Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 37 *No. 1* (*2011*), *pp 235-246.*

APPROXIMATING FIXED POINTS OF GENERALIZED NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

A. RAZANI* AND H. SALAHIFARD

Communicated by Fraydoun Rezakhanlou

Abstract. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) = \{x \in C : T(x) = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is generated iteratively by $x_1 \in C$,

$$x_{n+1} = t_n T[s_n T x_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_n] \oplus (1 - t_n)x_n,$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ are real sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(i) $t_n \in [a, b]$ and $s_n \in [0, 1]$, for some a, b with $0 < a \leq b < 1$,
(ii) $t_n \in [a, 1]$ and $s_n \in [a, b]$, for some a, b with $0 < a \leq b < 1$.
Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$, Δ-converges to a fixed point of T. Our results extend the ones in Laokul and Panyanak [T. Laokul and B. Panyanak, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009) 1305–1315.] and also the ones in Nanjaras et al. [B. Nanjaras, B. Panyanak and W. Phuangrattana, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **4** (2010) 25-31.].

1. Introduction

Recently, Suzuki [17] introduced condition (C) as follows.
Condition(C): Let T be a mapping on a subset C of Banach space E.

MSC(2010): Primary: 47H10; Secondary: 47H09.
Keywords: $CAT(0)$ spaces, Ishikawa iteration scheme, generalized nonexpansive mapping.
Received: 3 November 2010, Accepted: 4 January 2010.
*Corresponding author

Then, T is said to satisfy condition (C) (or generalized nonexpansive mapping) if

$$\frac{1}{2}||x - Tx|| \leq ||x - y|| \text{ implies } ||Tx - Ty|| \leq ||x - y||,$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

Proposition 1.1. *Every nonexpansive mapping satisfies condition (C), but the inverse is not true.*

Example 1.2. *Define a mapping T on $[0,3]$ by*

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & if x \neq 3, \\ 1 & if x = 3. \end{cases}$$

Then, T satisfies condition (C), but T is not nonexpansive.

The purpose of this paper is to study the iterative scheme defined as follows.
Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is generated iteratively by $x_1 \in C$,

$$x_{n+1} = t_n T[s_n T x_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_n] \oplus (1 - t_n)x_n, \tag{1.1}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where, $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ are real sequences in $[0,1]$ such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(1.2)
 (i) $t_n \in [a,b]$ and $s_n \in [0,1]$, for some a, b with $0 < a \leq b < 1$,
 (ii) $t_n \in [a,1]$ and $s_n \in [a,b]$, for some a, b with $0 < a \leq b < 1$.

We show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$, defined by (1.1), Δ-converges to a fixed point of T.

2. $CAT(0)$ **Spaces**

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A geodesic path joining $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ (or more briefly, a geodesic from x to y) is a map c from a closed interval $[0, l] \subset R$ to X such that $c(0) = x, c(l) = y$, and $d(c(t), c(\acute{t}) = |t - \acute{t}|$, for all $t, \acute{t} \in [0, l]$. In particular, c is an isometry and $d(x, y) = l$. The image α of c is called a geodesic (or metric) segment joining x and y. When it is unique, this geodesic is denoted by $[x, y]$. The space (X, d) is said to be a geodesic space if every two points of X are joined by a geodesic, and X is said to be uniquely geodesic if there is exactly one geodesic joining

x to y, for each $x, y \in X$. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is said to be convex if Y includes every geodesic segment joining any two of its points. A geodesic triangle $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in a geodesic metric space (X, d) consists of three points in X (the vertices of $\triangle$) and a geodesic segment between each pair of vertices (the edges of $\triangle$). A comparison triangle for geodesic triangle $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in (X, d) is a triangle $\bar{\triangle}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \triangle(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}, \bar{x_3})$ in the Euclidean plane E^2 such that $d_{E^2}(\bar{x_i}, \bar{x_j}) = d(x_i, x_j)$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. A geodesic metric space is said to be a $CAT(0)$ space [1] if all geodesic triangles of appropriate size satisfy the following comparison axiom. Let $\triangle$ be a geodesic triangle in X and let $\bar{\triangle}$ be a comparison triangle for $\triangle$. Then, $\triangle$ is said to satisfy the $CAT(0)$ inequality if for all $x, y \in \triangle$ and all comparison points $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\triangle}$, $d(x, y) \leq d_{E^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. It is known that in a $CAT(0)$ space, the distance function is convex [1].
Complete $CAT(0)$ spaces are often called Hadamard spaces. Finally, we observe that if x, y_1, y_2 are points of a $CAT(0)$ space and if y_0 is the midpoint of the segment $[y_1, y_2]$, which we will denote by $\frac{y_1 \oplus y_2}{2}$, then the $CAT(0)$ inequality implies

$$d(x, \frac{y_1 \oplus y_2}{2})^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} d(x, y_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} d(x, y_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} d(y_1, y_2)^2, \tag{2.1}$$

because equality holds in the Euclidean metric. In fact (see [1, page 163]), a geodesic metric space is a $CAT(0)$ space if and only if it satisfies inequality (2.1) (which is known as the CN inequality of Bruhat and Tits [2]).
The following lemmas can be found in [4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a $CAT(0)$ space. For $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that*

$$d(x, z) = t d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, z) = (1 - t) d(x, y).$$

We use the notation $(1 - t)x \oplus ty$ for this unique z.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a $CAT(0)$ space. Then,*

$$d((1 - t)x \oplus ty, z)^2 \leq (1 - t) d(x, z)^2 + t d(y, z)^2 - t(1 - t) d(x, y)^2,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y, z \in X$.

The following result is of Xu [18].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $R > 1$ be a fixed number and X be a Banach space. Then, X is uniformly convex if and only if there exists a continuous,* *strictly increasing, and convex function* $g : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ *with* $g(0) = 0$ *such that*

$$||\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y||^2 \leq \lambda||x||^2 + (1-\lambda)||y||^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda)g(||x-y||),$$

for all $x, y \in B_R(0) = \{x \in X : ||x|| \leq R\}$ *and* $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, it turns out that $CAT(0)$ spaces offer nice examples of uniformly convex metric spaces. It is worth mentioning that the results in $CAT(0)$ spaces can be applied to any $CAT(\kappa)$ space with $\kappa \leq 0$, since any $CAT(\kappa)$ space is a $CAT(\acute{\kappa})$ space, for every $\acute{\kappa} \geq \kappa$(see [1, page 165]).

Now, we recall some definitions from [15].
Let X be a complete $CAT(0)$ space and (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X. For $x \in X$, set

$$r(x,(x_n)) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(x,x_n).$$

The asymptotic radius $r((x_n))$ of (x_n) is given by

$$r((x_n)) = \inf\{r(x,(x_n)) : x \in X\},$$

and the asymptotic center $A((x_n))$ of (x_n) is the set

$$A((x_n)) = \{x \in X : r(x,(x_n)) = r((x_n))\}.$$

Definition 2.4. (see [9, Definition 3.1]) A sequence (x_n) in a $CAT(0)$ X is said to Δ-converge to $x \in X$ if x is the unique asymptotic center of (u_n), for every sequence (u_n) of (x_n). In this case, we write $\Delta\text{−}\lim_n x_n = x$ and call x the Δ-lim of (x_n).

It is known that in a $CAT(0)$ space, $A((x_n))$ consists of exactly one point [6]. Also, every $CAT(0)$ space has the *Opial* property, i.e., if (x_n) is a sequence in K and $\Delta\text{-}\lim x_n = x$, then for each $y(\neq x) \in K$,

$$\limsup_n d(x_n,x) < \limsup_n d(x_n,y).$$

Lemma 2.5. [9] *Every bounded sequence in a complete* $CAT(0)$ *space always has a* Δ*-convergent subsequence.*

Lemma 2.6. [5] *Let* C *be a closed convex subset of a complete* $CAT(0)$ *space and* $\{x_n\}$ *be a bounded sequence in* C*. Then, the asymptotic center of* $\{x_n\}$ *is in* C*.*

Lemma 2.7. [17] *Let C be a closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X, and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping. Then,*

$$d(x, Ty) \leq 3d(x, Tx) + d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

The following result is a consequence of Lemma 2.9 in [10].

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a complete $CAT(0)$ space and $x \in X$. Suppose $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence in $[b, c]$, for some $b, c \in (0, 1)$, and $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\lim sup_n d(x_n, x) \leq r, \lim sup_n d(y_n, x) \leq r$, and $\lim_n d((1 - t_n)x_n \oplus t_n y_n, x) = r$, for some $r \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0.$$

3. Main Result

Here, our main result is presented.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping. Suppose $x_1 \in C$ and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1), where sequences $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$ are given by (1.2). Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x^*)$ exists, for all $x^* \in F(T)$.*

Proof. Set $y_n = s_n Tx_n \oplus (1-s_n)x_n$. Since T is generalized nonexpansive and $x^* \in F(T)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0 \leq d(x^*, y_n),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0 \leq d(x^*, x_n),$$

for all $n \geq 1$. It implies $d(Tx^*, Ty_n) \leq d(x^*, y_n)$ and $d(Tx^*, Tx_n) \leq d(x^*, x_n)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x^*) = \;& d(t_n T[s_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_n] \oplus (1 - t_n)x_n, x^*) \\ \leq \;& t_n d(Ty_n, x^*) + (1 - t_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ \leq \;& t_n d(y_n, x^*) + (1 - t_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ \leq \;& t_n(s_n d(Tx_n, x^*) + (1 - s_n)d(x_n, x^*)) + (1 - t_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ \leq \;& d(x_n, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $d(x_n, x^*)$ is decreasing and bounded below, and so $\lim_n$ $d(x_n, x^*)$ exists. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping. From arbitrary $x_1 \in C$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by (1.1), where sequences $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$ are given by (1.2). Then, $F(T)$ is nonempty if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_n d(Tx_n, x_n) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(T)$ is nonempty and $x^* \in F(T)$. Then, by Theorem 3.1, $\lim_n d(x_n, x^*)$ exists and $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Set

$$c = \lim_n d(x_n, x^*) \tag{3.1}$$

and $y_n = s_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_n$, for all $n \geq 1$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0 \leq d(x^*, y_n),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0 \leq d(x^*, x_n),$$

for all $n \geq 1$, then $d(Tx^*, Ty_n) \leq d(x^*, y_n)$ and $d(Tx^*, Tx_n) \leq d(x^*, x_n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ty_n, x^*) \leq\ & d(y_n, x^*) \\ =\ & d(s_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_n, x^*) \\ \leq\ & s_n d(Tx_n, x^*) + (1 - s_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ \leq\ & s_n d(x_n, x^*) + (1 - s_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ =\ & d(x_n, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_n d(Ty_n, x^*) \leq \limsup_n d(y_n, x^*) \leq c. \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_n d(t_n Ty_n \oplus (1 - t_n)x_n, x^*) = \lim_n d(x_{n+1}, x^*) = c. \tag{3.3}$$

Case 1 : $0 < a \leq t_n \leq b < 1$ and $0 \leq s_n \leq b < 1$.
By (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 2.8, we have $\lim_n d(Ty_n, x_n) = 0$. Since for each $s_n \in [0, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, x_n) \leq\ & d(Tx_n, y_n) + d(y_n, x_n) \\ \leq\ & (1 - s_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(y_n, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$s_n d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(y_n, x_n).$$

Since T is generalized nonexpansive, by choosing $s_n = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq d(x_n, y_n)$, and so it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, x_n) \leq\ & d(Tx_n, Ty_n) + d(Ty_n, x_n) \\ \leq\ & d(x_n, y_n) + d(Ty_n, x_n) \\ =\ & d(s_nTx_n \oplus (1-s_n)x_n, x_n) + d(Ty_n, x_n) \\ \leq\ & s_n d(Tx_n, x_n) + d(Ty_n, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $(1-b)d(Tx_n, x_n) \leq (1-s_n)d(Tx_n, x_n) \leq d(Ty_n, x_n)$. Therefore, $\lim_n d(Tx_n, x_n) \leq \frac{1}{(1-b)} \lim_n d(Ty_n, x_n) = 0$.

Case 2 : $0 < a \leq t_n \leq 1$ and $0 < a \leq s_n \leq b < 1$.
Since we have $d(Tx_n, x^*) \leq d(x_n, x^*)$, for all $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\limsup_n d(Tx_n, x^*) \leq c. \tag{3.4}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x^*) \leq\ & t_n d(Ty_n, x^*) + (1-t_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ \leq\ & t_n d(y_n, x^*) + (1-t_n)d(x_n, x^*) \\ =\ & t_n d(y_n, x^*) + d(x_n, x^*) - t_n d(x_n, x^*), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{d(x_{n+1}, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)}{t_n} \leq d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*).$$

Taking lim inf from both sides of the above inequality, we have

$$\liminf \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)}{t_n} \leq \liminf (d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)).$$

Since $\lim d(x_{n+1}, x^*) = \lim d(x_n, x^*) = c$, then

$$0 \leq \liminf (d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)).$$

On the other hand, since $d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*) \leq 0$, $\liminf(d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)) \leq 0$. Therefore, $\liminf(d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)) = 0$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =\ & \liminf (d(y_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x^*)) \\ \leq\ & \liminf d(y_n, x^*) - \liminf d(x_n, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\liminf\ d(x_n, x^*) \leq \liminf d(y_n, x^*)$. This means that $c \leq \liminf_n d(y_n, x^*)$. By combining this inequality and (3.2), we have

$$c \leq \liminf_n d(y_n, x^*) \leq \limsup_n d(y_n, x^*) \leq c.$$

Therefore,

$$c = \lim_n d(y_n, x^*) = \lim_n d(s_nTx_n \oplus (1-s_n)x_n, x^*). \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.5), (3.4), (3.1) and Lemma 2.8, we have $\lim_n d(Tx_n, x_n) = 0$.
Conversely, suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. Let $A((x_n)) = \{x\}$. Then, $x \in C$, by Lemma 2.6. Since T is generalized nonexpansive, we have, by Lemma 2.7,

$$d(x_n, Tx) \leq 3d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_n, x),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_n d(x_n, Tx) \leq& \ \limsup_n [3d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_n, x)] \\ =& \ \limsup_n d(x_n, x).\end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of asymptotic centers, we get $Tx = x$. Therefore, x is a fixed point of T. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X, and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1), where $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ are given by (1.2). Then, $\{x_n\}$, Δ-converges to a fixed point of T.*

Proof. Theorem 3.2 guarantees that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and

$$\lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

Let $W_w(x_n) := \bigcup A(\{u_n\})$, where the union is taken over all subsequences $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. We claim that $W_w(x_n) \subset F(T)$.
Let $u \in W_w(x_n)$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $A((u_n)) = \{u\}$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, there exists a subsequence v_n of u_n such that $\Delta - \lim_n v_n = v \in C$. Since $\lim_n d(v_n, Tv_n) = 0$ and T is generalized nonexpansive, then, by Lemma 2.7,

$$d(v_n, Tv) \leq 3d(v_n, Tv_n) + d(v_n, v).$$

By taking lim and *Opial* property, we obtain $v \in F(T)$. Now, we claim that $u = v$. If not, by Theorem 3.1, $\lim_n d(x_n, v)$ exists, and thus by the uniqueness of asymptotic centers,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_n d(v_n, v) <& \ \limsup_n d(v_n, u) \\ \leq& \ \limsup_n d(u_n, u) \\ <& \ \limsup_n d(u_n, v) \\ =& \ \limsup_n d(x_n, v) \\ =& \ \limsup_n d(v_n, v),\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So, $u = v \in F(T)$. In order to show $\{x_n\}$, Δ-converges to a fixed point of T, it suffices to show that $W_w(x_n)$ consists of exactly one point. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. By lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, there exists a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that $\Delta - \lim_n v_n = v \in C$. Let $A((u_n)) = \{u\}$ and $A((x_n)) = \{x\}$. We have seen that $v = u$ and $v \in F(T)$. Therefore, we can complete the proof by showing that $v = x$. If not, since $\{d(x_n, v)\}$ is convergent by the last argument, then, by the uniqueness of asymptotic centers,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n d(v_n, v) &< \limsup_n d(v_n, x) \\ &\leq \limsup_n d(x_n, x) \\ &< \limsup_n d(x_n, v) \\ &= \limsup_n d(u_n, v), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, and hence the conclusion follows. □

We recall (see [16]), a mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to satisfy *condition* (I), if there exists a nondecreasing function $f : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(r) > 0$, for all $r > 0$, such that $d(x, Tx) \geq f\left(d(x, F(T))\right)$, for all $x \in C$, where, $d\left(x, F(T)\right) = \inf_{z \in F(T)} d(x, z)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X, and $T : C \to C$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping satisfying condition (I) with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1), where $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ are given by (1.2). Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some fixed point of T.*

Proof. First, we show that $F(T)$ is closed. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $F(T)$ converging to some point $z \in C$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0 \leq d(x_n, z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n d(x_n, Tz) &= \limsup_n d(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq \limsup_n d(x_n, z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\{x_n\}$ converges to Tz. This implies $Tz = z$. Therefore, $F(T)$ is closed. By Theorem 3.2, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, x_n) = 0$. It follows from condition (I) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f\left(d\left(x_n, F(T)\right)\right) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f\left(d\left(x_n, F(T)\right)\right) = 0$. Since $f : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying $f(0) = 0, f(r) > 0$, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} d\left(x_n, F(T)\right) = 0$. Hence, we can choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, p_k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k},$$

for all integer $k \geq 1$ and some sequence $\{p_k\}$ in $F(T)$. Again, by Theorem 3.1,

$$d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_k) \leq d(x_{n_k}, p_k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_{k+1}, p_k) &\leq d(p_{k+1}, x_{n_{k+1}}) + d(x_{n_{k+1}}, p_k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^k} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\{p_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $F(T)$ is closed, then $\{p_k\}$ converges strongly to a point p in $F(T)$. It is readily seen that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to p. Since $\lim_n d(x_n, p)$ exists, it must be the case that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p) = 0$. □

Remark 3.5. *Since every nonexpansive mapping is a generalized nonexpansive mapping, one can state all the above results for nonexpansive mappings and obtain the results in* [10]*. Also, by setting $s_n = 0$, one can obtain the results in* [13].

Acknowledgments

The first author would like to thank the School of Mathematics of the Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Teheran, Iran, for supporting this research (Grant No. 89470126).

References

[1] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[2] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **41** (1972) 5–251.

[3] S. Dhompongsa, A. Kaewkhao and B. Panyanak, Lim's theorems for multivalued mappings in $CAT(0)$ spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **312** (2005) 478–487.
[4] S. Dhompongsa and B. Panyanak, On Δ-convergence theorems in $CAT(0)$ spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56** (2008) 2572–2579.
[5] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.* **8** (2007) 35–45.
[6] S. Dhompongsa, W. A. Kirk and B. Sims, Fixed point of uniformly lipschitzian mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* **65** (2006) 762–772.
[7] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, Iteration processes for nonexpansive mappings, *Contemp. Math.* **21** (1983) 115–123.
[8] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points and iteration of nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976) 65–71.
[9] W. A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68** (2008) 3689–3696.
[10] T. Laokul and B. Panyanak, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in $CAT(0)$ Spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009) 1305–1315.
[11] W. Laowang and B. Panyanak, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive nonself mappings in $CAT(0)$ spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **Art. ID 367274** (2010) 11 page.
[12] T. C. Lim, On fixed point stability for set-valued contractive mappings with applications to generalized differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **110** (1985) 436–441.
[13] B. Nanjaras, B. Panyanak and W. Phuangrattana, Fixed point theorems and convergence theorems for Suzuki-generalized nonexpansive mappings in $CAT(0)$ spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **4** (2010) 25-31.
[14] B. Panyanak, Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **54** (2007) 872–877.
[15] A. Razani and H. Salahifard, Invariant approximation for $CAT(0)$ spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010) 2421–2425.
[16] H. F. Senter and W. G. Dotson, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974) 375–380.
[17] T. Suzuki, Fixed point theorems and convergence theorems for som generalized nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008) 1088–1095.
[18] H. K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* **16** (1991) 1127–1138.

A. Razani
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, Postal code: 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran
and
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran
Email: razani@ikiu.ac.ir

H. Salahifard
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, Postal code: 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran
Email: salahifard@gmail.com