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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from $2, U$ the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C(=Z(U))$ the extended centroid of $R$. Let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is noncentral valued on $R$. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ are two nonzero generalized derivations of $R$ such that $a(H(f(x)) f(x)-$ $f(x) G(f(x))) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. In this paper, we prove that one of the following holds: (1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $b, p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=b x+x q$ for all $x \in R$ with $a(p-q) \in C$; (2) there exist $p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x q$ for all $x \in R$, $G(x)=q x$ for all $x \in R$ with $a p=0 ;$ (3) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $q \in U, \lambda \in C$ and an outer derivation $g$ of $U$ such that $H(x)=x q+\lambda x-g(x)$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=q x+g(x)$ for all $x \in R$, with $a \in C$; (4) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $b, p \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=b x+x p$ for all $x \in R$. Keywords: Prime ring, generalized derivation, extended centroid. MSC(2010): Primary: 16W25; Secondary: 16N60, 16R50.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $R$ always denotes a prime ring with center $Z(R)$, $C$ be the extended centroid of $R$ and $U$ be the Utumi quotient ring of $R$. For $x, y \in R$, the Lie commutator of $x, y$ is denoted by $[x, y]$ and defined by $[x, y]=x y-y x$. By a derivation $d$ of $R$ we mean an additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $d(x y)=d(x) y+x d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. A derivation $d$ is called inner if $d(x)=[q, x]$ for all $x \in R$ for some $q \in U$. A derivation which is not inner is called an outer derivation. An additive subgroup $L$ of $R$ is said to be Lie

[^0]ideal of $R$, if $[L, R] \subseteq L$. The standard polynomial identity $s_{4}$ in four variables is defined as $s_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}}(-1)^{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)} x_{\sigma(4)}$ where $(-1)^{\sigma}$ is +1 or -1 according to $\sigma$ being an even or odd permutation in symmetric group $S_{4}$.

A well known result proved by Posner [25], states that if for a derivation $d$ the commutators $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$ holds, then either $d=0$ or $R$ is commutative. Then many related generalizations of Posner's result have been obtained by a number of authors in literature. Brešar proved in [4] that if $d(x) x-x g(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$, where $d$ and $g$ are derivations of $R$, then either $d=g=0$ or $R$ is commutative.

In [24], Niu and Wu studied the left annihilator of the set $\{d(u) u-u \delta(u) \mid u \in$ $L\}$, where $d$ and $\delta$ are two derivations of $R$ and $L$ is a noncentral Lie ideal of $R$. They proved that if the annihilator is not zero, then $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and $d=-\delta$ are inner derivations of $R$.

In [5], Carini et al. studied the result of Niu and Wu [24] by replacing derivations with generalized derivations. An additive function $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation of $R$, if there exists a derivation $d$ of $R$ such that $F(x y)=F(x) y+x d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [5], the authors proved that if $H$ and $G$ are two nonzero generalized derivations of a prime ring $R$ with char $(R) \neq 2$ and $L$ a noncentral Lie ideal of $R$ such that $a(H(u) u-u G(u))=0$ for all $u \in L$ and for some $0 \neq a \in R$, then one of the following holds: (1) there exist $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \in U$ such that $G(x)=c^{\prime} x$ and $H(x)=b^{\prime} x+x c^{\prime}$ with $a b^{\prime}=0$; (2) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in U$ such that $G(x)=c^{\prime} x+x q^{\prime}$ and $H(x)=b^{\prime} x+x c^{\prime}$ with $a\left(b^{\prime}-q^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Lee and Shiue [19] extended the Bršar's result [4] taking $x$ from the set $A=\left\{f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in I\right\}$, where $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a noncentral polynomial over $C$ and $I$ a nonzero ideal of prime ring $R$. Lee and Shiue [19] proved that if for two derivations $d$ and $\delta$ of $R, d(x) x-x \delta(x) \in C$ holds for all $x \in A$ then either $d=\delta=0$ or $d=-\delta$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R C$ unless $\operatorname{char}(R)=2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{C} R C=4$.

Recently, Argaç and De Filippis [1] studied the previous result [19] replacing derivations by generalized derivations and without considering central values. They proved the following:

Let $K$ be a commutative ring with unity, $R$ be a noncommutative prime $K$ algebra with center $Z(R), U$ be the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C=Z(U)$ the extended centroid of $R, I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$. Suppose that $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a noncentral multilinear polynomial over $K, G$ and $H$ are two nonzero generalized derivations of $R$ such that $G(f(x)) f(x)-f(x) H(f(x))=0$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $b, a \in U$ such that $G(x)=a x+x b$ for all $x \in R, H(x)=b x+x a$ for all $x \in R$;
(2) there exists $a \in U$ such that $G(x)=x a$ for all $x \in R, H(x)=a x$ for all $x \in R$;
(3) $\operatorname{char}(R)=2$ and $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$.

In [11] De Filippis et al. studied the situation with left annihilator condition. They proved the following:

Let $K$ be a commutative ring with unity, $R$ be a noncommutative prime $K$-algebra of characteristic different from 2, $U$ be the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C=Z(U)$ the extended centroid of $R$. Suppose that $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a noncentral multilinear polynomial over $K, G$ and $H$ are two nonzero generalized derivations of $R$ and there exists $0 \neq a \in R$ such that $a(G(f(x)) f(x)-$ $f(x) H(f(x)))=0$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in U$ such that $G(x)=b^{\prime} x+x c^{\prime}$ for all $x \in R, H(x)=c^{\prime} x+x q^{\prime}$ for all $x \in R$ with $a\left(b^{\prime}-q^{\prime}\right)=0$;
(2) there exist $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \in U$ such that $G(x)=b^{\prime} x+x c^{\prime}$ for all $x \in R, H(x)=$ $c^{\prime} x$ for all $x \in R$ with $a b^{\prime}=0$.
Recently, in [10] De Filippis and Dhara investigated the situation $G(f(x)) f(x)$ $-f(x) H(f(x)) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$ in prime ring and then determined the structure of the maps, where $G, H$ two generalized derivations of $R$ and $I$ a nonzero right ideal of $R$.

So it is natural to consider the situation $a(G(f(x)) f(x)-f(x) H(f(x))) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$, where $G, H$ are two generalized derivations of $R$, $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ and $0 \neq a \in R$. In the present paper, our main object is to investigate this situation.

## 2. Main results

First we fix the following remarks:
Remark 2.1. Let $R$ be a prime ring and $L$ a noncentral Lie ideal of $R$. If char $(R) \neq 2$, by [3, Lemma 1] there exists a nonzero ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $0 \neq[I, R] \subseteq L$. Moreover, if $R$ is a simple ring, then $[R, R] \subseteq L$.

Remark 2.2. It is well known that each generalized derivation of a prime ring $R$ can be uniquely extended to a generalized derivation of $U$, with the form $a x+\delta(x)$ for all $x \in U$, where $a \in U$ and $\delta$ is a derivation of $U$. We refer to $[12,18,19]$.

In order to prove the main theorem, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. [10, Lemma 6] Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring with Utumi quotient ring $U$ and extended centroid $C$, and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a multilinear polynomial over $C$, which is not central valued on $R$. Suppose that
there exist $a, w, b \in U$ such that $a f(r)^{2}+f(r) w f(r)+f(r)^{2} b \in C$ for all $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $a, b, w \in C$ with $a+w+b=0$;
(2) $w, a+b \in C$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$;
(3) $\operatorname{char}(R)=2$ and $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$;
(4) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}, a-b \in C$ and $w \in C$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $R=M_{k}(C)$, where $k \geq 2$ be ring of all $k \times k$ matrices over the field $C$ of characteristic different from 2. Let a be an invertible matrix in $R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is not central valued on $R$. Suppose that $b, c, p, q \in R$ such that $a\left(b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(c-p) f(x)-f(x)^{2} q\right) \in C \cdot I_{k}$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then $c-p \in C \cdot I_{k}$ and one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a(b+c-p-q) \in C \cdot I_{k}$;
(2) $b, q \in C \cdot I_{k}$ and $b+c-p-q=0$;
(3) $k=2$.

Proof. Since $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is not central valued on $R$, by [20] (see also [23]), there exist $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in M_{k}(C)$ and $\gamma \in C-\{0\}$ such that $f\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\gamma e_{k l}$, with $k \neq l$. Moreover, since the set $\left\{f\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right): r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in M_{m}(C)\right\}$ is invariant under the action of all $C$-automorphisms of $M_{k}(C)$, then for any $i \neq j$ there exist $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in M_{k}(C)$ such that $f\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=\gamma e_{i j}$.

Thus, by our hypothesis we have, $a \gamma e_{i j}(c-p) \gamma e_{i j} \in C \cdot I_{k}$, that is, $a(c-$ $p)_{j i} e_{i j} \in C \cdot I_{k}$. Since the rank of $a(c-p)_{j i} e_{i j}$ is at most one, $a(c-p)_{j i} e_{i j}=0$. Again since $a$ is invertible, we have $(c-p)_{j i}=0$ for any $i \neq j$. Thus, $(c-p)$ is a diagonal matrix. By using a standard argument, it follows that $c-p \in C \cdot I_{k}$.

In the sequel one may assume $k \geq 3$, if not the proof is finished. Then our hypothesis reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left((b+c-p) f(x)^{2}-f(x)^{2} q\right) \in C \cdot I_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. If $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$, then by (2.1) and since $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ cannot be an identity for $R$, the conclusion $a(b+c-p-q) \in C \cdot I_{k}$ follows easily.

Now we assume that $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is not central valued on $R$. Since the relation (2.1) holds for any element of the additive subgroup generated by the polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$, then by [9], it follows that $a((b+c-p) x-x q) \in C \cdot I_{k}$ for any $x \in L$, a noncentral Lie ideal of $R$. Since $a$ is a nonzero invertible element and $R=M_{k}(C)$, then $(b+c-p) x-x q$ is a zero or invertible matrix, for any $x \in L$. As a consequence of Theorem 3 and Theorem 1.2 in [22], and since $k \geq 3$, one has $q=b+c-p \in C \cdot I_{k}$, that is $b \in C \cdot I_{k}$ and $b+c-p-q=0$, as required.

Lemma 2.5. Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, $U$ the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C$ the extended centroid of $R$. Let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is not central valued on $R$. Suppose that $b, c, p, q \in R$ such that $a\left(b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(c-\right.$ p) $\left.f(x)-f(x)^{2} q\right) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then $c-p \in C$ and one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a(b+c-p-q) \in C$;
(2) $q \in C$ and $a(b+c-p-q)=0$;
(3) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $a\left(b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(c-p) f(x)-f(x)^{2} q\right)=0$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then by [11, Proposition 2.4], $c-p \in C$ and one of the following hold:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a(b+c-p-q)=0$, which is our conclusion (1);
(2) $q \in C$ and $a(b+c-p-q)=0$, which is our conclusion (2).

Next we assume that there exists $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$ such that $a\left(b f(r)^{2}+\right.$ $\left.f(r)(c-p) f(r)-f(r)^{2} q\right) \neq 0$. Since $a\left(b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(c-p) f(x)-f(x)^{2} q\right) \in C$ is a nonzero central generalized identity for $R$, by [6, Theorem 1] $R$ is a PI- ring and hence $R C=U$ is a nontrivial GPI-ring simple with 1. By Lemma 2 in [14] and Theorem 2.3.29 in [26], there exists a field $E$ such that $U \subseteq M_{k}(E)$ and $U$ and $M_{k}(E)$ satisfy the same generalized identities. Thus $a\left(b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(c-p) f(x)-\right.$ $\left.f(x)^{2} q\right) \in Z\left(M_{k}(E)\right)$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(M_{k}(E)\right)^{n}$. Since for some $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in U^{n} \subseteq\left(M_{k}(E)\right)^{n}, a\left(b f(r)^{2}+f(r)(c-p) f(r)-f(r)^{2} q\right) \neq 0$, $a$ must be invertible. Then by Lemma 2.4, $c-p \in Z\left(M_{k}(E)\right)$ and one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a(b+c-p-q) \in C \cdot I_{k}$;
(2) $b, q \in C \cdot I_{k}$ and $b+c-p-q=0$;
(3) $R \subseteq U \subseteq M_{2}(E)$, that is, $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$.

Thus conclusions (1) to (3) are obtained.

Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from $2, U$ the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C(=Z(U))$ the extended centroid of $R$. Let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is noncentral valued on $R$. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ are two nonzero generalized derivations of $R$ such that $a(H(f(x)) f(x)-f(x) G(f(x))) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $b, p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=b x+x q$ for all $x \in R$ with $a(p-q) \in C ;$
(2) there exist $p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x q$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=q x$ for all $x \in R$ with ap $=0$;
(3) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $q \in U, \lambda \in C$ and an outer derivation $g$ of $U$ such that $H(x)=x q+\lambda x-g(x)$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=q x+g(x)$ for all $x \in R$, with $a \in C$;
(4) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $b, p \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b$ for all $x \in R, G(x)=b x+x p$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. Since every generalized derivation on $R$ can uniquely be defined on the whole $U$ with the form $a x+d(x)$ for some $a \in U$ and a derivation $d$ on $U$ ( [18, Theorem 3]), there exist $p, q \in U$ and derivations $h, g$ on $U$ such that $H(x)=p x+h(x)$ and $G(x)=q x+g(x)$ for all $x \in U$. By [8] and [21], both $R$ and $U$ satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities and same differential identities. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a((p f(x)+h(f(x))) f(x)-f(x)(q f(x)+g(f(x)))) \in C \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$.
Now we divide the proof into three cases:
Case I: Assume that both $h$ and $g$ are inner derivations, say $h(x)=[b, x]$ and $g(x)=[c, x]$ for all $x \in U$ and for some $b, c \in U$. Then (2.2) becomes

$$
a\left((p+b) f(x)^{2}-f(x)(b+q+c) f(x)+f(x)^{2} c\right) \in C
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. Then by Lemma $2.5, b+q+c \in C$ and one of the following holds:

1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a(p+b-b-q-c+c)=a(p-q) \in$ $C$. This implies $H(x)=p x+[b, x]=(b+p) x-x b$ and $G(x)=q x+[c, x]=$ $(b+q+c) x-b x-x c=-b x+x(b+q+c-c)=-b x+x(b+q)$ for all $x \in U$ and so for all $x \in R$. This is our conclusion (1).
2) $c \in C$ and $a(p+b-b-q-c+c)=a(p-q)=0$. Since $b+q+c \in C$, $b+q \in C$ and so $[b, x]=-[q, x]$ for all $x \in U$. Thus $H(x)=p x+[b, x]=$ $p x-[q, x]=(p-q) x+x q$ and $G(x)=q x+[c, x]=q x$ for all $x \in U$ and so for all $x \in R$, with $a(p-q)=0$, which is our conclusion (2).
3) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$. Since $b+q+c \in C$, in this case $H(x)=p x+[b, x]=$ $(b+p) x-x b$ and $G(x)=q x+[c, x]=(b+q+c) x-b x-x c=-b x+x(b+q+c-c)=$ $-b x+x(b+q)$ for all $x \in U$ and so for all $x \in R$. This gives conclusion (4).

Case II: Assume now that both $h$ and $g$ are not inner derivations of $U$. Let $g$ and $h$ be $C$-dependent modulo inner derivations of $U$. Then there exist $\beta, \gamma \in C$, not all zero and $c^{\prime} \in U$ such that $\beta h+\gamma g=a d_{c^{\prime}}$.

First assume that $\beta=0$. Then $\gamma \neq 0$ and $g(x)=[t, x]$ for all $x \in U$, where $t=\gamma^{-1} c^{\prime}$. Thus (2.2) becomes

$$
a((p f(x)+h(f(x))) f(x)-f(x)(q f(x)+[t, f(x)])) \in C
$$

that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left(\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, h\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left[t, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]\right)\right) \in C
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. By Kharchenko's theorem [13], (2.3) implies that $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left[t, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]\right)\right) \in C
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $y_{i}=\left[b, x_{i}\right]$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, where $b \in U-C$, we get that $U$ satisfies $a\left[b, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C$. This implies

$$
a\left(b f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) b f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \in C
$$

for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$. Then by Lemma 2.5, we get $b \in C$, which is a contradiction.

Next assume that $\beta \neq 0$. Then $h=\alpha g+a d_{c}$, where $\alpha=-\beta^{-1} \gamma$ and $c=\beta^{-1} c^{\prime}$. In this case $g$ cannot be inner, otherwise $g$ and $h$ both will be inner. Now (2.2) becomes
(2.5) $a\{(p f(x)+\alpha g(f(x))+[c, f(x)]) f(x)-f(x)(q f(x)+g(f(x)))\} \in C$
for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. Thus, $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
a\left\{\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\alpha f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.+\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, g\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left[c, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, g\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \in C
\end{gathered}
$$

Then by Kharchenko's theorem [13], we have that $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
a\left\{\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\alpha f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.+\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left[c, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \in C
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, $U$ satisfies the blended component,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \in C . \tag{2.6}
\end{array}
$$

Putting $y_{i}=\left[b, x_{i}\right]$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, where $b \in U-C$, we get

$$
a\left(\alpha\left[b, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left[b, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \in C\right.
$$

that is

$$
a\left(\alpha b f(x)^{2}+f(x)(-\alpha b-b) f(x)+f(x)^{2} b\right) \in C
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. Then by Lemma 2.5, we have $(\alpha+1) b \in C$. Now $\alpha \neq-1$ implies $b \in C$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha=-1$ and so $h=-g+a d_{c}$. Hence (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \in C . \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

Putting $y_{1}=x_{1}$ and $y_{2}=\ldots=y_{n}=0$, we get $a\left(-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{n}\right)^{2}\right)=-2 a f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$. Since char $(R) \neq 2, U$ satisfies $a f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$. By Lemma 2.3 we have $a \in C$. Moreover, since $a \neq 0, a f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$ yields $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$. Therefore, (2.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p f(x)-g(f(x))+[c, f(x)]) f(x)-f(x)(q f(x)+g(f(x))) \in C \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. Since $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$ implies $g\left(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}\right)$ $\in C$, from (2.8) we have

$$
(p f(x)+[c, f(x)]) f(x)-f(x) q f(x) \in C
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+c) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)(c+q) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in U^{n}$. Again by Lemma 2.3, $c+q \in C$. Thus (2.9) becomes $(p-q) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in C$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$. Since $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2} \in$ $C$, it yields either $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}=0$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$ or $p-q \in C$. But $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}=0$ implies $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$ (see [7]), a contradiction. Hence $p-q=\lambda \in C$. Therefore, for all $x \in U$, $H(x)=p x+h(x)=p x-g(x)+[c, x]=(q+\lambda+c) x-x c-g(x)=x(q+c+\lambda)-$ $x c-g(x)=x(q+\lambda)-g(x)$ and $G(x)=q x+g(x)$ for all $x \in U$ and so $x \in R$ with $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $a \in C$. This is our conclusion (3).

Case III: Here we assume that $h$ and $g$ are $C$-independent modulo inner derivations of $U$. Note that in this case both $h$ and $g$ are outer derivations of $U$. Then our hypothesis (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left\{\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, h\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& -f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left.\left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, g\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \in C
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in U$. Since both $h$ and $g$ are outer derivations, by Kharchenko's theorem [13] we get from (2.10) that $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left\{\left(p f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& -f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(q f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f^{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, z_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \in C
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $U$ satisfies

$$
a \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C
$$

which is the same as (2.4). Then by same argument as before, it leads a contradiction.

In the Theorem 2.6, assuming $H=G$, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.7. Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2, $U$ the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C(=Z(U))$ the extended centroid of $R$. Let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is noncentral valued on $R$. Suppose that $H$ is a nonzero generalized derivation of $R$ such that a $[H(f(x)), f(x)] \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $p \in U$ and $\lambda \in C$ such that $H(x)=p x+x p+\lambda x$ for all $x \in R$;
(2) there exists $\lambda \in C$ such that $H(x)=\lambda x$ for all $x \in R$;
(3) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $p \in U$ and $\lambda \in C$ such that $H(x)=$ $p x+x p+\lambda x$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.6, assuming $H=G$ we have the following conclusions:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $b, p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b=b x+x q$ for all $x \in R$ with $a(p-q) \in C$. This gives $(p-b) x+x(b-q)=0$, implying $b-q \in C$ and $p-b+b-q=0$, that is $p=q$. Let $b-q=\lambda \in C$. Then $b=q+\lambda=p+\lambda$. Thus $H(x)=p x+x(p+\lambda)$ for all $x \in R$, this is our conclusion (1).
(2) There exist $p, q \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x q=q x$ for all $x \in R$, with $a p=0$. This gives $(p-q) x+x q=0$, implying $q \in C$ and $p-q+q=0$, that is $p=0$. Thus conclusion (2) is obtained.
(3) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $q \in U, \lambda \in C$ and an outer derivation $g$ of $U$ such that $H(x)=x q+\lambda x-g(x)=q x+g(x)$ for all $x \in R$, with $a \in C$. In this case, we have $2 g(x)=-[q, x]+\lambda x$, a contradiction, since $g$ is an outer derivation.
(4) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $b, p \in U$ such that $H(x)=p x+x b=$ $b x+x p$ for all $x \in R$. In this case $(p-b) x+x(b-p)=0$ for all $x \in R$, implying $b-p \in C$. Let $b-p=\lambda \in C$. Thus $H(x)=p x+x(p+\lambda)$ for all $x \in R$. This is our conclusion (3).

In Theorem 2.6, assuming $H=d$ and $G=\delta$ two derivations of $R$, we have the following:

Corollary 2.8. Let $R$ be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic different from $2, U$ the Utumi quotient ring of $R, C(=Z(U))$ the extended centroid of $R$. Let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial over $C$ which is noncentral valued on $R$. Suppose that $d$ and $\delta$ are two nonzero derivations of $R$ such that $a(d(f(x)) f(x)-f(x) \delta(f(x))) \in C$ for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and there exist $p \in U$ such that $d(x)=[p, x]$ for all $x \in R, \delta(x)=-[p, x]$ for all $x \in R$;
(2) $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is central valued on $R$ and $d$, $\delta$ two outer derivations of $R$ such that $d(x)=-\delta(x)$ for all $x \in R$, with $a \in C$;
(3) $R$ satisfies $s_{4}$ and there exist $p \in U$ such that $d(x)=[p, x]$ for all $x \in R$, $\delta(x)=-[p, x]$ for all $x \in R$.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to referee for providing some useful suggestions in order to shorten the proof of Lemma 2.4. The first and third authors are grateful to National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India for providing the financial assistance of this work. The grant no. is NBHM/R.P. 26/ 2012/Fresh/1745 dated 15.11 .12

## References

[1] N. Argaç and V. De Filippis, Actions of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, Algebra Colloq. 18 (2011), Special Issue no. 1, 955-964.
[2] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale III and A. V. Mikhalev, Rings with Generalized Identities, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 196, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1996.
[3] J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein and J. W. Kerr, Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings, $J$. Algebra 71 (1981), no. 1, 259-267.
[4] M. Brešar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J. Algebra 156 (1993), no. 2, 385-394.
[5] L. Carini, V. De Filippis and B. Dhara, Annihilators on co-commutators with generalized derivations on Lie ideals, Publ. Math. Debrecen 76 (2010), no. 3-4, 395-409.
[6] C. M. Chang and T. K. Lee, Annihilators of power values of derivations in prime rings, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), no. 7, 2091-2113.
[7] C. L. Chuang and T. K. Lee, Rings with annihilator conditions on multilinear polynomials, Chin. J. Math. 24 (1996), no. 2, 177-185.
[8] C. L. Chuang, GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), no. 3, 723-728.
[9] C. L. Chuang, The additive subgroup generated by a polynomial, Israel J. Math. 59 (1987), no. 1, 98-106.
[10] V. De Filippis and B. Dhara, Cocentralizing generalized derivations on multilinear polynomial on right ideals of prime rings, Demonstr. Math. 47 (2014), no. 1, 22-36.
[11] V. De Filippis, B. Dhara and G. Scudo, Annihilating conditions on generalized derivations acting on multilinear polynomials, Georgian Math. J. 20 (2013), no. 4, 641-656.
[12] B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), no. 4, 1147-1166.
[13] V. K. Kharchenko, Differential identity of prime rings, Algebra Logic 17 (1978), no. 2, 220-238, 242-243.
[14] C. Lanski, An engel condition with derivation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), no. 3, 731-734.
[15] C. Lanski and S. Montgomery, Lie structure of prime rings of characteristic 2, Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972), no. 1, 117-136.
[16] P. H. Lee and T. L. Wong, Derivations cocentralizing Lie ideals, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 23 (1995), no. 1, 1-5.
[17] T. K. Lee and W. K. Shiue, Identities with generalized derivations, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), no. 10, 4437-4450.
[18] T. K. Lee, Generalized derivations of left faithful rings, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 8, 4057-4073.
[19] T. K. Lee and W. K. Shiue, Derivations cocentralizing polynomials, Taiwanese J. Math. 2 (1998), no. 4, 457-467.
[20] T. K. Lee, Derivations with invertible values on a multilinear polynomial, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), no. 4, 1077-1083.
[21] T. K. Lee, Semiprime rings with differential identities, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 20 (1992), no. 1, 27-38.
[22] J. S. Lin and C. K. Liu, Generalized derivations with invertible or nilpotent values on multiliear polynomials, Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), no. 2, 633-640.
[23] U. Leron, Nil and power central polynomials in rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (1975) 97-103.
[24] F. Niu and W. Wu, Annihilators on co-commutators with derivations on Lie ideals in prime rings, Northeast Math. J. 22 (2006), no. 4, 415-424.
[25] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 1093-1100.
[26] L. H. Rowen, Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics 84, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
(Basudeb Dhara) Department of Mathematics, Belda College, Belda, Paschim Medinipur, 721424, W.B., India.

E-mail address: basu_dhara@yahoo.com
(Sukhendu Kar) Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, W.B., India.

E-mail address: karsukhendu@yahoo.co.in
(Krishna Gopal Pradhan) Department of Mathematics, Belda College, Belda, Paschim Medinipur, 721424, W.B., India.

E-mail address: kgp.math@gmail.com


[^0]:    Article electronically published on December 18, 2016.
    Received: 4 April 2015, Accepted: 26 August 2015.

    * Corresponding author.

