

ISSN: 1017-060X (Print)



ISSN: 1735-8515 (Online)

Bulletin of the
Iranian Mathematical Society

Vol. 42 (2016), No. 6, pp. 1507–1521

Title:

Nonexistence and existence results for a $2n$ th-order p -Laplacian discrete Neumann boundary value problem

Author(s):

H. Shi, X. Liu and Y. Zhang

Published by Iranian Mathematical Society
<http://bims.ims.ir>

NONEXISTENCE AND EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR A 2nTH-ORDER p -LAPLACIAN DISCRETE NEUMANN BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

H. SHI*, X. LIU AND Y. ZHANG

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with a 2nth-order p -Laplacian difference equation. By using the critical point method, we establish various sets of sufficient conditions for the nonexistence and existence of solutions for Neumann boundary value problem and give some new results. Results obtained successfully generalize and complement the existing ones.

Keywords: Nonexistence and existence, Neumann boundary value problem, 2nth-order p -Laplacian, Mountain Pass lemma, discrete variational theory.

MSC(2010): Primary:39A10.

1. Introduction

Below \mathbf{N} , \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{R} denote the sets of all natural numbers, integers and real numbers, respectively. k is a positive integer. For any $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, define $\mathbf{Z}(a) = \{a, a + 1, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{Z}(a, b) = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ when $a < b$. Besides, $*$ denotes the transpose of a vector.

The present paper considers the 2nth-order p -Laplacian difference equation (1.1)

$$\Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n+1} \varphi_p (\Delta^n u_{i-1})) = (-1)^n f(i, u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i-1}), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}(1), \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k),$$

with boundary value conditions

(1.2)

$$\Delta u_{1-n} = \Delta u_{2-n} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \quad \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+n-1} = 0,$$

where Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta u_i = u_{i+1} - u_i$, $\Delta^n u_i = \Delta^{n-1}(\Delta u_i)$, γ_i is nonzero and real valued for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}(2-n, k+1)$, $\varphi_p(s)$ is the p -Laplacian operator $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ($1 < p < \infty$), $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^4, \mathbf{R})$.

Article electronically published on December 18, 2016.

Received: 15 November 2013, Accepted: 24 September 2015.

*Corresponding author.

We may think of (1.1) as a discrete analogue of the following $2n$ th-order p -Laplacian functional differential equation

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left[\gamma(t) \varphi_p \left(\frac{d^n u(t)}{dt^n} \right) \right] = (-1)^n f(t, u(t+1), u(t), u(t-1)), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

with boundary value conditions

$$(1.4) \quad u(a) = u'(a) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = u'(b) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(b) = 0.$$

Equations similar in structure to (1.3) arise in the study of the existence of solitary waves [35] of lattice differential equations and periodic solutions [16, 18] of functional differential equations.

Difference equations, the discrete analogs of differential equations, occur widely in numerous settings and forms, both in mathematics itself and in its applications to statistics, computing, electrical circuit analysis, dynamical systems, economics, biology and other fields. Since the last decade, there has been much progress on the qualitative properties of difference equations, which included results on stability and attractivity [14, 30] and results on oscillation and other topics [4, 8–11, 24, 27, 28, 41].

In recent years, the study of boundary value problems for differential equations develops at relatively rapid rate. By using various methods and techniques, such as Schauder fixed point theory, topological degree theory, coincidence degree theory, a series of existence results of nontrivial solutions for differential equations have been obtained in literatures, we refer to [5, 16, 18, 22, 38]. And critical point theory is also an important tool to deal with problems on differential equations [12, 17, 29, 31]. Only since 2003, critical point theory has been employed to establish sufficient conditions on the existence of periodic solutions of difference equations. By using the critical point theory, Guo and Yu [19–21] and Shi *et al.* [34] have successfully proved the existence of periodic solutions of second-order nonlinear difference equations. Chen and Fang [9] in 2007 have obtained a sufficient condition for the existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions of the following p -Laplacian difference equation

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta(\varphi_p(\Delta u_{i-1})) + f(i, u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i-1}) = 0, \quad i \in \mathbf{Z},$$

using the critical point theory. We also refer to [39, 40] for the discrete boundary value problems. Compared to first-order or second-order difference equations, the study of higher-order equations, and in particular, $2n$ th-order equations, has received considerably less attention (see, for example, [6, 9–11, 15, 23, 26, 27, 41] and the references contained therein). Ahlbrandt and Peterson [1] in 1994 studied the $2n$ th-order difference equation of the form,

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \Delta^j (\gamma_j(i-j) \Delta^j u(i-j)) = 0$$

in the context of the discrete calculus of variations, and Peil and Peterson [32] studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.6) with $\gamma_j(i) \equiv 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. In 1998, Anderson [3] considered (1.6) for $i \in \mathbf{Z}(a)$, and obtained a formulation of generalized zeros and (n, n) -disconjugacy for (1.6). Migda [31] in 2004 studied an m th-order linear difference equation. In 2007, Cai and Yu [7] have obtained some criteria for the existence of periodic solutions of a $2n$ th-order difference equation

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n} \Delta^n u_{i-n}) + f(i, u_i) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}(3), \quad i \in \mathbf{Z},$$

for the case where f grows superlinearly at both 0 and ∞ .

The boundary value problem (BVP) for determining the existence of solutions of difference equations has been a very active area of research in the last twenty years, and for surveys of recent results, we refer the reader to the monographs [2, 13, 14, 25, 30]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the results on solutions to boundary value problems of higher-order nonlinear difference equations are very scarce in the literature. Furthermore, since (1.1) contains both advance and retardation, there are very few manuscripts dealing with this subject. As a result, the goal of this paper is to fill the gap in this area.

Motivated by the above results, we use the critical point method to give some sufficient conditions for the nonexistence and existence of solutions for the BVP (1.1) with (1.2). We shall study the superlinear and sublinear cases. The main idea in this paper is to transfer the existence of the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) into the existence of the critical points of some functional. The proof is based on the notable Mountain Pass Lemma in combination with variational technique. The purpose of this paper is two-folded. On one hand, we shall further demonstrate the powerfulness of critical point theory in the study of solutions for boundary value problems of difference equations. On the other hand, we shall complement existing results. The motivation for the present work stems from the recent papers [12, 17].

Let

$$\bar{\gamma} = \max\{\gamma_i : i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)\}, \quad \underline{\gamma} = \min\{\gamma_i : i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)\}.$$

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the following hypotheses are satisfied:*

(γ) for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$, $\gamma_i < 0$;

(F_1) there exists a functional $F(i, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$ with $F(0, \cdot) = 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial F(i-1, v_2, v_3)}{\partial v_2} + \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} = f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3), \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k);$$

(F_2) there exists a constant $M_0 > 0$ such that for all $(i, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k) \times \mathbf{R}^2$

$$\left| \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_1} \right| \leq M_0, \quad \left| \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} \right| \leq M_0.$$

Then the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) possesses at least one solution.

Remark 1.2. Assumption (F_2) implies that there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$(F'_2) |F(i, v_1, v_2)| \leq M_1 + M_0(|v_1| + |v_2|), \quad \forall (i, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k) \times \mathbf{R}^2.$$

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that (F_1) and the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(γ') for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$, $\gamma_i > 0$;

(F_3) there exists a functional $F(i, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(i, v_1, v_2)}{r^p} = 0, \quad r = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k);$$

(F_4) there exists a constant $\beta > p$ such that for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$,

$$0 < \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_1} v_1 + \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} v_2 < \beta F(i, v_1, v_2), \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \neq 0.$$

Then the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Remark 1.4. Assumption (F_4) implies that there exist constants $a_1 > 0$ and $a_2 > 0$ such that

$$(F'_4) F(i, v_1, v_2) > a_1 \left(\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} \right)^\beta - a_2, \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k).$$

Theorem 1.5. Suppose that (γ') , (F_1) and the following assumption are satisfied:

(F_5) there exist constants $R > 0$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$ such that for $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$ and $\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} \geq R$,

$$0 < \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_1} v_1 + \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} v_2 \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} p F(i, v_1, v_2).$$

Then the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) has at least one solution.

Remark 1.6. Assumption (F_5) implies that for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$ there exist constants $a_3 > 0$ and $a_4 > 0$ such that

$$(F'_5) F(i, v_1, v_2) \leq a_3 (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} p} + a_4, \quad \forall (i, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k) \times \mathbf{R}^2.$$

Theorem 1.7. Suppose that (γ) , (F_1) and the following assumption are satisfied:

(F_6) $v_2 f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3) > 0$, for $v_2 \neq 0$, $\forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$.

Then the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) has no nontrivial solutions.

Remark 1.8. If $n = 1$, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 reduces to Theorem 4.1 in [36]. If $n = 2$ and $p = 2$, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 reduces to Theorem 3.1 in [37]. Hence, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 generalize the results in the literature [36, 37]. In the existing literature, results on the nonexistence of solutions of discrete boundary value problems are very scarce. Hence, Theorem 1.4 complements existing ones.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2, we shall establish the variational framework for the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) and transfer the problem of the existence of the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) into that of the existence of critical points of the corresponding functional. Some related fundamental results will also be recalled. Then, in Section 3, we shall complete the proof of the results by using the critical point method. Finally, in Section 4, we shall give three examples to illustrate the main results.

For the basic knowledge of variational methods, the reader is referred to [29, 33].

2. Variational structure and some lemmas

In order to apply the critical point theory, we shall establish the corresponding variational framework for the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) and give some lemmas which will be of fundamental importance in proving our main results. We start by some basic notations.

Let \mathbf{R}^k be the real Euclidean space with dimension k . Define the inner product on \mathbf{R}^k as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j v_j, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbf{R}^k,$$

by which the norm $\|\cdot\|$ can be induced by

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k u_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{R}^k.$$

On the other hand, we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_s$ on \mathbf{R}^k as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_s = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |u_j|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

for all $u \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and $s > 1$.

Since $\|u\|_s$ and $\|u\|_2$ are equivalent, there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_2 \geq c_1 > 0$, and

$$(2.4) \quad c_1 \|u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_s \leq c_2 \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{R}^k.$$

Clearly, $\|u\| = \|u\|_2$. For any $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)^* \in \mathbf{R}^k$, for the BVP (1.1)-(1.2), with $k > 2$, consider the functional J defined on \mathbf{R}^k as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k F(i, u_{i+1}, u_i) + \frac{\gamma_1}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_1|^p + \frac{\gamma_k}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}|^p,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial F(i-1, v_2, v_3)}{\partial v_2} + \frac{\partial F(i, v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} = f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3),$$

$$\Delta u_{1-n} = \Delta u_{2-n} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \quad \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+n-1} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $J \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^k, \mathbf{R})$ and for any $u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^k = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)^*$, by using $\Delta u_{1-n} = \Delta u_{2-n} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+n-1} = 0$, we can compute the partial derivative as

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_i} = (-1)^n \Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n+1} \varphi_p(\Delta^n u_{i-1})) - f(i, u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k).$$

Thus, u is a critical point of J on \mathbf{R}^k if and only if

$$\Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n+1} \varphi_p(\Delta^n u_{i-1})) = (-1)^n f(i, u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k).$$

We reduce the existence of the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) to the existence of critical points of J on \mathbf{R}^k . That is, the functional J is just the variational framework of the BVP (1.1) with (1.2).

Remark 2.1. In the case $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ are trivial, and we omit their proofs.

Let D be the $k \times k$ matrix defined by

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, D is positive definite. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the eigenvalues of D . Applying matrix theory, we know $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k.$$

Let E be a real Banach space, $J \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$, i.e., J is a continuously Fréchet-differentiable functional defined on E . J is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition (P.S. condition for short) if any sequence $\{u^{(l)}\} \subset E$ for which $\{J(u^{(l)})\}$ is bounded and $J'(u^{(l)}) \rightarrow 0 (l \rightarrow \infty)$ possesses a convergent subsequence in E .

Suppose B_ρ denote the open ball in E about 0 of radius ρ and let ∂B_ρ denote its boundary.

Lemma 2.2 (Mountain Pass Lemma [33]). *Let E be a real Banach space and $J \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$ satisfy the P.S. condition. If $J(0) = 0$ and (J_1) there exist constants $\rho, a > 0$ such that $J|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq a$, and*

(J₂) there exists $e \in E \setminus B_\rho$ such that $J(e) \leq 0$.
 Then J possesses a critical value $c \geq a$ given by

$$(2.7) \quad c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(g(s)),$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], E) | g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that (γ') , (F_1) , (F_3) and (F_4) are satisfied. Then the functional J satisfies the P.S. condition.*

Proof. Let $u^{(l)} \in \mathbf{R}^k$, $l \in \mathbf{Z}(1)$ be such that $\{J(u^{(l)})\}$ is bounded. Then there exists a positive constant M_2 such that

$$-M_2 \leq J(u^{(l)}) \leq M_2, \quad \forall l \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By (F'_4) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -M_2 &\leq J(u^{(l)}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i^{(l)}|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k F(i, u_{i+1}^{(l)}, u_i^{(l)}) + \frac{\gamma_1}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_1^{(l)}|^p \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_k}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}^{(l)}|^p \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} c_2^p \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} (\Delta^{n-1} u_{i+1}^{(l)} - \Delta^{n-1} u_i^{(l)})^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} - a_1 \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\sqrt{(u_{i+1}^{(l)})^2 + (u_i^{(l)})^2} \right]^\beta + a_2 k \\ &\quad + \frac{2^p \tilde{\gamma}}{p} \|x^{(l)}\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} c_2^p \left[(x^{(l)})^* D x^{(l)} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} - a_1 c_1^\beta \|u^{(l)}\|^\beta + a_2 k + \frac{2^p \tilde{\gamma}}{p} \|x^{(l)}\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} c_2^p \lambda_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \|x^{(l)}\|_p^p - a_1 c_1^\beta \|u^{(l)}\|^\beta + a_2 k + \frac{2^p \tilde{\gamma}}{p} \|x^{(l)}\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{(l)} = (\Delta^{n-1} u_1^{(l)}, \Delta^{n-1} u_2^{(l)}, \dots, \Delta^{n-1} u_k^{(l)})^*$. Since

$$\|x^{(l)}\|_p^p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta^{n-2} u_{i+1}^{(l)} - \Delta^{n-2} u_i^{(l)})^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \left[\lambda_k \sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta^{n-2} u_i^{(l)})^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \lambda_k^{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} \|u^{(l)}\|_p^p,$$

we have

$$J(u^{(l)}) \leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} \lambda_k^{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} (\lambda_k c_2^p + 2^p) \|u^{(l)}\|_p^p - a_1 c_1^\beta \|u^{(l)}\|^\beta + a_2 k.$$

That is,

$$a_1 c_1^\beta \|u^{(l)}\|^\beta - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} \lambda_k^{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} (\lambda_k c_2^p + 2^p) \|u^{(l)}\|_p^p \leq M_2 + a_2 k.$$

Since $\beta > p$, there exists a constant $M_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|u^{(l)}\| \leq M_3, \quad \forall l \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Therefore, $\{u^{(l)}\}$ is bounded on \mathbf{R}^k . As a consequence, $\{u^{(l)}\}$ has a convergence subsequence in \mathbf{R}^k . Thus the P.S. condition is verified. \square

3. Proof of the main results

In this Section, we shall prove our main results by using the critical point method.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (F'_2) , for any $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)^* \in \mathbf{R}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k F(i, u_{i+1}, u_i) + \frac{\gamma_1}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_1|^p + \frac{\gamma_k}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}|^p \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{p} c_1^p \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} (\Delta^{n-1} u_{i+1} - \Delta^{n-1} u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} + M_0 \sum_{i=1}^k (|u_{i+1}| + |u_i|) + M_1 k \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{p} c_1^p (x^* D x)^{\frac{p}{2}} + 2M_0 \sum_{i=1}^k |u_i| + M_1 k \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{p}{2}} \|x\|^p + 2M_0 \|u\| + M_1 k, \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (\Delta^{n-1} u_1, \Delta^{n-1} u_2, \dots, \Delta^{n-1} u_k)^*$. Since

$$\|x\|^p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta^{n-2} u_{i+1} - \Delta^{n-2} u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq \left[\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta^{n-2} u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq \lambda_1^{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} \|u\|^p,$$

we have

$$J(u) \leq \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p + 2M_0 \sqrt{k} \|u\| + M_1 k \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The above inequality means that $-J(u)$ is coercive. By the continuity of $J(u)$, J attains its maximum at some point, and we denote it by \tilde{u} , that is,

$$J(\tilde{u}) = \max \{ J(u) | u \in \mathbf{R}^k \}.$$

Clearly, \tilde{u} is a critical point of the functional J . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By (F_3) , for any $\epsilon = \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}}$ (λ_1 can be referred to (2.6)), there exists $\rho > 0$, such that

$$|F(i, v_1, v_2)| \leq \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k),$$

for $\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} \leq \sqrt{2\rho}$.

For any $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)^* \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and $\|u\| \leq \rho$, we have $|u_i| \leq \rho$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$.

From the proof of the Theorem 1.1, for any $u \in \mathbf{R}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k F(i, u_{i+1}, u_i) + \frac{\gamma_1}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_1|^p + \frac{\gamma_k}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}|^p \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k (u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Take $a = \frac{\gamma}{2p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \rho^p > 0$. Therefore,

$$J(u) \geq a > 0, \forall u \in \partial B_\rho.$$

At the same time, we have also proved that there exist constants $a > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $J|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq a$. That is to say, J satisfies the condition (J_1) of the Mountain Pass Lemma.

For our setting, clearly $J(0) = 0$. In order to exploit the Mountain Pass Lemma in critical point theory, we need to verify all other conditions of the Mountain Pass Lemma. By Lemma 2.3, J satisfies the P.S. condition. So it suffices to verify the condition (J_2) .

From the proof of the P.S. condition in Lemma 2.2, we know

$$J(u) \leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} \lambda_k^{\frac{(n-1)p}{2}} (\lambda_k c_2^p + 2^p) \|u\|^p - a_1 c_1^\beta \|u\|^\beta + a_2 k.$$

Since $\beta > p$, we can choose \bar{u} large enough to ensure that $J(\bar{u}) < 0$.

By the Mountain Pass Lemma, J has a critical value $c \geq a > 0$, where

$$c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} J(h(s)),$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C([0, 1], \mathbf{R}^k) \mid h(0) = 0, h(1) = \bar{u}\}.$$

Let $\tilde{u} \in \mathbf{R}^k$ be a critical point associated to the critical value c of J , i.e., $J(\tilde{u}) = c$. Similar to the proof of the P.S. condition, we know that there exists $\hat{u} \in \mathbf{R}^k$ such that

$$J(\hat{u}) = c_{\max} = \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(h(s)).$$

Clearly, $\hat{u} \neq 0$. If $\tilde{u} \neq \hat{u}$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds. Otherwise, $\tilde{u} = \hat{u}$. Then $c = J(\tilde{u}) = c_{\max} = \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(h(s))$. That is,

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbf{R}^k} J(u) = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} J(h(s)).$$

Therefore,

$$c_{\max} = \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(h(s)), \forall h \in \Gamma.$$

By the continuity of $J(h(s))$ with respect to s , $J(0) = 0$ and $J(\bar{u}) < 0$ imply that there exists $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$J(h(s_0)) = c_{\max}.$$

Choose $h_1, h_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $\{h_1(s) \mid s \in (0, 1)\} \cap \{h_2(s) \mid s \in (0, 1)\}$ is empty, then there exists $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$J(h_1(s_1)) = J(h_2(s_2)) = c_{\max}.$$

Thus, we get two different critical points of J on \mathbf{R}^k denoted by

$$u^1 = h_1(s_1), \quad u^2 = h_2(s_2).$$

The above argument implies that the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) possesses at least two nontrivial solutions. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is finished. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We only need to find at least one critical point of the functional J defined as in (2.5).

By (F'_5) , for any $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)^* \in \mathbf{R}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k F(i, u_{i+1}, u_i) + \frac{\gamma_1}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_1|^p + \frac{\gamma_k}{p} |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}|^p \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - a_3 \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sqrt{u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} - a_4 k \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - a_3 \left\{ \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sqrt{u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} \right]^{\frac{2}{\alpha p}} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} - a_4 k \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - a_3 c_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} \left\{ \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} - a_4 k \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{p} c_1^p \lambda_1^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|^p - 2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} a_3 c_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} \|u\|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p} - a_4 k \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By the continuity of J , we know from the above inequality that there exist lower bounds of values of the functional. And this means that J attains its minimal value at some point which is just the critical point of J with the finite norm. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that the BVP (1.1) with (1.2) has a nontrivial solution. Then J has a nonzero critical point u^* . Since

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_i} = (-1)^n \Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n+1} \varphi_p (\Delta^n u_{i-1})) - f(i, u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i-1}),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k f(i, u_{i+1}^*, u_i^*, u_{i-1}^*) u_i^* &= \sum_{i=1}^k [(-1)^n \Delta^n (\gamma_{i-n+1} \varphi_p (\Delta^n u_{i-1}^*))] u_i^* \\ (3.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \gamma_{i+1} |\Delta^n u_i^*|^p + \gamma_1 |\Delta^{n-1} u_1^*|^p + \gamma_k |\Delta^{n-1} u_{k-1}^*|^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (F_6) that

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad \sum_{i=1}^k f(i, u_{i+1}^*, u_i^*, u_{i-1}^*) u_i^* > 0.$$

This contradicts (3.1) and hence the proof is complete. □

4. Examples

As an application of Theorems 1.4, 1.6 and 1.7, we give three examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 4.1. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$, assume that

$$(4.1) \qquad \Delta^7 (\gamma_{i-6} \varphi_p (\Delta^7 u_{i-1})) = -\beta u_i \left[\phi(i) (u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} + \phi(i-1) (u_i^2 + u_{i-1}^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \right],$$

with boundary value conditions

$$(4.2) \qquad \Delta u_{-6} = \Delta u_{-5} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \quad \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+7} = 0,$$

where γ_i is real valued for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}(-5, k+1)$ and $\gamma_i > 0$, $\varphi_p(s)$ is the p -Laplacian operator $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ($1 < p < \infty$), $\beta > p$, ϕ is continuously differentiable and $\phi(i) > 0$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$.

We have

$$f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3) = \beta v_2 \left[\phi(i) (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} + \phi(i-1) (v_2^2 + v_3^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \right]$$

and

$$F(i, v_1, v_2) = \phi(i) (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}.$$

It is easy to verify all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and then the BVP (4.1) with (4.2) possesses at least two nontrivial solutions.

Example 4.2. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$, assume that

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta^9 \left(3^{i-8} \varphi_p (\Delta^9 u_{i-1}) \right) = -\alpha p u_i \left[\psi(i) (u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p-1} + \psi(i-1) (u_i^2 + u_{i-1}^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p-1} \right],$$

with boundary value conditions

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta u_{-8} = \Delta u_{-7} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \quad \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+9} = 0,$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\varphi_p(s)$ is the p -Laplacian operator $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ($1 < p < \infty$), ψ is continuously differentiable and $\psi(i) > 0$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$ with $\psi(0) = 0$.

We have

$$\gamma_i = 3^i, \quad f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3) = \alpha p v_2 \left[\psi(i) (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p-1} + \psi(i-1) (v_2^2 + v_3^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p-1} \right]$$

and

$$F(i, v_1, v_2) = \psi(i) (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p}.$$

It is easy to verify all the assumptions of Theorem 1.6 are satisfied and then the BVP (4.3) with (4.4) has at least one solution.

Example 4.3. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}(1, k)$, assume that

$$(4.5) \quad -\Delta^{16} (\varphi_p (\Delta^{16} u_{i-1})) = \frac{8}{5} u_i \left[(u_{i+1}^2 + u_i^2)^{-\frac{1}{5}} + (u_i^2 + u_{i-1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{5}} \right],$$

with boundary value conditions

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta u_{-15} = \Delta u_{-14} = \dots = \Delta u_0 = 0, \quad \Delta u_{k+1} = \Delta u_{k+2} = \dots = \Delta u_{k+16} = 0.$$

We have

$$\gamma_i \equiv -1, \quad f(i, v_1, v_2, v_3) = \frac{8}{5} v_2 \left[(v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{-\frac{1}{5}} + (v_2^2 + v_3^2)^{-\frac{1}{5}} \right]$$

and

$$F(i, v_1, v_2) = (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{4}{5}}.$$

It is easy to verify all the assumptions of Theorem 1.7 are satisfied and then the BVP (4.5) with (4.6) has no nontrivial solutions.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11501194) and Department of Education of Guangdong Province for Excellent Young College Teacher of Guangdong Province

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Ahlbrandt and A. C. Peterson, The (n, n) -disconjugacy of a $2n$ th-order linear difference equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **28** (1994), no. 1-3, 1–9.
- [2] C. D. Ahlbrandt and A. C. Peterson, *Discrete Hamiltonian Systems: Difference Equations, Continued Fraction and Riccati Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [3] D. Anderson, A $2n$ th-order linear difference equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2** (1998), no. 4, 521–529.
- [4] V. Anuradha, C. Maya and R. Shivaji, Positive solutions for a class of nonlinear boundary value problems with Neumann-Robin boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **236** (1999), no. 1, 94–124.
- [5] R. I. Avery and J. Henderson, Existence of three positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a one dimensional discrete p -Laplacian, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **10** (2004), no. 6, 529–539.
- [6] Z. Bai and H. Wang, On positive solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270** (2002), no. 2, 357–368.
- [7] X. C. Cai and J. S. Yu, Existence of periodic solutions for a $2n$ th-order nonlinear difference equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329** (2007), no. 2, 870–878.
- [8] S. Z. Chen. Disconjugacy, disfocality, and oscillation of second order difference equations, *J. Differential Equations* **107** (1994), no. 2, 383–394.
- [9] P. Chen and H. Fang, Existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions for second-order p -Laplacian difference equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2007** (2007), Article ID 42530, 9 pages.
- [10] P. Chen and X. H. Tang, Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits for fourth-order difference systems containing both advance and retardation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217** (2011), no. 9, 4408–4415.
- [11] P. Chen and X. H. Tang, Existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits for $2n$ th-order nonlinear difference equations containing both many advances and retardations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **381** (2011), no. 2, 485–505.
- [12] P. Chen and X. H. Tang, New existence and multiplicity of solutions for some Dirichlet problems with impulsive effects, *Math. Comput. Model.* **55** (2012), no. 3-4, 723–739.
- [13] P. Cull, M. Flahive and R. Robson, *Difference Equations: From Rabbits to Chaos*, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [14] S. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [15] H. Fang and D. P. Zhao, Existence of nontrivial homoclinic orbits for fourth-order difference equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **214** (2009), no. 1, 163–170.
- [16] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan and R. P. Agarwal, Existence of multiple periodic solutions for a class of first-order neutral differential equations, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **5** (2011), no. 1, 147–158.
- [17] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, Y. T. Xu and R. P. Agarwal, Existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits of a second-order differential difference equation via variational methods, *Appl. Math. Inform. Mech.* **4** (2012), no. 1, 1–15.
- [18] C. J. Guo and Y. T. Xu, Existence of periodic solutions for a class of second order differential equation with deviating argument, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **28** (2008), no. 1-2, 425–433.
- [19] Z. M. Guo and J. S. Yu, Existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions for second-order superlinear difference equation, *Sci. China Math.* **46** (2003), no. 4, 506–515.
- [20] Z. M. Guo and J. S. Yu, The existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions of subquadratic second order difference equations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **68** (2003), no. 2, 419–430.

- [21] Z. M. Guo and J. S. Yu, Applications of critical point theory to difference equations, *Fields Inst. Commun.* **42** (2004) 187–200.
- [22] J. K. Hale and J. Mawhin, Coincidence degree and periodic solutions of neutral equations, *J. Differential Equations* **15** (1974), no. 2, 295–307.
- [23] G. Han and Z. Xu, Multiple solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68** (2008), no. 12, 3646–3656.
- [24] J. Henderson and H. B. Thompson, Existence of multiple solutions for second-order discrete boundary value problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **43**, (2002), no. 10-11, 1239–1248.
- [25] V. L. Kocic and G. Ladas, Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [26] Y. Li and L. Lu, Existence of positive solutions of p -Laplacian difference equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19** (2006), no. 10, 1019–1023.
- [27] Y. J. Liu and W. G. Ge, Twin positive solutions of boundary value problems for finite difference equations with p -Laplacian operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278** (2003), no. 2, 551–561.
- [28] Z. M. Luo and X. Y. Zhang, Existence of nonconstant periodic solutions for a nonlinear discrete system involving the p -Laplacian, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **35** (2012), no. 2, 373–382.
- [29] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [30] R. E. Mickens, Difference Equations: Theory and Application, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990.
- [31] M. Migda, Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of some higher order difference equations, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* **4** (2004), no. 2, 33–39.
- [32] T. Peil and A. Peterson, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a two-term difference equation, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **24** (1994), no. 1, 233–251.
- [33] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, New York, 1986.
- [34] H. P. Shi, W. P. Ling, Y. H. Long and H. Q. Zhang, Periodic and subharmonic solutions for second order nonlinear functional difference equations, *Commun. Math. Anal.* **5** (2008), no. 2, 50–59.
- [35] D. Smets and M. Willem, Solitary waves with prescribed speed on infinite lattices, *J. Funct. Anal.* **149** (1997), no. 1, 266–275.
- [36] Y. Tian and W. G. Ge, The existence of solutions for a second-order discrete Neumann problem with a p -Laplacian, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **26** (2008), no. 1, 333–340.
- [37] Y. Tian, L. H. Li and H. Liu, Existence of solutions for fourth-order discrete neumann boundary value problem, *J. Phys. Sci.* **12** (2008) 1–10.
- [38] Q. Yao, Positive solutions of a nonlinear elastic beam equation rigidly fastened on the left and simply supported on the right, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), no. 5-6, 1570–1580.
- [39] J. S. Yu and Z. M. Guo, Boundary value problems of discrete generalized Emden-Fowler equation, *Sci. China Math.* **49** (2006), no. 10, 1303–1314.
- [40] J. S. Yu and Z. M. Guo, On boundary value problems for a discrete generalized Emden-Fowler equation, *J. Differential Equations* **231** (2006), no. 1, 18–31.
- [41] Z. Zhou, J. S. Yu and Y. M. Chen, Periodic solutions of a $2n$ th-order nonlinear difference equation, *Sci. China Math.* **53** (2010), no. 1, 41–50.

(Haiping Shi) MODERN BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT, GUANGDONG CONSTRUCTION POLYTECHNIC, GUANGZHOU 510440, CHINA.

E-mail address: shp7971@163.com

(Xia Liu) ORIENTAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COLLEGE, HUNAN AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410128, CHINA, AND SCIENCE COLLEGE, HUNAN AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410128, CHINA.

E-mail address: xia991002@163.com

(Yuanbiao Zhang) PACKAGING ENGINEERING INSTITUTE, JINAN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519070, CHINA.

E-mail address: abiaoa@163.com