

## THE UNIT SUM NUMBER OF DISCRETE MODULES

N. ASHRAFI\* AND N. POUYAN

Communicated by Siamak Yassemi

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we show that every element of a discrete module is a sum of two units if and only if its endomorphism ring has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . We also characterize unit sum number equal to two for the endomorphism ring of quasi-discrete modules with finite exchange property.

### 1. Introduction

The study of rings generated additively by their units seems to have its beginning in 1954 with the paper by Zelinsky [14] when he showed that if  $V$  is any (finite or infinite-dimensional) vector space over a division ring  $D$ , then every linear transformation is the sum of two automorphism unless  $\dim V = 1$  and  $D = \mathbb{Z}_2$  is the field of two elements. Interest in this topic increased recently after Goldsmith, Pabst and Scott defined the unit sum number in [4]. Zelinsky's result motivated Skornjakov to ask in [11, Problem 31, p. 167], if every element in a (von Neumann) regular ring  $R$  can be expressed as sum of fixed (and finite) number of units. Of course one needs to add some conditions ensuring that  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not a factor ring (for example,  $1/2 \in R$ ) to exclude the exceptional case already noted in the result of Zelinsky. Vámos in [13] showed that if  $R$  is such a regular ring, then  $R$  is  $2 - good$  (for the definition see

---

MSC(2000): Primary: 16U60; Secondary: 16D10, 16S50, 16U99.

Keywords: Unit sum number, discrete module, hollow module, lifting property.

Received: 19 April 2010, Accepted: 27 July 2010.

\*Corresponding author

© 2011 Iranian Mathematical Society.

[13]), if it is strongly regular and every element can indeed be written as a sum of a finite number of units, if  $R$  is (right) regular. Vámos also proved that every element of a regular right self-injective ring is a sum of two units, if the ring has no nonzero corner ring which is Boolean. Recently, Ashish and Dinesh in [6] proved that every element of a right self-injective ring is a sum of two units if and only if it has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . They extended this result to endomorphism rings of right quasi-continuous modules with finite exchange property. We investigate whether these results are true for discrete modules.

Discrete modules were first studied by Takeuchi [12] and he called them codirect modules with condition (I) [2, see Remark 27.18]. Mohamed and Singh [9] studied direct projective and lifting modules and called them dual-continuous modules. They studied the basic properties and the endomorphism ring of a discrete module. Also, a decomposition theorem for discrete modules was obtained by Mohamed and Singh [9] and later improved by Mohamed and Müller [8]. In this paper we prove that every element of a discrete module is a sum of two units if and only if no factor ring of the endomorphism ring is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then, we extend this result to the endomorphism ring of quasi-discrete modules with finite exchange property.

## 2. Definitions

All rings  $R$  in this paper are assumed to be associative and will have an identity element. We say that  $R$  has the  $n$ -sum property, for a positive integer  $n$ , if every element of  $R$  can be written as a sum of exactly  $n$  units of  $R$ . The unit sum number of a ring, denoted by  $usn(R)$ , is the least integer  $n$ , if any such integer exists, such that  $R$  has the  $n$ -sum property. If  $R$  has an element that is not a sum of units, then we set  $usn(R)$  to be  $\infty$ , and if every element of  $R$  is a sum of units but  $R$  does not have  $n$ -sum property, for any  $n$ , then we set  $usn(R) = \omega$ . Clearly,  $usn(R) = 1$  if and only if  $R$  is the trivial ring with  $0 = 1$ . The unit sum number of a module  $M$ , denoted by  $usn(M)$ , is the unit sum number of its endomorphism ring.

A submodule  $A$  of a module  $M$  is called small in  $M$  (denoted by  $A \ll M$ ), if  $A + B \neq M$  for any proper submodule  $B$  of  $M$ . A module  $H$  is called hollow, if every proper submodule of  $H$  is small. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be submodules of  $M$ .  $B$  is called a supplement of  $A$ , if it is minimal with the property  $A + B = M$ .  $L$  is called a supplement submodule,

if  $L$  is a supplement of some submodule of  $M$ . A module  $M$  is called supplemented, if for any two submodules  $A$  and  $B$  with  $A + B = M$ ,  $B$  contains a supplement of  $A$ . A supplemented module  $M$  is called strongly discrete, if it is self-projective.

**Definition 2.1.** For a module  $M$ , consider the following conditions:

- (D<sub>1</sub>) For every submodule  $A$  of  $M$ , there exists a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq A$  and  $M_2 \cap A$  is small in  $M$ .
- (D<sub>2</sub>) If  $A \leq M$  such that  $M/A$  is isomorphic to a summand of  $M$ , then  $A$  is a summand of  $M$ .
- (D<sub>3</sub>) If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are summands of  $M$  with  $M_1 + M_2 = M$ , then  $M_1 \cap M_2$  is a summand of  $M$ .

$M$  is called discrete, if it has (D<sub>1</sub>) and (D<sub>2</sub>);  $M$  is called quasi-discrete, if it has (D<sub>1</sub>) and (D<sub>3</sub>).

**Definition 2.2.** A module  $M$  is said to have the (finite) exchange property, if for any (finite) index set  $I$ , whenever  $M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , for modules  $N$  and  $A_i$ , then  $M \oplus N = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i)$ , for submodules  $B_i \leq A_i$ . A module  $M$  is said to have the lifting property, if for any index set  $I$  and any submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , if  $M/X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , then there exists a decomposition  $M = M_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)$  such that:

- (i)  $M_0 \leq X$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{M}_i = M/M_i = A_i$ ,
- (iii)  $X \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \ll M$ .

### 3. The unit sum number of discrete modules

For a ring  $R$ ,  $J(R)$  will denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . Before discussing the main results we need some properties of the unit sum number of rings and modules.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $D$  be a division ring. If  $|D| \geq 3$ , then  $usn(D) = 2$ , whereas, if  $|D| = 2$ , that is,  $D = \mathbb{Z}_2$  the field of two elements, then  $usn(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \omega$ .

*Proof.* See [13, Lemma 2]. □

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then,  $usn(R/I) \leq usn(R)$  with equality, if  $I$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

*Proof.* See [13, Lemma 2]. □

**Remark 3.3.** From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 it is clear that if  $R$  is a local ring which has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $usn(R) = 2$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** If the ring  $R_i$ , for every  $i \in I$ , has the  $n$ -sum property, then so has the ring direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ .

*Proof.* See [4, 1.2]. □

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $R$  be a nonzero Boolean ring with more than two elements. Then,  $usn(R) = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Since a nonzero Boolean ring with more than two elements has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , the result follows. □

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $M$  be a discrete  $R$ -module and  $S = End_R(M)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every element of  $S$  is a sum of two units.
- (2) The identity element of  $S$  is a sum of two units.
- (3)  $S$  has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

*Proof.* The results (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are obvious.

Now, we show (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

We know that a discrete module  $M$  has a decomposition, unique up to isomorphism,  $M = \oplus M_i$ , where the  $M_i$ s, have local endomorphism rings. Since  $S$  has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $End_R(M) = End(\oplus_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} End(M_i)$ , none of  $End(M_i)$  has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let, for  $i \in I$ ,  $T_i = End(M_i)$ . Thus,  $T_i$  is a local ring which has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore, by Remark 3.3, for each  $i$ ,  $usn(T_i) = 2$ . Now, by Lemma 3.4, it is clear that  $usn(M) = 2$ . □

Let  $M$  be a projective  $R$ -module with lifting property, then [1] gives that  $M$  is supplemented. Hence, by [10, Lemma 2.3],  $M$  is a semi-perfect  $R$ -module and so by [7, Corollary 4.43] it is a discrete module. Also, if  $R$  is a perfect ring and  $M$  is a quasi-projective  $R$ -module, then by [5, Proposition 2.5] we know that  $M$  is again a discrete module. Therefore, we have:

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $S = End_R(M)$ . If  $M$  is a strongly discrete module or a projective module with lifting property

or if  $R$  is a perfect ring and  $M$  is a quasi-projective  $R$ -module, then  $usn(M) = 2$  if and only if  $S$  has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Proof.** As mentioned above, in all cases  $M$  is a discrete module and therefore the result follows at once from Theorem 8.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a nonzero discrete  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then, the unit sum number of  $M$  is 2,  $\omega$  or  $\infty$ . Moreover,*

- (1)  $usn(M) = 2$  if and only if  $S$  has no factor ring isomorphic to a nonzero Boolean ring.
- (2)  $usn(M) \geq \omega$ , if  $S$  has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Further, if  $S$  has a factor ring isomorphic to a nonzero Boolean ring with more than two elements, then  $usn(M) = \infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a homomorphic image of every nonzero Boolean ring, the result follows from Theorem 3.6.

(2) Let  $S$  have a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , i.e.,  $S/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . But then, since  $usn(S/I) \leq usn(S)$ , it follows that  $usn(S) \geq \omega$ . Now, if  $S$  has a factor isomorphic to a nonzero Boolean ring with more than two elements, then Lemma 3.5 implies  $usn(M) = \infty$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** *Note that in Theorem 8, if  $S$  has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $usn(M) \geq \omega$ . Further, if  $S$  has a factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $usn(M) = \infty$ .*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-discrete  $R$ -module with finite exchange property and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then, every element of  $S$  is a sum of two units if and only if no factor ring of  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that no factor ring of  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let  $\nabla = \{f \in S : \text{Im} f \ll M\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\nabla$  is an ideal of  $S$ . By [7, 5.7]  $\overline{S} = S/\nabla \cong S_1 \oplus S_2$ , where  $S_1$  is a regular ring and  $S_2$  is a reduced ring. Moreover,  $\overline{S}$  has no non-zero nilpotent element and every idempotent is central. Since  $S/\nabla$  has no nontrivial idempotent,  $S_1$  has no nontrivial idempotent. Therefore, it is a division ring which has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , so each element of  $S_1$  is a sum of two units. Now, it is enough to show that every element of  $S_2$ , which has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , is a sum of two units. Let  $a \in S_2$  and suppose to

the contrary that  $a$  is not a sum of two units.

Let  $\Omega = \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } S_2 \text{ and } a + I \text{ is not a sum of two units in } S_2/I\}$ .

Clearly,  $\Omega$  is non-empty and it can be easily checked that  $\Omega$  is inductive. So, by Zorn's Lemma,  $\Omega$  has a maximal element, say,  $I$ . Clearly,  $S_2/I$  is an indecomposable ring and hence has no central idempotent. But,  $S_2$  is an exchange ring, so  $S_2/I$  is an exchange ring too. Since it has no central idempotent, it is clean and therefore  $S_2/I$  is a local ring. Let  $T_2 = S_2/I$ . Since  $x = a + I$  is not a sum of two units in  $S_2/I$ ,  $x + J(T_2)$  is not a sum of two units in  $T_2/J(T_2)$ , which is a division ring. Therefore,  $T_2/J(T_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , a contradiction. Hence, each element of  $S_2$  is also a sum of two units. Therefore, every element of  $\bar{S}$  is a sum of two units. Since  $\nabla \subseteq J(S)$ , we may conclude that every element of  $S$  is a sum of two units.

The converse is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $M$  be a  $R$ -module with indecomposable decomposition and with finite exchange property and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . If no factor ring of  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $usn(M) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* By [3, Theorem 2.8] the endomorphism ring of  $M$  is a local ring. Thus,  $S/J(S)$  is a division ring which has no factor ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , so  $usn(M) = 2$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referee for useful suggestions and careful reading.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chang, Finitely generated modules over semilocal rings and characterizations of (semi-)perfect rings, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **48**(1) (2008) 143-154.
- [2] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting Modules*, Supplements and projectivity in module theory, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

- [3] A. Facchini, *Module Theory, Endomorphism Rings and Direct Sum Decompositions in Some Classes of Modules*, Progress in Mathematics, 167. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [4] B. Goldsmith; S. Pabst; A. Scott, Unit sum numbers of rings and modules, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **49** (1998), no. 195, 331-344.
- [5] S. K. Jain, S. R. López-Permouth and S. T. Rizvi, A characterization of uniserial rings via continuous and discrete modules, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **50**(2) (1991) 197-203.
- [6] D. Khurana and A. K. Srivastava, Right self-injective rings in which every element is a sum of two units, *J. Algebra Appl* **6**(2) (2007) 281-286.
- [7] S. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 147, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] S. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Decomposition of Dual-Continuous Modules*, Module theory (Proc. Special Session, Amer. Math. Soc. Univ. Washington, Seattle, Wash., 1977), pp. 87-94, Lecture Notes in Math., 700, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] S. Mohamed and S. Singh, Generalizations of decomposition theorems known over perfect rings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **24**(4) (1977) 496-510.
- [10] C. Nebiyev and A. Pancar, Strongly  $\oplus$ -supplemented modules, *International Journal Of Computational Cognition* **2** (2004) 57-61.
- [11] L. A. Skornyakov, *Complemented Modular Lattices and Regular Rings*, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh-London 1964.
- [12] T. Takeuchi, On cofinite-dimensional modules, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **5**(1) (1976) 1-43.
- [13] P. Vámos, 2-good rings, *Q. J. Math* **56**(3) (2005) 417-430.
- [14] D. Zelinsky, Every linear transformation is a sum of nonsingular ones, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc* **5** (1954) 627-630.

**N. Ashrafi**

Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran

Email: [nashrafi@semnan.ac.ir](mailto:nashrafi@semnan.ac.ir) and [ashrafi49@yahoo.com](mailto:ashrafi49@yahoo.com)

**N. Pouyan**

Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran

Email: [nedapouyan@yahoo.com](mailto:nedapouyan@yahoo.com) and [neda.pouyan@gmail.com](mailto:neda.pouyan@gmail.com)