0 are precisely those corresponding to conjugacy classes of central elements, as there are finite groups having non-central non-vanishing elements. In fact, a non-vanishing element of  $G$  can even fail to lie in an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  (see Theorem 5.1 in [7]).

In [7] it is proved that non-vanishing odd order elements of a solvable group  $G$  all lie in a nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G$ , i.e. they lie in the Fitting subgroup  $F(G)$ . Some authors recently found other sufficient conditions for a non-vanishing element to lie in  $F(G)$ , see [3, 5, 6]. In fact a non-vanishing element  $x \in G$  lies in  $F(G)$ , when (1) the order of  $x$  is coprime to 6 [3] (2)  $G$  is a nilpotent-by-supersolvable group [5] (3)  $G$  is solvable of order divisible by neither a Fermat nor a Mersenne prime [6].

Issacs et.al. [7] proved that in a nilpotent group every non-vanishing element is central. Also they showed that in a group  $G$  with a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ , every element of  $Z(P)$ , the center of  $P$ , is non-vanishing. These results encourage some authors to find some groups with non-trivial non-vanishing elements. If  $G$  possesses a non-trivial elementary abelian normal  $p$ -subgroup  $A$  and  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then all elements of  $Z(P) \cap A$  are non-vanishing in  $G$  [14]. Every irreducible character of  $G$  vanishes only on involutions if and only if  $G = E \times F$ , where  $E$  is an elementary abelian 2-group and  $F$  is a Frobenius group with Frobenius complement of order two [2].

Our motivation differs from all previous works. Certainly, every central element of a group  $G$  is non-vanishing because if  $x \in Z(G)$ , then  $|\chi(x)| = \chi(1) > 0$  for all characters  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , by [8, Corollary 2.28]. So it is a natural question that when a non-central element is non-vanishing. In this paper, we focus on the size of conjugacy class of non-central elements. We use the concept of bi-Cayley graph of a finite group to establish a relation between non-vanishing elements of a finite group  $G$  and the eigenvalues of a suitable bi-Cayley graph of  $G$  (by an eigenvalue (eigenvector) of a graph we mean eigenvalue (eigenvector) of the corresponding adjacency matrix).

Let  $S$  be a subset of a group  $G$  not containing the identity element of  $G$ . Recall that the Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  of  $G$  with respect to  $S$  is the graph with vertex set  $G$ , where  $(x, y)$  is a directed edge if and only if  $yx^{-1} \in S$ . Clearly  $\text{Cay}(G, S)$  is undirected if and only if  $S = S^{-1}$ , where  $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$ .

Now we define a family of undirected bipartite graphs, the bi-Cayley graphs. For a finite group  $G$  and a non-empty subset  $S \subseteq G$ , the *bi-Cayley graph*  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  of  $G$  with respect to  $S$  is the graph with vertex set  $G \times \{1, 2\}$  and edge set  $\{(x, 1), (sx, 2) \mid x \in G, s \in S\}$ . Then  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  is a well-defined bipartite  $|S|$ -regular with bipartition subsets  $G \times \{1\}$  and  $G \times \{2\}$ . By [11, p. 1259],  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle SS^{-1} \rangle$ . Furthermore, if  $1 \in S$  then  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle S \rangle$ . Also note that if

$S = S^{-1}$  then  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  is isomorphic to the tensor product  $\text{Cay}(G, S) \otimes K_2$ . Note that the connectivity of  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  is not equivalent to the connectivity of  $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ .

In Section 2, we compute the spectrum of  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$ , where  $T$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$  containing an element  $x$ . Recall that a graph with non-singular adjacency matrix is called *non-singular*. Note that a graph  $\Gamma$  is non-singular if and only if 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ . We prove that  $x$  is non-vanishing if and only if  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$  is non-singular.

We denote the set of all non-vanishing elements of a finite group  $G$  by  $\text{nv}(G)$ . When  $Tx^{-1}$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $\langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ , or in particular when  $\langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  is abelian, we prove that  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(tx^{-1}) \neq 0$ , for all  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\langle TT^{-1} \rangle)$ . In most cases we assume that  $\langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  is abelian and characterize non-vanishing elements. We prove that in a finite nilpotent group  $G$  with an abelian commutator  $p$ -subgroup  $G'$ ,  $|G| \equiv |\text{nv}(G)| \pmod{p}$ , see Corollary 2.11. Also we show that in a finite group  $G$  with  $|G'| = p$ ,  $p$  a prime,  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if every non-central element has exactly  $p$  conjugates in  $G$ , see Theorem 2.12.

In Section 3, we focus on the elements with 2 or 3 conjugates. Using the spectrum of  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$ , where  $T = \{g, h\}$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ , we prove that  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  (and so  $h \in \text{nv}(G)$ ) if and only if  $gh^{-1}$  is of odd order, see Corollary 3.1. As a result, we prove that every element  $x$  with conjugacy class size 2 or 3 and  $(o(x), 6) = 1$  is a non-vanishing element, see Corollary 3.5. Also we show that in a finite solvable group  $G$  with derived length 2, if  $(|G'|, 3) = 1$  then every element with 3 conjugates is non-vanishing, see Corollary 3.4.

## 2. Main results

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Cayley graphs with respect to a union of conjugacy classes were determined by Itô:

**Theorem 2.1.** (See [9, pp. 1-3]) *Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, T)$  be a Cayley graph with respect to  $T$ . If  $T$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , then every eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  is of the form  $\lambda_\chi := \sum_{t \in T} \chi(t) / \chi(1)$ , for some  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and the eigenspace of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\chi$  is generated by the eigenvectors*

$$v_{\chi,i} := (\chi(g_i g_1^{-1}), \chi(g_i g_2^{-1}), \dots, \chi(g_i g_n^{-1})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Our terminology and notation will be standard. For the group-theoretic and graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [8, 1]. In the following proposition, we find a relation between non-vanishing elements of a group  $G$  and a non-singular bi-Cayley graph of  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a union of conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Then  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$  is non-singular if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(t) \neq 0$ . In particular, if  $T$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$  containing  $x$ , then  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$  is non-singular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, T)$  and  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ . A fixed chosen ordering  $g_1 = 1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  of elements of  $G$  naturally determines the following induced ordering:

$$(g_1, 1), (g_2, 1), \dots, (g_n, 1), (g_1, 2), (g_2, 2), \dots, (g_n, 2)$$

of vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Hence relative to this ordering we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $B$  is the adjacency matrix of  $\text{Cay}(G, T)$  and  $C = B^\top$ , the transposed matrix of  $B$ , is the adjacency matrix of  $\text{Cay}(G, T^{-1})$ . Since  $T$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , by Theorem 2.1, the vectors

$$v_{\chi,i} = (\chi(g_i g_1^{-1}), \chi(g_i g_2^{-1}), \dots, \chi(g_i g_n^{-1})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are eigenvectors of  $B$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\chi := \sum_{t \in T} \chi(t) / \chi(1)$ , where  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Also  $v_{\chi,i}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are eigenvectors of  $C$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(t^{-1}) / \chi(1) = \overline{\lambda_\chi}$ , the complex conjugate of  $\lambda_\chi$ .

On the other hand  $\det(xI_{2n} - A) = \det(x^2 I_n - CB)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ . Hence  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $\lambda^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $CB$ . Also for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,

$$CBv_{\chi,i} = C\lambda_\chi v_{\chi,i} = \lambda_\chi C v_{\chi,i} = \lambda_\chi \overline{\lambda_\chi} v_{\chi,i} = |\lambda_\chi|^2 v_{\chi,i},$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $CB$  and  $B$  have the same number of eigenvalues, this shows that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$  if and only if  $|\lambda|^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $CB$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph, for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $-\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue, with the same multiplicity, see [1, Proposition 3.4.1]. Consequently,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$  if and only if  $|\lambda|$  and  $-|\lambda|$  are eigenvalues of  $A$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  is non-singular if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\lambda_\chi \neq 0$  if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(t) \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $T \subseteq G$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, T)$  and  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ . Then*

- (1) *for each  $x \in T$ ,  $Tx^{-1} \subseteq H$ ,  $\text{BCay}(H, Tx^{-1})$  is connected and  $\Gamma$  is non-singular if and only if  $\text{BCay}(H, Tx^{-1})$  is non-singular,*
- (2) *if  $T$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ , then  $H \leq G'$  and  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in T$ . Clearly  $Tx^{-1} \subseteq H$ . On the other hand  $\langle Tx^{-1}(Tx^{-1})^{-1} \rangle = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle = H$ . Hence, by [4],  $\text{BCay}(H, Tx^{-1})$  is a connected bi-Cayley graph. Also  $|G : H| \text{BCay}(H, Tx^{-1}) \cong \text{BCay}(G, Tx^{-1})$ , see [11, p. 1260], and  $\text{BCay}(G, Tx^{-1}) \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$ , by [13, Lemma 2.2]. Hence 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\text{BCay}(H, Tx^{-1})$ .

Now suppose that  $T$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ . For each  $t_1, t_2 \in T$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $t_1 = g^{-1}t_2g$ . Hence  $t_1t_2^{-1} = g^{-1}t_2gt_2^{-1} \in G'$  which

shows that  $H \leq G'$ . Also for each  $g \in G$ , and  $t_1, t_2 \in T$ ,  $g^{-1}t_1t_2^{-1}g = g^{-1}t_1g(g^{-1}t_2g)^{-1} \in TT^{-1}$ . Hence  $H \leq G$ .  $\square$

Now combining Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $T = \text{Cl}(x)$  be a conjugacy class of  $G$  containing  $x$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ ,
- (2)  $\text{BCay}(G, T)$  is non-singular,
- (3)  $\text{BCay}(\langle TT^{-1} \rangle, Tx^{-1})$  is non-singular.

In the following corollary, as an application of Theorem 2.4, we obtain a necessary condition for an element to be a non-vanishing element. First we recall that 0 is not an eigenvalue of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  if and only if  $m + n = 2$ , i.e.  $m = n = 1$ , see [1, 1.5.2].

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  and  $T$  be a non-central conjugacy class containing  $g$ . Then  $|\langle TT^{-1} \rangle| \neq |T|$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $|\langle TT^{-1} \rangle| = |T|$ . Let  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ . Since  $|Tg^{-1}| = |T| = |H|$ ,  $Tg^{-1} = H$ , it follows that  $\text{BCay}(H, Tg^{-1})$  is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph  $K_{|H|, |H|}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\text{BCay}(H, Tg^{-1})$  is non-singular. So  $K_{|H|, |H|}$  is non-singular which implies that  $|H| = 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  and  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ . If  $Tg^{-1}$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $H$  (or in particular if  $H$  is abelian) then*

$$g \in \text{nv}(G) \iff \text{for all } \chi \in \text{Irr}(H), \sum_{t \in T} \chi(tg^{-1}) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(H, Tg^{-1})$ . Then by Theorem 2.4,  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is non-singular. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2,  $\Gamma$  is non-singular if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ ,  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(tg^{-1}) \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to determine some non-vanishing elements of a finite group. First let us recall the main theorem of [12].

**Theorem 2.7.** *There is some vanishing sum  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n = 0$  of  $m$ -th roots of unity if and only if  $n$  is a linear combination, with non-negative integer coefficients, of the prime divisors of  $m$ .*

The following theorem is well-known, see for example [8, Theorem 4.21].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_t}$ , where  $t \geq 1$ , be a finite abelian group. Then for every  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_t) \in G$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ , and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , there exist  $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , such that  $\chi(g) = \chi_1(g_1) \dots \chi_t(g_t)$ . Furthermore, each  $\chi_i(g_i)$  is an  $n_i$ th root of unity.*

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $g$  be an element of a finite group  $G$ ,  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  and  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_t}$ , where  $n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \cdots \mid n_t$ . If  $|T|$  is not any linear combination, with non-negative integer coefficients, of prime divisors of  $n_t$ , then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* First note that since  $n_i \mid n_t$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , Theorem 2.8 implies that for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and  $t \in T$ ,  $\chi(tg^{-1})$  is an  $n_t$ th root of unity. Now suppose  $|T|$  is not any linear combination, with non-negative integer coefficients, of prime divisors of  $n_t$ . Suppose, by contrary, that  $g \in G \setminus \text{nv}(G)$ . Then Theorem 2.6 implies that  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(tg^{-1}) = 0$ , for some  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.7,  $|T|$  is a linear combination, with non-negative integer coefficients, of the prime divisors of  $n_t$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* Consider the non-abelian group  $G \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_9) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  of order 72. One can check, for example by GAP software, that there exists a conjugacy class  $T := \text{Cl}(x)$  in  $G$  of size 6 where  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ . Also  $H := \langle TT^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ . This shows that the converse of Lemma 2.9 is not true in general (Here  $H \rtimes K$  denotes the semidirect product of  $H$  by  $K$ ). Hence the natural question is that in which groups the converse of Lemma 2.9 is true?

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $g$  an element of a finite group  $G$ ,  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  and  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  be an abelian  $p$ -group. If  $(|T|, p) = 1$  then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ . In particular, in a finite nilpotent group  $G$  with abelian  $p$ -subgroup  $G'$ ,  $|G| \equiv |\text{nv}(G)| \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.9. Now let  $G$  be a finite nilpotent group with an abelian  $p$ -subgroup  $G'$ . Let  $g$  be a non-central element of  $G$ ,  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  and  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $H$  is also an abelian  $p$ -group. On the other hand by [7, Theorem B],  $\text{nv}(G) = \text{Z}(G)$ , which implies that  $g \in G \setminus \text{nv}(G)$ . Hence, by the first part,  $p \mid |T|$ . Now the class equation implies that  $|G| \equiv |\text{Z}(G)| \pmod{p}$  and so  $|G| \equiv |\text{nv}(G)| \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

In the following theorem we consider finite groups whose commutator subgroups have prime order.

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $g$  be an element of a finite group  $G$ . If  $|G'| = p$ , then*

- (1)  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $|\text{Cl}(g)| < p$  (or equivalently  $|\text{Cl}(g)| \neq p$ ),
- (2) if  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ , then  $\text{nv}(G) = \text{Z}(G)$ ,
- (3)  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{1, p\}$ , where  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{|\text{Cl}(g)| \mid g \in G\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$  be the conjugacy class containing  $g$ . Since  $|G'| = p$ ,  $|T| \leq p$ . Also, by Lemma 2.3,  $|H| = p$ . Let  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ , then by Corollary 2.5,  $|T| < p$ . Conversely, let  $|T| < p$ . Then  $p \nmid |T|$  and Corollary 2.11 implies that  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ .

(2) For every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and  $x \in Z(G)$ , by [8, Corollary 2.28],  $|\chi(x)| = \chi(1) > 0$  and so  $Z(G) \subseteq \text{nv}(G)$ . Now let  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  and  $T = \text{Cl}(g)$ . By (1),  $|T| < p$ . On the other hand  $|T|$  divides  $|G|$  and  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ . This shows that  $|T| = 1$ , which means that  $g \in Z(G)$ . So  $\text{nv}(G) \subseteq Z(G)$ . Hence  $\text{nv}(G) = Z(G)$ .

(3) It is obvious that if  $p = 2$ , then  $G$  is a nilpotent group of class 2 and  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{1, 2\}$ . So we may assume that  $p > 2$ . First let  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{1, p\}$ . Then by [10, Theorem 1],  $G$  is nilpotent. Conversely, let  $G$  be nilpotent and  $g$  be a non-central element of  $G$ . Thus by [7, Theorem B],  $g \in G \setminus \text{nv}(G)$ . So Lemma 2.11 implies that  $p \mid |\text{Cl}(g)|$ . On the other hand  $|\text{Cl}(g)| \leq |G'| = p$ , which means that  $|\text{Cl}(g)| = p$ . Hence  $\text{Cl}(G) = \{1, p\}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Non-vanishing elements with 2 or 3 conjugates

In this section, we focus on elements with 2 or 3 conjugates. In the following corollary, we give a complete classification of non-vanishing elements with 2 conjugates. Let  $C_n$  be the undirected graph of a cycle with  $n$  vertices. Then the eigenvalues of  $C_n$  are the numbers  $2 \cos(2\pi j/n)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ , see [1, 1.5.3]. Now it is easy to see that  $C_n$  is non-singular if and only if  $4 \nmid n$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with an element  $g$  such that  $T := \text{Cl}(g) = \{g, h\}$ . Then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $gh^{-1}$  has odd order. In particular,*

- (1) *if  $\langle g \rangle \cap \langle h \rangle = 1$ , then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $g$  is of odd order,*
- (2) *if  $g$  is of odd order, then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ ,*
- (3) *if  $G'$  is of odd order, then  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$ , and  $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, T)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\Gamma \cong |G : H| \text{BCay}(H, Tg^{-1})$ , and  $\Sigma := \text{BCay}(H, Tg^{-1})$  is a connected graph. Indeed since  $|Tg^{-1}| = 2$ ,  $\Sigma$  is an undirected cycle with  $2|H|$  vertices. Now

$$\begin{aligned} g \in \text{nv}(G) &\iff \Sigma \text{ is non-singular (by Theorem 2.4)} \\ &\iff 4 \nmid 2|H| \text{ (by above discussion)} \\ &\iff |H| \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand  $H = \langle TT^{-1} \rangle = \langle 1, gh^{-1}, hg^{-1} \rangle = \langle gh^{-1} \rangle$ . Hence  $g \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if  $gh^{-1}$  is of odd order.

Since  $h^{-1}gh \in T$  and  $h \neq g$ ,  $h^{-1}gh = g$ . So  $g$  and  $h^{-1}$  commute. Also  $g$  and  $h$  have the same order. Hence the following results are straightforward.

If  $\langle g \rangle \cap \langle h \rangle = 1$  then  $o(gh^{-1}) = o(g)$ .

If  $g$  is of odd order  $n$  then, since  $o(gh^{-1}) \mid n$ ,  $o(gh^{-1})$  is odd.

If  $|G'|$  is odd then, since  $gh^{-1} \in G'$ ,  $o(gh^{-1})$  is odd.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $x \in G$  be an element of odd order  $k$  and  $T = \{x, y, z\}$  be the conjugacy class of  $G$  containing  $x$ . Then the elements of  $T$  commute and so  $\langle TT^{-1} \rangle = \langle xy^{-1}, zy^{-1} \rangle$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $a \in T$ ,  $b \in T \setminus \{a\}$ , and suppose, by contrary, that  $ab \neq ba$ . Since  $b^{-1}ab \in T$ ,  $b^{-1}ab = c$ . On the other hand  $bab^{-1} \in T$ , which implies that  $bab^{-1} = c = b^{-1}ab$ . Hence  $b^2a = ab^2$ . Since  $k$  is odd, there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 = rk + 2s$ . So  $ab = ab^{rk}b^{2s} = ab^{2s} = b^{2s}a = b^{rk+2s}a = ba$ . This shows that  $\langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  is abelian. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \langle TT^{-1} \rangle &= \langle 1, xy^{-1}, yx^{-1}, xz^{-1}, zx^{-1}, yz^{-1}, zy^{-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle xy^{-1}, zy^{-1}, xz^{-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle xy^{-1}, zy^{-1} \rangle \quad (\text{since } xy^{-1}(zy^{-1})^{-1} = xz^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $x \in G$ ,  $T := \text{Cl}(x) = \{x, y, z\}$ , and  $H := \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  be abelian. Suppose that*

$$H = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_t},$$

where  $n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \cdots \mid n_t$ , and for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_j} = \langle a_j \rangle$  and  $n_j \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Then  $x \in G \setminus \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , there exists  $r_j \in \{0, \dots, n_j - 1\}$  such that

$$3n_t \mid \left( 3 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j k_j r_j - n_t \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 3n_t \mid \left( 3 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j l_j r_j + n_t \right),$$

where  $xy^{-1} = (a_1^{k_1}, \dots, a_t^{k_t})$ ,  $zy^{-1} = (a_1^{l_1}, \dots, a_t^{l_t})$  and  $b_j = n_t/n_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ .

*Proof.* We have  $Ty^{-1} \subseteq H$ . Since  $H$  is abelian, by Theorem 2.6,  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ ,  $\sum_{t \in T} \chi(ty^{-1}) \neq 0$ ; that is  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ ,  $1 + \chi(xy^{-1}) + \chi(zy^{-1}) \neq 0$ . Thus  $x \in G \setminus \text{nv}(G)$  if and only if there exists  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  such that  $1 + \chi(xy^{-1}) + \chi(zy^{-1}) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . Then by Theorem 2.8, there exist  $\chi_j \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_j})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , such that for all  $h = (a_1^{m_1}, \dots, a_t^{m_t}) \in H$ ,  $\chi(h) = \chi_1(a_1^{m_1})\chi_2(a_2^{m_2}) \cdots \chi_t(a_t^{m_t})$ . On the other hand, for each  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , there exists  $r_j \in \{0, \dots, n_j - 1\}$  such that  $\chi_j(a_j^{m_j}) = \exp(2\pi i m_j r_j / n_j)$ . Let  $xy^{-1} = (a_1^{k_1}, \dots, a_t^{k_t})$ ,

$zy^{-1} = (a_1^{l_1}, \dots, a_t^{l_t})$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^t k_j r_j / n_j$  and  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^t l_j r_j / n_j$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + \chi(xy^{-1}) + \chi(zy^{-1}) = 0 &\iff \forall i = 1, \dots, t, \exists r_i \in \{0, \dots, n_i - 1\} \\
 &1 + \exp(2\pi i \alpha) = -\exp(2\pi i \beta) \\
 &\iff 1 + \cos(2\pi \alpha) = -\cos(2\pi \beta), \\
 &\sin(2\pi \alpha) = -\sin(2\pi \beta) \\
 &\iff \cos(2\pi \alpha) = \cos(2\pi \beta) = -1/2, \\
 &\sin(2\pi \alpha) = -\sin(2\pi \beta) \\
 &\iff \exists s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2\pi \alpha = 2\pi/3 + 2\pi s_1, \\
 &\exists s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2\pi \beta = -2\pi/3 + 2\pi s_2 \\
 &\iff \exists s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha = 1/3 + s_1, \\
 &\exists s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta = -1/3 + s_2 \\
 &\iff \alpha - 1/3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta + 1/3 \in \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $n_t = n_j b_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , we have  $\alpha = \left(\sum_{j=1}^t b_j k_j r_j\right) / n_t$  and  $\beta = \left(\sum_{j=1}^t b_j l_j r_j\right) / n_t$ . If  $3 \mid n_t$ , then  $\alpha - 1/3 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^t b_j k_j r_j - n_t/3\right) / n_t$  and if  $3 \nmid n_t$ , then  $\alpha - 1/3 = \left(3 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j k_j r_j - n_t\right) / (3n_t)$ . Hence in both cases  $\alpha - 1/3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $3n_t \mid \left(3 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j k_j r_j - n_t\right)$ . Similarly  $\beta + 1/3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $3n_t \mid \left(3 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j l_j r_j + n_t\right)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $x \in G$  be an element with conjugacy class  $T$  of size 3. If  $H := \langle TT^{-1} \rangle$  is an abelian group and  $3 \nmid |H|$  then  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ . In particular, in a solvable group  $G$  with abelian commutator subgroup  $G'$  of order  $\geq 4$  and coprime to 3, every element with 3 conjugates is a non-vanishing element of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, by contrary, that  $x$  is not a non-vanishing element of  $G$ . Let  $H = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_t}$ , where  $n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \dots \mid n_t$ , and for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $n_i \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $3n_t \mid 3k - n_t$ . So  $3 \mid n_t$ , which implies that 3 divides  $|H|$ , a contradiction.

Since, by Lemma 2.3,  $H \leq G'$ , the second part follows immediately.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $x \in G$  and  $|\text{Cl}(x)| = 2$  or 3. If  $(o(x), 6) = 1$  then  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(6, o(x)) = 1$ ,  $x$  is of odd order and  $3 \nmid o(x)$ . If  $|\text{Cl}(x)| = 2$  then by Corollary 3.1,  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ . Now suppose that  $|\text{Cl}(x)| = 3$ . Then by Lemma 3.2, elements of  $T := \text{Cl}(x) = \{x, y, z\}$  commute and  $H := \langle TT^{-1} \rangle = \langle xy^{-1}, zy^{-1} \rangle$  is abelian. So every element  $h$  of  $H$  is of the form  $h = x^{i_1} y^{i_2} z^{i_3}$ , for some integers  $i_1, i_2, i_3$ . Since  $o(x) = o(y) = o(z)$ ,  $o(h) \mid o(x)$ . This shows that  $3 \nmid |H|$ . Hence, by Corollary 3.4,  $x \in \text{nv}(G)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Brouwer and W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [2] D. Bubboloni, S. Dolfi and P. Spiga, Finite groups whose irreducible characters vanish only on  $p$ -elements, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), no. 3, 370–376.
- [3] S. Dolfi, G. Navvaro, E. Pacifici, L. Sanus and P. H. Tiep, Non-vanishing elements of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), no. 2, 540–545.
- [4] S. F. Du and M. Y. Xu, A classification of semisymmetric graphs of order  $2pq$ , *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 6, 2685–2715.
- [5] L. He, S. Yu and J. Lu, A result related to non-vanishing elements of finite solvable groups, *Int. J. Algebra* **7** (2013), no. 5-8, 223–227.
- [6] L. He, Notes on non-vanishing elements of finite solvable groups, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 1, 163–169.
- [7] I. M. Isaacs, G. Navarro and T. R. Wolf, Finite group elements where no irreducible character vanishes, *J. Algebra* **222** (1999), no. 2, 413–423.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
- [9] N. Itô, The spectrum of a conjugacy class graph of a finite group, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **26** (1984) 1–10.
- [10] N. Itô, On finite groups with given conjugate types I, *Nagoya Math. J.* **6** (1953) 17–28.
- [11] W. Jin and W. Liu, A classification of nonabelian simple 3-BCI-groups, *European J. Combin.* **31** (2010), no. 5, 1257–1264.
- [12] T. Y. Lam and K. H. Leung, On vanishing sums of roots of unity, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000) 91–109.
- [13] Z. P. Lu, C. Q. Wang, and M. Y. Xu, Semisymmetric cubic graphs constructed from bi-Cayley graphs of  $A_n$ , *Ars Combin.* **80** (2006) 177–187.
- [14] M. Miyamoto, Non-vanishing elements in finite groups, *J. Algebra* **364** (2012) 88–89.

(Majid Arezoomand) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 84156-83111, ISFAHAN, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* arezoomand@math.iut.ac.ir

(Bijan Taeri) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 84156-838111, ISFAHAN, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* b.taeri@cc.iut.ac.ir