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#### Abstract

Let $\left(\Sigma_{P}, \sigma_{P}\right)$ be the space of a spacing shifts where $P \subset$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $\Sigma_{P}=\left\{s \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}: s_{i}=s_{j}=1\right.$ if $\left.|i-j| \in P \cup\{0\}\right\}$ and $\sigma_{P}$ the shift map. We will show that $\Sigma_{P}$ is mixing if and only if it has almost specification property with at least two periodic points. Moreover, we show that if $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)=0$, then $\Sigma_{P}$ is almost specified and if $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)>0$ and $\Sigma_{P}$ is almost specified, then it is weak mixing. Also, some sufficient conditions for a coded $\Sigma_{P}$ being renewal or uniquely decipherable is given. At last we will show that here are only two conjugacies from a transitive $\Sigma_{P}$ to a subshift of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$. Keywords: Spacing shifts, almost specification, renewal, uniquely decipherable. MSC(2010): Primary: 54H20; Secondary: 37B10, 37A25.


## Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\Sigma_{P}$ be a subshift on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$ defined as

$$
\Sigma_{P}=\left\{\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}: s_{i}=s_{j}=1 \text { if }|i-j| \in P \cup\{0\}\right\}
$$

Then, $\left(\Sigma_{P}, \sigma_{P}\right)$ is called the spacing shifts associated to $P$. A rather detailed study of them can be found in [2]. These shifts generate a good source of examples in topological dynamical systems (TDS); in particular, when considered from the combinatorial point of view. For instance, a $\left(\Sigma_{P}, \sigma_{P}\right)$ is mixing, or weak mixing if and only if $P$ is cofinite or thick, respectively. In fact, Lau and Zame [14] introduced spacing shifts to provide examples of maps that are topologically weak mixing but not mixing. On the other side, the spacing shifts may be considered as the opposite to Markov shifts: any 1 appearing in a word depends on all the 1's coming before it. Therefore, there must be restricting conditions for a spacing shifts being a shift of finite type (SFT) or sofic.

[^0]Banks et al proved in [2] that a spacing shifts is SFT if and only if it is mixing. More equivalent conditions will be given in Theorem 2.3. Ir n this paper, we show that a spacing shifts is mixing if and only if it has almost specification with at least two periodic points. In fact, it turns out that almost specification property is a rather good tool for characterizing some dynamical properties of the spacing shifts. For instance, a spacing shifts with almost specification property and positive entropy is weakly mixing (Theorem 2.6). Also, all zero entropy spacing shifts have almost specification property (Theorem 2.5). On the other hand, there is a weak mixing $\Sigma_{P}$ with $d(P)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P \cap\{1, \ldots, n\}}{n}=1$, zero entropy and yet having almost specification property.

In Section 3, we consider renewal spacing shifts and will give some sufficient conditions on $P$ to have $\Sigma_{P}$ as a renewal system (Theorem 3.2). We will show that not all SFT spacing shifts are renewal (Theorem 3.3).

## 1. Definitions and preliminaries

A TDS is a pair $(X, T)$ such that $X$ is a compact metric space and $T$ is a homeomorphism. The return time set is defined to be $N(U, V)=\{n \in \mathbb{Z}$ : $\left.U \cap T^{-n} V \neq \emptyset\right\}$ where $U$ and $V$ are opene (nonempty and open) sets. A TDS $(X, T)$ is transitive if $N(U, V) \neq \emptyset$; and it is totally transitive if $\left(X, T^{n}\right)$ is transitive for any $n$. A TDS $(X, T)$ is weak mixing if $N(U, V)$ is a thick set (i.e. containing arbitrarily long intervals of $\mathbb{Z}$ ) for any two opene sets $U$ and $V$; and is strong mixing if $N(U, V)$ is cofinite for opene sets $U, V$.

The topological entropy of $T$ with respect to a finite open cover $\alpha$ is $h(T, \alpha)=$ $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{N}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} T^{-i} \alpha\right)$ where $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ denotes the number of sets in a finite subcover of $\alpha$ with the smallest cardinality and the entropy of $T$ is $h(T)=$ $\sup _{\alpha} h(T, \alpha)$.

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet, i.e. a finite set of symbols. The shift map $\sigma: A^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $\sigma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\right)=\left(a_{i+1}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, for $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is endowed with the product topology of the discrete topology on $A$, then $\sigma$ is a homeomorphism and $\left(A^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma\right)$ is a TDS called two-sided shift space. Similarly, one-sided shift space can be defined on $A^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$, then $\sigma$ is a finite-to-one continuous map. A subshift is the restriction of $\sigma$ to any closed non-empty subset $\Sigma$ of $A^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$ that is invariant under $\sigma$. A word (block) of length $n$ is $a_{0} a_{1} \cdots a_{n-1} \in A^{n}$ if there is $x \in \Sigma$ such that $x_{i}=a_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$. The language $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ is the collection of all words of $\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n}(\Sigma)$ is the collection of all words in $\Sigma$ of length $n$. Also, a cylinder is defined as $\left[a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right]_{p}^{q}=\left\{x \in \Sigma: x_{p}=a_{0}, \ldots, x_{q}=a_{n}\right\}$. For a subshift, the topological entropy of $\Sigma$ is $h(\sigma)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\left|\mathcal{L}_{n}(\Sigma)\right|\right)$. Let

$$
\operatorname{per}_{n}(\Sigma)=\left|\left\{x \in \Sigma: \sigma^{n} x=x\right\}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{per}(\Sigma)=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{per}_{n}(\Sigma)
$$

Shift spaces described by a finite set of forbidden blocks are called shifts of finite type (SFT) and their factors are called sofic. A shift of finite type is $k$-step, that is, it can be described by a collection of forbidden blocks all of which have length $k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Almost specified spacing shifts

Definition 2.1. A shift space $\Sigma$ has specification property if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\ell \geq N$ and $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots, w^{(m)} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ there are $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots, v^{(m)} \in \mathcal{L}_{\ell}(\Sigma)$ such that

$$
\left(w^{(1)} v^{(1)} w^{(2)} v^{(2)} \cdots w^{(m)} v^{(m)}\right)^{\infty} \in \Sigma
$$

A weaker concept which is one of our concern in this note is the almost specification property [17]. First, recall that a non-decreasing function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{N}$ is called a mistake function if $g(n) \leq n$ for all $n$ and $\frac{g(n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.2. A subshift $\Sigma$ has almost specification property if there exists a mistake function $g$ such that for every $w^{(1)}, \ldots, w^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$, there are words $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ with $\left|v^{(i)}\right|=\left|w^{(i)}\right|$ such that $v^{(1)} \ldots v^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ and each $v^{(i)}$ differs from $w^{(i)}$ in at most $g\left(\left|v^{(i)}\right|\right)$ places.

A sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called $\delta$-pseudo-orbit if $d\left(\sigma\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+1}\right)<\delta$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ has shadowing property, if for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $\delta$-pseudo-orbit $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exists $y \in \Sigma$ such that $d\left(\sigma^{n} y, x_{n}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$. In general, in a dynamical system with a shadowing property the properties SFT, weak mixing and specification are equivalent [13, Theorem 1]. Here, without assuming the shadowing property, we have the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\left(\Sigma_{P}, \sigma_{P}\right)$ be a spacing shift. Then, the following are equivalent.
(1) $\Sigma_{P}$ is topologically mixing;
(2) $\Sigma_{P}$ is SFT;
(3) $\Sigma_{P}$ has shadowing property;
(4) $\Sigma_{P}$ has a non-trivial mixing subsystem;
(5) $\Sigma_{P}$ has specification property;
(6) $\Sigma_{P}$ has almost specification property with at least two periodic point.

Proof. A subshift is SFT if and only if it has shadowing property [19]. Also, in spacing shifts, SFT is equivalent to mixing [2, Theorem 2.4]. So the first three statements are equivalent.

Note that for any $\Sigma_{P}, P=N([1],[1])$. Now if $\Sigma_{P}$ is mixing, (4) is trivially true. Conversely, if $Y$ is a non-trivially mixing subsystem of $\Sigma_{P}$, then $N([1],[1])$, the return time set in $Y$, and hence $P$ is cofinite which implies that $\Sigma_{P}$ is mixing.

It is known that specification property implies mixing [18, Pr roposition 2] and in mixing subshifts, SFT implies specification property [6].

Since all spacing shifts have the fixed point $0^{\infty}$, it remains to show that if a spacing shift has a nonzero periodic point and almost specification, then it is mixing (the converse is clearly true). Let $\Sigma_{P}$ be a spacing shift with at least one nonzero periodic point where $P$ is not cofinite. Therefore, we have $k \mathbb{N} \subset P$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $|(k \mathbb{N}+m) \backslash P|=\infty$. This implies that for any $N$, there is $n \geq N$ such that $k n+m \notin P$. Now let $w^{(1)}=\left(10^{k-1}\right)^{n} 10^{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{k n+m}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ and $w^{(2)}=\left(10^{k-1}\right)^{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{k n}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ and let $v^{(i)}$ be a word with $\left|v^{(i)}\right|=\left|w^{(i)}\right|, i \in\{1,2\}$ and $v^{(1)}$ differs $w^{(1)}$ in less than $\frac{1}{2 k}$ places. Then at least two successive 1 's of $w^{(1)}$ will be in the same position of 1's of $v^{(1)}$. Hence, if $v^{(1)} v^{(2)} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then all the positions of 1 's of $w^{(2)}$ must be different with the corresponding positions of $v^{(2)}$. So,r any mistake function will be larger than $\frac{1}{k}\left|v^{(2)}\right|$ and this in turn implies $\Sigma_{P}$ does not have almost specification property.

The proof of the above theorem implies:
Corollary 2.4. Suppose $\Sigma_{P}$ has at least two periodic points. Then $\Sigma_{P}$ has almost specification property if and only if $P$ is cofinite.

The situation is different whenever $\Sigma_{P}$ does not have non-zero periodic points. A subclass is when the entropy is zero. First recall that for any $A \subset \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the Banach density of $A$ is defined as

$$
d^{*}(A)=\limsup _{M-N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap\{N, N+1, \ldots, M\}|}{M-N+1}
$$

Also for a point $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma_{P}$, let

$$
A_{x}=\left\{i: x_{i}=1\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.5. If $\Sigma_{P}$ has zero entropy, then it has almost specification property.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g(n)$ to be the maximum cardinality of entry 1 that can appear in a word of length $n$. Clearly $g(n)$ is increasing and if $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots, w^{(k)}$ are in $\mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$, then $w=w^{(1)} 0^{\left|w^{(2)}\right|} \cdots 0^{\left|w^{(k)}\right|} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$. On the other hand, $h\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)=0$ if and only if $d^{*}\left(A_{x}\right)=0$ for any $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in \Sigma_{P}[1$, Theorem 2.4]. Thus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{x_{0} \cdots x_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}}{n}=0
$$

Therefore, $g(n)$ is a mistake function and so zero entropy implies almost specification property.

In [11], authors prove that any compact topological system with almost specification and measure of full support is weak mixing. In spacing shifts, we will prove the same conclusion holds for almost specification with positive entropy (Theorem 2.6). This is actually an extension, because if an spacing shifts has a measure with full support then $\mu([1])>0$ and by [12, Theorem 13] entropy is positive. However, there are examples in spacing shifts with positive entropy which are not of full support. To see this we recall a lemma from Furstenberg [8, Lemma 3.17]. This lemma states that in a shift space $(X, \sigma)$ on a set of finite characters $\Lambda$, there is an invariant measure $\mu$ with $\mu([\alpha])>0$ iff the symbol $\alpha$ occurs in some $x \in X$ with upper Banach density. Now a consequence of this result is that if $\mu$ is a measure with full support, then for any $u$, the block $u$ appears in some $x \in X$ with upper Banach density. We use this fact to show that if $P=2 \mathbb{N} \cup\{3\}$, then $\Sigma_{P}$ has positive entropy and without any measure with full support. Our space has positive entropy because, $(10)^{\infty} \in X$. However, if $u=1001$, then $u$ can appear in any point only finitely many times and so this space is not of full measure.

Recall that a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is thick if for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n$ such that the $M$ consecutive numbers $n, n+1, \ldots, n+M \in A$; also, $\Sigma_{P}$ is weak mixing if and only if $P$ is thick [2, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 2.6. Suppose $\Sigma_{P}$ has almost specification property. If $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)>0$, then $\left(\Sigma_{P}, \sigma_{P}\right)$ is weak mixing.

Proof. As stated in the proof of the above theorem $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)>0$ if and only if there is $y \in \Sigma_{P}$ such that $d_{y}=d^{*}\left(A_{y}\right)>0$ [1, Theorem 2.4].

Assume that $g(n)$ is the mistake function and set $k=\left[\frac{1}{d_{y}-\delta}\right]$ where $0<\delta<$ $d_{y}$. By this we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{*}\left(A_{y}\right)>\frac{1}{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pick $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose $N$ sufficiently large so that $\frac{g(n)}{n}<\frac{1}{2 k M}$ whenever $n>N$. Also choose $l>\left[\frac{N}{2 k M}\right]$ and let $V=v_{1} \cdots v_{|V|}$ be a word in $y$ such that $|V|=2 l k M>N$ and $\left|\left\{i: v_{i}=1\right\}\right| \geq \frac{|V|}{k}$. Observe that by (2.1), such $V$ exists and has at least $2 l M$ entries equal 1.

Now set $U^{(i)}:=V 0^{i-1}$ and $V^{(i)}:=V$ for $1 \leq i \leq M$. Let $\hat{U}^{(i)}, \hat{V}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ where $\left|\hat{U}^{(i)}\right|=\left|U^{(i)}\right|,\left|\hat{V}^{(i)}\right|=\left|V^{(i)}\right|$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq M, \hat{U}^{(i)} \hat{V}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ be the words provided by the definition of almost specification.

We claim that for all $1 \leq i \leq M$, there is $1 \leq s_{V} \leq|V|\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.1 \leq t_{V} \leq|V|\right)$ such that the $s$ th (resp. $t$ th) entry of all $U^{(i)}$ (resp. $V^{(i)}=V$ ) are 1. Then, there has to be entries 1 in the positions $s_{V}$ and $\left|\hat{U}^{(i)}\right|+t_{V}$ in $\hat{U}^{(i)} \hat{V}^{(i)}$. This forces to have $\left|\hat{U}^{(i)}\right|+t_{V}-s_{V} \in P$ or equivalently $|V|+i-1+t_{V}-s_{V} \in$ $P, 1 \leq i \leq M$. Since $M$ was arbitrary, $P$ is thick.

Now we set up to prove the claim. Since $g(|V|)<l, \hat{V}^{(i)}$ (resp. $\hat{U}^{(i)}$ ) differs $V=V^{(i)}$ (resp. $\left.U^{(i)}\right)$ in at most $l$ (resp. $l+1$ ) entries, $1 \leq i \leq M$. This means that the positions of at least $e=2 l M-(l+1)$ of 1 's in $\hat{U}^{(i)}$ and $U^{(i)}$ (resp. $\hat{V}^{(i)}$ and $V^{(i)}$ ) are identical. Without loss of generality, assume that all other entries except these $e$ entries are 0 and let $s_{V}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.t_{V}\right)$ be the first appearance of 1 in $\hat{U}^{(i)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\hat{V}^{(i)}\right)$.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 2.6 is not true even if one chooses $P$ with full density.

Example 2.7. There is a weak mixing $\Sigma_{P}$ with $d(P)=1, h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)=0$ and having almost specification property.

Proof. Recall that if $y \in \Sigma_{P}$, then $A_{y}-A_{y} \subset P$. Hence, if $P$ is not $\Delta^{*}$, i.e. there is $B \subset \mathbb{N},|B|=\infty$ such that $P \cap(B-B)=\emptyset$, then $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)=0$. This is because if $d^{*}\left(A_{y}\right)>0$, then $A_{y}-A_{y}$ and hence $P$ is $\Delta^{*}$ [5]. This enables us to give examples of weak mixing $\Sigma_{P}$ with $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)=0$ having almost specification property.

For instance, let $B=\left\{2^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then, for any $n, e_{n}=2^{n}=2^{n+1}-2^{n}$ and $e_{n}^{\prime}=2^{n+1}-2^{n-1}$ are two consecutive elements of $B-B$. But $e_{n}^{\prime}-e_{n}>n$ and so, $P=\mathbb{N} \backslash(B-B)$ is a thick set and as a result $\Sigma_{P}$ is weak mixing. By above reasoning we have $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)=0$ and using Theorem 2.5, implies that it has almost specification property.

Note that $\left|(B-B) \cap\left(2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right]\right|=n$. That is, $\left|(B-B) \cap\left(1,2^{n+1}\right]\right|=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and this means that $d(B-B)=0$ or equivalently $d(P)=1$.

Also, one may use Corollary 2.4 to give examples of spacing shifts which are not almost specified but have positive entropy. For instance, choose $P$ so that it is not cofinite but contains $k \mathbb{N}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $P$ is also chosen to be thick then our $\Sigma_{P}$ is weak mixing.

The following example gives a spacing shifts with positive entropy and so that it has only one periodic point, that is, the unique fixed point $\left(0^{\infty}\right)$.

Example 2.8. There is a non-weakly mixing spacing shifts with positive entropy and a unique periodic point which does not have almost specification property.

Proof. Kříz provides a subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $d^{*}(A)>0$ but $A-A$ does not contain any $k \mathbb{N}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ [16]. We have $A=(A \cap(2 \mathbb{N}+1)) \cup(A \cap 2 \mathbb{N})$ and with partition regularity, either $A \cap(2 \mathbb{N}+1)$ or $A \cap 2 \mathbb{N}$ has positive Banach density; call that $B$. Then $d^{*}(B)>0$ and if $P=B-B$, then $h\left(\sigma_{P}\right)>0$. Also, $\Sigma_{P}$ is not weak mixing since $P$ is not thick and it does not have non-zero periodic points for that requires $P$ containing some $k \mathbb{N}$. So by Theorem 2.6, this spacing shifts does not have almost specification property.

It is also worth to mention that independently in [1] and [12], using Krriž's example, a $\Sigma_{P}$ has been constructed which has positive entropy and it is weakly mixing with a unique fixed point.
2.1. Spacing shifts as an $S$-gap shift. Note that a spacing shifts $\Sigma_{P}$ is an $S$-gap shift with $S=P-1$ if and only if $P+P \subseteq P$ [2]. The next theorem gives an alternative combinatorial condition on $P$ for $\Sigma_{P}$ being an $S$-gap. We first give the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.9. Suppose $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}=1$. Then there is $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq L$, there are $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ with $n=r_{1} a_{1}+r_{2} a_{2}$.

We recall from [3] that a set $P$ is ultimately periodic (with ultimate period $p$ and base $N$ ) if there exist $p \geq 1$ and $N \geq 0$ such that for $n \geq N$

$$
n \in P \Leftrightarrow n+p \in P
$$

Theorem 2.10. The spacing shifts $\Sigma_{P}$ is an $S$-gap shift if and only if $P=$ $k \mathbb{N} \cap F$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F$ a cofinite set. In particulare, $\Sigma_{P}$ is a transitive sofic.

Proof. We show that for a set $P \subset \mathbb{N}, P+P \subset P$ if and only if $P=k \mathbb{N} \cap F$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and cofinite $F$.

This is obvious when $\operatorname{gcd}(P)=1$, for then $P$ is cofinite. So assume $\operatorname{gcd}(P)=$ $k$. Then without loss of generality we can assume that there are $p_{i}, p_{j} \in P$ such that $\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=k$ (otherwise, $\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=k l$ for some $l$ and we follow the proof by $k l$ ). This means that there are coprime numbers $m_{i}, m_{j}$ where $p_{i}=m_{i} k$ and $p_{j}=m_{j} k$. Since $P$ is closed under addition, for any $r, s, r p_{i}+s p_{j} \in P$. So $\left(r m_{i}+s m_{j}\right) k \in P$ and since $\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)$ are coprime, by Lemma 2.9, there is a cofinite set $F$ generated by $m_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ and as a result $P=k \mathbb{N} \cap F$. It is clear that $k \mathbb{N} \cap F$ is ultimately periodic and by [3, Theorem 5.6], $\Sigma_{P}$ is sofic.

Notice that the converse of the above result is not true: Let $P=2 \mathbb{N}-1$. Then $P$ is ultimately periodic and $\Sigma_{P}$ is sofic, but it is not transitive and hence it cannot be an $S$-gap.

## 3. Renewal spacing shifts

A shift space $X$ is coded if there is a countable set $\mathcal{W}=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of words in $X$ called the generator of $X$ such that $X$ is the closure of the set of sequences obtained by freely concatenating the words in $\mathcal{W}$ [15]. We denote a coded space $\Sigma$ by $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$.

Definition 3.1. A coded system $\Sigma$ is called renewal system, if there is a finite generating set $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\Sigma=\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$. If in addition $\mathcal{W}$ can be chosen so that whenever $u_{1} \cdots u_{t}=v_{1} \cdots v_{s} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ with $u_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $t=s$ and $u_{i}=v_{i}$, then $\Sigma$ is called uniquely decipherable [10, Definition 1.2].

For instance if $P=k \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{W}=\left\{0^{k}, 10^{k-1}\right\}$ is a generator for $\Sigma_{P}$ and it is uniquely decipherable.

A general form for a cofinite set $P$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}, N, N+1, \ldots\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{i}<l_{j}$ whenever $i<j$ and $l_{r}<N-1$.
Set $P_{l}=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}\right\}$ and $P_{N}=\{N, N+1, \ldots\}$ and note that $P=P_{l} \cup P_{N}$.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose $P$ is cofinite. Then for the following cases $\Sigma_{P}$ is uniquely decipherable renewal system.
(1) $P+P \subset P$.
(2) $P$ as in (3.1) and either $2 l_{1} \geq N$ or $2 l_{r}<N$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $P$ is as in (3.1) and $P+P \subset P$. First we show that if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{W}=\left\{10^{l_{1}-1}, \ldots, 10^{l_{r}-1}, 10^{N-1}, 10^{N}, \ldots, 10^{2 N-2}, 0^{N}\right\} \\
\backslash\left\{10^{N+l_{1}-1}, \ldots, 10^{N+l_{r}-1}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

then $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})=\Sigma_{P}$. The fact that $\Sigma(\mathcal{W}) \subset \Sigma_{P}$ is a direct consequence of $P+P \subset P$. So let $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \in \Sigma_{P}$. We show that $x$ is a sequence made by concatenation of some words in $\mathcal{W}$.

Without loss of generality assume that

$$
x_{0}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad m_{0}=\min \left\{i>0: x_{i}=1\right\}
$$

We have $m_{0} \in P$. Let $m_{0}=\ell N+q, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $0 \leq q \leq N-1$ and set $u_{0}=x_{0} \cdots x_{m_{0}-1}$. If $q \in P_{l}=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}\right\}$, then $u_{0}=10^{q-1}\left(0^{N}\right)^{\ell} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma(\mathcal{W}))$; otherwise, $10^{N+q-1} \in \mathcal{W}$ and hence $u_{0}=10^{N+q}\left(0^{N}\right)^{\ell-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma(\mathcal{W}))$. Now let $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}=\sigma^{m_{0}} x$. By applying the same routine as $x$ for $x^{\prime}$ and then using induction, we will have $x \in \Sigma(\mathcal{W})$.

To this end $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is renewal and it remains to show that it is uniquely decipherable. Note that $|\mathcal{W}|<\infty$ and for $0 \leq q<N$, there exists a unique $u \in$ $\mathcal{W} \backslash\left\{0^{N}\right\}$ such that $u=10^{m N+q}, m \in\{0,1\}$. So if $u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{s}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{t} \in$ $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma(\mathcal{W})), u_{i}, v_{i} \in \mathcal{W}$, then $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and similarly $u_{i}=v_{i}$ and $s=t$.
(2) When $2 l_{1} \geq N$, we will have $P+P \subset P$ and the result follows from (1). So suppose $2 l_{r}<N$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq r, l_{i} \mathbb{N} \cap\left\{l_{r}+1, \ldots, N-1\right\}=\emptyset$ which implies that $l_{i} \mathbb{N} \not \subset P$.

To give a suitable generator $\mathcal{W}$ for $\Sigma_{P}$, let $B_{n}$ be a set consisting of those $n$-tuples in $P_{l}^{n}$ such that all the sums of $s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ consecutive coordinates are in $P$. That is, for $1 \leq n \leq r$ let
$B_{n}=\left\{\left(l_{i_{1}}, l_{i_{2}}, \ldots, l_{i_{n}}\right) \in P_{l}^{n}: \cup_{s=1}^{n} \cup_{\alpha=1}^{n-s+1}\left\{l_{i_{\alpha}}+l_{i_{\alpha+1}}+\cdots+l_{i_{\alpha+s-1}}\right\} \subset P\right\}$.
Then $B_{1}=P_{l}$ and $B_{n}$ may be empty for some $2 \leq n \leq r$. Set $B:=\cup_{n=1}^{r} B_{n}$ and note that $|B|<\infty$. Now for $b \in B$ define

$$
u(b)=10^{l_{i_{1}}-1} 10^{l_{i_{2}}-1} 1 \cdots 10^{l_{i_{n}}-1} 10^{N-1}
$$

We show that

$$
\mathcal{W}=\left\{0,10^{N-1}\right\} \cup\{u(b): b \in B\}
$$

is a generator for $\Sigma_{P}$ and $\Sigma_{P}=\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is uniquely decipherable.
Observe that for any $b, b^{\prime} \in B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(b)=u\left(b^{\prime}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b=b^{\prime} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose that $u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{r}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{s}$ where $u_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathcal{W}$. If $u_{1}=0$ (resp. $10^{N-1}$ ), then $v_{1}=0$ (resp. $10^{N-1}$ ) and if $u_{1}=u(b)$ for some $b$, then $v_{1}=$ $u\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ for some $b^{\prime}$ and using (3.2) implies $u_{1}=u(b)=u\left(b^{\prime}\right)=v_{1}$. Thus by an induction argument $u_{i}=v_{i}$ and $r=s$. This means $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is uniquely decipherable and the necessity is proved if we show that $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})=\Sigma_{P}$. But $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is a subsystem of $\Sigma_{P}$ and so $\Sigma(\mathcal{W}) \subset \Sigma_{P}$. Now let $x=\left(x_{n}\right) \in \Sigma_{P}$. If $x=0^{\infty}$ we are done. Otherwise, we may assume $x_{0}=1$ and then by our hypothesis, we must have at least one $10^{N-1}$ as a word in $x$ starting at a positive position. Let $m_{0}$ be the first incident that such a $10^{N-1}$ occurs and let $u=x_{0} \cdots x_{m_{0}} \cdots x_{m_{0}+N}=1 x_{1} \cdots x_{m_{0}-1} 10^{N-1}$. We show that $u \in \mathcal{W}$ and then substituting $x$ by $x^{\prime}=\sigma_{P}^{|u|} x$ and using an induction argument we will have the proof. But the only possibility is that $u=10^{l_{i_{1}}-1} 10^{l_{i_{2}}-1} \cdots 10^{l_{i_{n}}-1} 10^{N-1}$ where $l_{i_{j}} \in P_{l}$. This in turn means that $b=\left(l_{i_{1}}, \ldots, l_{i_{n}}\right) \in B_{n}$ and so $u=$ $u(b) \in \mathcal{W}$ as required.

Now we exclude some cases that a coded $\Sigma_{P}$ cannot be renewal.
Theorem 3.3. Let $P$ be cofinite as in (3.1). Suppose $P_{l} \cap[1, a+b] \subset a \mathbb{N} \cup b \mathbb{N} \subset$ $P$ but $(a+b) \notin P$ for some positive integers $1<a<b$. Then, $\Sigma_{P}$ is not renewal.
Proof. Assume that $\Sigma_{P}$ is renewal. So there exists a finite set of words $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})=\Sigma_{P}$. Since $P$ contains $a \mathbb{N}$ and $b \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that $\left(10^{a-1}\right)^{\infty},\left(10^{b-1}\right)^{\infty} \in \Sigma_{P}$. Hence by the fact that $|\mathcal{W}|<\infty$, there exist $p, q, s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $w_{1}=0^{s_{1}}\left(10^{a-1}\right)^{p} 10^{t_{1}}, w_{2}=0^{s_{2}}\left(10^{b-1}\right)^{q} 10^{t_{2}}$ are in $\mathcal{W}$ as subwords of $\left(10^{a-1}\right)^{\infty}$ and $\left(10^{b-1}\right)^{\infty}$ respectively.

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}+t_{1}=a-1, \quad s_{2}+t_{2}=b-1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $w_{1} w_{2} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right) \Rightarrow e:=t_{1}+s_{2}+1 \in P$. On the other hand, $a+b \notin P$ implies $a+b<N$ and since $e \leq t_{1}+s_{1}+s_{2}+t_{2}+1 \leq a+b-1, e \in P_{l} \cap[1, a+b] \subset$ $a \mathbb{N} \cup b \mathbb{N}$. Observe that since $a<b$, we have $e<2 b$. So either $e=b$ or $e=k a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $e=b$, then from

$$
w_{1} w_{2}=0^{s_{1}}\left(10^{a-1}\right)^{p-1}(1 \underbrace{\underbrace{a-1}) 10^{t_{1}} 0^{s_{2}}}\left(10^{b-1}\right)^{q} 10^{t_{2}} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)
$$

one must have $a+t_{1}+s_{2}+1=a+b \in P$ which is not allowed by assumption. (If $p=0$, we can consider $w_{1} w_{1} w_{2}$ instead of $w_{1} w_{2}$.) Hence, $e=k a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, we have $w_{2} w_{1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ and so $e^{\prime}:=s_{1}+t_{2}+1=k^{\prime} a, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. This in turn implies $a+b=e+e^{\prime}=\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) a \in a \mathbb{N} \subseteq P$ which is absurd.

Let $P$ and $\Sigma_{P}$ be as in the above theorem. Then, a natural question is if $\Sigma_{P}$ is conjugate to a renewal system? In fact, in a more general settings, this question was posed by Adler, that is, he asks: "Is every irreducible shift of finite type conjugate to a renewal system" [9]. Note that this question has not been addressed here.

Definition 3.4. A spacing shifts $\Sigma_{P}$ is called regular spacing shifts if for any $u \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$ there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $10^{l-1} u \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$.

Example 3.5. (1). Transitive spacing shifts and those with a dense set of periodic points are regular spacing shifts.
(2). Example of a regular but not transitive spacing shifts. Let $P_{1}=2 \mathbb{N} \cup 3 \mathbb{N}$. First by showing that $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ has a dense set of periodic points, we will conclude that $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ is a regular spacing shifts.

By [2, Theorem 2.7], $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ has a dense set of periodic points if and only if for any $p \in P_{1}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
k \mathbb{N} \cup(k \mathbb{N}+p) \cup(k \mathbb{N}-p) \subset P_{1}
$$

Our example satisfies this condition and so is regular.
Now we show that $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ is not transitive. Let $u=101$ and $v=10^{2} 1$ be two words in $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$. Non-transitivity is proved if we show that $u 0^{l-1} v \notin \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P_{1}}\right)$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, $l, l+2, l+3, l+5 \in P_{1}$. Since $(l+2)-l=2, l, l+2 \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and so $l+3, l+5$ are odd numbers and hence they must be in $3 \mathbb{N}$. Trivially this is impossible.
(3). Example for a non-regular spacing shifts. Let $P_{2}=2 \mathbb{N} \cup\{3\}$ and let $u=1001001 \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$. Then, $10^{l-1} u \notin \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Because, if $l \in 2 \mathbb{N}+1$, then $l$ has to be 3 which is impossible here; for this forces to have $9 \in P_{2}$. If $l \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then we must have another odd number $l+3 \in P_{2}$ which is again impossible.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\Sigma_{P}$ be a regular spacing shifts and let $X$ be a subshift in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$. Then, $\Sigma_{P}$ is conjugate to $X$ if and only if $\Sigma_{P}=X$. Also, the conjugacy map must be the identity map up to re-indexing the characters in $\{0,1\}$.

Proof. Let $\phi$ be the conjugacy map. Since $\Sigma_{P}$ has at most one point of periodic point of period one, the same is true for $X$ and so either $0^{\infty} \in X$ or $1^{\infty} \in X$; either is possible and we assume $0^{\infty} \in X$. (Re-indexing of the characters in $\{0,1\}$ stated in the conclusion happens here.) Also let $\Phi$ be the bijective sliding block coded by anticipation $n$, and $\phi=\Phi^{\infty}$.

Claim: If $x_{u}=u 0^{\infty}=u_{0} u_{1} \cdots u_{m} 0^{\infty} \in \Sigma_{P}$ has $k$ entries 1 , then $k$ entries of $\phi\left(x_{u}\right)$ are 1.

We prove the claim by induction on $k$, the number of entries 1 in $u$. Since $0^{\infty}$ is the only periodic point of period 1 in both systems, we must have $\phi\left(0^{\infty}\right)=0^{\infty}$
and so $\Phi\left(0^{n}\right)=0$. So our claim is true when $k=0$. Hence assume that the claim is true for $k-1$ and let

$$
u=10^{l_{1}-1} 10^{l_{2}-1} 1 \cdots 10^{l_{k-1}-1} 10^{l_{k}-1}
$$

a word of length $m$ in $\Sigma_{P}$ with $k$ entries 1 . By $\Phi\left(0^{n}\right)=0$, we have $\phi\left(u 0^{\infty}\right)=$ $v 0^{\infty}$ for some $v=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. We show that $v$ has $k$ entries 1 . We have $\phi\left(\sigma x_{u}\right)=\sigma\left(v 0^{\infty}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m} 0^{\infty}$. Also since $\sigma x_{u}$ has $k-1$ entries 1 , by the induction assumption, $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m}$ has the same number of entries 1. Now replace $u_{0}$ in $u$ with 0 and call it $u^{\prime}$, that is, let $u^{\prime}=0^{l_{1}} 10^{l_{2}-1} 1 \cdots 10^{l_{k-1}-1} 10^{l_{k}-1}$. Observe that $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime} 0^{\infty}=\phi\left(u^{\prime} 0^{\infty}\right)$ have $k-1$ entries 1. But $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime} \cdots v_{m}^{\prime}=$ $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m}$ (because $u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{m}^{\prime}=u_{1} \cdots u_{m}$ ) and they have $k-1$ entries 1 as well. This implies that $v_{0}^{\prime}=0$. It remains to determine $v_{0}$. If $v_{0}=0$, then $\phi\left(u^{\prime} 0^{\infty}\right)=\phi\left(u 0^{\infty}\right)$ and this is absurd by the fact that $\phi$ is one to one. Therefore, $v_{0}=1$ and as a result $v$ has $k$ entries 1 and the claim is set for the case that $u$ starts with 1 . Moreover, in this case $x_{u}$ and its image start with 1 .

Now let $u=0^{l_{0}} 10^{l_{1}-1} 1 \cdots 10^{l_{k}-1}$ for some $l_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of regular spacing shifts, there exists $l^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ where $w=10^{l^{\prime}-1} 0^{l_{0}} 10^{l_{1}-1} 1 \cdots 10^{l_{k}-1} \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right)$. On the other hand, $x_{w}=w 0^{\infty}$ and $\sigma^{l^{\prime}+l_{0}}\left(w 0^{\infty}\right)$ begin with 1 and so $\phi\left(w 0^{\infty}\right)$ and $\phi\left(\sigma^{l^{\prime}+l_{0}}\left(w 0^{\infty}\right)\right)$ have $k+1$ and $k$ entries 1 respectively and both begin with 1 . Thus $\phi\left(\sigma^{l^{\prime}}\left(w 0^{\infty}\right)\right)=\phi\left(u 0^{\infty}\right)$ has $k$ entries 1 and the proof of the claim is complete.

Now we show that for any $u \in \mathcal{L}\left(\Sigma_{P}\right), \phi\left(u 0^{\infty}\right)=u 0^{\infty}$ and clearly this gives the proof. But in the second case of the proof of the claim we have that both $x_{u}$ and its image start with 0 . Combining this fact and the similar fact in the first case, we see that $x_{u}$ and $\phi\left(x_{u}\right)$ have the same starting entry. This in turn means that $\Phi(u)=u_{0}$ and so we may actually take the anticipation to be 1 . Then, $\Phi$ as well as $\phi$ are identity and we are done.

Theorem 3.7. Suppose $P_{1}$ is cofinite and $P_{1} \subseteq P_{2}$. Then, $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ is both a subsystem and a factor of $\Sigma_{P_{2}}$.

Proof. The conclusion follows trivially if $P_{1}=P_{2}$; so assume $P_{1} \subsetneq P_{2}$. Then, $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ is a proper subsystem of $\Sigma_{P_{2}}$ and $h\left(\sigma_{P_{1}}\right)<h\left(\sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$ ([15, Corollary 4.4.9]). Also, $\operatorname{per}\left(\Sigma_{P_{1}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{per}\left(\Sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$ and in particular, $\operatorname{per}\left(\Sigma_{P_{1}}\right) \searrow \operatorname{per}\left(\Sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$, that is, for any periodic point of period $p$ in $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$, there is a periodic point of period $q$ in $\Sigma_{P_{2}}$ such that $p \mid q$. Now, since $\Sigma_{P_{1}}$ and $\Sigma_{P_{2}}$ are mixing and SFT, by [7, Theorem 5.3], $\left(\Sigma_{P_{1}}, \sigma_{P_{1}}\right)$ is a factor of $\left(\Sigma_{P_{2}}, \sigma_{P_{2}}\right)$.
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