COMPACT WEIGHTED FROBENIUS-PERRON OPERATORS AND THEIR SPECTRA

M. R. JABBARZADEH * AND H. EMAMALIPOUR

Communicated by Heydar Radjavi

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize the compact weighted Frobenius - Perron operator $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}$ on $L^{1}(\Sigma)$ and determine its spectra. Also, it is shown that every weakly compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^{1}(\Sigma)$ is compact.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and let $\varphi: X \to X$ be a non-singular transformation, i.e. φ is Σ -measurable function and $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A)) = 0$ for all $A \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$. This assumption about φ just says that the measure $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ (we write $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$, as usual), where $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}(A) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A))$ for $A \in \Sigma$. We shall assume that the restriction of μ to σ -subalgebra $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ of Σ , is σ -finite, and we denote by $(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu)$ the completion of $(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu_{|\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)})$. We denote by h the Radon-Nikodym derivative $h = d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}/d\mu$. We will write $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ for $L^1(X, \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu_{|\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)})$. $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ may then be viewed as a subspace of $L^1(\Sigma)$ and denote its norm by $\|.\|_1$. Support of a measurable function f will be denoted by $\sup(f) = \{x \in X; f(x) \neq 0\}$. Relationships

MSC(2010): Primary: 47B20; Secondary: 47B38, 11Y50.

Keywords: Frobenius-perron operator, weighted composition operator, conditional expectation.

Received: 14 January 2010, Accepted: 13 November 2010.

^{*}Corresponding author

^{© 2012} Iranian Mathematical Society.

between functions f and between sets are interpreted in the almost every where sense. For any non-negative Σ -measurable functions f as well as for any $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a unique $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable function E(f) such that

$$\int_A Efd\mu = \int_A fd\mu, \quad \text{ for all } A \in \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma).$$

Hence we obtain an operator E from $L^1(\Sigma)$ onto $L^1(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))$ which is called conditional expectation operator associated with the σ -algebra $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. It is easy to show that for each $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$, there exists a Σ -measurable function g such that $E(f) = g \circ \varphi$. To obtain a unique g with this property we may assume and do that $\sup(g) \subseteq \sup(h)$. We therefore write $g = E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}$, though we make no assumptions regarding the invertibility of φ (see [9]). It is easy to check that $E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1} - E(g) \circ \varphi^{-1} = E(f - g) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $|E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}| = |E(f)| \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for all $f, g \in L^1(\Sigma)$. We list here some of its useful properties:

- E(fg) = E(f)g whenever g is $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable and both conditional expectations are defined.
- $|E(f)|^p \le E(|f|^p)$, for each $p \ge 1$.
- If $f \ge 0$ then $E(f) \ge 0$; if $\hat{E}(|f|) = 0$ then f = 0.

Let f be a real-valued measurable function. Consider the set $B_f = \{x \in X : E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}$. The function f is said to be conditionable with respect to $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, if $\mu(B_f) = 0$. If f is complex-valued, then f is conditionable if the real and imaginary parts of f are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. For more details on the properties of E see [9, 10].

The aim of this paper is to carry some of the results obtained for the weighted composition operators and (classic) Frobenius-Perron operators in [4, 8, 11] to the weighted Frobenius-Perron operators. In the paper, first we give a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of the weighted Frobenius-Perron operator $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}$ on $L^{1}(\Sigma)$. Then, by making use of this condition we determine the spectrum of the compact operator $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}$. One should note that the illustration of spectrum of the Frobenius-Perron operators, in general case, is still an open problem (see [3]). We also show that every weakly compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^{1}(\Sigma)$ is compact.

2. Main Results

Suppose $\varphi: X \to X$ is a non-singular transformation and let $u: X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a conditionable measurable function. If A is any Σ -measurable set for which $\int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} uf d\mu$ exists, the linear operator $\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi}: L^1(\Sigma) \to L^1(\Sigma)$ defined by $\int_A \mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi} f d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} uf d\mu$ is called a weighted Frobenius-Perron operator associated with the pair (u,φ) . Note that the operator \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} is a bounded operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ if and only if $u \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ and its norm is given by $\|\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi}\| = \|u\|_{\infty}$ (see [7]).

Take a set $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. We say that A is an atom if, for any $C \in \Sigma$ with $C \subseteq A$, we have either $\mu(C) = 0$ or $\mu(A \setminus C) = 0$. Let A be an atom. Since μ is σ -finite, it follows that $\mu(A) < \infty$. Also, every Σ -measurable function f on X is constant almost everywhere on A. As is well known that, a σ -finite measure space (X, Σ, μ) is uniquely decomposed as

$$(2.1) X = B \cup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\},\$$

where B is a non-atomic set and $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable collection of disjoint atoms (see [12]).

Lemma 2.1. Let B_0 be a non-atomic set in Σ with $0 < \mu(B_0) < \infty$ and let $\varphi : X \to X$ be a non-singular measurable transformation. Then $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma \cap B_0)$ has no atoms.

Proof. See ([6], Lemma 1).
$$\Box$$

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} be a bounded Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ and suppose (X, Σ, μ) can be partitioned as (2.1). Then \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} is a compact operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ if and only if $u(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$ (u(x) = 0 for μ -almost all $x \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$), and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_n) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(u)) > 0\}$ is finite, where $D_{\varepsilon}(u) = \{x \in X : |u(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} is a compact operator. First we show that $u(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$. Suppose the contrary. Since $D_{\varepsilon}(u) \subseteq D_{\varepsilon}(E(|u|)) := \{x \in X : E(|u|)(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(B) \cap D_{\delta}(E(|u|))) \geq \mu(\varphi^{-1}(B) \cap D_{\delta}(u)) > 0$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a σ -finite, there is a $B_0 \in \Sigma \cap B$ with $0 < \mu(\varphi^{-1}(B_0) \cap D_{\delta}(E(|u|))) < \infty$. Hence $J_0 := \varphi^{-1}(B_0) \cap D_{\delta}(E(|u|)) \in \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma \cap B) \cap \Sigma = \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma \cap B)$. By Lemma 2.1, J_0 has no atoms. Choose a sequence $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \Sigma \cap B_0$, such that $J_{n+1} \subseteq S_n \cap B_n \cap S_n \cap S_n$

 $J_n \subseteq J_0, \ 0 < \mu(J_{n+1}) = \mu(J_n)/2$, where $J_n := \varphi^{-1}(B_n) \cap D_\delta(E(|u|)) \in \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n = \bar{u}\chi_{J_n}/(\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_n))$. Then $\|f_n\|_1 \le 1$. Now by using the change of variable formula $(\int_X hfd\mu = \int_X f \circ \varphi d\mu$, for any non-negative measurable function f), for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m > n we get that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f_{n} - \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f_{m}\|_{1} = \int_{X} h|E(u(f_{n} - f_{m}))| \circ \varphi^{-1}d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} |E(u(f_{n} - f_{m}))|d\mu = \int_{X} \frac{E(|u|^{2})}{\|u\|_{\infty}} \left| \frac{\chi_{J_{n}}}{\mu(J_{n})} - \frac{\chi_{J_{m}}}{\mu(J_{m})} \right| d\mu$$

$$\geq \int_{J_{n} \setminus J_{m}} \frac{(E(|u|))^{2}d\mu}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n})} \geq \frac{\delta^{2}}{\|u\|_{\infty}} \int_{J_{n} \setminus J_{m}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu(J_{n})}$$

$$= \frac{\delta^{2}}{\|u\|_{\infty}} \frac{\mu(J_{n} \setminus J_{m})}{\mu(J_{n})} = \frac{\delta^{2}}{\|u\|_{\infty}} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(J_{m})}{\mu(J_{n})}\right) > \frac{\delta^{2}}{2\|u\|_{\infty}},$$

which shows that the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ dose not contain a convergent subsequence. But this is a contradiction.

Now, we show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_n) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(u)) > 0\}$ is finite. Suppose the contrary again. Then, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a subsequence $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint atoms in Σ such that $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_k) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(E(|u|))) > 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $G_k = \varphi^{-1}(A_k) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(E(|u|))$. Hence we obtain a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $\{G_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_k \in \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and $\mu(G_k) > 0$. Moreover, since $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is σ -finite, then h is finite valued and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(A_k) < \infty$. Hence $\mu(G_k) \leq \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_k)) = \int_{A_k} h d\mu = h(A_k)\mu(A_k) < \infty$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $f_k = \bar{u}\chi_{G_k}/(\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_k))$. Then $\|f_k\|_1 \leq 1$. Since for each $i \neq j$, $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f_{i} - \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f_{j}\|_{1} &= \int_{X} \frac{E(|u|^{2})}{\|u\|_{\infty}} \left| \frac{\chi_{G_{i}}}{\mu(G_{i})} - \frac{\chi_{G_{j}}}{\mu(G_{j})} \right| d\mu \\ &= \int_{X} \left(\frac{E(|u|^{2})\chi_{G_{i}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_{i})} \right) d\mu + \int_{X} \left(\frac{(E(|u|^{2})\chi_{G_{j}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_{j})} \right) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{X} \left(\frac{(E(|u|))^{2}\chi_{G_{i}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_{i})} \right) d\mu + \int_{X} \left(\frac{(E(|u|))^{2}\chi_{G_{j}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_{j})} \right) d\mu \geq \frac{2\varepsilon^{2}}{\|u\|_{\infty}}. \end{split}$$

This contradicts the compactness of $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}$.

The proof of the sufficient part is the same as for Theorem 2.9 in [7].

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that μ is nonatomic, i.e. X = B. Then a weighted Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ is compact if and only if it is a zero operator. In particular, no classic Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ is compact.

Our next task is about the spectra. For the classic Frobenius-Perron operator P_{φ} on $L^1(\Sigma)$, some basic properties of its spectra were described by Jiu Ding [2, 3, 4, 5] and some other mathematicians. In this sequel, we determine the spectrum, $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)$, of a compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}_{φ}^u on $L^1(\Sigma)$.

The kth iterate φ^k of the non-singular measurable transformation $\varphi: X \to X$ is defined by $\varphi^0(x) = x$ and $\varphi^k(x) = \varphi(\varphi^{k-1}(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From now on, we assume that the sequence $h_n := \frac{d\mu \circ \varphi^{-n}}{d\mu}$ is uniformly bounded.

Definition 2.4. An atom A is called an invariant atom with respect to φ , if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varphi^n(A)$ is an atom. An invariant atom A with respect to φ is called a fixed atom of φ of order one, if $u(A) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(A) = A = \varphi^{-1}(A)$. Also, it is called of order $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} u(\varphi^i(A)) \neq 0$, $\varphi^{-k}(A) = A = \varphi^k(A)$ and $\varphi^i(A) \neq A$ for $i = \pm 1, \ldots, \pm (k-1)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} be a compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operator \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} on $L^1(\Sigma)$. If we set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} u(\varphi^i(A)), \text{ for some fixed atom A of φ of order k}\},$$

then we have $\sigma(\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi}) \cup \{0\} = \Lambda \cup \{0\}.$

Proof. To prove the theorem, we adopt the method used by Kamowitz [8] and Takagi [11]. Let A be an invariant atom and $u(\varphi^m(A)) = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} is not onto. If is not, then there exists $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi} f = \chi_{\varphi^{m+1}(A)}$. This implies that

$$0 = \int_{\varphi^m(A)} u f d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{m+1}(A)} \mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi} f d\mu = \mu(\varphi^{m+1}(A)) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus in this case $0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)$. Now, let A be a fixed atom of φ of order one and suppose $\lambda = u(A)$. We claim that the equation $\lambda f - \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u f = \chi_A$ is not solvable for a non-zero $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$.

Indeed, since $\varphi^{-1}(A) = A$, we have

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f)(A) = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{A} \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u} f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} u f d\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{A} u f d\mu = u(A) f(A) = (\lambda f)(A).$$

Hence, we get that $(\lambda f - \mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi} f)(A) = 0$ while $\chi_A(A) = 1$. Therefore $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi})$. Now, suppose that A is a fixed atom of φ of order $k \geq 2$ and $\lambda^k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} u(\varphi^i(A))$. By induction, we can easily show that

(2.2)
$$\lambda^k f(A) - ((\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)^k(f))(A) = \lambda^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-i-1} ((\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)^i(\chi_A))(A).$$

Put $U_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (u \circ \varphi^i)$. Then $(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)^k = P_{\varphi^k} M_{U_k}$, where M_{U_k} is a multiplication operator (see [7]). Since $\varphi^{-k}(A) = A$ and $\varphi^{-i}(A) \neq A$ for $i = \pm 1, \ldots \pm (k-1)$, then we have

$$((\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u})^{k}(f))(A) = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{A} (\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u})^{k}(f) d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{A} P_{\varphi^{k}}(U_{k}f) d\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{\varphi^{-k}(A)} U_{k}f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_{A} U_{k}f d\mu = U_{k}(A)f(A)$$

and

$$((\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u})^{i}(\chi_{A}))(A) = (P_{\varphi^{i}}(U_{i}\chi_{A}))(A)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mu(A)}U_{i}(\varphi^{-i}(A))\chi_{A}(\varphi^{-i}(A))\mu(\varphi^{-i}(A)) = 0.$$

It follows that, the left hand side of (2.2) equals 0, while the right hand side of (2.2) equals λ^{k-1} . This contradiction shows that $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u)$. Therefore $\Lambda \cup \{0\} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u) \cup \{0\}$.

Now, we show the opposite inclusion. Let $\lambda \notin \Lambda \cup \{0\}$, and suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u f = \lambda f$, for some $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$. Since every non-zero spectral value λ of \mathcal{P}_{φ}^u is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{P}_{φ}^u , we must show that f is zero μ -almost everywhere on X. We first show that f(A) = 0 for every invariant atom A. Let A be a fixed atom of φ of order k. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u f = \lambda f$, by induction, we get $(P_{\varphi^k} U_k) f = \lambda^k f$, and so $U_k(A) f(A) = \lambda^k f(A)$. Since $U_k(A) \neq \lambda^k$, we have f(A) = 0.

By the first part of the proof, we can assume that for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u(\varphi^k(A)) \neq 0$. Put $\mathcal{K}(A) = \{\varphi^i(A) : i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$. If $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is finite,

then for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with m > n, $\varphi^m(A) = \varphi^n(A)$. It follows that $\varphi^{m-n}(\varphi^{-m}(A)) = \varphi^{-n}(A) = \varphi^{-m}(A)$ and $\varphi^{n-m}(\varphi^{-m}(A)) = \varphi^{n-m}(\varphi^{m-n}(\varphi^{-m}(A))) = \varphi^{-m}(A)$. Thus $\varphi^{-m}(A)$ is a fixed atom of φ of order m-n and hence $f(\varphi^{-m}(A)) = 0$. On the other hand, since $\lambda^m f = (\mathcal{P}^u_\varphi)^m f$ and

$$((\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u})^{m}(f))(A) = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} U_{m}(\varphi^{-m}(A)) f(\varphi^{-m}(A)) \mu(\varphi^{-m}(A)) = 0,$$

then, f(A) = 0.

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{K}(A)$ is infinite. We claim that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : |u(\varphi^n(A)| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose this dose not hold. Then the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \mu(\{x \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi^{n+1}(A)) : |u(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) > 0\}$ is infinite. But this contradicts the compactness of \mathcal{P}_{φ}^u . Put $N = \max\{|m| \in \mathbb{N} : |u(\varphi^m(A))| \ge \varepsilon\}$. Choose $\varepsilon = |\lambda|/2$. Then, for each n > N, $|u(\varphi^n(A))| < |\lambda|/2$. It follows that

$$|\lambda^n f(A)| = h_n |u(\varphi^{-n}(A)) \dots u(\varphi^{-N}(A)) \dots u(\varphi^{-1}(A)) f(\varphi^{-n}(A))|$$

$$\leq h_n ||u||_{\infty}^N \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{2}\right)^{n-N} ||f||_1.$$

Thus

$$|f(A)| \le h_n ||u||_{\infty}^{N} (\frac{|\lambda|}{2})^{-N} (\frac{1}{2})^n ||f||_1 \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore we conclude that f is zero on $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n$.

It remains to show that f is zero μ -almost everywhere on B. Since $L^1(\Sigma) = L^1(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \oplus L^1(B)$, hence it suffices to show that f is zero as an element of $L^1(B)$. Now, it follows from $u(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$ that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f\|_{L^{1}(B)} = \int_{B} |\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}f| d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} uf d\mu = 0.$$

Thus $\lambda f = \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u} f = 0$ and hence f is zero μ -almost everywhere on B. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Finally, we investigate the weakly compact weighted Frobenius-Perron operators on $L^1(\Sigma)$. Recall that the operator $\mathcal{P}^u_{\varphi}: L^1(\Sigma) \to L^1(\Sigma)$ is said to be weakly compact if it maps bounded subsets of $L^1(\Sigma)$ into weakly sequentially compact subsets of $L^1(\Sigma)$. A classical theorem of Dunford (see [1], IV.8.9) isolates the weakly sequentially compact subsets of $L^1(\Sigma)$ as the bounded uniformly integrable subsets. We begin

with the following lemma, which can be deduced from Theorem IV.8.9, and its Corollaries 8.10, 8.11 in [1].

Lemma 2.6. Let H be a weakly sequentially compact set in $L^1(\Sigma)$. Then for each decreasing sequence $\{E_n\}$ in Σ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(E_n) = 0$ or $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$, the sequence of integrals $\{\int_{E_n} |h| d\mu\}$ converges to zero uniformly for h in H.

Theorem 2.7. Let \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} be a bounded Frobenius-Perron operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ and suppose that (X, Σ, μ) can be partitioned as (2.1). Then \mathcal{P}^u_{φ} is a weakly compact operator on $L^1(\Sigma)$ if and only if it is compact.

Proof. It suffices to show the "only if " part. The inspiration for the proof is the method used by Takagi [11]. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}$ be a weakly compact operator on $L^{1}(\Sigma)$. We first show that $u(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$. Suppose the contrary. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we assume that for some $\delta > 0$ and $B_{0} \subseteq B$, $0 < \mu(\varphi^{-1}(B_{0}) \cap D_{\delta}(u)) < \infty$. Now, as B_{0} is non-atomic, we can find a decreasing sequence $\{B_{n}\} \subseteq B_{0} \cap \Sigma$ with $0 < \mu(B_{n}) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $0 < J_{n} := \mu(\varphi^{-1}(B_{n}) \cap D_{\delta}(u)) < \infty$. Let U be the closed unit ball of $L^{1}(\Sigma)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}U$ is weakly sequentially compact, Lemma 2.6 can be applied with $H = \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u}U$ and $E_{n} = B_{n}$. Choose $\varepsilon = \delta^{2}/\|u\|_{\infty}$. Then there exists an $n_{o} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(2.3)
$$\int_{B_{n_0}} |\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u f| d\mu < \frac{\delta^2}{\|u\|_{\infty}}, \quad f \in U.$$

On the other hand if we take $f_{n_o} = \bar{u}\chi_{J_{n_o}}/(\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n_o}))$, we have

$$\int_{B_{n_o}} |\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u} f| d\mu = \int_{B_{n_o}} hE\left(\frac{u\bar{u}\chi_{J_{n_o}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n_o})}\right) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B_{n_o})} E\left(\frac{|u|^2 \chi_{J_{n_o}}}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n_o})}\right) d\mu = \frac{1}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n_o})} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B_{n_o})} |u|^2 \chi_{J_{n_o}} d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(J_{n_o})} \int_{J_{n_o}} |u|^2 d\mu \ge \frac{\delta^2}{\|u\|_{\infty}}.$$

Since $f_{n_o} \in U$, this contradicts (2.3). According to Theorem 2.2, it remains to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $A := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_n) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(u)) > 0\}$ is finite. To end this, without loss of generality, we can assume that $A = \mathbb{N}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $K_n = \{A_k : k \geq n\}$ and $G_n = \varphi^{-1}(K_n) \cap D_{\varepsilon}(u)$. Then we have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = 0$ and $\mu(G_n) > 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, since h is essentially bounded, there is a constant M > 0 such that $\mu(G_n) \leq \mu(\varphi^{-1}(K_n)) \leq M\mu(K_n) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. So

we can assume that $\mu(G_n) < \infty$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Lemma 2.6 once more, there exists an $\mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N}}} |\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{u} f| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\|u\|_{\infty}}, \quad f \in U.$$

Now, for any n with $n \geq N$, let $g_n = \bar{u}\chi_{G_n}/(\|u\|_{\infty}\mu(G_n))$. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}_N} |\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^u g_n| d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_N)} E\left(\frac{|u|^2 \chi_{G_n}}{\|u\|_{\infty} \mu(G_n)}\right) d\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{\|u\|_{\infty} \mu(G_n)} \int_{G_n} |u|^2 \chi_{G_n} d\mu \ge \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\|u\|_{\infty}}.$$

Since $g_n \in U$, this contradicts (2.3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by Tabriz university. The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the referee(s) for his/her careful reading of the paper and helpful comments which improved its presentation.

References

- N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I, General Theory, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [2] J. Ding and A. Zhou, On the spectrum of Frobenius-Perron operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), no. 2, 610–620.
- [3] J. Ding, The point spectrum of Frobenius-Perron and Koopman operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), no. 5, 1355–1361.
- [4] J. Ding and W. E. Hornor, A new approach to Frobenius-Perron operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 187 (1994), no. 3, 1047–1058.
- [5] J. Ding, Q. Du and T. Y. Li, The spectral analysis of Frobenius-Perron operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), no. 2, 285–301.
- [6] D. J. Harrington, Co-rank of a composition operator, Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (1986), no. 1, 33–36.
- [7] M. R. Jabbarzadeh, Weighted Frobenius-Perron and Koopman operators, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 35 (2009), no. 2, 85–96.
- [8] H. Kamowitz, Compact weighted endomorphisms of C(X), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), no. 3, 517–521.
- [9] A. Lambert, Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 188 (1991), no. 1-2, 111-118.

- [10] M. M. Rao, Conditional Measure and Applications, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [11] H. Takagi, Compact weighted composition operators on L^p , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116** (1992), no. 2, 505–511.
- [12] A. C. Zaanen, Integration, 2nd ed., North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1967.
- M. R. Jabbarzadeh Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, P.O. Box 5166615648, Tabriz, Iran

Email: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir

H. Emamalipour Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, P.O. Box 5166615648, Tabriz, Iran

Email: hemamali@yahoo.com